

ID#	Class	Date	Content	Attachment	Response
252726	Object	05/04/18	I strongly oppose this modification with the excessive removal of more trees in an already heavily urbanised region. I believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. I think the fact that a pedestrian bridge creating grade separation is excellent and is perfect infrastructure for the area but I do not believe it should come at the cost of Bundara Reserve. Hopefully a more environmentally sustainable solution can be found without the severe impact and destruction of this part of Bandara Reserve.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252080	Comment	03/04/18	Do I understand correctly that the proposal is to remove trees from a critically endangered forest because bird droppings and tree sap "can" damage the paint on the bridge? If so, there are two simple and far superior solutions: 1, use different paint, or 2, be prepared to repaint. If my original understanding is correct, then the short sightedness and self entitlement of the proposal is breath taking. I really hope that whoever came up with the idea is due for rapid retirement.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252057	Object	02/04/18	My name is Alexandra Lynch, and I object to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. I find it unacceptable that this is on exhibition over Easter. I have worked and studied in the Ryde area at Macquarie University since 2012. I studied environmental science during my Masters degree at Macquarie Uni, so I know how vital it is to ensure the environment is protected for current and future generations, and for the overall health of the planet. I love Ryde's native bushland habitats and have spent a lot of time walking around its greenspaces, so when I heard trees were going to be removed I knew I had to make a submission. Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. In particular it affects the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. From the start of the Lachlan's Line development, Urban Growth was required by the Director General of Planning to assess the biodiversity impacts on Bundara Reserve of the whole development, including the pedestrian bridge. Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. Also the cost of the pedestrian bridge seems substantially higher than originally approved. I do not consider Modification 4 is consistent with the original approval. I do not consider it is consistent with the newly adopted Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan which now provides the regional planning framework for development in North Ryde. One of the North District Plan priorities is the need to INCREASE the urban tree canopy not decrease it. This is an important step towards improving amenity and air quality along major roads with Epping Road recognized as one of the North District's busiest. I ask that Landcom (previously Urban Growth who did the design for the pedestrian bridge) be directed to go back to the "drawing board" on both the bridge's alignment and design and comply with the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment. There is need for consideration of an improved alignment that both protects the urban tree canopy and provides better access to the cemetery and the future community plaza areas.	0	Date of public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The cost of the pedestrian bridge isn't a relevant consideration for this modification. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252028	Object	31/03/18	PDF Submission Key issues within submission: 1. Timing of notification 2. Additional loss of trees in and around Bundara Reserve 3. Alignment of bridge	1	1. The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department to consider. 2. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 3. The alignment of bridge is not proposed to be amended. As per the comments above, the construction methodology has been amended to reduce impacts on existing vegetation.
252600	Object	04/04/18	I object to the proposal. I have been a resident in North Ryde for 10 years and have chosen to live in the Ryde area largely because I value its natural amenity, including unique and endangered vegetation communities such as Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest, as well as the native fauna that depend on this vegetation. Bundara Reserve is one of the few remaining reserves with mature native trees along the busy Epping Road and it deserves to be protected and preserved both for public amenity and for its role in providing valuable habitat for native fauna. The original consent approval was granted on the basis that no trees are removed from the reserve. Bundara Reserve is one of the last remnants of Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest. Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest is listed as an Endangered Ecological Community in NSW and listed as Critically Endangered by the Commonwealth Government. With less than 0.5% of this type of forest left in the Sydney bioregion it is essential that this reserve and its trees are protected and preserved for future generations. No offsets would adequately replace this reserve and its mature trees as there is so little of Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest remaining, making the forest at Bundara Reserve all the more precious. Bundara Reserve provides connectivity to nearby Lane Cove National Park and removing trees could reduce or stop the movement of native fauna between reserves, including swamp wallabies whose numbers have been increasing in the Ryde area in recent years. Removal of vegetation will also result in loss of habitat and adversely impact native fauna, including threatened species such as the Powerful Owl which reside in and around the Ryde area. Additionally the proposed vegetation removal is inconsistent with the Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan, which lists as one of its priorities to increase urban tree canopy, particularly along busy roads such as Epping Rd to improve amenity and air quality. Visually the bridge redesign is unattractive, looks out of context and dominates the landscape both in colour and style. The bridge section is also too wide by 8 metres at the Bundara corner.	0	Date of public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252758	Object	05/04/18	A proposal to cut down trees to protect a pedestrian bridge from bird droppings is ludicrous. The Sydney Turpentine-ironbark forest in Bundara Reserve is only a small part of this Endangered Ecological Community left in the Rye area. I was told many years ago this would never be impacted on because it is so special. Both Federal and State Governments agree that we need more not less trees to absorb carbon dioxide to reduce the effects of climate change and to keep the Sydney suburbs cooler with increasing temperatures due to climate change. Another reason to keep the trees is that animals live in that small piece of bushland and we shouldn't destroy their homes to save some paint from deteriorating. A better solution would be to put a roof over the bridge or not build the bridge at all. Perhaps a tunnel would provide a climate controlled walkway and the people could travel along it in all weather conditions and the birds and mammals could keep their habitat. In regard to falling branches I have been travelling to North Ryde to work at the RSL Youth Club for 23 years and I have never seen a fallen branch on the road or in the reserve.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252773	Object	05/04/18	This development is a great example of the problem of progressive diminution of our environmental resources. It goes without saying that the area affected is covered by both State and National Endangered species legislation. Yet it has been subject time and time again to attack in the name of necessary progress. The area was firstly (in recent times) affected by the development of the M4 motorway, reducing the tree cover and isolating it from the nearby Lane Cove National Park. At the time of that development trees were removed from out side what was then channel 10, with the promise that they would be restated when the development was completed. It never happened. Next was the Delhi Road station development. Friends of LCNP became involved when they realised that an area of the EEC STIF opposite the station was being affected. That area was recognised as STIF by both TIDC and OEH and as a result some off set compensation was paid for work in Wallumatta Nature Reserve. Then came the current road works which removed all of the remaining STIF opposite the station and maintained that no compensation was required because according to the Planning Minister it was no longer STIF. Now we have a redesigned pedestrian bridge that apparently can not possibly be designed to minimise its effect on the reserve and which also requires trees to be removed so that birds do not excrete on to the bridge. How many more essential changes will there be before there is no reserve remaining and we are told it has no further value except maybe as a carpark or a site for another high rise building.	0	The modification does not propose the redesign of the bridge. It seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252754	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I strongly object to the proposed modified overbridge at Bundara Reserve that now badly impacts its Sydney Turpentine ironbark forest (STIF), an endangered ecological community within Bundara Reserve. Now, Landcom has designed a second, modified, inappropriate bridge, the materials and finish of which needs to be protected from trees, fires and bird poo! Instead, this would have been an excellent opportunity to build an appropriate forest high walk, not affected by the above issues and would deliver improved urban bushland amenity and the natural environment whilst saving the important endangered Turpentine ironbark forest, that is the last remnant natural bushland in North Ryde/Macquarie Park that has, in recent times, become an ugly high-rise concrete jungle! The original overbridge design, that took account of its sensitive landscape context, did not impact Bundara Reserve, which was to be protected. However, the inferior, modified overbridge turned this sensitive approach on its head, and now badly impacts the reserve, requiring the unnecessary removal of many protected trees. The community is entitled to feel duped by the extensive community consultation process, the results of which an earlier approved overbridge, that protected Bundara Reserve, was completely reversed at a later phase. Clearly it is Bundara Reserve that merits preservation; Not a modified ovebridge, the design, materials and finish of which are inappropriate to the needs of the environmental bushland situation.	0	The location of the bridge is not proposed to be modified. The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design, among other changes. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252734	Object	05/04/18	The indigenous regional forest trees of this area are under continued threat. The unwarranted loss of tree cover required by this proposal is unjustified, especially on the specious grounds that bird waste and tree sap will have a deleterious effect on the structure IF LEFT UNATTENDED. Clearly, if the environment is so likely to have a negative impact on the bridgework, more robust materials should be used in its construction, or a suitable plan of management for its maintenance and upkeep developed. The proposala s amended is akin to saying we should exterminate all the seagulls because they are likely to damage the Sydney Harbour Bridge with their excretions. I firmly believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land should not be adversely affected by the pedestrian footbridge, and if it cannot be constructed so as to minimise its impact on its surroundings it should be re-specified, redesigned or relocated.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252611	Object	05/04/18	Please note that I and my family are opposed to any modification to the Precinct Activation plan. We also believe that all steps should be taken to ensure that any pedestrian bridge and it construction should not impact in any way on Bundara Reserve, greater efforts should be made to establish more reserves of a similar nature.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252781	Object	05/04/18	I wish to submit my objection to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. I am a long-term resident of Ryde having lived in the City for 50 years and having worked in Julius Avenue adjacent to these developments for over 15 years. The area around the intersection of Delhi Road, Epping Road and M2 has seen extraordinary increase in density of development over the past 5 years and it is vital that the very limited amount of remnant native vegetation that remains be protected. Modification 4 affects the Sydney Turpentine-Ironbark Forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. Turpentine Ironbark Margin Forest, is listed as endangered under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995. The modification proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. As stated in the supporting documentation these trees are considered to be of high significance and would typically be required to be retained and protected. I am particularly concerned about the conservation of native birds in our urban environment and the need to retain the few remaining remnant areas of habitat in our urban area. These remnants are required not only as habitat for resident animals but as corridors to enable movement across the landscape in this case from Lane Cove River NP through very limited native remnants to the Parramatta River valley and further south. A financial offsetting contribution by Landcom to directly benefit Sydney Turpentine-Ironbark Forest in the local area would not adequately offset the removal of existing mature turpentine-Ironbark trees in a natural habitat. I request that Landcom (previously Urban Growth who did the design for the pedestrian bridge) be directed to go back to the "drawing board" on both the bridge's alignment and design and comply with the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment. It is also very disappointing that this important modification was only on exhibition for two weeks and over the Easter period. This severely limits the ability for community to contribute their views regarding the modification.	1	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252358	Object	03/04/18	I object to the proposal - The original consent approval was granted on the basis that no trees be removed given the significance of the reserve in context of Ryde's Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest - With less than 0.5% left of this type of forest in the Sydney bioregion its critical this reserve and its trees be protected - The redesign is inappropriate and visually dominates the landscape. In particular the bridge section is very wide at the Bundara corner - The Modification is inconsistent with the intent of the Greater Sydney Commission North District Plan and government's Greener Places Policy which seeks to increase the urban canopy cover, particularly along major roads such as Epping Road where the local community faces increased health risks from air pollution- exhibition over Easter is unfair to the community	0	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252617	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Vegetation loss particularly in relation to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with original approval 4. Consistency with the North District Plan requires in - urban tree canopy 5. Request for bridge to be re-aligned to address impacts.	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The proposed modifications are not inconsistent with the North District Plan. In relation to urban tree canopy, the vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252073	Anonymous Object	02/04/18	How utterly disgraceful to propose the removal of 43 trees including terpanines (pretty much all cut down ahead in the area) to build a foot bridge. What type of useless designer or engineer has been consulted or contracted to perform this criminal project. The entire area is well on its way to being ruined. I left this area due to this criminal behaviour.	0	Vegetation impacts have been considered within the submitted BDAR. The other issues raised are not relevant to the proposed modification.
252485	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	I teach at a school in Ryde. The proposed pedestrian bridge at Bundara Reserve crossing Delhi Road cannot necessitate the removal of so many trees simply to "protect the structure from bird droppings and sap". This is a ridiculous precedent - design and maintain the structure with this in mind. I find it difficult to promote the importance of trees on National tree Day when the local council appears not to prioritise such aims.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252799	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1.Loss of vegetation to Bundara Reserve	1	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252037	Organisation Object	01/04/18	Friends of Lane Cove National Park oppose the redesign of this pedestrian bridge such that it now requires some 43 trees to be removed from Bundara Reserve. The initial design proposal did not require a large number of trees to be removed and we see no good reason that this should be changed. Bundara reserve is a well documented remnant of the EEC STIF of which there is less than 3% of the original cover remaining, it is important to retain as much as possible of this community. The Delhi Road works have already removed areas of STIF that were previously well documented and recognised by the TID and OEH when the Delhi Road station was developed, the project has also removed an area containing all species listed in the EEC Sydney Turpentine Margin Forest. Providing less than adequate compensation for the loss of habitat in Lane Cove National Park. Each of the modifications to this development mean the loss of additional important environmental areas. Where will it stop. If there is any loss then there must be adequate compensation for real replacement not a token pay off.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252529	Organisation Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Impacts and loss of vegetation to Bundara Reserve leading to amenity impacts to residents 2. Request that adjoining bushland to Bundara Reserve within the motorway corridor be zoned E1 Nature Reserve which will help to screen the high rise developments within Lachlan's Line. 3. New public access for existing residents requested to North Ryde Station.	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. The modification does not seek to amend the land use zoning within the existing road corridors surrounding Bundara Reserve. It would be up to the relevant roads authority to identify whether there is excess land within the corridor and if they want to rezone this land which would be an exercise outside the parameters of this modification. 3. No additional access or bridges are proposed as part of this application.
252640	Organisation Object	05/04/18	We are a local climate change action group in the Ryde area who seek decisive action by governments at all levels to move to a zero carbon emissions economy and 100% of our energy sourced from renewables such as wind and solar. To help reduce carbon emissions, bushland areas and trees play a vital role in taking up carbon and storing it. Therefore, we believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. The proposal to cut down over 20 trees is ludicrous. The Bundara Reserve is an important piece of bushland in the Ryde area and although it is small, it plays a significant role in taking up the carbon emissions from the thousands of cars travelling past it every day.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252750	Organisation Object	05/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Biodiversity impacts 2. Clarification of Proponent (GLN) 3. Merits for assessment under s4.55 of the Act 4. Cost of bridge 5. Environmental impacts 6. Approval of bridge pier within E2 zone 7. Amenity of residents 8. Indirect impacts on Pages Creek catchment 9. Consultation timeframe over Easter 10. Authority to determine s4.55 applications related to an SSD consent	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. The applicant is Landcom (previously known as Urban Growth. GLN Planning was engaged to prepare the Statement of Environmental Effects to support the lodgement of the modification. 3. The modifications sought seek amendments to facilitate the construction of the approved development under SSD 5093. The changes sought will result in substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and is therefore consistent with the provisions s4.55(2) of the EP&A Act. 4. The cost of the bridge is not a relevant consideration in relation to this modification application 5. The environmental impacts have been considered in the BDAR assessment. The BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed biodiversity impacts relating to the modifications sought. 6. Approval was previously provided for the construction of the bridge within the land the subject of this application. Non modification is sought to the approved land use. 7. The amenity of nearby residents has been considered as part of the modification both in relation to reducing the impacts to vegetation within Bundarra Reserve and noise impacts. 8. Suitable sediment and erosion control measures will be installed to address impacts related to the construction process. 9. The timing of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department to consider 10. In accordance with s4.5 of the EP&A Act, the Minister is the consent authority in the case of State Significant Development. Lachlan's line forms part of the North Ryde Station Precinct which is an identified site under Schedule 2 of SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011. Therefore this modification application has been lodged with DPE for determination by the Minister for Planning.
252777	Organisation Object	05/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Timing of notification over Easter 2. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundarra Reserve 3. Consistency with original approval 4. Removal of tree canopy inconsistent with North District Plan 5. Request the bridge be re-aligned.	1	1. The timing of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department to consider. 2. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 3. The proposal, as modified, is consistent with the original determination under SSD 5093 and the approved Concept Plan. The modifications sought will facilitate the construction of the approved development. 4. The proposed modifications are not inconsistent with the North District Plan. In relation to urban tree canopy, the vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. No modification is sought to the design or alignment of the bridge.

252075	Object	02/04/18	I object to the Modification to SSD 5093 as it proposes a significant loss of trees in the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. As I understand it, the biodiversity impact of Lachlan's Lane (including the pedestrian bridge) proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. Modification 4 therefore does not seem to be consistent with the original approval. I welcome the opportunity to be involved in any further consultation.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252061	Object	02/04/18	I object to the proposal (ie clearly object vs comment) - The original consent approval was granted on the basis that no trees be removed given the significant of the reserved in context of Rydes Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest - With less than 0.5% left of this type of forest in the Sydney bioregion its critical this reserve and its trees be protected - The redesign is inappropriate and visually dominates the landscape. In particular the bridge section is very wide at the Bundara corner - The Modification is inconsistent with the intent of the Greater Sydney Commission North District Plan and government's Greener Places Policy which seeks to increase the urban canopy cover, particularly along major roads such as Epping Road where the local community faces increased health risks from air pollution - the bridge has been poorly re-designed for its landscape context and F23eight metres is too wide at the Bundara corner; - exhibition over Easter is unfair to the community;	0	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252675	Object	05/04/18	Please note Debra Falcon does not reside at 23A North Ryde. Please send any further correspondence via the email address provided. PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Timing of notification over Easter 2. Loss of privacy due to tree loss. 3. The bridge is now larger than what was approved.	1	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The trees proposed to be removed within Bundara are located within the north-eastern corner (opposite) end of Bundarra Reserve to 23A Epping Road. The submission doesn't state what the trees provide privacy from but due to the height of trees, this is anticipated to be from the residential flat buildings to be constructed within Lachlan's Line. Based on the location of the tree removal and the orientation and slope of the site, the proposed trees to be removed are not considered to have any adverse impacts on privacy to this resident. The size of the bridge has not been increased as part of this modification.

252407	Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the on-ground impact to 21m2 including the removal of 3 trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39). For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252779	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I object to the removal of trees to construct a footbridge. With all the development in the area there is enough disregard for nature and it is not necessary. Trees should only be removed if they are a danger, not because they are an inconvenience.	0	Vegetation impacts have been considered within the submitted BDAR.
252399	Object	04/04/18	Re: Modification 4 to SSD 5093 - M2 site, North Ryde Station Urban Activation Precinct I object to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. For many years now I have been doing bushcare in the Ryde local government area and I appreciate the significance of the bushland in and around Bundara Reserve. The forest is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation and the land is zoned for environmental protection in the City of Ryde LEP 2014. Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, and this is much greater than originally proposed and approved. The environmental assessments for the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from the Reserve and adjoining lands. The proposed modification provides for a pedestrian bridge that is more expensive and more grandiose than what is required and it is not consistent with the original approval. I also consider that the proposal to remove protected trees is not consistent with the newly adopted Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan. One of the North District Plan priorities is to increase the urban tree canopy (not decrease it). Increasing the urban tree canopy is important for improving amenity and air quality along major roads and Epping Road is recognized as one of the North District's busiest. I urge that Landcom be directed to change the bridge's alignment and design to comply with the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment. An improved alignment is necessary to protect the urban tree canopy and provide better access to the cemetery and the future community plaza areas.	0	No changes are proposed to the design or location of the bridge. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. In relation to increasing urban tree canopy, the BDAR assessment has identified the need for biodiversity offsets as a result of the proposed works. This equates to the need to purchase and retire two credits of Turpentine - Grey Ironbark open forest to offset the proposed trees to be removed.

252063	Object	02/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The proposed modifications are not inconsistent with the North District Plan. In relation to urban tree canopy, the vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed biodiversity impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252071	Object	02/04/18	This proposed modification should be rejected. The environmental impacts of this proposed modification are too great. The proposal would have serious negative impacts on the Turpentine Ironbark Forest present in Bundara Reserve and on the community in general. Turpentine Ironbark Forest is critically endangered and the proposal to remove trees because "Bird droppings and tree sap can damage the bridge paint, which protects the steel structure from erosion." is not at all appropriate. Bridge paint cannot come before a critically endangered ecological community. The developer is out of touch with environmental and community expectations. To suggest the removal of endangered forest for this reason shows what little regard they have for an endangered ecological community. The forest remnant should be protected at all costs. Surely they can build a bridge that doesn't impact the forest - this is a design/engineering issue and can easily be overcome without the removal of the trees. The suggestion in the ecologist report that the proposal would not have a significant impact on Turpentine Ironbark Forest is flawed. All remnant patches of Turpentine Ironbark Forest need to be protected and impacts to any small patch could have disastrous consequences for the patch itself and the community overall. For example the proposed removal of these trees reduces the available genetic pool for the species impacted and changes the perimeter-area ratio of the patch, increasing edge effects and amplifying weed invasion. Also as the community is protected by the EPBC Act, has the applicant considered the impacts to EPBC protected Turpentine Ironbark Forest - an EPBC referral should be required. Please reject the proposal. A new design needs to be produced without impacts to Turpentine Ironbark Forest. The current proposed level of impact is unacceptable. It's not just one or two trees proposed to be removed but an additional 17. There is a better way to approach this.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252625	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy to be achieved 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252001	Anonymous Object	30/03/18	I object to the Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused on the following grounds: * Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. * It has unacceptable impacts on the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under the Commonwealth EPBC Act and State threatened species legislation. * Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. It is now proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. The proposed works would not be permitted with or without consent in this zone. * The impact of this Modification upon the character and visual amenity of Bundara Reserve is unacceptable and irreversible. * Urban Growth is required by the Director General of Planning to assess the biodiversity impacts on Bundara Reserve of the whole development, including the pedestrian bridge. * Modification 4 is inconsistent with the original approval. * It is also inconsistent with the newly adopted Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan which provides the regional planning framework for development in North Ryde. * The need to increase the urban tree canopy not decrease it is a priority of the Greater Sydney North District Plan. This is an important step towards improving amenity and air quality along major roads with Epping Road recognized as one of the North District's busiest. * A cost benefit analysis should be provided to the Department and the community as the cost of the pedestrian bridge seems substantially higher than originally approved. * I find it unacceptable that this is on exhibition during the public holiday period over Easter. Additional time should have been allotted for the community to make submissions to take the days of the public holiday into account. * I believe that Landcom should be directed to redesign the bridge's alignment and design to take into account the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment. * An improved alignment must be obtained that both protects the urban tree canopy and provides better access to the cemetery and the future community plaza areas.	0	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252689	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Impacts to Bundara Reserve and loss of vegetation	1	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252596	Object	04/04/18	I am opposed to the proposed modification. I believe that Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. I have been a resident of Chatwood and Ryde for over 50 years and have driven countless times between Lane Cove Rd and Chatswood along Delhi Road enjoying the few minutes that drive affords to be near the local bush. In recent times I have watched on in dismay as the bushland has been rapidly replaced by more and more buildings, as street trees have been removed one after the other for some modification to the road or access. One oasis has been Bundara Reserve, shaved here and there but proudly standing. I am now very distressed to discover that there are plans to remove some of this precious remnant Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest to accommodate a pedestrian bridge. With the huge increase in population in the surrounding areas this small reserve will become more and more important for the wellbeing of the local population for whom the bridge is designed. The original approval for the bridge stated that no trees were to be removed from Bundara Reserve but Modification 4 proposes significant tree removal from Bundara and lands nearby. The bridge proposed in Modification 4 is poorly designed and located and the eight metre encroachment is too wide at the Bundara corner. Removing any trees from Bundara Reserve is inconsistent with the Greater Sydney Commission North District Plan which has as one of its priorities to increase the urban tree canopy especially along busy roads like Epping Rd so as to improve amenity and air quality.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundara Reserve, the impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252742	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I strongly object to this modification. There is no need to remove any tree on bundara reserve or adjoining E2 zoned land to construct a footbridge. Please redesign the bridge so that no green space is effected. Please see attached online petition & comments of people who believe the same. Note PDF doesn't work that well formatting wise - but you will be able to see the comments further down in the pdf document. PDF submission #1 (petition): 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Overdevelopment of the area PDF submission #2 PDF #2 appears to be a duplication of PDF submission 1	2	PDF submission #1 1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. The development of Lachlan's Line has been approved under SSD 5093. Comments related to overdevelopment are not considered relevant to the proposed modifications sought under this application.

252019	Anonymous Object	30/03/18	I have lived in North Ryde for the last 7 years. I have worked in local bushcare initiatives and regeneration works in Bundara Reserve as part of this volunteer work. I also personally knew the late Diane Michel and her husband John and the years of personal dedication they gave to protect the precious Bundara Reserve. I write to object to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. In particular it affects the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. From the start of the Lachlan's Line development, Urban Growth was required by the Director General of Planning to assess the biodiversity impacts on Bundara Reserve of the whole development, including the pedestrian bridge. Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. Also the cost of the pedestrian bridge seems substantially higher than originally approved. I do not consider Modification 4 is consistent with the original approval. I do not consider it is consistent with the newly adopted Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan which now provides the regional planning framework for development in North Ryde. One of the North District Plan priorities is the need to INCREASE the urban tree canopy not decrease it. This is an important step towards improving amenity and air quality along major roads with Epping Road recognized as one of the North District's busiest. I ask that Landcom (previously Urban Growth who did the design for the pedestrian bridge) be directed to go back to the "drawing board" on both the bridge's alignment and design and comply with the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification. The proposal seeks amendments to facilitate the construction of the approved development under SSD 5093 The proposal, as modified, will be substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted.
252752	Object	05/04/18	I strongly object to the proposed modified overbridge at Bundara Reserve that now badly impacts its Sydney Turpentine ironbark forest (STIF), an endangered ecological community within Bundara Reserve. Now, Landcom has designed a second, modified, inappropriate bridge, the materials and finish of which needs to be protected from trees, fires and bird poo! Instead, this would have been an excellent opportunity to build an appropriate forest high walk, not affected by the above issues and would deliver improved urban bushland amenity and the natural environment whilst saving the important endangered Turpentine ironbark forest, that is the last remnant natural bushland in North Ryde/Macquarie Park that has, in recent times, become an ugly high-rise concrete jungle! The original overbridge design, that took account of its sensitive landscape context, did not impact Bundara Reserve, which was to be protected. However, the inferior, modified overbridge turned this sensitive approach on its head, and now badly impacts the reserve, requiring the unnecessary removal of many protected trees. The community is entitled to feel duped by the extensive community consultation process, the results of which an earlier approved overbridge, that protected Bundara Reserve, was completely reversed at a later phase. Clearly it is Bundara Reserve that merits preservation; Not a modified ovebridge, the design, materials and finish of which are inappropriate to the needs of the environmental bushland situation.	0	The bridge alignment and design are not proposed to be modified. The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252556	Object	04/04/18	I strongly object to the removal of trees at Bundara Reserve to make way for a footbridge. These few remaining Ironbark-Turpentine forest trees and surrounding land are under environmental protection and zoned E2 and should therefore not be touched or impacted by the bridge. A new solution to protect the bridge and the trees should be procured. Many trees and open spaces have already been lost in the area to development, we must protect the small amount that are remaining. It is vital that some greenery be left to punctuate the concrete jungle that is being erected, and to provide some relief for wildlife and humans alike. Thank you.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252594	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	I object to the application to cut down more trees in the Bundara Reserve in North Ryde. With high rise apartment buildings popping up like mushrooms all over North Ryde, the last thing we need is to reduce even further the amount of bush land we have, particularly when it is for such a spurious reason. Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge in question.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252445	Object	04/04/18	I object to the proposal - I live in Western Sydney, where this type of forest and biodiversity is not supported and I love the opportunities I get to enjoy the Ryde Ironbark Forest - The reason for clearing the trees is insignificant when compared to the benefits and advantages of the Turpentine Ironbark Forest - I think further future compromises of the natural environment in this area for the purpose of urban growth, will undermine the natural diversity and character of area.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252756	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I oppose this modification completely. For the sake of habitat protection, green space, climate change, ecosystem preservation and reducing the build up of heat in urban spaces during hot summers, Bundara Reserve must be preserved in it's entirety. It is vital to our natural heritage and a sustainable future. It is environmentally protected, E2 Zoned land, and should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252007	Anonymous Object	30/03/18	Stop destroying our mature trees. - I object to the proposal (ie clearly object vs comment) - The original consent approval was granted on the basis that no trees be removed given the significant of the reserved in context of Ryde's Sydney Turpentine Ironbark Forest - With less than 0.5% left of this type of forest in the Sydney bioregion its critical this reserve and its trees be protected - The redesign is inappropriate and visually dominates the landscape. In particular the bridge section is very wide at the Bundara corner - The Modification is inconsistent with the intent of the Greater Sydney Commission North District Plan and government's Greener Places Policy which seeks to increase the urban canopy cover, particularly along major roads such as Epping Road where the local community faces increased health risks from air pollution - The Modification is not in the public interest.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The construction of the bridge provides for connections between the Lachlan's Line development and North Ryde Station being in the public interest.

252677	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I support the footbridge, and think it will be a really gorgeous addition to the neighbourhood, however the 5 m clearance on either side is just cost saving lazy construction in my opinion. The reality is that a good arborist can assess and remove branches as required to greatly minimise the risk of branch fall, and a protective canopy on the bridge could also reduce this impact. Additionally the likelihood of bushfire being allowed to get to that location at a significant intensity is extremely slim. And the bird droppings? Please! This is ridiculous. Bird droppings are supposed to be good luck. As these are the only 3 reasons provided for the additional tree removal I suggest the designer try again.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252059	Anonymous Object	02/04/18	I object to Modification 4. The Bundarra Reserve has a protected remnant forest which needs to be respected and protected. Please see the details of my submission in the document attached. PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Impacts to Bundarra Reserve and loss of Sydney Turpentine-Ironbark Forest 2. No support of amended bridge design (taller). Bridge should be redesigned. 3. Suburbs are being destroyed by traffic congestion, tree loss, parking shortages and inferior quality buildings. 4. Consistency with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy 5. Trees being removed as a result of a temporary use is not supported. 6. Timing of exhibition period.	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. No changes are sought to the design of the pedestrian bridge (alignment, width or height). 3. Vegetation impacts have been addressed in the submitted BDAR. However, all other issues are general statements relating to development in the area and do not require consideration as part of this modification application. 4. The proposed modification is not inconsistent with the North District Plan. The modifications sought support the development of Lachlan's Line which increase housing supply within the area. In relation to impacts to urban tree canopy, the BDAR assessment provides an assessment against the proposed vegetation impacts and has identified that the modification will generate the need to purchase / retire two credits of Turpentine - Grey Ironbark open forest to offset the biodiversity impacts as a result of the development. 5. Some vegetation removal is required to facilitate the construction of the bridge piers. The impacts have been minimised through changes to the construction methodology to limit the extent of clearing. Within Bundarra Reserve this has been reduced to an on-ground area of 21m2 including 3 trees. 6. The timing of the exhibition period is a matter for the Department to consider.

252276	Object	03/04/18	I object to Modification 4 to SSD 5093 at North Ryde Station Urban Activation Precinct and believe that the proposal be rejected outright. A new design should be developed for the pedestrian bridge, one which protects the turpentine/ironbark forest of Bundara Reserve. Bundara Reserve is a remarkable place which I have long marvelled at as an area that has survived so much development as I drive along busy Delhi and Epping Roads. I also admire the hard work that fellow bushcarers have put into this precious area of bushland. That more than 22 trees could be removed from an area zoned E2 for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014, is unacceptable and not in accordance with environmental assessments to the original application. Removal of so many trees from a small reserve makes the remaining forest vulnerable, reduces the tree canopy which provides a much-needed amenity, and reduces air quality in the area. Landcom could provide an innovative plan for a bridge which is also consistent with environmental concerns. To remove so many trees simply to improve maintenance of the bridge because of bird droppings and tree sap is difficult to understand. Northern Sydney is losing too much of its natural areas and I hope that the government reconsiders the current proposal.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252531	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy to be achieved 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252740	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I oppose the modification; I believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. These trees are some of the few remaining in this area and to remove them is something that should not happen. Green space and trees need to be saved not removed. This small patch of trees have been under constant attack by development for a long period and should be seen as something that is more important than protecting the paint on a bridge. I am concerned as I still have children living in the area.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252582	Object	04/04/18	I am opposed to the modification. I am particularly opposed to the Modification for Tree Removal shown on pages 9 and 10 of Statement of Environmental Effects. As shown in the photo on page 3 of the Modification for Tree Removal, Bundara Reserve is an ecologically sensitive 'island' of vegetation in the area. The reasons given for removing over 10 mature trees are ridiculous and relate mainly to reduce the amount of painting and maintenance required. I feel it is unfair to remove more trees in this area.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252065	Anonymous Object	02/04/18	Re: Modification 4 to SSD 5093 - M2 site, North Ryde Station Urban Activation Precinct To Who it May Concern, I would like to object to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. I have lived in the Epping area for over 30 years and have enjoyed living in the area because of its mature trees. I believe Bundara Reserve is a precious community resource that should be preserved in its entirety for future generations. I am shocked by the number of mature trees that may be lost if this proposal is approved. Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. In particular it affects the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. I understand from the start of the Lachlan's Line development, Urban Growth was required by the Director General of Planning to assess the biodiversity impacts on Bundara Reserve of the whole development, including the pedestrian bridge. Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. I do not consider Modification 4 is consistent with the original approval. Increasing the tree canopy not decreasing it is an important step towards improving amenity and air quality along Epping Road recognised as one of the busiest main roads in the area. I understand the mayor of Ryde and Ryde Councillors are opposed to this modification and I fully support their efforts in saving the trees in Bundara Reserve and their opposition to this application.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The BDAR identifies the need to purchase and retire two credits which will off-set the proposed vegetation removal.
252760	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	There is no need to cut down trees for that bridge. I object.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Vegetation impacts have been considered within the submitted BDAR. In summary, while there is impacts to the existing vegetation, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252550	Object	04/04/18	North Ryde is suburb that was not designed for multistory residential housing...our infrsrastructure will not cope. In addition the local council has reviewed and rejected the application....this ruling should stand. As a resident i do not want thjs project to be approved.	0	This submission does not raise any issues related to the proposed modification.

252067	Object	02/04/18	I find it quite ridiculous that you want to chop down these trees The trees should be protected Surely there are many other possible solutions to overcome the trees Why is a bridge being built in 2020 able to be compromised by bird droppings ? This is absurd Can you not build the bridge to be able to withstand such treatments for a period of time??? It is inevitable that the bridge will need maintenance , why not save money from not chopping trees and that could be put toward the maintenance and upkeep of the paintwork etc?? Can you not employ some sort of technology to keep the birds or whatever animals doing the damage away from the bridge without harm? The end result is that whoever is behind the final say has no care for the enviroment whatsoever Think about your kids that will never be able to see the type of landscape we live in because you chopped all there trees down to build a bridge which will be gone in 100 years and the trees would have still been there most likely because nature does not have a lifespan because it is in constant cycle of repetition.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Vegetation impacts have been considered within the submitted BDAR. In summary, while there is impacts to the existing vegetation, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252077	Object	03/04/18	Turpentine Iron bark trees are critically endangered, - ridiculous to contemplate removing more for the sake of a bridge. The Ryde region has lost a huge percentage of native and exotic trees to development over the past two decades. Do not remove even more!	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Vegetation impacts have been considered within the submitted BDAR. In summary, while there is impacts to the existing vegetation, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252348	Object	03/04/18	I am against the removal of any trees in the Bundarra Reserve in North Ryde.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252054	Object	01/04/18	I am strongly opposed to this modification request. The reasons for my objection are as follows: This development will cause a considerable damage to our area, North Ryde, destroying its character and devaluing our properties. This modification is completely unwarranted. It will further damage the streetscape and the appearance of the area. It shows a complete disregard for the environment native trees and animals that make this area special. This modification is calling for further destruction of the natural environment and that is a complete crime. These trees, nice native trees need to stay and give at least some greenery to this sombre and overdeveloped area. These trees house native species, birds and other animals. The State government lied to us when the initial consultation was conducted' These trees were to remain intact and all the tall buildings were to face the cemetery not Epping Highway. This promise was completely broken as the Urban Growth and Chinese Developer have been given a free reign to do what they please with our area. The Urban Activation is a dumb concept without rational justification. It is created to enrich the developers and donors to the government. The area in question belongs to the State electorate of Lane Cove but is affects only the Ryde State electorate. This creates a ludicrous situation, and was engineered to create an opportunity for the member for Ryde, Victor Dominello, to not get involved in defending the community. Minister Roberts should take a step back and look after our North Ryde community and the long term prospects of the area and its environment rather than the short term gains of the Developer. Please refuse this modification as it is an environmental disaster and architectural catastrophe and total injustice for our community.	0	The Lachlan's Line development (forming part of the North Ryde Station Precinct) has already been approved by the Minister. Comments relating to the original development are not relevant to the proposed modification. This application seeks modifications to this approval of which includes the removal of a small area of vegetation that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. In relation to Bundarra Reserve, the impacts have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundarra Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundarra Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundarra Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundarra Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252621	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy to be achieved 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252636	Object	05/04/18	I believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. The Bundara Reserve is an important piece of bushland in the Ryde area and although it is small, it plays a significant role in taking up the carbon emissions from the thousands of cars travelling past it every day. Because is it small, every tree should be protected. The proposal to cut down over 20 trees is ludicrous.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252592	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	I object to Modification 4 to SSD 5093. My parents have lived at Ryde for nearly sixty five years. I grew up in their home with my siblings and wonderful neighbours. I am very concerned about the inappropriate and excessive development but my greatest concern is the environmental losses. This modification will create even greater loss of canopy and habitat with 43 trees to be removed - this modification having added 17 trees. I am concerned that despite Council's application to extend the consultation period, the deadline for submissions has remained unchanged. The community needs more time to be able to look into this proposed modification due to its impact on the environment and our much loved suburb. Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. I strongly oppose this application.	0	The date of the public exhibition is a matter for the Department. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252069	Object	02/04/18	I object to the NSW Government proposal to remove more than 22 trees from Bundara Reserve in North Ryde to, in part, mitigate the impact bird droppings will have on a new pedestrian footbridge! Bundara Reserve is a small pocket of bushland located in the middle of Epping Road, Delhi Road and the M2 Motorway that crucially houses a stand of protected Turpentine-Ironbark trees. We, as ,residents and rate payers are thoroughly fed up with the destruction of remnants of our natural environment in order to put inmore concrete and steel. Please reject this proposal. Your truly , Penny king	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252352	Object	03/04/18	I am writing a submission to oppose Modification 4 SSD 5093 and oppose the proposal for a 24 hour worksite. As a local resident and councillor, I would firstly like to point out how unfair it was on the local community to mount the exhibition for this proposal over Easter. Giving the community little to no time to lodge submissions opposing this modification, then refusing the council's request for an extension. This community work hard as bush care volunteers for the greater good and the benefit of all Sydney siders, they deserve to have their opposition heard. Like many in our community, I believe if the proposal on exhibition is approved it will put North Ryde's Sydney Turpentine Ironbark forest, at Bundara Reserve, at risk. It is an important remnant forest and there is less than 0.5% of this type of forest currently left in the Sydney bioregion. These species must be conserved for future generations and the sake of biodiversity. The forest is also an important wildlife corridor and addition to the much needed canopy in the area. It should be noted that the destruction of established canopy like this is inconsistent with the Greater Sydney commission Northern district plan, which advocates for the retention of such trees for shade and air quality (particularly on a busy intersection, on a major transport corridor like the M2 and Epping Rd). The reasons given for tree removal from the Reserve and from the RMS E2 lands are: a) Trees mean excess bird droppings b) Branches from these trees might damage the bridge c) Bushfire could damage the bridge. These issues are easily dealt with without removing trees. For a start, the area is not bushfire prone (officially noted in a recent council report) the tree branches can be lopped regularly to prevent them falling on the structure and bird droppings can be removed. Birds, I would think, will be MORE INCLINED to sit ON the bridge without trees present, most likely adding to the required cleaning. The bridge re-design appears much wider at the Bundara corner than necessary, increasing its negative impact on the natural setting. Perhaps another look at the design, reducing the bridge	1	The date of the public notification is a matter for the Department to consider. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The noise impacts relating to works within the M2 is not a relevant consideration under this DA. The only high noise generating activity that will require undertaking at night relates to six nights of tree felling adjacent to the motoway. This includes: * three nights at Pier 2 (near Bundara Reserve) and * three nights at Pier 3 (near North Ryde Station). The requirement to fell these trees at night is governed by safety and the requirements of TfNSW Transport Management Centre and The Hills M2 Motorway who only allow M2 lane closures at night. Condition C1 already enables night time works where required by other authoroties and will only be carried out where no other alternative options are available and will be sporadic in nature to minimise extended exposure to surrounding residents.
252590	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	I believe Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 zoned land should be protected at all costs. I am totally opposed to any modification which would allow the construction of a pedestrian bridge which would impact on the Bundara Reserve site.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252005	Anonymous Object	30/03/18	I object to the application outright and do not believe that any trees should be removed. I think that the whole thing should be redesigned and resubmitted.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. A detailed review of these impacts has been considered within the submitted BDAR.
252736	Object	05/04/18	n/a	0	No comments provided relevant to the proposed modifaicaton.

252657	Object	05/04/18	I am opposed to the Modification 4 to SSD 5093 MS site, North Ryde Station Activation Precinct. I believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. Although a relatively small parcel of land, the native flora and fauna are significant and important remnants for North Ryde. The removal of an additional 17 trees (total 43 to be removed on the site) to facilitate the construction of the pedestrian bridge is excessive and unnecessary. The reasons provided for the tree removal are unsubstantiated. I oppose allowing 24-hour construction of the temporary bridge pre-assembly shed, the pedestrian bridge and works associated with the delivery, installation and deconstruction of these items. This is excessive and noise and truck movements will impact greatly on the local North Ryde residents.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the on-ground impact to 21m2 including the removal of 3 trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39). For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The temporary construction shed will be erected within the Lachlan's Line site which is isolated from surrounding residents by the M2 motorway, Delhi Road, Epping Road and large industrial bulky goods buildings to the west. Vehicles will be initially required to transport material to the site to facilitate the construction. However, following this, only limited vehicle movements will be required while the spans are lifted in place shed is erected until the temporary shed is then decommissioned and transported off-site.
252623	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2. The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The proposed modifications sought are not inconsistent with the North District Plan. In relation to urban tree canopy, the vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed biodiversity impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.
252598	Object	04/04/18	I believe Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 zoned land should be protected at all costs. I am totally opposed to any modification which would allow the construction of a pedestrian bridge which would impact on the Bundara Reserve site, especially if it requires the removal of Turpentine- Ironbark trees.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252517	Object	04/04/18	I wish to lodge a strong objection to the proposed footbridge that will impact on Bundara Reserve. As developments continue to grow in this region, we must guard all the green space that remains. This reserve is zoned E2 and should be properly protected. Yours sincerely Robyn Smith	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252017	Object	30/03/18	Sydney has unique wildlife and a growing population. It's hard to keep a balance but we must take the time and energy to adapt progress for the sake of the environment. Mature trees take 100 years. Replanting somewhere else will not be beneficial for any animal. No nests for birds no keaves for possums no hollows. Resisting the temptation to take the easy portion and save the trees as well as replanting more for any that might be lost is the way to go. The gov of nsw is leading every company into disregarding the environment for the salted of profit. Let's see that change here.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Since the modification was lodged, the impacted area has been reduced due to a refinement in the construction methodology. A detailed review of vegetation impacts has been considered within the submitted BDAR.
252574	Object	04/04/18	I would contend that the need to construct the pedestrian footbridge at this site is problematic and that other viable alternatives are available if there is the political will to implement them. Yet again, inappropriate overdevelopment in our suburb is being used as the rationale to destroy precious green space. In this instance, it is particularly concerning given the ecologically sensitive nature of the Bundara reserve. Environmental costs should be factored into this decision. To suggest that the 'design life' of a bridge and the mitigation of the "effect of bird droppings on the paint life of the mild steel" should take precedence over the protection of the environment, beggars belief. I believe Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 zoned land should be protected at all costs. I am totally opposed to the proposal to construct a pedestrian bridge at this site. Ruth Perram	0	No amendments are proposed to the alignment of the bridge in this location. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252584	Object	04/04/18	The argument that removal of the trees will reduce maintenance is a fundamentally flawed one. Trees & the retention of them in an increasing area of urbanisation is essential to life. PDF submission Key issues within submission include: 1. Loss of vegetation and impacts to Bundara Reserve 2. Cost of pedestrian bridge 3. Consistency with the original approval 4. Not consistent with the North District Plan - urban tree canopy 5. Consider a realignment of the bridge	1	1. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. 2.The cost of the pedestrian bridge is not a matter for consideration under this modification application. 3. The proposed changes seek to modify the development to enable the construction of the approved development. The changes do not significantly impact the original development and are considered to be substantially the same as what was originally approved. 4. The proposed modifications sought are not inconsistent with the North District Plan. In relation to urban tree canopy, the vegetation impacts have been assessed within the submitted BDAR. Vegetation impacts have been reduced from that originally sought. Further, following the assessment of the proposal, the BDAR assessment identifies the need for two credits to be purchased / retired to offset the proposed biodiversity impacts. 5. The bridge is not proposed to be realigned. The impacts have been considered within this modification to achieve a reasonable outcome in relation to the modifications proposed.

252084	Object	03/04/18	We object to the above Modification to SSD 5093 and ask that it be refused outright. We find it unacceptable that this is on exhibition over Easter. Modification 4 proposes a significant loss of trees, much greater in number than that originally proposed and given approval. In particular it affects the shale forest in and around Bundara Reserve which is protected under Commonwealth and State threatened species legislation. From the start of the Lachlan's Line development, Urban Growth was required by the Director General of Planning to assess the biodiversity impacts on Bundara Reserve of the whole development, including the pedestrian bridge. Supporting environmental assessments to the original application proposed none or minimal disturbance to Bundara Reserve. Now it is proposed to remove 22 trees from Bundara Reserve and adjoining lands all of which are zoned for environmental protection in Ryde's LEP 2014. Also the cost of the pedestrian bridge seems substantially higher than originally approved. We do not consider Modification 4 is consistent with the original approval. We do not consider it is consistent with the newly adopted Greater Sydney Commission's North District Plan which now provides the regional planning framework for development in North Ryde. One of the North District Plan priorities is the need to INCREASE the urban tree canopy not decrease it. This is an important step towards improving amenity and air quality along major roads with Epping Road recognized as one of the North District's busiest. We ask that Landcom (previously Urban Growth who did the design for the pedestrian bridge) be directed to go back to the "drawing board" on both the bridge's alignment and design and comply with the environmental constraints identified in the original environmental assessment. There is need for consideration of an improved alignment that both protects the urban tree canopy and provides better access to the cemetery and the future community plaza areas. Yours sincerely, Sara Eisner	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
--------	--------	----------	---	---	--

252661	Object	05/04/18	I object to Modification 4 to SSD 5093 - M2 site, North Ryde Station Urban Activation Precinct. I was accepting of the original DA plans that were extensively negotiated with the community during the original UAP, to create the necessary bridge connection to station and minimise impact on Bundara Reserve. I believe no modifications are required and the proposed modifications will create unnecessary destruction of precious green space and further extended excessive noise impacts on the community. Removal of Trees in a highly significant state reserve; Falling branches and bird dropping could be mitigated via other methods that don't involve removal of trees, for a footbridge bordering a bush reserves? The environmental damage is too excessive. An alternative needs to be found that does not involve removal of these trees. 7 day a week 24 hour working request; I strongly oppose this. Not even the North Connex project has been granted such working hours? Despite it being a much more significant state project. I would consider as entirely reasonable occasional night work, when full or significant shut down of the impacted roads is required. However 7 days 24 hours is totally unacceptable and unjustifiable in this case. Furthermore, I completely object to any work being carried out on Sundays. The local community is currently subject to considerable noise 6 days a week from construction at NR Station and Lachlan's Line. Sunday is the only day where some respite is obtained. All this work is part of the one UAP, irrespective of if different developers are involved, the potential noise impacts of the requested modification the a DA, cannot be considered in isolation. Furthermore Urban Growth is a government department, Governments in this case, being developer and law makers, should hold the highest standards when it comes to behaving consistently with the communities existing expectations of the works to be carried out. Last minute request for DA modifications create large uncertainty in the community and distrust in government planning.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The only high noise generating activity that will require undertaking at night relates to six nights of tree felling adjacent to the motoway. This includes: * three nights at Pier 2 (near Bundara Reserve) and * three nights at Pier 3 (near North Ryde Station). The requirement to fell these trees at night is governed by safety and the requirements of TfNSW Transport Management Centre and The Hills M2 Motorway who only allow M2 lane closures at night. Condition C1 already enables night time works where required by other authorities and will only be carried out where no other alternative options are available and will be sporadic in nature to minimise extended exposure to surrounding residents.
252032	Anonymous Object	31/03/18	Dear Sir/Madam, Please help us keeping our 100s year old trees in Sydney city and suburbs. Sydney is one of the most beautiful city in the world only because of its nature. Please do not take this away from Sydney's future. Please keep Sydney's heritage which is its old trees. Please make a bridge above those trees without knocking them down. Regards, SB	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Since the modification was lodged, the impacted area has been reduced due to a refinement in the construction methodology. A detailed review of vegetation impacts has been considered within the submitted BDAR.
252523	Object	04/04/18	I am opposed to the modification to Bundara Reserve as the removal of trees for a temporary shed site & pedestrian bridge is ridiculous. We have lost enough of our mature green space to the proposal already & we need to protect what is left. I am a long time resident of North Ryde & am tired of having to fight to save areas that should never have come under threat from development. The need to maintain these green areas not only for the community but for the wild life can never be understated.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252588	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	The council has already gone through a legal process and has rejected the application. As a resident I do not want this application to proceed.	0	The submission does not raise any relevant comments in relation to the modification.

252527	Object	04/04/18	I oppose the modification; I believe Bundara Reserve and adjoining E2 Zoned (environmentally protected) land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. This is a small remnant of endangered STIF forest. This green space will be an important amenity to the surrounding dense urban development. The people of Sydney have the right to clean air and green spaces...not to mention the importance to wildlife. Any amount of bio banking compensation will not make up for the loss of mature trees.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252525	Object	04/04/18	I am appalled at the suggestion that additional trees/bushland will need to be disturbed just to reduce the need for maintenance on the proposed pedestrian bridge. In particular the turpentine/ironbark forest in the vicinity of pier 2 should not be further disturbed. I have no faith in the offset system proposed as compensation for this loss of vegetation. Applying a fixed sum of money into bush regeneration elsewhere in no way compensates for permanent reduction of an EEC. The bridge should be allowed to stand among the trees and receive maintenance attention if and when required. The entire bridge project is a poor outcome for the community. No crossing over Epping Road has been included and there is not even provision for it to be added to this bridge at a later date. It seems existing residents wishing to access the station don't matter. So typically this development is all about the needs of developers and future residents with nothing for existing residents except increased density and congestion. Leave the bush alone.	0	The modification seeks approval for a small area of vegetation removal that has been identified as being impacted as part of the detailed construction design. Since the modification was lodged, the impacted area has been reduced due to a refinement in the construction methodology. A detailed review of vegetation impacts has been considered within the submitted BDAR.
252615	Anonymous Object	05/04/18	I believe Bundara Reserve and the adjoining E2 zoned land should be protected at all costs. I am totally opposed to any modification which would allow the construction of a pedestrian bridge which would impact on the Bundara Reserve site.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
252586	Anonymous Object	04/04/18	I am opposed the modification and believe that the Bundara Reserve long with the adjoining E2 Zoned land, should not be impacted upon by the pedestrian footbridge. It is my understanding that the area is environmentally protected and should remain untouched. It has already been damaged by the construction work in the area and steps should be taken to further protect the reserve, not destroy it.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.

252687	Object	05/04/18	I oppose any damage to bushland along the Epping road. Bundara Reserve provides a little greenery among the growing cityscape.	0	The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology.
Government and Agency submissions					
		4/04/2018	City of Ryde Council		Landcom undertook separate consultation with City of Ryde in relation to the issues raised. As a result of this, City of Ryde provided advice on 20 June 2018 that identified that the issues raised relating to Bundara Reserve had now been addressed subject to specific requirements as outlined in Council's letter.
		6/04/2018	Office of Environment and Heritage		A BDAR was prepared and submitted to DPE to review the impacts of the proposed modifications sought. The impacts within Bundarra Reserve have been reduced from the original modification lodged with DPE as a result of Council's undertaking to proactively apply preventative intervention to appropriately manage Bundara Reserve, a reduction in RMS required maintenance offset buffer and changes to the construction methodology. This now limits the Bundara Reserve on-ground impact to only 21m2 including the removal of only 3 small trees (T36, T37(dead) and T39) assessed to be of low ecological significance. For additional information on impacts to Bundara Reserve refer to GLN 'Response to RFI' letter under the heading 'Additional tree removal / Impacts to Bundarra Reserve' and the submitted BDAR. In summary, while Bundara Reserve is impacted due to the limitations of a required maintenance buffer and difficult construction access, the impacts are overall considered minor and have been minimised through the refinement of the construction methodology. The BDAR assessment provides an assessment against the proposed biodiversity impacts and has identified that the modification will generate the need to purchase / retire two credits of Turpentine - Grey Ironbark open forest to offset the impacts of the development. The BDAR is considered to respond to the issues raised within OEH's submission. In addition, Landcom are in the process of preparing a VMP relating to the area proposed to be impacted by vegetation loss within Bundara Reserve.
		5/04/2018	RFS		No issues raised with the proposed development.

		10/04/2018	EPA		A condition of consent can be imposed to manage soil and erosion impacts in relation to the proposed erection of the temporary construction shed. A Stormwater Management Plan has been submitted to demonstrate how run-off from the temporary construction shed will be appropriately managed. This includes directing run-off into tanks on-site which are then connected with the existing stormwater drainage in the surrounding street networks. The only high noise generating activity that will require undertaking at night relates to six nights of tree felling adjacent to the motoway. This includes: * three nights at Pier 2 (near Bundara Reserve) and * three nights at Pier 3 (near North Ryde Station). The requirement to fell these trees at night is governed by safety and the requirements of TfNSW Transport Management Centre and The Hills M2 Motorway who only allow M2 lane closures at night. Condition C1 already enables night time works where required by other authorities and will only be carried out where no other alternative options are available and will be sporadic in nature to minimise extended exposure to surrounding residents. Landcom will comply with any requirements to notify the adjoining residents in relation to these works. There is not anticipated to be any significant waste as a result of the erection of the temporary construction shed. All materials will be ordered and delivered to the site to facilitate the construction. At the end of the project, the shed will be dismantled and transported off-site for re-use.
		20/04/2018	RMS		RMS raised no issues with the proposed modification. Landcom will liaise with the relevant road authorities including RMS and The Hills Motorway for any works that may impact Delhi Road and the M2 including the clearing of trees which is proposed to occur at night.
		23/04/2018	Transport for NSW		Landcom will coordinate construction vehicle movements relating to the erection of the temporary construction shed with The Hills M2, RMS and Transport Management Centre (TMC) to ensure construction traffic is appropriately managed.