

APPENDIX D – ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY TABLE

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
<p>The relevant Department assessment team, specifically:</p> <p>Social and Infrastructure Assessments</p>	<p>The project team emailed the Social and Infrastructure Assessments team on 14 September 2023 providing information on the proposal and offering a briefing.</p>	<p>On 14 September 2023, DPE’s Social and Infrastructure Assessment’s Principal Planner responded advising that a planning officer had not been assigned to the SSDA and therefore a briefing was not required at this time.</p> <p>DPE request a copy of the Design Competition Report</p> <p>The Project Team presented the proposed façade articulation to DPE on 26 September 2023.</p>	<p>A copy of the Design Competition Report was issued to DPE on 26 September 2023.</p> <p>Urbis planning will continue to consult with DPE’s Social and Infrastructure Assessments team throughout the planning process.</p> <p>No issues were raised by DPE.</p>
<p>Department of Planning and Environment – Environment and Heritage Group</p>	<p>Urbis Planning (on behalf of Centuria) submitted a BDAR waiver request through the Department of Planning and Environment’s (DPE) Major Project’s portal on 15 May 2023.</p>	<p>DPE’s Social and Infrastructure Assessment’s Principal Planner provided the BDAR waiver via email on 20 June 2023.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>The relevant agencies, specifically:</p> <p>Transport for NSW</p>	<p>The Construction Traffic Management Plan was issued to Transport for NSW via</p>	<p>No response has been received to date.</p>	<p>The project team will continue to consult with NSW State Emergency Services through the assessment period.</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
	email for comment on 19 December 2023.		
Ausgrid	Dep Consulting submitted an Application for Connection (AFC) to Ausgrid's Contestability Group on 10 October 2023.	To date, Dep Consulting has not received a response from Ausgrid.	The Project team will continue to consult with Ausgrid throughout the AFC process and be available to answer questions or receive feedback on the proposal.
Fire and Rescue NSW	E-Lab Consulting contacted Fire and Rescue NSW's on 4 December 2023 during the development of the Fire Engineering Brief Questionnaire (FEbQ) and Fire Engineering Report (FER).	To date, no feedback has been received from Fire and Rescue NSW.	E-Lab Consulting will continue to consult with Fire and Rescue NSW throughout the FER process and be available to answer any questions or receive feedback on the proposal.
Sydney Water Corporation	ACOR Consultants (on behalf of Centuria) notified Riccardo Facci of Sydney Water of the intention to issue a Section 73 (S73) form once the SSSA is lodged on 5 October 2023.	To date, ACOR Consultants has not received a response from Sydney Water.	ACOR Consultants will continue to consult with Sydney Water throughout the S73 process and be available to answer questions or receive feedback on the proposal.
NSW State Emergency Service	The Operational Flood Emergency Management Plan was issued to the NSW State Emergency Services via	No response has been received to date.	The project team will continue to consult with NSW State Emergency Services through the assessment period.

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
	email for comment on 19 December 2023.		
<p>The relevant councils, specifically:</p> <p>City of Sydney Council</p>	<p>The Project team met with Council on 4 October 2023 to discuss the proposal.</p>	<p><i>Envelope articulation</i></p> <p>The project team presented the proposed facade articulation that extends beyond the concept envelope</p> <hr/> <p><i>Above ground car parking</i></p> <p>The project team presented the proposed mezzanine car parking above ground level.</p> <hr/> <p><i>Landscaping</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Revisit deep soil calculations. ▪ Incorporate permeable stairs, ramps, furniture, decking and walls to ensure rainwater can permeate the surface. ▪ Consider green roof to improve energy performance of the building. ▪ Show a day 1 and 10 year completion of the feature tree and canopy coverage calculations. 	<p>Council provided no comments on the proposed façade articulation and minor non-compliance with the Concept SSDA envelope.</p> <hr/> <p>Council provided no comments on the proposed above ground mezzanine car parking.</p> <hr/> <p>The Landscape Plans at Appendix R have excluded the footpath area from the deep soil calculations and have incorporated permeable timber stairs and decking.</p> <p>The proposed roof plan includes large areas of solar panels and plant equipment and therefore a green roof was not possible at this location.</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
		<p>Include a gate on the balustrade on the level 4 podium so planting can be accessed/maintained.</p>	
		<p><i>Laneway Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Council has strategic documents which detail specific requirements for paving materials, lighting etc. <p>The DCP envisions a one-way northbound arrangement however, Council is open to further discussions about a counterclockwise movement of traffic.</p>	<p>The proposed laneway has been designed in accordance with the Sydney Streets Code 2021 and the Public Domain Manual 2021.</p> <p>The proposed development seeks to deliver the identified DCP laneway along the western and southern boundary of the site. In the short term, the 6m wide laneway will allow for two-way movement. Following the competition of the laneway, it is anticipated that a one-way laneway would be delivered to allow for manoeuvring space for two vehicles to pass.</p> <p>To ensure minimal travel time to the site for ambulances in the event of an emergency, it is proposed that the one-way arrangement would follow an anti-clockwise direction. The swept paths prepared by Positive Traffic demonstrate the safe and efficient flow of traffic in an anti-clockwise direction in the future laneway scenario.</p>
		<p><i>Stormwater</i></p> <p>Council does not support the proposed drainage line that runs along the</p>	<p>The proposed stormwater plan has been revised and the public domain stormwater pipe no longer enters back into the site, refer to the</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
		<p>property boundary and then enters back into the site. Stormwater should be located solely on the public domain.</p> <p><i>Other Comments</i></p> <p>Explore opportunities to 'open up' the pedestrian entry way.</p> <p>Seek to place bed heads closer to the windows in the rooms where possible.</p> <p>Ensure waste storage (especially bulky waste) is maximised in the development.</p> <p>The Social Planning Team reiterated the importance of ensuring the development co-exists with any future health/medical facilities that are being provided in the redevelopment of Waterloo South.</p>	<p>plans within the Water Cycle Management Plan at Appendix AA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The elevated landscape podium invites the community into the site, while protecting the building from flood risk. ▪ The bedheads are designed to have views to windows where possible. ▪ The Waste Management Plan (Appendix FF) confirms that ample waste storage is provided. <p>The SIA has considered the impacts of the proposed development in the context of the broader health network, including existing and future health services, refer to Section 6.2.7 and Appendix JJ.</p>
<p>Government Architect NSW</p>	<p>Two Design Integrity Panel virtual sessions on: 4 September 2023, 28 September 2023. One follow up session was held on 23 November 2023.</p>	<p>Throughout the DIP sessions, the following areas for future development and refinement were discussed:</p> <p><i>Engagement with an Aboriginal spatial design expert</i></p>	<p>Following the DIP session, Centuria commissioned Aboriginal Spatial Design Expert, Blaklash. Blaklash developed the design principles that have underpinned the design process (refer to Section 3.2.2.3 of this EIS).</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
		<p>The panel encouraged the engagement of an Aboriginal spatial design expert to inform the detailed design phase and explore opportunities to integrate Country into design.</p>	
		<p><i>Compliance with the concept envelope</i></p> <p>The Panel noted the discrepancy between the plans relating to the compliance with the Concept SSDA envelope has now been resolved.</p>	<p>As outlined in Section 3.2.2 and Section 6.1.2, the proposed development includes minor breaches to the Concept SSDA envelope. Urbis has contacted DPE who has stated minor departures from the envelope may be viewed as generally compliance.</p>
		<p><i>Public Art</i></p> <p>Warren and Mahoney + MAAP identified the potential for public art to be located along the eastern façade. The Panel expressed preference for a patterned eastern elevation as opposed to public art.</p> <p>The panel encouraged the architects to explore additional opportunities to integrate public art.</p>	<p>As outlined in the Public Art Strategy (Appendix P) and the Architectural Plans (Appendix G), opportunities for public art to be integrated into the landscape zones at the Bourke Road frontage. This location will allow the art to be highly visible whilst creating a welcoming and communal outdoor space.</p> <p>The Strategy outlines the opportunity for 3-5 small to medium sculptural elements or integrated seating elements to be installed towards the front of the site.</p>
		<p><i>Floor Plates and Natural Light</i></p> <p>The panel noted that the deep floor plates and the natural light available to</p>	<p>Warren and Mahoney + MAAP included additional windows along the eastern boundary</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
		<p>the lower levels still needed more consideration.</p> <p>More consideration of how light and solar access could be pulled down into the building.</p>	<p>to provide additional light into the lower levels 1-3.</p>
		<p><i>Façade design</i></p> <p>The panel queries the number of solid elements within the Level 4 façade cladding and the façade treatment. They also queried the depth of the recess on Level 4 noting that the intended shadow gap separation between and the top and the bottom halves of the building was not being achieved.</p> <p>The panel queried access and maintenance arrangement for the planters integrated into the façade.</p> <p>The panel expressed a preference for a patterned eastern elevation as opposed to public art due to the proposed multi-storey development at the neighbouring site.</p>	<p>Warren and Mahoney + MAAP noted that a certain amount of solid cladding would be required along the Level 4 façade given the operational requirements of the hospital and patient accommodation.</p> <p>Warren and Mahoney + MAAP added a pattern which provides façade relief to the relatively blank façade.</p>

Stakeholder	How this group was consulted	Feedback	Project response
		<p><i>Cost Rationale and Budget</i></p> <p>The panel were supportive of the rationalisations and acknowledged that the project would require continued value management throughout the design development process.</p>	<p>The project team will continue value management through the design development process in line with the Panel's feedback.</p>
<p>The relevant community, specifically:</p> <p>Surrounding local landowners, businesses and stakeholders, specifically those on:</p>	<p>Urbis Engagement (on behalf of Centuria) distributed a community newsletter to 613 properties on 21 September 2023. The community newsletter outlined the project proposal and invited feedback via the 1800 number and enquiry email.</p> <p>In addition to receiving the community newsletter, Urbis Engagement (on behalf of Centuria) contacted surrounding local businesses whose email address were publicly available on 21 September 2023 outlining the proposal and requesting feedback.</p>	<p>At the time of writing this report, no response has been received from the public.</p>	<p>The Applicant will continue to reach out to surrounding local landowners, businesses and stakeholders as plans progress.</p>