Kane Winwood

From: Sent:	Julie Moloney <julie.moloney@industry.nsw.gov.au> Friday, 7 August 2015 2:09 PM</julie.moloney@industry.nsw.gov.au>
То:	Kane Winwood
Cc:	Adrian Delany; Peta Johannessen
Subject:	Fwd: SSD 5850 - Mount Owen Continued Operations Project - Response to Submissions

Hi Kane

DRE has reviewed the relevant sections of the Mount Owen Continued Operations Project EIS Response to Submissions dated Jane 2015.

In order to provide clarity DRE recommend that the proposed condition on Blast Management Protocol be reworded to:

Blast Management Protocol

The proponent must prepare and implement a Blast Management Protocol which should be incorporated into the Blast Management Plan and submitted to the DRE prior to the commencement of mining. The Blast Management Protocol must be development in consultation with Integra Underground Mine and detail the procedures between the Mount Owen Open Cut Operations and the Interga Underground mine for any blasting within 500 metres of the currently approved and development underground workings.

DRE has not other comments.

Regards

Julie

----- Forwarded message ------From: Adrian Delany <<u>adrian.delany@trade.nsw.gov.au</u>> Date: 6 August 2015 at 12:47 Subject: Fwd: SSD 5850 - Mount Owen Continued Operations Project - Response to Submissions To: Julie Moloney <<u>julie.moloney@trade.nsw.gov.au</u>>

For your action.

Regards Adrian Delany

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kane Winwood <<u>Kane.Winwood@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>> Date: 6 August 2015 12:13:18 pm AEST To: "<u>landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>landuse.enquiries@industry.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>planning.matters@environment.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>ssc@singleton.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>ssc@singleton.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>hunter.region@epa.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>hunter.region@epa.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>carolyn.herlihy@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au</u>"

<<u>carolyn.herlihy@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au</u>>

Cc: "<u>adrian.delany@trade.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>adrian.delany@trade.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>robert.gibson@environment.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>robert.gibson@environment.nsw.gov.au</u>>, "<u>brendan.mee@dpi.nsw.gov.au</u>" <<u>brendan.mee@dpi.nsw.gov.au</u>>,

"<u>michael.howat@epa.nsw.go.au</u>" <<u>michael.howat@epa.nsw.go.au</u>>, Matthew Sprott <<u>Matthew.Sprott@planning.nsw.gov.au</u>>

Subject: SSD 5850 - Mount Owen Continued Operations Project - Response to Submissions

All,

Mount Owen Pty Limited has submitted its response to the issues raised in submissions (RTS) during exhibition of the Environmental Impact Statement for the Mount Owen Continued Operations Project.

The RTS is available on the Department's website by clicking <u>here</u>.

Would you please advise the Department of any comments your agency has by **Wednesday 26 August 2015**. If you would like to discuss this further please contact Matthew Sprott on 9228 2054.

Regards,

Kane

Kane Winwood

Team Leader, Mining Projects

NSW Planning & Environment

GPO Box 39 | Sydney NSW 2001 T 02 9228 6298 E kane.winwood@planning.nsw.gov.au



Subscribe to the e-news at www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential/privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of the Department.

You should scan any attached files for viruses.

This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of their organisation.





This message is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it and notify the sender. Views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender, and are not necessarily the views of their organisation.