

ATTENTION
Nicholas Hall - Planner
Mining and Industry Projects
Dept. of Planning and Infrastructure
GPO Box 39
SYDNEY 2001
Nicholas.Hall@planning.nsw.gov.au

25/10/12

Dear Sir/Madam

Incitec Pivot's proposed ammonium nitrate plant on Kooragang Island (SSD-4986).

Thank you for sending a letter and inviting me to respond to the application re the above development.

I have to say, from the outset, that I am incredulous that I have to even consider this proposed development given the stress our community has had to endure from polluting industry which is both poorly managed and inadequately regulated/supervised. I would have thought it was obvious that enough is enough.

But I have to respond in the hope that the government will take seriously its obligation to protect our environment and community, and our long term future. I strongly object to the proposed development because it creates an unacceptable risk to nearby residents and Incitec's Environmental Impact Statement does not address my concerns regarding explosive risk, noise, air, soil and water pollution and possible impacts to property values.

Newcastle and the suburbs that surround the Port are demanding responsible planning decisions and the mere thought of two ammonium nitrate plants, operating side-by-side just 800m from residents is a planning disaster. While Newcastle has been historically an industrial city, experience has shown that past developments and planning decisions were made with ignorance (or negligent disregard) of the long term negative consequences. Now the city is moving towards a more sustainable and more diverse economic base. This proposed development at Incitec is attempting to turn the clock back.

The direct impacts from Incitec's proposal for me and my family include:

Potential for explosion

Incitec's EIS fails to adequately address my concern around the potential risks of storing 21,500 tons of ammonium nitrate (maximum storage capacity combining Orica and Incitec). The blast contours in Incitec's EIS do not even reach Stockton, yet it's well known an ammonia nitrate explosion involving 300 tons of ammonium nitrate in Toulouse, France, killed 33 and injured thousands within a 5km radius in 2001.

I am aware that ammonium nitrate is an oxidising agent, not an explosive, however, it can be turned into an explosive both quickly and easily by shock waves, foreign matter, heat and pressure. Whilst the risk of explosion is small, the impact of an explosion would be catastrophic and despite

slogans in Incitec's EIS of "world's best practice" accidents do occur, take for instance Orica's Hexavalent Chromium leak in 2011.

One of the fundamental responsibilities of any Government is the welfare and protection of people and this proposal undermines the safety of around 50,000 residents within a 5km radius.

I have been advised that if Incitec proceeds there is enough explosive power on Kooragang Island to match the Hiroshima atomic bomb (the Hiroshima bomb equated to 18,000 tons of TNT which is comparable to the 21,000 tons proposed by Incitec and Orica's current capacity).

Is the NSW Government willing to take this kind of risk, even if the risk is considered to be minimal????

The Department of Planning must also acknowledge that the South Australian Government is trying to shift Incitec's storage of AN in Port Adelaide due to explosion risk for residents, which is outlined by a SA WorkCover report. Such a massive concentration of ammonium nitrate storage with 800 m of residents is not acceptable to the communities that surround the proposal.

Air Pollution

I am very worried that Incitec's plant will only add to already deteriorating air quality. Stockton residents experience high levels of coal dust from PWCS and NCIG, diesel particulates from industry and nitrous oxides from Orica's plant.

Two large scale ammonium nitrate plants, operating so close to residents creates an especially high concentration of NOx gases that are detrimental to respiratory health, especially the young and elderly.

Orica's expansion and Port Waratah Coal Services' T4 proposal, further impacts future air-quality and Incitec's Air Quality tests does little to abate my concerns regarding air pollution.

Noise Pollution

Industrial noise, especially night-time noise is already a major concern which impacts me and my family. When the wind is blowing in our direction from Kooragang the noise can make sleeping difficult. We are trying to have this issue addressed. Incitec's EIS noise monitoring of the site was conducted when Orica's ammonia plant was not even in operation and proved that Orica is not meeting acceptable noise levels.

Furthermore, Incitec in their EIS, argue that "it is appropriate to relax the recommended levels for suburban areas by 5dB".

Here are some extracts taken from different sections of Incitec's EIS on Noise.

"As the existing level of industrial noise exceeds noise amenity criteria recommended by the EPA's Industrial Noise Policy (INP) by a significant margin, alternate operational noise criteria has been nominated for the Project."

"Whilst the appropriate zoning in Stockton is recognised as suburban, considering the adjoining industrial zoning it must be noted that a suburban/industrial interface exists. The Industrial Noise Policy, does not provide recommended industrial noise levels for suburban/industrial interfaces and therefore it is considered appropriate to relax the recommended levels for suburban areas by 5db.

"Given that IPL and Orica are the only two operators that could materially influence industrial noise, it's is proposed the adjacent sites assume an equal responsibility in achieving the nominated levels."

As a resident personally affected by noise from Orica and PWCS, I find the assertion of Stockton being an 'interface' suburb offensive and the idea for government to 'relax' noise limits completely absurd.

How can industry be trusted when Orica are well above night-time noise limits and Incitec are requesting special considerations?

Inadequate consultation

Many residents from Stockton, Carrington, Tighes Hill and Mayfield have been left in the dark on this project. Letter drops and one information session two months after the Orica disaster is not proper consultation for a project of such magnitude and widespread impacts.

Incitec's own 'community perception' survey conducted in April 2012, identified less than a third of residents were unaware of the Project. Another example of poor consultation is residents that live within the outer rim of a 5 km radius have been excluded in any communication material. Suburbs such as Cooks Hill, Newcastle West and East, The Hill and Hamilton South have received no information regarding the proposal.

Now, Incitec has declared a two year delay in making any decision on the Project. This may please IPL's investors; however it prolongs resident uncertainty, stymies local investment and provides Incitec with an extended period to lobby Government ministers.

Impacting house prices

Incitec's EIS fails to address my concern that a second ammonium nitrate plant may impact house prices. If Incitec's development is approved, the risk profile increases for all suburbs close to Kooragang and it's highly likely that the value of properties may decrease. Downward pressure on properties would be a direct result from fewer new families moving into areas like Stockton and a reputational stigma for suburbs closest to two ammonium nitrate plants.

Incitec's EIS does not acknowledge this issue, nor does it address who would be responsible if property values were lowered by their Project.

Traffic Impacts

Traffic is already a major problem as a result of industrial activity on Kooragang Island. Incitec's EIS does nothing to mitigate future traffic problems during construction and its operational phase.

In addition to congestion, the extra diesel truck movements will add to dangerous carcinogenic fine particles and nitrous oxides levels.

Employment and economic impacts in Newcastle and Lower Hunter

If operational, Incitec's plant will employ just 60 people, many of whom will be transfers from the company's Mooranbah ammonium nitrate plant. Considering the risk and impacts the plant brings to tens of thousands of people, 60 jobs are no compensation for the more obvious and insidious impacts the plant will bring.

In addition, Incitec have stated that rising construction costs and a failing coal price has forced a two year delay in making a decision on this Project. These outside economic forces impact the viability and longevity of the plant and should be included in EIS.

Polluting the hunter river

As a keen surfer and swimmer, it's very disturbing and plainly immoral that Incitec will be handed yet "another license to pollute" the Hunter River. If Incitec truly want to build a world-class plant then their EIS should reflect a plant with no effluent into the Hunter River. The river is an important recreational and estuary for thousands of fisherman, not to mention that Kooragang is an internationally recognised RAMSAR wetland. The river is also a source of stock for commercial fishing operations, and a percentage of these catches are sent to overseas markets.

Excessive industrial development with licenses to pollute the river close to a RAMSAR area is not ethical or common sense planning, nor does it position the Hunter River in a positive light for tourism tourists, which is a critical source of income for the city, and a more sustainable industry for the region.

In regards to my lodging of this submission, I can confirm that I have not made a political donation totaling \$1000 or more in the past 2 years. In fact I have not made any political donations.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter. Thankyou

Yours Sincerely,

Leonie S Crennan

Dr Leonie Crennan

85 Dunbar St

Stockton 2295