

Moore Trees
Arboricultural Services
ABN 90887347745

ARBORICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

59-67 Karne Street
Narwee NSW 2209
Lot 2 DP 518855, Lot 2 DP 16063, Lot 3 DP 16063

November 2022
FINAL

Prepared for: Opal Healthcare
c/o CYRE Projects Pty Limited

Prepared by: Paul Vezgoff
Consulting Arborist
ISA, AA
Arboriculture Australia Registered
Consultant



PO Box 3114 Austinmer NSW 2515
Ph: 0242 680 425
Mob: 0411 712 887
Email: enquiries@mooretrees.com.au
Web: www.mooretrees.com.au

Summary

This report has been compiled for Opal Healthcare c/o CYRE Projects Pty Limited, Level 8, Suite 18, 100 Walker Street, North Sydney NSW 2060. This Arborist Report has been requested for the SSDA submission in association with proposed development works at 59-67 Karne Street, Narwee NSW 2209. This Arborist Report refers to forty two (42) trees.

This report contains the following:-

- 1) All trees were assessed for Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE).
- 2) Genus and species of each tree.
- 3) Impact of the proposed development on each tree.
- 4) Impact of retaining tree on the proposed development.
- 5) The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) calculated for each tree.
- 6) Any branch or root pruning that may be required for trees.
- 7) List trees within fifteen (15) metres of the site boundary.

Trees 21-34 will require a setback to ensure these trees are not affected by the works to the basement. The six (6) metre setback for these trees should be used on design drawings. There should be no level changes in this area, with the exception of the removal of the concrete. No trenching should occur through these setbacks. The current designs have achieved this.

The street trees numbered as Trees 40 and 42 should be retained. No excavations within one (1) metre of these trees should occur. Storm water lines should be designed around this one (1) metre setback for each of these trees. Tree 41 has since died post the initial report.

Trees 23-34 will require tree protection fencing as specified in Section 5.2 of this report. The specifications for a TPZ are in Section 5.4 of this report.

Street Trees 40 and 42, and Tree 6 will require trunk protection as specified in Section 5.3 of this report. This trunk protection will be required due to the proximity of heavy equipment operating near these trees. It is important to protect the bark on trees. Bark is a very effective barrier that helps to protect trees from pest, disease and decay pathogens.

Table of Contents

VERSION CONTROL

Date of Issue	Details
2/6/2022	Draft 1 issued
22/11/2022	Draft 2 issued
30/11/2022	Draft 3 issued
2/12/2022	Final version issued

	Page
1 INTRODUCTION	4
2 METHODOLOGY	7
3 RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION	9
4 RECOMMENDATIONS	16
5 TREE PROTECTION	19
Appendices	
Tree TPZ and SRZ distances	24
1 Tree Protection Plan	25
Tree Retention Values	26
2 Tree Health and Condition Schedule	27
3 SULE methodology	33
4 TPZ and SRZ methodology	34
5 Tree Protection Fencing Specifications	36
6 Tree Protection Signs	38
7 TPZ and SRZ explanations	40
8 Tree structure information diagram	41
9 Explanatory notes	42
10 Bibliography	43
11 Curriculum Vitae	44

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1** This report has been conducted to assess the health and condition of forty two (42) trees located at 59-67 Karne Street, Narwee NSW 2209. This report has been prepared for Opal Healthcare c/o CYRE Projects Pty Limited, Level 8, Suite 18, 100 Walker Street, North Sydney NSW 2060 as required for the State Significant Development Application (SSDA) submission in association with the proposed development works.

The purpose of this report is to collect the appropriate tree related data on the subject trees and to provide advice and recommendations to the design and possible construction alternatives to aid against any adverse impacts on the health of the subject trees to be retained.

The subject trees were assessed for their health and condition. This report also includes tree protection measures that will help retain and ensure that the long term health of the trees to be retained are not adversely affected by the proposed development in the future.

The following data was collected for each tree:

- 1) A site plan locating all trees over three (3) metres in height, including all street trees.
- 2) All trees were assessed for Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE), health and amenity value.
- 3) Genus and species identification of each tree.
- 4) Impact of the proposed development on each tree.
- 5) The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) calculated for each tree.
- 6) Any branch or root pruning that may be required for trees.

Also noted for the purpose of this report were:

- Health and vigour; using foliage colour and size, extension growth, presence of deadwood, dieback and epicormic growth throughout the tree.
- Structural condition using visible evidence of bulges, cracks, leans and previous pruning.
- The suitability of the tree taking into consideration the proposed development.
- Age rating; Over-mature (>80% life expectancy), Mature (20-80% life expectancy), Young, Sapling (<20% life expectancy).

1.2 Location: The proposed development site is located at 59-67 Karne Street, Narwee NSW 2209, known as Lot 2 DP 518855, Lot 2 DP 16063, Lot 3 DP 16063. The proposed development site from herein will be referred to as "the Site".




Diagram 1: Location of subject site, 59-67 Karne Street, Narwee NSW 2209 (Red arrow) (whereis.com.au, 2022)

1.3 SEARs Reporting: The State Government Planning Secretary’s Environmental Assessment Requirement (SEARs) for 59 -67 Karne Street, Narwee was issued by the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment on 22/06/2022. Point 8, Trees and Landscaping, in the Issue and Assessment Requirements SEARs table for this project (application number SSD 45024776), sets out the Arboricultural matters for this report.

In preparing this Report, the following SEARs requirements have been addressed for this project. Most matters have been addressed within this report, with the exception to matters that are required to be addressed by the Landscape Architect. Please see Table 1 below.

Key issue	Requirement	Relevant report section
8. Trees and Landscaping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess the number, location, condition and significance of trees to be removed and retained and note any existing canopy coverage to be retained on site. Provide a detailed site-wide landscape plan, that: Details the proposed site planting, including location, number and species of plantings, heights of trees at maturity and proposed canopy coverage. Provides evidence that opportunities to retain significant trees have been explored and/or informs the plan. Demonstrates how the proposed development would: Contribute to long term landscape setting in respect of the site and streetscape. Mitigate the urban heat island effect and ensure appropriate comfort levels on site. Contribute to the objective of increased urban tree canopy cover. Maximise opportunities for green infrastructure, consistent with <i>Greener Places</i>. 	Refer section 3 of this Report

Table 1: SEARs reporting table – 59 -67 Karne Street, Narwee

2 METHODOLOGY

- 2.1** To record the health and condition of the trees, a Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) was undertaken on the subject trees on 4th May 2022. This method of tree evaluation is adapted from Matheny and Clark, 1994 and is recognised by The International Society of Arboriculture, Arboriculture Australia and The Institute Australian of Consulting Arborists (IACA). It is also known as a Level 2: Limited Visual Assessment Process as per the International Society of Arboriculture best management practices titled '*Tree Risk Assessment*' (Smiley, Matheny & Lilly, 2011).
- 2.2** The State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non Rural Areas) must be referred to for the proposed removal of trees/vegetation. Clauses 21.1 of the SEPP applies to vegetation in non – rural areas declared by the SCC, DCP chapter. Refer to the SEPP for the relevant LEP 2013 zones the SEPP applies to. Trees or other vegetation declared in this DCP chapter require a tree management permit if it is sought to ringbark, cut down, top, lop, remove, injure or wilfully destroy them. In this DCP a tree is declared if it meets any one or more of the following criteria:
- (a). is 3 metres or more in height
 - (b). has a trunk circumference of 30 cm or more at natural ground level
 - (c). has a branch spread of three (3) metres or more
 - (d). Is a hollow bearing tree (has cavities in trunk or branches, which can be used by native animals for foraging, shelter, roosting and nesting).
- 2.3 Height:** The heights and distances within this report have been measured with a Bosch DLE 50 laser measure.
- 2.4 Tree Protection Zone (TPZ):** The TPZ is the principal means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable. TPZ's have been calculated for each tree to determine construction impacts. The TPZ calculation is based on the Australian Standard *Protection of trees on development sites*, AS 4970, 2009. The Tree Protection Zones are shown in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 1, Plan 2).

2.5 Structural Root Zone (SRZ): The SRZ is a specified distance measured from the trunk that is set aside for the protection of tree roots, both structural and fibrous. The woody root growth and soil cohesion in this area are necessary to hold the tree upright. SRZ areas are shown in the Tree TPZ and SRZ distances (Appendix 1, Plan 1) for the more significant site trees. The TPZ and SRZ are measured as a radial measurement from the trunk. No roots should be severed within the SRZ area. A detailed methodology on the TPZ and SRZ calculations can be found in Appendix 4.

2.6 Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE): The subject trees were assessed for a Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE). The SULE rating for each tree can be seen in the Tree Assessment Schedule (Appendix 2). A detailed explanation of SULE can be found in Appendix 3.

2.7 Plans and information provided: For this Arboricultural Report I was supplied the following documents:

- Architectural plan set by Group GSA for Narwee Aged Care Development as noted below;

Sheet Number	Sheet Name	Current Revision Date	Current Revision
DA000	DRAWING SCHEDULE / SITE LOCATION PLAN	29/11/2022	A
DA100	SITE PLAN	29/11/2022	A
DA200	BASIN PLAN	29/11/2022	B
DA201	GROUND FLOOR PLAN	29/11/2022	B
DA202	LEVEL 1 PLAN	29/11/2022	B
DA203	LEVEL 2 PLAN	29/11/2022	B
DA204	ROOF PLAN	29/11/2022	B
DA300	ELEVATION	29/11/2022	A
DA301	ELEVATION	29/11/2022	A
DA302	SECTIONS	29/11/2022	A
DA303	SECTIONS	29/11/2022	A
DA400	GFA CALCULATIONS	29/11/2022	A
DA401	DEEP SOIL DIAGRAM	29/11/2022	A
DA402	LANDSCAPE DIAGRAM	29/11/2022	A
DA403	COMMUNAL OPEN SPACE DIAGRAM	29/11/2022	A
DA404	STORAGE DETAILS	29/11/2022	A

- Stormwater Plan by Henry & Hymas marked drawing number 22M21_DA_C100 Rev 01 dated 31/10/2022;

2.8 Impact Assessment: An impact assessment was conducted on the site trees. This was conducted by assessing the site survey and plans provided by CYRE Projects Pty Limited. The plans provided were assessed for the following:

- Reduced Level (R.L.) at base of tree.
- Incursions into the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ).
- Assessment of the likely impact of the works.

3 RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

3.1 The existing site contains a mix of exotic and native trees, the proposed building works entail construction of an aged care residential facility. The site was previously used as a care hospital for elderly. All previous structures have been demolished. Tree species on site were a mix of native and exotic tree species, with most being large mature specimens. Several trees are located around the perimeter of the site that could potentially be affected by any proposed plans.

3.2 Environmental Significance: The data collected for this Report is based on Clause 5.9 "Preservation of trees or vegetation" of the Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 (LEP) is used to manage the pruning and removal of all trees within the City of Canterbury. The LEP is supported by the Canterbury Development Control Plan DCP 2012. The DCP Part 6.7: Preservation of trees and vegetation outlines the provision for the preservation and management of trees within the City of Canterbury. Clause 6.7.1 (i) states:

"A person must not ring bark, lop, prune, remove, injure or deliberately destroy any trees 5m in height or greater and/or with a trunk diameter of 150mm or greater measured 1.4m above ground level without a permit or development consent granted by the Council, except as otherwise stated in clause 5.9 Preservation of Trees or Vegetation of the CLEP or this part"

In 2021, Council adopted the Draft Consolidated Development Control Plan to support the Draft LEP. The Draft DCP will come into effect when the Draft LEP is approved.

3.3 Illegal tree removal: Damaging or removing trees can result in heavy fines. Local Government does have the authority to issue on the spot fines known as penalty infringement notices (PINS) starting from \$3,000 or can elect to have a potential tree damaging incident addressed in the Local Court. Recent cases, for example, include two (2) mature trees removed for development (Sutherland Shire Council (SSC) v Palamara, 2008) costing \$4,500 in fines and \$5,000 in court costs. SSC v El-Hage, 2010 concerning illegal tree removal of a single tree costing \$31,500 in fines and \$5,000 in costs. Poisoning trees can also incur substantial fines (SSC v Hill) resulted in a single

tree fine that totalled \$14,000 plus a \$10,000 bond for a replacement tree. All of the above cases resulted in a criminal conviction for the offending parties.

- 3.4 The Site Trees:** The site was inspected on 4th May 2022. Each tree has been given a unique number for this site and can be viewed on the Tree Plans (Appendix 1). This plan is based on the plan provided by CYRE Projects Pty Limited.
- 3.5** Native species on site include; *Melaleuca decora*, Kentia palm (*Howea fosteriana*), Bangalow palm (*Archontophoenix cunninghamiana*), Brushbox (*Lophostemon confertus*), Tallowwood (*Eucalyptus microcorys*), Weeping bottle brush (*Callistemon viminalis*), Spotted gum (*Corymbia maculata*).
- 3.6** Some smaller, less significant exotic species on site include *Cupresses sp.*, *Magnolia soulangiana*, *Acer negundo*, *Prunus sp* and Leyland cypress (*x Cupressocyparis leylandii*).
- 3.7** The more significant trees on site are Trees 1, 3, 6 and 7. Trees 1 and 3 are semi mature Spotted gum (*Corymbia maculata*). Tree 2 is a suppressed specimen between these two trees. Trees 6 and 7 are both in good health and condition (Plate 2). The main trunks, first and second order branches are free of any cracks, splits or fruiting bodies. Old pruning wounds are showing good occlusion, a sign that these trees are photosynthesizing effectively. New extension growth was noted with leaf colour showing good vitality. These trees would be considered to have 95% live canopies. The basal area and woody root zone were free of any ground heaving, or lifting. Tree 7 was noted as having a small open cavity at approximately six (6) metres from ground level.

3.8 Trees outside the site: Along the northern boundary are various exotic shrubs planted along the fence line. To the east of the site are large Forest red gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*). These trees are in fair health and condition. The park has several Turpentine (*Syncarpia glomulifera*) and Forest red gum (*Eucalyptus tereticornis*) that are in good condition. Trees 39-42 are mature street trees that are Weeping bottle brush (*Callistemon viminalis*). The main trunks, first and second order branches are free of any cracks, splits or fruiting bodies. New extension growth was noted with leaf colour showing good vitality. These trees would be considered to have 95% live canopies. The basal area and woody root zone were free of any ground heaving, or lifting.



Plate 1: Image showing Trees 1-3. P. Vezgoff.



Plate 2: Image showing Trees 6 (Right) and 7 (Left). P. Vezgoff.



Plate 3: Image showing the canopy of Tree 6. P. Vezgoff.



Plate 4: Image showing Trees 22-34 to the east of the site. P. Vezgoff.



Plate 5: Image showing the Council park trees numbered as 17-20. These trees will not be impacted by any works. P. Vezgoff.



Plate 6: Image showing Trees 13 and 14. P. Vezgoff.

- 3.9 Impacts:.** Based on the plans provided Trees 4, 5, 7-16, 39, and 41 are located within the building footprint and will require removal. Trees within the site to be retained are numbered as 1, 2, 3, 6, 17-38, 40 and 42.
- 3.10** Some smaller shrubs growing within neighbouring properties to the north will not be impacted by any works, provided there is a nine hundred (900) millimetre set back from this boundary fence. These trees are numbered as 35-38.
- 3.11** Trees 24-34 are located on adjoining properties to the east. In particular, Trees 33 and 34 are large mature specimens that will require setbacks of six (6) metres from this boundary. These trees will also require protection from construction disturbance.
- 3.12** The street trees numbered as Trees 39-40 and 42 are more large shrub species, however they are well established. Tree 39 is proposed to be removed to allow for the main site entry.

3.13 Stormwater impacts: A storm water line passes through the TPZ of Trees 1 and 6, along with a pollution control pit. This has the potential to sever many roots on this tree if not undertaken correctly. Hydro excavation will allow the pipes to be threaded under the roots. Hydro excavation should also be used for the pollution control pit excavation.

3.14 Substation: The proposed substation and associated excavations shall be moved as far away from Tree 3 that is practical.

3.15 The trees were assessed as below for the Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System or STARS©. The STARS© Matrix can be seen in Appendix 4. This rating can be seen in Plan form in Appendix 1 (Plan 3).

Significance Scale	1 (High)	2 (Medium)	3 (Low)
Tree No.	1, 3, 17-38	2, 6, 7, 13, 14, 39-42	4, 5, 8, 9-12, 15, 16

4 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1** A Project Arborist should be appointed to oversee the arboricultural related works for the project. The Project Arborist should be used for arboricultural certification services and also used as a point of contact should any questions arise during the project. As specified in AS 4970, 2009, a Project Arborist is a person with a minimum Australian Qualification Framework (AQF) level 5 Diploma of Arboriculture or Horticulture qualification.
- 4.2** Based on the plans provided Trees 4, 5, 7-16, 39, and 41 are located within the building footprint and will require removal. Trees within the site to be retained are numbered as 1, 2, 3, and 6, 17-38, 40 and 42. Trees within the site to be retained are numbered as 1, 2, 3, 6, 17-38, 40 and 42.
- 4.3** Trees 1-3 will require no level changes within the area of the proposed building and the road verge area. No trenching should occur through these setbacks. Protection of the root zone will be required so as to enable building works to be completed (See Section 5.5).
- 4.4** Plans indicate the existing levels to be retained below Tree 6. The proposed suspended timber deck on the southern side of this tree is acceptable in terms of allowing moisture and oxygen exchange to continue to occur across the root zone of Tree 6. Any excavations for post holes for the deck shall be hand excavated for the top five hundred (500) millimetres of soil profile. The Project Arborist shall inspect the holes and retain a photographic record once they are exposed.
- 4.5 Stormwater impacts:** A storm water line passes through the TPZ of Trees 1 and 6 along with a pollution control pit. Either hand excavation or Hydro excavation will allow the pipes to be threaded under the roots without damaging them. Hydro excavation should also be used for the pollution control pit excavation. Water pressure to be set so as not to ringbark the roots. The Project Arborist will supervise these works.

- 4.6 Substation:** The proposed substation and associated excavations shall be moved as far away from Tree 3 that is practical.
- 4.7** Trees 1 and 2 may require branches on the eastern portion of the canopy to be reduced to allow for scaffolding. These branches should be reduced back so as to maintain the canopy of the tree (ie, no lopping or ‘flat topping’). Pruning points should be no greater than one hundred (100) millimetres in diameter. This pruning is known as selective pruning and can be read about in more detail in the Australian Standard for the Pruning of Amenity Trees (AS 4373) 2007.
- 4.8** Trees 21-34 will require a setback to ensure these trees are not affected by the works to the basement. The six (6) metre setback for these trees should be used on design drawings. There should be no level changes in this area, with the exception of the removal of the concrete. No trenching should occur through these setbacks. This is shown on the plans provided for this report.
- 4.9** The street trees numbered as Trees 40 and 42 should be retained. No excavations within one (1) metre of these trees should occur. Storm water lines should be designed around this one (1) metre setback for each of these trees. Hand excavation through the lawn road verge will be required.
- 4.10** Any connection for underground services that breach the TPZ distances of Trees 40, and 42 shall be underbored.
- 4.11** The side boundary fence will be required to be retained as post and pole construction (this includes colour bond type fencing). This type of fence will have minimal impact on the root system of the trees located on adjoining properties. Any solid brick type fence that requires concrete strip footings should be avoided, due to the likelihood of structural woody roots being severed on the trees on adjoining properties.

4.12 Building material storage: Areas on the Site shall have to be set aside for the exclusive use of:

- Construction access points
- Position of site sheds and latrines and temporary services
- Storage of materials

These points are to be outside of any TPZ area. Any area set aside for the stockpiling of soil and waste shall have the appropriate erosion control measures around this area as specified by an engineer. These erosion control measures shall be monitored and maintained regularly throughout the construction period of the Site. These measures are to restrict any waste material entering the TPZ areas of the trees to be retained.

5 TREE PROTECTION

- 5.1 Trees to be protected:** Trees 1-3, 6 and 22-34, 40, 42 will be required to be fenced for protection. All fencing shall be installed as specified in Section 5.2 (Tree Protection – Implementation of Tree Protection Zone). Indicative locations of the fencing will be shown in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 1, Plan 2).
- 5.2 Implementation of Tree Protection Zone:** All tree protection works should be carried out before the start of demolition or building work. It is recommended that chain mesh fencing with a minimum height of 1.8 metres be erected as shown in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 1, Plan 2). Specifications for this fencing are shown in Tree Protection Fencing Specifications (Appendix 5). TPZ fencing shall comply with the Australian Standard *Protection of trees on development sites*, AS 4970, 2009.
- 5.3 Individual trunk protection:** Trees 6, 40 and 42 will require trunk protection. This is achieved by attaching lengths of timber (75mm x 50mm x 2000mm) fastened around the trunk. Geotextile fabric or carpet underlay shall be wrapped around the trunk prior to the timbers being attached. These timbers are to be fastened with hoop iron strapping and not attached directly into the bark of the tree. These timbers are only to be removed when all construction is complete. See Plate 10 for an example of trunk protection. Trunk protection shall comply with the Australian Standard *Protection of trees on development sites*, AS 4970, 2009.
- 5.4 Implementation of Tree Protection Zone:** All tree protection works should be carried out before the start of demolition or building work. It is recommended that chain mesh fencing with a minimum height of 1.8 metres be erected as shown in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 1, Plan 2). Specifications for this fencing are shown in Tree Protection Fencing Specifications (Appendix 5).

5.5 Root Zone Protection: Ply sheeting should be placed over the root zone of Tree 1-3 and 6 to reduce compaction over the root zone whilst works are occurring. This ground protection allows the TPZ fenced to be placed closer to a tree to allow construction access. See Plate A as an example. The area for ply sheeting can be seen in the Tree Protection Plan, Plan 2.



Plate A: An example of board protection to reduce compaction over the root zone. This technique should be used over the root zone of Trees 1, 2, 3 and 6. P. Vezgoff.

5.6 Instructional videos: Alternatively, you can view the Moore Trees short instructional films on the links below. These films are a quick onsite reference for builders, project managers and architects.

Film #1, Trunk Protection

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ehcFre6bp74>

Film #2, Tree Protection Fencing

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ffMabxLN9nU>

Film #3, TPZ Ground Protection

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Se-VILi-AGQ>

5.7 The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) and Structural Root Zone (SRZ): The TPZ is implemented to ensure the protection of the trunk and branches of the subject tree. The TPZ is based on the Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) of the tree. The SRZ is also a radial measurement from the trunk used to protect and restrict damage to the roots of the tree.

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) and Structural Root Zone (SRZ) have been measured from the centre of the trunk. TPZ and SRZ distances are all listed in the Tree Schedule (Appendix 2). The following activities shall be avoided within the TPZ and SRZ of any tree to be retained.

- Erecting site sheds or portable toilets.
- Trenching, ripping or cultivation of soil (with the exception of approved foundations and underground services).
- Soil level changes or fill material (pier and beam or suspended slab construction are acceptable).
- Storage of building materials.
- Disposal of waste materials, solid or liquid.

5.8 Tree Damage: If the retained trees are damaged, a qualified Arborist should be contacted as soon as possible. The Arborist will recommend remedial action so as to reduce any long term adverse effect on the tree's health.

5.9 Signage: It is recommended that signage is attached to the tree protection fencing. A sample sign has been attached in Appendix 6. This sign may be copied and laminated then attached to any TPZ fencing.

5.10 Arborist Certification: It is recommended that the developer/Contractor supply Council or the Principal Certifying Authority with certification from the Project Arborist three (3) times during the construction phase of the development in order to verify that retained trees have been correctly retained and protected as per the conditions of consent and Arborist's recommendations. The certification is to be conducted by a Qualified Consulting Arborist with AQF level 5 qualifications that has current membership with either Arboriculture Australia (AA) or Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA). Arborist certification is recommended:

- (1) Before the commencement of demolition or construction to confirm the application of mulch and fencing has been installed;
- (2) At monthly intervals of the construction phase;
- (3) At completion of the construction phase.

If you have any questions in relation to this report please contact me.



Paul Vezgoff

Consulting Arborist

Dip Arb (Dist), Arb III, Hort cert, AA, ISA

29th November 2022

Appendix 1

Plan 1

Tree TPZ and SRZ distances

Plan 2

Tree Protection Plan


Plan 3

Tree Retention Value Plan




Tree protection plan

Plan 1



Structural Root Zone (SRZ). No roots over 50mm in diameter to be severed within this area. The area within this circle is also known as the Tree Protection Zone.



Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). TPZ area based on AS 4970. See *Recommendations* and *Tree Protection* section of Arborist Report.



Date: 29.11.2022
Drawn: P.Vezgoff
Site Address: 59-67 Karne Street
Narwee NSW



Tree protection plan


Plan 2

Moore Trees



- Tree to be retained
- Tree to be removed


- Ply Sheeting.** Ply sheeting to be placed over the root zone to the extent of the drip line. Sheeting to be 19mm thick 1200mm x 2400mm. Sheeting to remain until all construction works are completed.
- Fence.** Implementation of tree protection zone (TPZ). All tree protection works should be carried out before the start of demolition or building works. It is recommended that chain mesh fencing with a minimum height of 1.8 metres be erected
- Trunk protection.** Lengths of timber (75mm x 50mm x 2000) shall be fastened to the trunk or overhead branches that are greater than 80mm in diameter. These timbers are to be fastened with hoop iron strapping and not fixed directly onto the trunk of the tree.
- Mulching.** Area recommended for mulching. Prior to any demolition or construction work mulch shall be applied to a depth of 100mm to this area for the duration of the construction period. Once construction is complete the mulch shall be reduced to a depth of no greater than 70mm.



Date: 21.11.2022
Drawn: P.Vezgoff
Site Address: 59-67 Karne Street
Narwee NSW



Moore Trees



Note: This Tree Retention Plan is separate to the SULE categories that have been allocated to the site trees.



Date: 29.11.2022
 Drawn: P.Vezgoff
 Site Address: 59-67 Karne Street

Appendix 2

Tree health & condition **assessment schedule**

TREE HEALTH AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE – 59-67 Karne Street, Narwee

Tree	Species	Height (m)	Spread (m)	DBH (mm)	Live canopy %	Defects	SULE	Condition	Age	Comments	TPZ (mm)
1	Spotted gum (Corymbia maculata)	16	5	620	100	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature	Sewer pipe at base.	7440
2	Spotted gum (Corymbia maculata)	11	5	290	95	Included codom stems	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	Suppressed by trees one and three	3480
3	Spotted gum (Corymbia maculata)	16	5	580	100	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		6960
4	Cupresses sp.	7	1	150	100	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature		1800
5	Cupresses sp.	7	1	150	100	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature		1800
6	Spotted gum (Corymbia maculata)	19	8	630	95	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature	Tree is a large mature specimen bifurcating at approximately 7 m the tree has a main dominant leader growing within a grass lawn area.	7560
7	Tallowwood (Eucalyptus microcorys)	25	11	1200	95	No visual defects	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Good	Mature	This tree is the largest tree on site. It has a broad spreading canopy that has minimal deadwood. on the western side of the main stem is a small hollow.	14400
8	Magnolia soulangiana	3.5	1	150	95	No visual defects	3c Removed for a better specimen.	Good	Mature	Magnolia soulangiana	1800
9	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800
10	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800

Tree	Species	Height (m)	Spread (m)	DBH (mm)	Live canopy %	Defects	SULE	Condition	Age	Comments	TPZ (mm)
11	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800
12	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800
13	Brushbox (Lophostemon confertus)	10	5.5	700	95	Dead wood <50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	Extensive woody roots growing and building foundation	8400
14	Brushbox (Lophostemon confertus)	12	5	580	95	Dead wood <50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature		6960
15	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800
16	Cupresses sp.	5	1.2	150	95	No visual defects	2c removed for more suitable planting	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen	1800
17	Turpentine (Syncarpia glomulifera)	7	2.5	250	100	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		3000
18	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	19	7	490	95	Dead wood <50mm	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		5880
19	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	20	6.5	510	95	Dead wood <50mm	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		6120
20	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	17	5.5	450	95	Dead wood <50mm	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		5400
21	Turpentine (Syncarpia glomulifera)	7	2.5	250	100	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature		3000
22	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	17	5.5	450	95	Dead wood >50mm	4a Dead, dying or declining.	Poor	Mature		5400

Tree	Species	Height (m)	Spread (m)	DBH (mm)	Live canopy %	Defects	SULE	Condition	Age	Comments	TPZ (mm)
23	Melaleuca decora	7	5	350	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east in council reserve. Multi stemmed specimen	4200
24	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	7	5	350	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east in council reserve.	4200
25	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	17	5	350	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	4200
26	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	17	5	350	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	4200
27	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400
28	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400
29	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400
30	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400
31	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400
32	Leyland cypress (x Cupressocyparis leylandii)	7	1.5	200	95	No Value	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	2400

Tree	Species	Height (m)	Spread (m)	DBH (mm)	Live canopy %	Defects	SULE	Condition	Age	Comments	TPZ (mm)
	leylandii)						40 years			east. On fence line	
33	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	25	10	680	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. 1.8 from fence line	8160
34	Forest red gum (Eucalyptus tereticornis)	25	10	680	95	Dead wood >50mm	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	On adjoining property to the east. On fence line	8160
35	Mock orange (Murraya paniculata)	4.5	2	180	95	No visual defects	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen . On adjoining property to the north.	2160
36	Cupresses sp.	10	3	250	95	No visual defects	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen . On adjoining property to the north.	3000
37	Cupresses sp.	10	3	250	95	No visual defects	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Fair	Mature	Multi stemmed specimen . On adjoining property to the north.	3000
38	Magnolia soulangiana. On adjoining property	3.5	1	150	95	No visual defects	2a May only live for 15-40 years	Good	Mature	Magnolia soulangiana. On adjoining property	1800
39	Weeping bottle brush (Callistemon viminalis)	6.5	4.5	350	95	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature	Street tree. Multi stemmed specimen	4200
40	Weeping bottle brush (Callistemon viminalis)	6.5	4.5	350	95	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature	Street tree. Multi stemmed specimen	4200
41	Turpentine (Syncarpia glomulifera)								Sapling	DEAD	
42	Weeping bottle brush (Callistemon viminalis)	6.5	4.5	350	95	No visual defects	1a >40 years	Good	Mature	Street tree. Multi stemmed specimen	4200

KEY

Tree No: Relates to the number allocated to each tree for the Tree Plan.

Height: Height of the tree to the nearest metre.

Spread: The average spread of the canopy measured from the trunk.

DBH: Diameter at breast height. An industry standard for measuring trees at 1.4 metres above ground level, this measurement is used to help calculate Tree Protection Zones.

Live Crown Ratio: Percentage of foliage cover for a particular species.

Age Class:	Young:	Recently planted tree	Semi-mature:< 20% of life expectancy
	Mature:	20-90% of life expectancy	Over-mature:>90% of life expectancy

SULE: See SULE methodology in the Appendix 3

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ): The minimum area set aside for the protection of the trees trunk, canopy and root system throughout the construction process. Breaches of the TPZ will be specified in the recommendations section of the report.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ): The SRZ is a specified distance measured from the trunk that is set aside for the protection of the trees roots both structural and fibrous.

Appendix 3

SULE categories (after Barrell, 2001)¹

SULE Category	Description
<i>Long</i>	<i>Trees that appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for more than 40 years with an acceptable level of risk.</i>
1a	Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate for future growth
1b	Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree care.
1c	Trees of special significance that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention.
<i>Medium</i>	<i>Trees that appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for 15-40 years with an acceptable level of risk.</i>
2a	Trees that may only live for 15-40 years
2b	Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons
2c	Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide for new planting.
2d	Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial tree care.
<i>Short</i>	<i>Trees that appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for 5-15 years with an acceptable level of risk.</i>
3a	Trees that may only live for another 5-15 years
3b	Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons.
3c	Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide for a new planting.
3d	Trees that require substantial remedial tree care and are only suitable for retention in the short term.
<i>Remove</i>	<i>Trees that should be removed within the next five years.</i>
4a	Dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees because of disease or inhospitable conditions.
4b	Dangerous trees because of instability or loss of adjacent trees
4c	Dangerous trees because of structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form.
4d	Damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain.
4e	Trees that could live for more than 5 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide for a new planting.
4f	Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years.
4g	Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons given in (a) to (f).
4h	Trees in categories (a) to (g) that have a high wildlife habitat value and, with appropriate treatment, could be retained subject to regular review.
<i>Small</i>	<i>Small or young trees that can be reliably moved or replaced.</i>
5a	Small trees less than 5m in height.
5b	Young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height.
5c	Formal hedges and trees intended for regular pruning to artificially control growth.

updated 01/04/01)

1 (Barrell, J. (2001) "SULE: Its use and status into the new millennium" in *Management of mature trees*, Proceedings of the 4th NAAA Tree Management Seminar, NAAA, Sydney.

Appendix 4

TPZ and SRZ methodology

Determining the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12.

$$\text{TPZ} = \text{DBH} \times 12$$

Where

DBH = trunk diameter measured at 1.4 metres above ground

Radius is measured from the centre of the stem at ground level.

A TPZ should not be less than 2 metres no greater than 15 metres (except where crown protection is required.). Some instances may require variations to the TPZ.

The TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns should not be less than 1 metre outside the crown projection.

Determining the Structural Root Zone (SRZ)

The SRZ is the area required for tree stability. A larger area is required to maintain a viable tree.

The SRZ only needs to be calculated when major encroachment into a TPZ is proposed.


There are many factors that affect the size of the SRZ (e.g. tree height, crown area, soil type, soil moisture). The SRZ may also be influenced by natural or built structures, such as rocks and footings. An indicative SRZ radius can be determined from the trunk diameter measured immediately above the root buttress using the following formula or Figure 1. Root investigation may provide more information on the extent of these roots.

$$\text{SRZ radius} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

Where

D = trunk diameter, in m, measured above the root buttress

NOTE: The SRZ for trees with trunk diameters less than 0.15m will be 1.5m (see Figure 1).



The curve can be expressed by the following formula:
 $R_{SRZ} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$


FIGURE 1 - STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONE

Notes:

- 1 R_{SRZ} is the structural root zone radius.
- 2 D is the stem diameter measured immediately above root buttress.
- 3 The SRZ for trees less than 0.15 metres diameter is 1.5 metres.
- 4 The SRZ formula and graph do not apply to palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns.
- 5 This does not apply to trees with an asymmetrical root plate.

Appendix 5

Tree protection fencing **specifications**



LEGEND:

- 1 Chain wire mesh panels with shade cloth (if required) attached, held in place with concrete feet.
- 2 Alternative plywood or wooden paling fence panels. This fencing material also prevents building materials or soil entering the TPZ.
- 3 Mulch installation across surface of TPZ (at the discretion of the project arborist). No excavation, construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment or storage of materials of any kind is permitted within the TPZ.
- 4 Bracing is permissible within the TPZ. Installation of supports should avoid damaging roots.

Figure 1: Protective fencing as specified in AS 4970, 2009.

Appendix 6

Tree protection sign **sign sample**

Tree Protection Zone

Fence not to be moved without approval from Arborist

Within this fence there is to be


NO

Storage of materials

Trenching or excavation

Washing of tools or equipment

Appendix 7



Appendix 8

Tree structure information diagram




Figure 2: Structure of a tree in a normal growing environment (AS 4970, 2009.).

Appendix 9

Explanatory Notes

- **Mathematical abbreviations:** > = Greater than; < = Less than.
- **Measurements/estimates:** All dimensions are estimates unless otherwise indicated. Less reliable estimated dimensions are indicated with a '?'.
- **Species:** The species identification is based on visual observations and the common English name of what the tree appeared to be is listed first, with the botanical name after in brackets. In some instances, it may be difficult to quickly and accurately identify a particular tree without further detailed investigations. Where there is some doubt of the precise species of tree, it is indicated with a '?' after the name in order to avoid delay in the production of the report. The botanical name is followed by the abbreviation sp if only the genus is known. The species listed for groups and hedges represent the main component and there may be other minor species not listed.
- **Height:** Height is estimated to the nearest metre.
- **Spread:** The maximum crown spread is visually estimated to the nearest metre from the centre of the trunk to the tips of the live lateral branches.
- **Diameter:** These figures relate to 1.4m above ground level and are recorded in centimetres. If appropriate, diameter is measure with a diameter tape. 'M' indicates trees or shrubs with multiple stems.
- **Estimated Age:** Age is estimated from visual indicators and it should only be taken as a provisional guide. Age estimates often need to be modified based on further information such as historical records or local knowledge.
- **Distance to Structures:** This is estimated to the nearest metre and intended as an indication rather than a precise measurement.

Appendix 10

Bibliography

Draper D B & Richards P A (2009) *Dictionary for managing trees in urban environments*

CSIRO Publishing

Collingwood, Vic

Matheny N.P & Clark J.R. (1994) *Evaluation of hazard trees in Urban areas*

Second edition, International Society of Arboriculture

Illinois.

Mattheck C & Breloer H (2003) *The Body Language of Trees: A handbook for failure*

analysis. Research for Amenity Trees No. 4,

Seventh edition, The Stationary Office, London.

Shigo A.L. (2002) *A New Tree Biology*.

Shigo and Trees, Associates, Durham, New Hampshire.

Schwarze, F.W.M.R, Engels, J. Mattheck. C (2000) *Fungal strategies of wood decay in trees*

Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

Germany

Standards Australia, 2007, *Pruning of amenity trees* AS 4373, 2007

Standards Australia Ltd

Sydney

Standards Australia, 2009. *Protection of trees on development sites*, AS 4970, 2009

Standards Australia Ltd

Sydney

Curriculum Vitae

PAUL VEZGOFF - MOORE TREES P O Box 3114, Austinmer NSW 2515
P 0242 680 425 M 0411 712 887 E enquiries@mooretrees.com.au W www.mooretrees.com.au

EDUCATION and QUALIFICATIONS

- 2013 / 2018 – ISA TRAQ qualification
- 2007 – Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF Cert V) Ryde TAFE. (Distinction)
- 1997 – Completed Certificate in Crane and Plant Electrical Safety
- 1996 – Attained Tree Surgeon Certificate (AQF Cert II) at Ryde TAFE
- 1990 – Completed two month intensive course on garden design at the Inchbald School of Design, London, United Kingdom
- 1990 – Completed patio, window box and balcony garden design course at Brighton College of Technology, United Kingdom
- 1989 – Awarded the Big Brother Movement Award for Horticulture (a grant by Lady Peggy Pagan to enable horticulture training in the United Kingdom)
- 1989 – Attained Certificate of Horticulture (AQF Cert IV) at Wollongong TAFE

INDUSTRY EXPERIENCE

Moore Trees Arboricultural Services

January 2006 to date

Tree Consultancy and tree ultrasound. Tree hazard and risk assessment, Arborist development application reports
Tree management plans.

Woollahra Municipal Council

Oct 1995 to February 2008

ARBORICULTURE TECHNICAL OFFICER

August 2005 – February 2008

ACTING COORDINATOR OF TREES MAINTENANCE

June – July 2005, 2006

Responsible for all duties concerning park and street trees. Prioritising work duties, delegation of work and staff supervision.
TEAM LEADER

January 2003 – June 2005

September 2000 – January 2003

HORTICULTURALIST

October 1995 – September 2000

Northern Landscape Services

July to Oct 1995

Tradesman for Landscape Construction business

Paul Vezgoff Garden Maintenance (London, UK)

Sept 1991 to April 1995

CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOPS ATTENDED

- International Society of Arboriculture Conference (Canberra May 2017)
- QTRA Conference, Sydney Australia (November 2016)
- TRAQ Conference, Auckland NZ / Sydney (2013/2018)
- International Society of Arboriculture Conference (Brisbane 2008)
- Tree related hazards: recognition and assessment by Dr David Lonsdale (Brisbane 2008)
- Tree risk management: requirements for a defensible system by Dr David Lonsdale (Brisbane 2008)
- Tree dynamics and wind forces by Ken James (Brisbane 2008)
- Wood decay and fungal strategies by Dr F.W.M.R. Schwarze (Brisbane 2008)
- Tree Disputes in the Land & Environment Court – The Law Society (Sydney 2007)
- Barrell Tree Care Workshop- Trees on construction sites (Sydney 2005).
- Tree Logic Seminar- Urban tree risk management (Sydney 2005)
- Tree Pathology and Wood Decay Seminar presented by Dr F.W.M.R. Schwarze (Sydney 2004)
- Inaugural National Arborist Association of Australia (NAAA) tree management workshop- Assessing hazardous trees and their Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) (Sydney 1997).