

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No  
Date:

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation



PCU067639

Name Kevin Costa  
Address 306 Sandy Creek Rd.  
Maleny NSW 2866

Delete my personal information before publication    yes     no     28/9/16

Director - Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**  
Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust - GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty - GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for 'visibility barriers'. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation - There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights - Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. - GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for 'Stage Two'. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.


Department of Planning  
Received  
18 OCT 2016  
Scanning Room

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Best'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial 'A' and a distinct 'Best' at the end.

I have not made a reportable political donation

As a former resident and regular visitor to the area  
I am completely opposed to this mine and find it  
totally unacceptable.

I oppose the rocky hill coal mine.

Name..... Amy Fairhall  
Address..... 2 The Grove  
..... Asthimer 2052

Delete my personal information before publication    yes     no

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a large, stylized initial 'G' followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal mine!

Name Sue McKinnon

Address 10 Dubarda St

Engadine NSW 2223

Delete my personal information before publication    yes     no

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 Mod (

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'De...'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Mine

Name.....Jim Morris.....

Address.....4 WATKIN ST.....

HURSTONE PARK 2193.....

Delete my personal information before publication      yes

no

Director - Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust - GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty - GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for 'visibility barriers'. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation - There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights - Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.


No. 5. Extensions to the mine. - GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for 'Stage Two'. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Morris', is written in a cursive style.

I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Mine and any other new coal mines and extension of existing mines.

Name..... Gill Burrows.....

Address..... 9/4 Riley St.....

..... North Sydney 2060.....

Delete my personal information before publication    yes     no

Director - Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust - GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty - GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for 'visibility barriers'. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation - There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights - Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. - GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for 'Stage Two'. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. S. R. Jones".

I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose the Rocky Hill coal mine.

Name..... Louise Kirumba.....  
Address..... 3104 / 35 Amaliffe St.....  
..... WOLLI CREEK 2205.....

Delete my personal information before publication    yes     no

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

Name... Louise Mulhearn  
Address... 18 Lindeman ct  
Erebus, Newcastle, NSW, 2282  
Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes~~/No  
Date: 10/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

*We do not need anymore mines. We only have one environment.*  
**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Name. BRUCE O'CONNOR

Address. 14 BUCKETTS WAY

WARAS RIVER NSW 2422

Personal inf. ~~not~~ for publication  Yes  No

Date: 10 - 10 - 2016

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

BRUCE O'CONNOR I wish to CLAIM SUBMITTERS RIGHTS FOR A FURTHER LETTER, AS I AM ALREADY SUFFERING GREATLY FROM LANCOAL'S DUNALIE MINE.

I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Name Christine du Fresne

Address 772 Markwell Bldg

Rd, Markwell, 2423

Personal info for publication  Yes/No

Date: 4/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visual amenity barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW - GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**


Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

Apart from the fact/opinion that we should no longer be taking coal for any purpose, this proposed mine is far too close to town to be either safe or visually damaging. This will ruin tourism in this area.



Name... Val Munro .....

Address..... 3 Mallon St .....

..... Shovel NSW 2425 .....

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes~~/No

Date: 7/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers. *This is of great concern to me having knowledge of this happening in the Blue Mountains where the cost of the clean up has far outweighed any income the mine ever generated.*

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Name... LEE MOORE  
Address... 1039 Wallanbath Rd  
Bucca Wamban, 2429  
Personal inf. not for publication  No  
Date: 7/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

*Lee Moore*

*This mine will be too close to town.*

I have not made a reportable political donation

Name Geoff Grimson

Address 386 Old Inn Rd

Bulahdelah

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: ~~8/sep~~ 8/oct/2016

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

*Geoff Grimson*

*No more holes  
stop mining*

*Geoff*

I have not made a reportable political donation

Name. Annette Guise  
Address. 386 Old Rd  
Bulahdelah 2403  
Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No   
Date:

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

Name... PATRICIA NIXON

Address... 4 IRON BARK CLOSE  
GLOUCESTER NSW 2422

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: 4/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes. WHAT MAKES ANYONE THINK THAT GLOUCESTER WILL NOT FOLLOW THE PATTERN OF MOST OTHER COAL TOWNS. IT WILL DIE  
The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

P. Nixon

I have not made a reportable political donation

I MOVED HERE FOR PEACE  
AND QUIET. THE MINE WILL  
DESTROY THE LIFE I AM  
BUILDING

Name. GRAHAM COATES  
Address. 11 MAHOGANY DRIVE  
GLOUCESTER  
Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No  
Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.


No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

TOO CLOSE TO OUR HOUSE  
DUST TRAFFIC NOISE - DISTURBANCE.

Name Jo-Anne Collins  
Address 11 Mahogany Drive  
Gloucester  
Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No  No  
Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

*way too close to our homes.*

Name... *Ronda Teece*  
Address... *239 Church St*  
*Gloucester*  
Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No  
Date: *8.10.16*

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

*For to close to residence in town.*

Name SUE BANNIER

Address 41 BAKER ST.

BUNDOOK.

Personal inf. not for publication  Yes/No

Date: 7.10.16.

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully *To Whom it may Concern, This proposed mine is too close to town, the environment will be destroyed,*

I have not made a reportable political donation



Name..... Amanda Ellis.....

Address... 139 Teohovell's Rd...

..... Copeland 2422.....

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.


No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

 As a concerned resident raising 3 young children locally we oppose this mine due to health risks, proximity to town and lack of support for coal generally in the community.

Name... Dominique Jacobs  
Address... 1637 Gloucester Tops Rd.  
Gloucester NSW 2422.  
Personal info for publication  Yes  No

Date: 24.9.16.

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visual amenity barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

It is ludicrous to even consider this project. Our world cannot cope with any more resource mining if we hope to survive on this planet. Stop being guided by greed & consider a renewable future.



Name JACKIE CLARK

Address 18, 139 TESTORELLIS RD  
COPELAND, NSW 2422

Personal info for publication Yes/~~No~~

\* Please  
read my  
ps.

Date:

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visual amenity barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW - GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully



I have not made a reportable political donation

P.S. On top of all the above, I wish to point out that any soil and habitat destroyed by this ~~extension~~ extension CANNOT be returned to its former state, regardless of the restitution measures which may be required. The original soil is irreplaceable in terms of its biota.

Australia is in danger of losing all its most fertile topsoil in the interests of short-term profit. Mining only seems to be in the coastal fertile areas; not in the areas with scarce topsoil. How unfortunate for our population - which has to eat! JC.



PCU067692

Delete my personal information before publication  yes  no

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

Department of Planning  
Received  
20 OCT 2016  
Scanning Room

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**

*Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD 1*

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

I oppose this coal mine being too close to Gloucester as I am a regular visitor to this area.

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No  
Date: 10-10-16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

*No Coal Mining  
in Gloucester*

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: 10-10-2016

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully . . .

NO MORE MINES

I have not made a reportable political donation

Renewable energy is the only way for our future  
Why ruin this  
Beautiful place

.....  
Personal inf. not for publication (Yes/No)  
Date: 8.10.16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

we have a moral obligation  
to look after our  
planet for future  
generations!

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes/No~~

Date: 4-10-16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation , *I am soon to move to this area.*

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes/No~~

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

pollution.

Personal inf. not for publication  Yes/ No

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

*No more coal*

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal inf. not for publication  Yes/No

Date: 8/10/16.

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

No more coal this State!  
Keep my lungs clean  
and the air clear!

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal inf. not for publication  Yes/No

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Please – NO more coal mines, it's  
a backward step

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes~~/No

Date:

I would have to leave  
to close to town.

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes~~/No

Date:

To close to our farm.

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

v.19/9/16

Personal inf. not for publication ~~Yes~~/No

Date: 8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

\* RENEWABLES ARE OUR FUTURE  
WIND & SOLAR – NOT NUCLEAR.

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal inf. ~~is~~ for publication ~~Yes~~/No  
Date:

8/10/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

Mine too close to town.

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/No

Date: 16/9/16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully *Would hate to see our valley destroyed.*

I have not made a reportable political donation

Personal inf. not for publication Yes/~~No~~  
I have not made a reportable political donation

Date: 1-10-16

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156**  
**Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD 1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No.1 Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No.2 Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visibility barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No.3 Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No.4 Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No.5 Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No.6 Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**  
Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

v.19/9/16

Furthermore, I am very concerned about the catchment of the Manning River system, which is used as water supply for over 80,000 people. J. Patten

Delete my personal information before publication  yes  no

Director - Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project - Application No SSD-5156**

Stratford Coal Extension Project - Application No SSD 4966 MOD1

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project on the following grounds.

No. 1. Dust - GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty - GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for 'visibility barriers'. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation - There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights - Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. - GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for 'Stage Two'. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW - GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

I have not made a reportable political donation

I have moved to Gloucester for fresh air & scenery. Both will be destroyed if the mine goes ahead. It is far too close to my house not to be a significant issue.

Personal info for publication Yes  No

Date: 29/9/11

Director – Resource Assessments  
Planning Services  
Department of Planning & Environment  
GPO Box 39  
Sydney NSW 2001

**Submission for the Rocky Hill Coal Project – Application No SSD-5156  
Stratford Coal Extension Project – Application No SSD - 4966 MOD1**

Dear Sir/Madam

I oppose the Rocky Hill Coal Project together with the Stratford Mine Modification.

No. 1. Dust – GRL plans to generate 944 tonnes (over 1600 cubic metres) of dust every year. Children, the elderly, and people with respiratory disease will be at risk.

No. 2. Scenic beauty – GRL claims that overburden from the mine will be used for ‘visual amenity barriers’. The largest is over 50m high and over 2km long. They will be visible to travellers along the Bucketts Way and residents on the eastern side of the valley. They will be as ugly as the mine.

No. 3. Rehabilitation – There is not enough overburden to fill the voids or produce the claimed landform. 20 million tonnes of coal removed. Topsoil 40cm deep over rubble 190 metres deep will not hold water in dry spells.

GRL claim that they will fill in any voids and completely rehabilitate the area to look even better than nature has created. What if GRL go into liquidation and the mine ceases to operate? If we use the examples of mines in the Hunter then we cannot believe them. The bond put aside for rehabilitation is never enough. The mining company moves on and leaves the clean up to the taxpayers.

No. 4. Night Lights – Gloucester sits in a quiet, rural valley with dark, starry night skies. This will disappear with the lights from a mine in the Avon valley. Even though the mine will not be operating at night for three years, the workers will have shifts until 10.30pm. They will be travelling along the haul road and to and from the mine. Their lights will be visible to residents in the Avon valley and will glow into the sky.

No. 5. Extensions to the mine. – GRL have already earmarked the area north of the proposed Rocky Hill mine for ‘Stage Two’. As with all coalmines, when the first mine is approved then they follow on with extension after extension, after extension. If the coal is there then GRL will mine it. The exploration licence extends north up the Avon Valley to the town. There is no minimum distance from residences, as with the 2km for CSG.

No. 6. Benefit to the NSW Government and the people of NSW – GRL will pay \$63M in revenue and \$60M in taxes. The life of the mine is 16 to 20 years. That means the company is paying just over \$3M a year for revenue and taxes.

**The question is, why would the Government approve a mine that is paying so little to the coffers of NSW?**

Gloucester does not need this mine. Do not approve the Rocky Hill Coal Project.

Yours faithfully

*Resident of Stratford Village for 35 yrs,*

I have not made a reportable political donation

\* NB I Also oppose Stratford Extension Project  
Application No. ~~SSD~~ SSD 4966 ~~MOD~~ MOD 1