

Personal info for publication Yes/No

Date: 9/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation




Name... Isabella Bennigan
Address... 11 High St
Stratford

Personal info for publication Yes/No ☒ No

Date: 28-9-16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001



Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

Isabella Bennigan

I have not made a reportable political donation

V2 9/9/16

As a regular visitor to Gloucester & aware of the mine area ahead

Name KAREN TOMS
Address 8 Sunny side CRS
Port Macquarie 2444

Personal info for publication Yes/~~No~~

Date: 28-9/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.


If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely



I am a regular visitor
with family and
a great appreciation
for maintaining
this pristine town!

✓ I have not made a reportable political donation

Name Phil Lord
Address 37 West St
Scotts Wood 2447

Delete my personal information before publication ~~yes~~ no

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely



I have not made a reportable political donation

Name.....DAVID M. QUINCE

Address....."TAMBAR VALE"

TAMBAR SPRINGS, NSW, 2381

Delete my personal information before publication yes

☒ no

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.


If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely



I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose this Coal Mine as it's too close to the Town of Gloucester and good productive Agriculture Land and it's position is totally unacceptable!

Name... Bronwyn Parsons
Address... 18 Spalding St
Flynn ACT 2615

Delete my personal information before publication yes no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 Mod 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

Bronwyn Parsons
13/10/16

I have not made a reportable political donation

Former resident &
regular visitor: I oppose
Rocky Hill Coal Project
for all of the above
reasons &
more incl.
health
related

Name..... Brett Parsons.....

Address..... 18 Spalding St.....

..... Flynn Act 26 15.....

Delete my personal information before publication yes — ☒ no

13 October 2016

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.


If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely



I have not made a reportable political donation

*as a former resident of Gloucester & regular visitor
I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project.*

Name.....Helen Fahy
Address.....24 Mackenzie Ave
Mt. Warrigal. 2528.

Delete my personal information before publication yes ☒ no

14.10.16

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds. and
Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No. SSD 4966 MOP.
If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely



I have not made a reportable political donation

Helen Fahy

Name..... Jeff. HASKINS

Address..... 276 Gloucester Tops Rd
..... Gloucester

Personal info for publication Yes/~~No~~

Date: 4/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

Jeff Haskins
This mine is TOO close to town. & the creek flats are FINITE & INVULNERABLE !!!

I have not made a reportable political donation. *IT ONLY ENCOURAGES THEM ??*



Personal info for publication ~~Yes~~ No

Date:

1/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001



Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

Currently visiting beautiful Gloucester for the weekend. It is an amazingly beautiful place. Clean energy is the future of NSW. Having a coal mine so close to this town will stop people like us coming to visit.

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication ☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication ☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/2016

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam


I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely 

Delete my personal information before publication ☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/2016

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project- Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication ☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14.10.16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 Mod 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

✓
I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10/2016

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10.

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication yes no

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 Mod 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10/16

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No. SSD 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

~~Yours~~ sincerely

14.10.16

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication ☒ yes ☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

17/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 Mod 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director - Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

14/10/16

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Delete my personal information before publication

☒ yes

☐ no

14/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1
Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal info for publication Yes/No ☒

Date: 8-10-16

Director – Resource Assessments
Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project and Stratford Mine Modifications on the following grounds.

If the proposed mine goes ahead then the scenic beauty of the Gloucester valley will be irreparably damaged. This will lead to a dramatic drop in tourist numbers. Claims of financial benefit to the town will be lost by the decrease in visitors and the loss of jobs in tourism.

The Stratford mine boasted of increased employment for Gloucester locals when in fact 60% of the employees were drive in/drive out workers. These employees did not spend money in the town. The economic situation for Gloucester did not improve when Stratford was in operation and families of mine workers did not choose to live in the local area. Several businesses in Gloucester complained that they were training apprentices who then left and got employment at the Stratford mine, due to higher wages. Those businesses found the situation unsustainable.

When the Stratford mine slowed operations and eventually stopped production, many workers were laid off. This illustrates that mining is not a sustainable industry. When the price of coal goes down then mining companies have no responsibility to workers or the local areas. They just cease operations. This is not what we want for Gloucester.

Gloucester's clean, green image needs to thrive and grow. We want industries that enhance the rural area and promote our claim to fame of being the gateway to the Barrington Tops World Heritage area.

Yours sincerely

It's all fun and games
Until the water's poison.

I have not made a reportable political donation