

ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW
Gadigal Country

Prepared for
ALEXANDRIA PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT PTY LTD
10 June 2022



URBIS STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS REPORT WERE:

Associate Director	Balazs Hansel, MA Archaeology, MA History, M. ICOMOS
Senior Consultant	Sam Richards, BA Archaeology (Hons)
Consultant	Wade Goldwyer, BA Archaeology (Hons)
Project Code	P0037375
Report Number	Working Draft issued 11 May 2022 Final Draft issued 10 June 2022

Urbis acknowledges the important contribution that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people make in creating a strong and vibrant Australian society.

We acknowledge, in each of our offices the Traditional Owners on whose land we stand.

All information supplied to Urbis in order to conduct this research has been treated in the strictest confidence. It shall only be used in this context and shall not be made available to third parties without client authorisation. Confidential information has been stored securely and data provided by respondents, as well as their identity, has been treated in the strictest confidence and all assurance given to respondents have been and shall be fulfilled.

© Urbis Pty Ltd
50 105 256 228

All Rights Reserved. No material may be reproduced without prior permission.

You must read the important disclaimer appearing within the body of this report.

urbis.com.au

CONTENTS

Glossary	i
Executive Summary.....	1
1. Introduction	3
1.1. Subject Area	3
1.2. Proposed Works	3
1.3. Methodology	4
1.4. Authorship	5
1.5. Limitations	5
2. Statutory Context	10
2.1. Heritage Controls	10
2.1.1. The National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974	10
2.1.2. Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999	11
2.1.3. Sydney Local Environment Plan 2012	11
2.1.4. Sydney Development Control Plan 2012	11
2.2. Heritage Lists & Registers	12
2.2.1. Australian Heritage Database	12
2.2.2. NSW State Heritage Inventory	13
2.3. Summary	13
3. Aboriginal Community Consultation.....	15
3.1. Stage 1: Notification of Project and Registration of Interest	16
3.1.1. Native Title	16
3.1.2. Identification of Cultural Knowledge Holders	16
3.1.3. Registration of Interest	16
3.2. Stage 2: Presentation of Project Information	17
3.2.1. Information Pack	17
3.2.2. Site Inspection and Meeting	18
3.3. Stage 3: Gathering Cultural Information	19
3.3.1. Responses to Information Pack	19
3.3.2. Feedback from Site Inspection and Meeting	20
3.4. Stage 4: Review of Draft ACHAR	20
4. Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	22
4.1. Archaeological Context	22
4.1.1. Past Aboriginal Land Use	22
4.1.2. Previous Archaeological Investigations	23
4.1.3. AHIMS Database	24
4.1.4. Conclusions Drawn from Archaeological Context	26
4.2. Environmental Context	28
4.2.1. Soil Landscape and Geology	28
4.2.2. Topography	31
4.2.3. Vegetation	31
4.2.4. Hydrology	33
4.2.5. Historical Ground Disturbance	33
4.2.6. Conclusions Drawn from Environmental Context	38
4.3. Visual Inspection	39
4.4. Predictive Model	41
4.4.1. Typical Site Types	42
4.4.2. Assessment of Archaeological Potential	43
4.5. Summary	45
5. Significance Assessment	46
5.1. Assessment of Heritage Values	46
5.1.1. Social or Cultural Value	46

5.1.2.	Historic Value	47
5.1.3.	Scientific (Archaeological) Value	48
5.1.4.	Aesthetic Value.....	48
5.2.	Statement of Significance	48
6.	Impact Assessment.....	49
6.1.	Assessment of Potential Harm	49
6.2.	Avoidance & Minimisation of Harm.....	50
7.	Conclusions & Recommendations.....	51
8.	References	52
	Disclaimer.....	54

Appendix A	Basic and Extensive AHIMS Search Results
Appendix B	Registered Aboriginal Party Consultation Log
Appendix C	Registered Aboriginal Party Consultation Documentation

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Regional location.....	6
Figure 2 – Location of the subject area.	7
Figure 3 – Site plan showing proposed elevations of the new development.	8
Figure 4 – The concept design of the basement level that is subject to a design competition and Stage 2 detailed SSD.....	9
Figure 5 – Historical heritage items in proximity to the subject area.	14
Figure 6– AHIMS search results (Client Service ID: 663671).	24
Figure 7 – Map of AHIMS sites in extensive search area.	27
Figure 8 – Location of geotechnical boreholes within subject area.....	30
Figure 9 – Soil Landscapes and Hydrology.....	32
Figure 10 – Map of the country around Sydney, 1881 from Reconnaissance by Lieut. Parrott, Volr. Engineers. The approximate location of the subject area is outlined in red. The green arrow indicates north.....	34
Figure 11 – Historical survey of the subject area (outlined in red), dated 1891, showing the subject area is part of a larger property, likely used for agriculture. Property boundaries (fences) and small structures are visible. The red square shows the location of the subject area. Note that watermains have been installed. The green arrow indicates north.....	35
Figure 12 – Survey taken in 1925 along Bourke Road showing small structures within the subject area (outlined in red). A vegetable garden is visible on the eastern side of the subject area. The green arrow indicates north.	36
Figure 13 – Land title records, c.1929, showing the three separate allotments contained within the subject area (outlined in red). The green arrow indicates north.	37
Figure 14 – Aerial imagery from 1943 showing a large warehouse structure on the western section of subject area (outlined in red). Note the eastern and southern section of the subject area containing smaller warehouse structures with open space around them. The green arrow indicates north.	38
Figure 15- Front of building from Bourke Street.	40
Figure 16- Inside the building showing concrete floor.	40
Figure 17-Interior of building.....	40
Figure 18- Interior of building showing concrete floor and brick walls.....	40
Figure 19- Outside area showing concrete is present.....	40
Figure 20- Interior of building.....	40

TABLES

Table 1 – SEARs requirements and relevant report sections.	4
Table 2 – ACHAR requirements.	5
Table 3 – Contacted organisations.	16
Table 4 – Registered Aboriginal Parties.	17
Table 5 – RAPs in attendance at site inspection and meeting.	18
Table 6 – RAP responses to the Stage 2/3 Information Pack.	19
Table 7 – RAP comments received during or following site inspection and meeting.	20
Table 8 – RAP responses to the Stage 4 Draft ACHAR.	20
Table 9 – Summary of extensive AHIMS search (AHIMS Client Service ID: 663671).	25
Table 10 – Geotechnical borehole findings.	28
Table 11 – Indicative process for determining the potential presence of a site.	41
Table 12 – Predictive model.	43

GLOSSARY

Term	Definition
Aboriginal cultural heritage	The tangible (objects) and intangible (dreaming stories, legends and places) cultural practices and traditions associated with past and present-day Aboriginal communities.
Aboriginal object(s)	As defined in the NPW Act, any deposit, object or material evidence (not being a handicraft made for sale) relating to the Aboriginal habitation of the area that comprises NSW, being habitation before or concurrent with (or both) the occupation of that area by persons of non-Aboriginal extraction, and includes Aboriginal remains.
Aboriginal place	As defined in the NPW Act, any place declared to be an Aboriginal place (under s.84 of the NPW Act) by the Minister administering the NPW Act, by order published in the NSW Government Gazette, because the Minister is of the opinion that the place is or was of special significance with respect to Aboriginal culture. It may or may not contain Aboriginal objects.
ACHA	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment.
ACHAR	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report.
AHIMS	Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System: a register of previously reported Aboriginal objects and places managed by the DPC.
AHIP	Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit. A permit issued under Section 90, Division 2 of Part 6 of the <i>NPW Act</i> .
Archaeology	The scientific study of human history, particularly the relics and cultural remains of the distant past.
Art	Art sites can occur in the form of rock engravings or pigment on sandstone outcrops or within shelters. An engraving is some form of image which has been pecked or carved into a rock surface. Engravings typically vary in size and nature, with small abstract geometric forms as well as anthropomorphic figures and animals also depicted. Pigment art is the result of the application of material to a stone to leave a distinct impression. Pigment types include ochre, charcoal and pipeclay.
Artefact	An object made by human agency (e.g. stone artefacts).
Consultation Requirements	<i>Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents</i> (DECCW, 2010).
DCP	Development Control Plan.
DECCW	Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW.
DPC	Department of Premier and Cabinet.

Term	Definition
EP&A Act	<i>NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.</i>
Grinding Grooves	The physical evidence of tool making, or food processing activities undertaken by Aboriginal people. The manual rubbing of stones against other stones creates grooves in the rock; these are usually found on flat areas of abrasive rock such as sandstone.
Harm	As defined in the NPW Act, to destroy, deface, damage or move an Aboriginal object or destroy, deface or damage a declared Aboriginal place. Harm may be direct or indirect (e.g. through increased visitation or erosion). Harm does not include something that is trivial or negligible.
Isolated find	A single artefact found in an isolated context.
LALC	Local Aboriginal Land Council: corporate body constituted under the <i>Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983</i> , having a defined boundary within which it operates.
LEP	Local Environment Plan.
Midden	Midden sites are indicative of Aboriginal habitation, subsistence and resource extraction. Midden sites are expressed through the occurrence of shell deposits of edible shell species often associated with dark, ashy soil and charcoal. Middens may or may not contain other archaeological materials including stone tools.
NPW Act	<i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974.</i>
NPW Regulation	<i>National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019.</i>
PAD	Potential Archaeological Deposit: a location considered to have a potential for subsurface archaeological material.
RAPs	Registered Aboriginal Parties: Aboriginal persons or organisation who have registered to be consulted on the Project in accordance with the Consultation Requirements.
Scarred / Modified Trees	Trees which display signs of human modification in the form of scars left from intentional bark removal for the creation of tools, or which are carved for ceremonial purposes.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The final report presents the results of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area'). The ACHA has been undertaken to support Stage 1 of a three stage State Significant Development Application (SSDA), which seeks approval to redevelop the subject area for a hospital and medical centre uses.

Stage 1 of the SSDA (SSD-38600121) is for the concept envelope of the building, which will be followed by a design competition for the design of the building. No physical works are proposed to be undertaken as part of Stage 1. Stage 2 of the SSDA will seek approval for the detailed design of the building and its construction.

This ACHA addresses the relevant requirements of the Department of Planning's Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) and has been carried out in accordance with Part 6 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act) and Part 5 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019* (NPW Reg) and the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW), 2010) (the Consultation Guidelines).
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (Office of Environment and Heritage 2011) (the Assessment Guidelines).
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010) (the Code of Practice).
- *The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, The Burra Charter, 2013* (Burra Charter).

The ACHA concluded that:

- No Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places are registered within the subject area.
- No previous Aboriginal archaeological investigations have been identified that directly address the subject area.
- The subject area is located in the Tuggerah soil landscape and within 200m of a first order, ephemeral waterway, which is an archaeologically sensitive landscape feature.
- Historical activities, including construction and demolition of buildings and utilisation of the subject area for industrial purposes, are determined to have caused a high level of ground disturbance across the subject area.
- Geotechnical findings confirm the impact of historical activities on the soil profile, with disturbance being encountered to a minimum depth of 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface. There is nil to low potential for Aboriginal sites within the disturbed soil layers.
- Geotechnical findings further indicate the presence of an intact natural sand body below approximately 0.6/1.9m, likely the Tuggerah soil landscape, which is an archaeologically sensitive landform. This natural sand body has been identified with the potential for archaeological resources dating to the Pleistocene. This natural soil profile extends 0.6/1.9m to 7.3m/8.5m below the existing ground surface.
- The subject area has moderate archaeological potential for artefact scatters / campsites, burials, isolated finds, middens and PADS dating to the potentially the Pleistocene within intact natural soil at depths exceeding 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface.
- The subject area is determined to have moderate Aboriginal cultural heritage significance for its scientific value associated with the potential for Aboriginal objects dating to the Pleistocene.
- If any Aboriginal objects are retained within intact natural soils below the existing ground surface, they would have high scientific significance as rare examples of occupation of the Sydney basin and for their potential to contribute to an understanding of the cultural history of the region.
- Any physical works in the subject area that impact the ground below 0.6m/1.9m or more have the potential to directly harm Aboriginal objects. The assessment for subsurface impacts will need to be confirmed in Stage 2 of the SSDA once the proposed works are finalised.

Based on the above conclusions, Urbis recommends the following:

- Consultation with the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) should continue until the finalisation of the proposed development to ensure the opportunity for community input.
- As suggested by the RAPs during the consultation process, Native planting should be considered within the proposal at Stage 2 of the SSDA.
- Any physical works in the subject area that impact undisturbed subsurface soil below a depth of 0.6m/1.9m should be further investigated to understand if Aboriginal archaeological resources are present.
- Once the detailed design and physical impacts from the proposal have been finalised at Stage 2 of the SSDA, the recommendations and potential harm and impacts to Aboriginal objects should be refined and reconsidered.

[REDACTED] agreed with the methodology employed for the ACHA and endorsed the above conclusions and recommendations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Urbis has been engaged by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd ('the proponent') to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area') (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The ACHA will support a three stage State Significant Development Application (SSDA-38600121), which seeks approval to redevelop the subject area for 'Alexandria Health Centre' comprising medical centre uses and anchored by a mental health hospital.

Stage 1 of the SSDA is for the concept envelope of the building, which will be followed by a design competition for the design of the building. No physical works are proposed under the Stage 1 SSDA. Stage 2 of the SSDA will seek approval for the detailed design of the building and its construction.

The ACHA has been undertaken to investigate whether development of the subject area will harm Aboriginal objects or places that may exist within the subject area and determine whether the subject area presents any Aboriginal archaeological and heritage constraints. The current Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) presents the results of the ACHA in accordance with the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the State Significant Development (SSD-38600121). This ACHAR will accompany an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed works.

1.1. SUBJECT AREA

The subject area is located approximately 4.3 km south-south-west of the Sydney CBD, within the City of Sydney Local Government Area (Sydney LGA) and within the boundaries of the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC). It is currently zoned B7 – Business Park.

The subject area encompasses approximately 2965m² and has frontage to Bourke Road to the north. Surrounding the subject area are other B7- Business Park zoned properties.

1.2. PROPOSED WORKS

Development consent is sought for a concept proposal for the 'Alexandria Health Centre' comprising medical centre uses and anchored by a mental health hospital. Specifically, the application seeks concept approval for:

- In principle arrangements for the demolition of existing structures on the site and excavation to accommodate a single level of basement car parking (partially below ground level).
- A building envelope to a maximum height of 45 m (RL 53.41) (including architectural roof features and building plant). The podium will have a maximum height of RL 28.41.
- A maximum gross floor area of 11,442.20 sqm, which equates to a maximum FSR of 3.85:1. The total FSR will comprise a base FSR of 2:1, a community infrastructure bonus FSR of 1.5:1 and a 10% design excellence bonus FSR (subject to a competitive design alternatives process).
- Indicative use of the building as follows:
 - Mental health hospital at levels 5-7.
 - Medical centre uses at levels 1-4.
 - Ground level reception/lobby and pharmacy.
- Principles for future vehicular ingress and egress from Bourke Road along the site's western frontage.
- Subject to agreement on a public benefit offer submitted with this application, the proposal includes the indicative dedication of the following land to Council as envisaged by the Draft Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 – Southern Enterprise Area Amendment (Draft DCP):
 - A 2.4m wide strip of land along the site's frontage to Bourke Road for the purpose of footpath widening.
 - A 3m wide lane along the site's western boundary contributing towards a 6m wide lane (it is noted that the concept proposal will allocate an additional 3 m strip of land within the site along the western boundary to enable two-way vehicle movement into and out of the site).
 - A 3m wide lane along the site's southern boundary, contributing towards a 9m wide lane.

Stage 1 of the SSDA is for the concept envelope (Figure 3 and Figure 4) of the building, which will be followed by a design competition for the design of the building. No physical works are proposed under the Stage 1 SSDA. Figure 3 outlines the concept design that is subject to a design competition.

1.3. METHODOLOGY

The Planning Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the proposed development were issued on 7 April 2022. The present ACHA report addresses SEARs Item 17, which is recited in Table 1 below.

Table 1 – SEARs requirements and relevant report sections.

Issue and Assessment Requirements	Documentation
<p><i>17. Aboriginal Cultural Heritage</i></p> <p><i>Provide an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report prepared in accordance with relevant guidelines, identifying, describing and assessing any impacts for any Aboriginal cultural heritage values on the site.</i></p>	<p>Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report</p>

The ACHA was undertaken in accordance with Part 6 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act) and Part 5 of the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019* (NPW Reg). The ACHA was further conducted in accordance with the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW, 2010c) (the Consultation Guidelines).
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW* (Office of Environment and Heritage 2011) (the Assessment Guidelines).
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW 2010b) (the Code of Practice).
- *The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, The Burra Charter, 2013* (Burra Charter).

The objectives of the ACHA are to:

- Investigate the presence, or absence, of Aboriginal objects and/or places within and in close proximity to the subject area, and whether those objects and/or places would be impacted by the proposed development.
- Investigate the presence, or absence, of any landscape features that may have the potential to contain Aboriginal objects and/or sites and whether those objects and/or sites would be impacted by the proposed development.
- Document the nature, extent and significance of any Aboriginal objects and/or places and sites that may located within the subject area.
- Document consultation with the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) with the aim to identify any spiritual, traditional, historical or contemporary associations or attachments to the subject area and any Aboriginal objects and/or places that might be identified within the subject area.
- Provide management strategies for any identified Aboriginal objects and/or places or cultural heritage values.
- Provide recommendations for the implementation of the identified management strategies.
- Prepare an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) to be included with an application for an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit for the proposed development, if required.

Section 3.1 of the Assessment Guidelines specifies the content requirements of an ACHAR, which includes the requirements of Regulation 61 of the NPW Reg. The requirements are listed in Table 2 below, together with the sections of the present ACHAR in which they are addressed.

Table 2 – ACHAR requirements.

Requirement	Section of Report
A description of the Aboriginal objects and declared Aboriginal places located within the area of the proposed activity	Section 4
A description of the cultural heritage values, including the significance of the Aboriginal objects and declared Aboriginal places, that exist across the whole area that will be affected by the proposed activity and the significance of these values for the Aboriginal people who have a cultural association with the land	Section 5
How the requirements for consultation with Aboriginal people have been met (as specified in clause 80C of the NPW Regulation)	Section 3
The views of those Aboriginal people regarding the likely impact of the proposed activity on their cultural heritage (if any submissions have been received as a part of the consultation requirements, the report must include a copy of each submission and your response)	Section 3 & Appendix C
Actual or likely harm posed to the Aboriginal objects or declared Aboriginal places from the proposed activity, with reference to the cultural heritage values identified	Section 6
Any practical measures that may be taken to protect and conserve those Aboriginal objects or declared Aboriginal places	Section 6
Any practical measures that may be taken to avoid or mitigate any actual or likely harm, alternatives to harm or, if this is not possible, to manage (minimise) harm.	Section 6

1.4. AUTHORSHIP


The present report has been prepared by Wade Goldwyer (Urbis Consultant Archaeologist) with review and quality control undertaken by Sam Richards (Urbis Senior Archaeologist) Balazs Hansel (Urbis Associate Director, Archaeology).

Wade Goldwyer holds a Bachelor of Arts (Honours - Archaeology) from the University of Western Australia, Sam Richards holds a Bachelor of Arts (Archaeology) from the University of Liverpool, United Kingdom. Balazs Hansel holds a Masters (History) and Masters (Archaeology and Museum Studies) from the University of Szeged (Hungary).

1.5. LIMITATIONS

The ACHA was limited to an assessment of the archaeological remains of Aboriginal cultural heritage and does not consider historical archaeological remains or built heritage items.

The ACHA considers the potential impacts of undefined works within the proposed concept envelope proposal. The present ACHA should be updated for any specific proposed works to be undertaken within the proposed concept envelope.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



Project No: P0037575

Project Manager: Sam Richards

● Subject Area

Figure 1 – Regional location.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



40 M

Project No: P0037375


Project Manager: Sam Richards

 Subject Area
 — Contours
 - - - Ephemeral
 - - - Train Line

Location of the Subject Area

Figure 2 – Location of the subject area.

PRELIMINARY



LEGEND

- CONCEPT ENVELOPE
- FOOTPATH DEDICATION
- LANEWAY DEDICATION
- SITE BOUNDARY
- SETBACK

ISSUE	No.	Date	Description	Chief
	1		Issued for DECA	

Architect
NBRSARCHITECTURE.

Sydney
 01 2 9552 2544 nbrsarchitecture.com
 Any form of replication of this drawing in full or in part without the written
 permission of NBRS ARCHITECTURE Pty Ltd constitutes an infringement of the
 copyright.
 Notwithstanding to whomsoever
 Andrew G. Smith 1/2/2022 01/2022
 NBRS & Partners Pty Ltd VIC 1/1/2022 AS/NZS 1907:2015

Project
Alexandria Health Centre

At
 20-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW
 for
 Alexandria Property Development

Drawing Title
Envelope - Elevation

Figure 3 – Site plan showing proposed elevations of the new development.
Source: NBRS, 2022

2. STATUTORY CONTEXT

2.1. HERITAGE CONTROLS

The protection and management of Aboriginal cultural heritage items, places and archaeological sites within New South Wales is governed by the relevant Commonwealth, State or local government legislation. These are discussed below in relation to the present subject area.

2.1.1. The National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974

Management of Aboriginal objects and places in NSW falls under the statutory control of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act). Application of the NPW Act is in accordance with the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019* (NPW Reg).

Section 5 of the NPW Act defines Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places as follows:

Aboriginal object means any deposit, object or material evidence (not being a handicraft made for sale) relating to the Aboriginal habitation of the area that comprises New South Wales, being habitation before or concurrent with (or both) the occupation of that area by persons of non-Aboriginal extraction, and includes Aboriginal remains.

Aboriginal place means any place declared to be an Aboriginal place under section 84 of the NPW Act.

The NPW Act provides statutory protection for Aboriginal objects, defining two tiers of offence against which individuals or corporations who harm Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places can be prosecuted. The highest tier offences are reserved for knowledgeable harm of Aboriginal objects or knowledgeable desecration of Aboriginal places. Second tier offences are strict liability offences - that is, offences regardless of whether or not the offender knows they are harming an Aboriginal object or desecrating an Aboriginal place - against which defences may be established under the *National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2009* (NSW) (the NPW Regulation).

It is an offence under section 86 of the NPW Act to harm or desecrate an Aboriginal object or place. Section 87 of the NPW Act specifies that that it is a defence to a prosecution for an offence under section 86 of the NPW Act that the harm or desecration was authorised by an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP), provided the conditions to which that AHIP was subject were not contravened.

Regulation 61(1) of the NPW Regulation specifies that an application for the issue of an Aboriginal heritage impact permit must be accompanied by an ACHAR. The scope of the ACHAR is specified in Regulation 61(2) and 61(3):

- (2) *A cultural heritage assessment report is to deal with the following matters—*
 - (a) *the significance of the Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places that are the subject of the application,*
 - (b) *the actual or likely harm to those Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places from the proposed activity that is the subject of the application,*
 - (c) *any practical measures that may be taken to protect and conserve those Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places,*
 - (d) *any practical measures that may be taken to avoid or mitigate any actual or likely harm to those Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places.*
- (3) *A cultural heritage assessment report must include—*
 - (a) *if any submission has been received from a registered Aboriginal party under clause 60 (including any submission on the proposed methodology to be used in the preparation of the report and any submission on the draft report), a copy of the submission, and*
 - (b) *the applicant's response to each such submission.*

The present ACHAR is prepared in accordance with the above requirements.

2.1.2. Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

In 2004, a new Commonwealth heritage management system was introduced under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). The EPBC Act protects any items listed in the National Heritage List (NHL) and the Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL).

The National Heritage List (NHL) is a list of natural, historic and Indigenous places of outstanding significance to the nation. It was established to protect places that have outstanding value to the nation.

The Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL) was established to protect items and places owned or managed by Commonwealth agencies. The Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPC) is responsible for the implementation of national policy, programs and legislation to protect and conserve Australia's environment and heritage and to promote Australian arts and culture. Approval from the Minister is required for controlled actions which will have a significant impact on items and places included on the NHL or CHL.

2.1.3. Sydney Local Environment Plan 2012

The *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act) requires each LGA to produce a Local Environment Plan (LEP). The LEP identifies items and areas of local heritage significance and outlines development consent requirements.

The subject area falls within the City of Sydney Local Government Area (Sydney LGA) and is subject to the Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2014 (Sydney LEP). Under Section 5.10(2) of the Sydney LEP, development consent is required for:

(a) demolishing or moving any of the following or altering the exterior of any of the following (including, in the case of a building, making changes to its detail, fabric, finish or appearance)—

(i) a heritage item,

(ii) an Aboriginal object,

(iii) a building, work, relic or tree within a heritage conservation area,

(b) altering a heritage item that is a building by making structural changes to its interior or by making changes to anything inside the item that is specified in Schedule 5 in relation to the item,

(c) disturbing or excavating an archaeological site while knowing, or having reasonable cause to suspect, that the disturbance or excavation will or is likely to result in a relic being discovered, exposed, moved, damaged or destroyed,

(d) disturbing or excavating an Aboriginal place of heritage significance,

(e) erecting a building on land—

(i) on which a heritage item is located or that is within a heritage conservation area, or

(ii) on which an Aboriginal object is located or that is within an Aboriginal place of heritage significance,

(f) subdividing land—

(i) on which a heritage item is located or that is within a heritage conservation area, or

(ii) on which an Aboriginal object is located or that is within an Aboriginal place of heritage significance.

The ACHA was undertaken to determine whether or not Aboriginal archaeological resources are present within the subject area.

2.1.4. Sydney Development Control Plan 2012

The EP&A Act requires each LGA to produce a Development Control Plan (DCP). Not all LGAs provide information regarding Aboriginal cultural heritage and specific development controls to protect Aboriginal cultural heritage.

The subject area is encompassed by the Sydney Development Control Plan 2012 (Sydney DCP).

Section 3.9 of the Sydney DCP makes general provisions for heritage, including Aboriginal cultural heritage. The objectives of Section 3.9 of the Sydney DCP are to

- (a) *Ensure that heritage significance is considered for heritage items, development within heritage conservation areas, and development affecting archaeological sites and places of Aboriginal heritage significance.*
- (b) *Enhance the character and heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas and ensure that infill development is designed to respond positively to the heritage character of adjoining and nearby buildings and features of the public domain.*

Aboriginal archaeological resources are addressed in Section 3.9.3 of the Sydney DCP, which states:

- (1) *An archaeological assessment is to be prepared by a suitably qualified archaeologist in accordance with the guidelines prepared by the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage.*
- (2) *For development proposals in Central Sydney, refer to the Central Sydney Archaeological Zoning Plan to determine whether the development site has archaeological potential.*
- (3) *An archaeological assessment is to be submitted as part of the Statement of Environmental Effects for development applications affecting an archaeological site or a place of Aboriginal heritage significance, or potential archaeological site that is likely to have heritage significance.*
- (4) *An archaeological assessment is to include:*
 - (a) *an assessment of the archaeological potential of the archaeological site or place of Aboriginal heritage significance;*
 - (b) *the heritage significance of the archaeological site or place of Aboriginal heritage significance;*
 - (c) *the probable impact of the proposed development on the heritage significance of the archaeological site or place of Aboriginal heritage significance;*
 - (d) *the compatibility of the development with conservation policies contained within an applicable conservation management plan or conservation management strategy; and*
 - (e) *a management strategy to conserve the heritage significance of the archaeological site or place of Aboriginal heritage significance.*
- (5) *If there is any likelihood that the development will have an impact on significant archaeological relics, development is to ensure that the impact is managed according to the assessed level of significance of those relics.*

The ACHA was undertaken to determine whether or not Aboriginal archaeological sites and places of Aboriginal heritage significance are present within the subject area.

2.2. HERITAGE LISTS & REGISTERS

A review of relevant heritage lists and registers was undertaken to determine whether any Aboriginal cultural heritage items are located within the curtilage of, or in proximity to, the subject area.

2.2.1. Australian Heritage Database

The Australian Heritage Database is a database of heritage items included in the World Heritage List, the National Heritage List (NHL), the Commonwealth Heritage list (CHL) and places in the Register of the National Estate. The list also includes places under consideration, or that may have been considered, for any one of these lists.

A search of the Australian Heritage Database was undertaken on 10 March 2022. The search did not identify any heritage items within the curtilage of the subject area.

2.2.2. NSW State Heritage Inventory

The State Heritage Inventory (SHI) is a database of heritage items in NSW which includes declared Aboriginal Places, items listed on the SHR, listed Interim Heritage Orders (IHOs) and items listed of local heritage significance on a local council's LEP.

A search of the SHI was undertaken on 10 March 2022. The search did not identify any heritage items within the curtilage of the subject area.

2.3. SUMMARY

The statutory context of the subject area is summarised as follows:

- The present ACHA aims to establish whether any Aboriginal archaeological resources would be harmed by the proposed development of the subject area, thus addressing s.87(2) of the NPW Act, Section 5.10(2) of the Sydney LEP and Section 3.9 of the Sydney DCP.
- Searches of the State Heritage Inventory and Australian Heritage Database did not identify any heritage items within the curtilage of the subject area.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd. ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



0.2 KM

Project No: P0037375

Project Manager: Sam Richards

 Subject Area Conservation Area - General Item - General

--- Contours

Historical Heritage Items HERITAGE ITEMS

Figure 5 – Historical heritage items in proximity to the subject area.

3. ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

In administering its statutory functions under Part 6 of the *NSW National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) requires that Proponent consult with Aboriginal people about the Aboriginal cultural heritage values (cultural significance) of Aboriginal objects and/or places within any given development area in accordance with Clause 80c of the *NSW National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019*.

The DPC maintains that the objective of consultation with Aboriginal communities about the cultural heritage values of Aboriginal objects and places is to ensure that Aboriginal people have the opportunity to improve ACHA outcomes by (DECCW 2010a):

- Providing relevant information about the cultural significance and values of Aboriginal objects and/or places.
- Influencing the design of the method to assess cultural and scientific significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places.
- Actively contributing to the development of cultural heritage management options and recommendations for any Aboriginal objects and/or places within the proposed subject area.
- Commenting on draft assessment reports before they are submitted by the Proponent to the DPC.

Consultation in line with the Consultation Requirements (DECCW 2010) is a formal requirement where a Proponent is aware that their development activity has the potential to harm Aboriginal objects or places. The DPC also recommends that these requirements be used when the certainty of harm is not yet established but a proponent has, through some formal development mechanism, been required to undertake a cultural heritage assessment to establish the potential harm their proposal may have on Aboriginal objects and places.

The Consultation Requirements outline a four-stage consultation process that includes the following:

- Stage 1 – Notification of project proposal and registration of interest.
- Stage 2 – Presentation of information about the proposed project.
- Stage 3 – Gathering information about the cultural significance.
- Stage 4 – Review of draft cultural heritage assessment report.

The document also outlines the roles and responsibilities of the DPC, Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) including Local and State Aboriginal Land Councils, and proponents throughout the consultation process.

To meet the requirements of consultation it is expected that proponents will:

- Bring the RAPs, or their nominated representatives, together and be responsible for ensuring appropriate administration and management of the consultation process.
- Consider the cultural perspectives, views, knowledge and advice of the RAPs involved in the consultation process in assessing cultural significance and developing any heritage management outcomes for Aboriginal objects(s) and/or places(s).
- Provide evidence to the DPC of consultation by including information relevant to the cultural perspectives, views, knowledge and advice provided by the RAPs.
- Accurately record and clearly articulate all consultation findings in the final cultural heritage assessment report.
- Provide copies of the cultural heritage assessment report to the RAPs who have been consulted.

The consultation process undertaken to seek active involvement from relevant Aboriginal representatives for the project followed the current NSW statutory guideline, namely, the Consultation Requirements. Section 1.3 of the Consultation Requirements describes the guiding principles of the document. The principles have been derived directly from the principles section of the *Australian Heritage Commission's Ask First: A guide to respecting Indigenous heritage places and values* (Australian Heritage Commission 2002).

The following outlines the process and results of the consultation conducted during this assessment to ascertain and reflect the Aboriginal cultural heritage values of the subject area.

3.1. STAGE 1: NOTIFICATION OF PROJECT AND REGISTRATION OF INTEREST

The aim of Stage 1 of the community consultation process is to identify, notify and register Aboriginal people who hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places in the subject area.

3.1.1. Native Title

A search of the National Native Title Tribunal (NNTT) registers and databases was undertaken on 21 February 2022. The search identified no Native Title claims or registrations for the subject area. The NNTT was also contacted by email on 21 February 2022 to request a formal search of the NNTT Register. A reply was received on 22 February 2022 indicating that there are no Native Title Determination Applications, Determinations of Native Title, or Indigenous Land Use Agreements over the identified area.

3.1.2. Identification of Cultural Knowledge Holders

To identify Aboriginal people who may be interested in registering as Aboriginal parties for the project, the organisations stipulated in Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Guidelines were contacted on 21 February 2022 (Table 3). The template for the emails sent to the above-mentioned organisations is included in Appendix C. A total of 55 Aboriginal groups and individuals with a potential interest in the subject area were identified during this stage.

Table 3 – Contacted organisations.

Organisation	Date Notification Sent	Date Response Received
Office of the Registrar, Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1983	21 February 2022	n/a
Heritage NSW, Department of Premier and Cabinet	21 February 2022	24 February 2022
NTS Corp	21 February 2022	n/a
Greater Sydney Local Land Services	21 February 2022	22 February 2022
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council	21 February 2022	n/a
City of Sydney Council	21 February 2022	23 February 2022

In accordance with Section 4.1.3 of the Consultation Guidelines, letters were sent to the 55 identified Aboriginal groups and individuals by either email or post on 28 February 2022 to notify them of the proposed project. The letters included a brief introduction to the project and the project location and set a deadline for response of 14 March 2022, providing the minimum 14 days to register an interest required by the Consultation Requirements. A copy of the letter template is included in Appendix C.

Further in accordance with Section 4.1.3 of the Consultation Guidelines, an advertisement was placed in one local online newspaper, the Daily Telegraph. The advertisement was published in the 3 March 2022 edition and registration was open until 17 March 2022, providing the minimum 14 days to register an interest required by the Consultation Requirements. A copy of the advertisement is included in Appendix C.

3.1.3. Registration of Interest

A total of 12 groups registered interest in the project as a result of this phase (Table 4). Acknowledgement emails or telephone calls were made by Urbis to all respondents to confirm registration had been received.

In accordance with Section 4.1.6 of the Consultation Guidelines, the list of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) was provided to Heritage NSW and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council on 21 March 2022 (see Appendix C).

Table 4 – Registered Aboriginal Parties.

Organisation/Individual	Contact Person
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

3.2. STAGE 2: PRESENTATION OF PROJECT INFORMATION

The aim of Stage 2 of the community consultation process is to provide registered Aboriginal parties with information about the scope of the proposed project and the proposed cultural heritage assessment process.

3.2.1. Information Pack

A Stage 2/3 information pack was sent to registered Aboriginal parties via email on 17 March 2022. A follow-up email was sent to all the RAPs on 1 April 2022. The information pack was prepared as a combination of Stage 2 and 3 of the Consultation Guidelines, and included the following information:

- Project overview, location and purpose.
- Proposed works.
- Project history.
- Brief archaeological and environmental background.
- Protocol of gathering information on cultural heritage significance.
- Request for comment on methodology and recommendations for site investigation, and request for any cultural information the respondent wished to share.

A response to the Stage 2/3 information pack was requested by 14 April 2022, providing the 28 days to respond required by the Consultation Requirements. A copy of the Stage 2/3 information pack is included in Appendix C of this report.

3.2.2. Site Inspection and Meeting

A separate communication was sent on 20 April to all RAPs who responded to the Stage 2/3 information pack by the deadline, namely [REDACTED]. The communication invited the RAPs to register for a site inspection and meeting to be held on 27 April 2022, which formed part of Stage 2/3 of the ACHA process. It should be noted that [REDACTED] did not respond to the invitation request and [REDACTED] was unable to attend the site inspection due to illness.

The site inspection and meeting were conducted by Sam Richards (Urbis Senior Archaeologist) and Kirsten Downey (Urbis Consultant Archaeologist). The RAPs present at the site inspection and meeting are listed in Table 5. Additional personnel that were present include the client representatives, namely Adam Thomas and Barnaby Goodman.

Table 5 – RAPs in attendance at site inspection and meeting.

Group	Representative
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

The purpose of the site inspection and meeting was to conduct a thorough briefing with the RAPs about the proposed development, to conduct a walkover of the subject area with the RAPs, to discuss the information provided in the Stage 2/3 document provided and to discuss potential archaeological mitigation strategies.

3.3. STAGE 3: GATHERING CULTURAL INFORMATION

Stage 3 of the community consultation process is concerned with gathering feedback on a project, proposed methodologies, and obtaining any cultural information that registered Aboriginal parties wish to share. This may include ethno-historical information, or identification of significant sites or places in the local area.

3.3.1. Responses to Information Pack

There was a total of two responses had been received in relation to the Stage 2/3 information pack. The responses are included in Appendix C and addressed in Table 6 below.

Table 6 – RAP responses to the Stage 2/3 Information Pack.

RAP	Response	Urbis Response
<p>██████████</p> <p>██████████</p> <p>██████</p>	<p>Thank you for your draft 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria. ██████████ hold cultural knowledge of the whole of Sydney area for over fifty years, we hold a deep spiritual connection to Mother Earth. We aim the look after Mother Earth and conserve our land and cultural sites, these sacred sites are highly significant to us Aboriginal people. Aboriginal places, sacred sites, burials, rock art, gridding grooves, stone tools/ artefacts, and objects are being destroyed all over Sydney, it is in our best interest the save these places and treat them with respect before it is too late.</p> <p>We would like to recommend cultural interpretation plan for the site to recognise the Aboriginal people as the one of the oldest continuing cultures and the traditional people of country. This can be achieved through native landscaping, art, sculptures, Aboriginal naming of buildings, native edible gardens, and water features for example.</p> <p>We would like to agree to ACHA, and we look forward to further consultation on this project.</p>	<p>Urbis thanks ██████████ for their response and acknowledges their recommendation for a cultural interpretation plan (CIP). The CIP will be discussed with the client and considered if able to be accommodated within the usage / design of the building at Stage 2 of the project.</p>
<p>██████████</p>	<p>Thank you for the report I have read it and it looks great. We have nothing at this stage to add. As traditional owners we would however like to let you know that we have strong and ongoing connection to the area. Please keep us updated as the project progresses.</p>	<p>Urbis thanks ██████████ for their response and acknowledges to keep ██████████ updated regarded all stages.</p>

3.3.2. Feedback from Site Inspection and Meeting

During the site inspection and meeting of 27 April 2022, the RAP able to attend was given the opportunity to provide verbal feedback. The RAP was also provided with the opportunity to submit written information via email by the deadline of 14 April 2022. The feedback provided by RAP during and following the site inspection and meeting is presented in Table 7 below.

Table 7 – RAP comments received during or following site inspection and meeting

RAP	Comment	Urbis Response
[REDACTED]	Would like to undertake the Designing/Connecting with Country Framework for this project and integrate biodiversity into the development through regeneration of land and native plants out the front of the building.	Urbis thanks [REDACTED] for their response and acknowledges their recommendation for a Designing/Connecting with Country Framework. The Designing/Connecting with Country Framework will be discussed with the client and considered if able to be accommodated within the usage / design of the building at Stage 2 of the project.

3.4. STAGE 4: REVIEW OF DRAFT ACHAR

The aim of Stage 4 of the community consultation process is to prepare and finalise an ACHAR with input from Registered Aboriginal Parties.

A draft of the present ACHAR was sent to RAPs via email on the 11 May 2022 with comment on the draft ACHAR requested by 8 June 2022, providing the 28 days to respond required by the Consultation Requirements. It is noted that the time allowed for comment should reflect the size and complexity of the project.

A total of three responses were received in relation to the Stage 4 draft ACHAR. The responses are included in Appendix C and addressed in Table 8 below.

Table 8 – RAP responses to the Stage 4 Draft ACHAR

RAP	Response	Urbis Response
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] support the Draft ACHA Report.	Urbis thanks [REDACTED] for their response. We will keep [REDACTED] updated throughout the consultation process.
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] supports the draft ACHA Report. Additional note is made: Our group is a non- profit organisation that has been active for over forty years in Western Sydney, we are	Urbis thanks [REDACTED] for their response. We will keep

	<p>a [REDACTED] community group with over three hundred members. The main aim in our constitution is the care of [REDACTED] sites, places, wildlife and to promote our culture and provide education on the [REDACTED].</p> <p>The Alexandria area is an area our group has a vast knowledge of, we have worked and lived in for many years, this area is highly significant to the [REDACTED] people due to the connection of sites and the continued occupation. Our group has been involved in all previous assessments and works in this area as a traditional owner [REDACTED] for the past 40 plus years.</p> <p>"Aboriginal peoples are the oldest continued culture...the land may have been taken from us for many tens of years and disturbed. However, they still have cultural values, as a culture we have had to adapt to a forever changing landscape, allowance for culture, way of practicing these cultures and even our language is forever changing and adapting."</p> <p>Key priorities of the development are to use sustainable materials, plant native plants that are from the area, using correct terminology, do not use the past tense and ensure that it is clear throughout the development that this is always has been and always will be Aboriginal land.</p> <p>Our [REDACTED] can only be assessed by [REDACTED] [REDACTED] we have our song lines and creation places that only our people can identify, our connection to our nura is part of us and our country.</p> <p>Our histories are held by our people and places, when we are looking for cultural aspects of an area they are not only seen but felt, our spiritual connections are our culture and heritage that connect us to our old people through the evidence that we see on our site visits.</p> <p>People from other mobs should be respectful of our country and people if they are not respectful that the [REDACTED] are the knowledge holders then they are not cultural, therefore should not be involved on cultural heritage [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED] updated throughout the consultation process.</p>
<p>[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED] support the draft ACHA Report and request to keep updated as the project progresses. As traditional owners we would however like to let you know that we have strong and ongoing connection to the area.</p>	<p>Urbis thanks [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] their response. We will keep [REDACTED] updated throughout the consultation process.</p>

4. ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE

An assessment of Aboriginal cultural heritage within a particular subject area requires an understanding of the archaeological and environmental contexts in which the area is situated. The following is a review and analysis of those contexts for the present subject area.

4.1. ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

A summary of background research for Aboriginal cultural heritage resources within and around the subject area is provided below, including search results from the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) and consideration of previous archaeological investigations pertinent to the subject area.

4.1.1. Past Aboriginal Land Use

Due to the absence of written records, much of our understanding of Aboriginal life pre-colonisation is informed by the histories documented in the late 18th and early 19th century by European observers. These histories provide an inherently biased interpretation of Aboriginal life both from the perspective of the observer but also through the act of observation. The social functions, activities and rituals recorded by Europeans may have been impacted by the Observer Effect, also known as the Hawthorne Effect. According to the Observer/Hawthorne Effect, individuals will modify their behaviour in response to their awareness of being observed. By comparing/contrasting these early observations with archaeological evidence is possible to establish a general understanding of the customs, social structure, languages and beliefs of Aboriginal people (Attenbrow 2010).

The archaeological record provides evidence of the long occupation of Aboriginal people in Australia. Current archaeological establishes occupation of the Australian mainland by as early as 65,000 years before present (BP) (Clarkson et al. 2017). The oldest date for a site in the Sydney region is at Pitt Town on the Hawkesbury River, which is dated to around 36,000 BP (Williams et al. 2014). Older occupation sites along the now submerged coastline would have been flooded around 10,000 years BP, with subsequent occupation concentrating along the current coastlines and rivers (Attenbrow 2010). The archaeological record indicates that Aboriginal people were occupying the region around the subject area well before the arrival of the First Fleet in 1788.

Given the early contact with Aboriginal people in the Sydney region, more is known about these groups than those that inhabited regional areas. The Aboriginal population in the greater Sydney region is estimated to have been between around 4000 and 8000 people at the time of European contact (Attenbrow 2010). The area around Alexandria, including the present subject area, was inhabited by the Gadigal (also Cadigal), belonging to the Eora people (Tench 1791). It is believed that the Gadigal people inhabited areas south of Port Jackson, from South Head in the east to Petersham in the west and the Cooks River in the south (Tindale 1974).

The archaeological record is limited to materials and objects that were able to withstand degradation and decay. As a result, the most common type of Aboriginal objects remaining in the archaeological record are stone artefacts. Flaked artefacts are typically the most common type of stone artefact encountered, in part due to their long and ubiquitous use, but also due to their high discard rate and the large amount of waste produced during manufacture. However, ground edged tools are also known to have been utilised by Aboriginal people in the Sydney region (Tench 1791). Stone technology and raw material utilisation changed over time. Until about 8,500 BP, stone tool technology remained fairly static with unifacial flaking being dominant and a preference for silicified tuff, quartz and some unheated silcrete evident. After about 4,000 BP, bipolar flaking and backed artefacts appear more frequently and ground stone axes are first observed (Attenbrow 2010:102; JMCHM 2006). From about 1,500 BP, there is evidence of a decline in stone tool manufacture, possibly due to an increase in the use of organic materials, changes in the way tools were made or changes in tool preferences (Attenbrow 2010). After European contact, Aboriginal people of the Sydney region continued to manufacture tools, sometimes with new materials such as bottle glass or ceramics (e.g. Ngara Consulting 2003).

Other materials, such as shell and bone, also survive in the archaeological record under certain conditions. There is evidence of reliance on river resources in the form of shell middens in the lands occupied by the Gadigal people. In the 1890s, dugong bones were discovered at Shea Creek during the construction of the Alexandra Canal, St Peters, approximately 750m west of the present subject area. The bones exhibited transverse and oblique cuts, which have been attributed to butchering by Aboriginal people (Etheridge et al. 1896). The dugong bones have been dated to around 5520±70 BP (Haworth et al. 2004).

Based on the above background, it is possible that similar evidence of Aboriginal occupation will also be present within original and/or intact topsoils throughout the Sydney urban area, including the region surrounding the present subject area.

4.1.2. Previous Archaeological Investigations

Previous archaeological investigations may provide invaluable information on the spatial distribution, nature and extent of archaeological resources in a given area. While no archaeological reports relating directly to the present subject area have been identified, a number of Aboriginal archaeological investigations have been undertaken in the surrounding area. Summaries of the most pertinent reports to the subject area are provided below.

Extent Heritage, 2018. Alexandria Park Community School, 7-11 Park Road Alexandria: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report.

Extent undertook an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the redevelopment of Alexandria Park Community School at 7-11 Park Road, Alexandria, located approximately 688m north-west from the subject area. The assessment identified no Aboriginal objects within the study area. However, the study area is located within the sandy Tuggerah soil landscape and on the margins of the former Sheas Creek swamp, both of which are considered archaeologically sensitive landforms. While historical development and occupation of the study area caused some ground disturbance, it was determined that there was moderate to high potential for archaeological resources below capping fill layers. The ACHA included Aboriginal community consultation and a staged program of archaeological test excavations.

The archaeological test excavations found only one isolated Aboriginal object (stone artefact), which was named 'APCS IF'. Additionally, there was further potential for low density stone artefacts to be present below the fill level, which is approximately 1.56m below the current surface of the study area. The IF was assessed as being of low heritage significance with little research potential, while the PAD had moderate significance.

As a result of the archaeological test excavations, further heritage management and impact mitigation measures were introduced. These management recommendations include the following:

- Preparation of a Heritage Management Plan (HMP) prior to ground disturbance.
- Preparation of a heritage-interpretation strategy to identify the interpretive values of the study area.
- Further and ongoing consultation with the RAPs.
- Submission of the ACHA to the AHIMs database.
- The completion of a Site Recording Form for the sites identified in the ACHA.
- Induction programmes carried out by the Department of Education.

The subject area has undergone a similar level of historical disturbance and is located in the same soil landscape. This study shows that Aboriginal artefacts may be retained within intact natural soils below historical fill layers.

AECOM, 2019. Potts Hill to Alexandria Transmission Cable Project: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment.

This ACHA was prepared for the development of a new underground transmission cable circuit between the existing Rookwood Road substation in Potts Hill and the Beaconsfield West substation in Alexandria. The substation in Alexandria is located approximately 943m west from the subject area.

The proposed works are located in an area mapped as 'disturbed terrain', which was determined to have low archaeological potential for Aboriginal objects within fill and underlying deposits. However, study area is part of the Tuggerah soil landscape, which is an archaeologically sensitivity landscape.

The assessment determined that the proposed developments would impact areas with archaeological sensitivities, primarily located in proximity to Cooks River. If the proposed developments were to take place within the archaeologically sensitive areas, then further action would be required, such as the preparation of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan (ACHMP) to provide protocols for managing Aboriginal heritage values and sites.

AHMS, 2012. Aboriginal and Historical Archaeological Impact Assessment Eastlakes Shopping Centre, Eastlakes, NSW

Archaeological and Heritage Management Solutions Pty Ltd (AHMS) undertook an Aboriginal and historical archaeological impact assessment of the Eastlakes Shopping Centre, Eastlakes, located approximately 2.3 km south-east from the subject area. The study area is located within the Tuggerah soil landscape. The assessment determined that historical land use is likely to have destroyed later archaeological deposits. However, as the soil profile beneath the study area was not fully known, it was determined that there is the potential for older Pleistocene period (>11,000 BP) soil profiles to survive intact. It was further noted that should Aboriginal archaeological remains from the Pleistocene period be retained within the study area, they would be considered to have high scientific and cultural significance. It was recommended that an archaeologist should be engaged to prepare briefing documents for site workers and a monitoring plan that would apply to the excavation of the study area during development works.

The previous archaeological investigations discussed above address similar contexts to the present subject area, including the Tuggerah soil landscape or other intact natural soils below a modern fill layer. Each report acknowledges the possibility of subsurface deposits within the intact subsurface soils, potentially dating to the Pleistocene period. Consequently, the reports acknowledge some potential for subsurface remains and recommend either archaeological excavation or monitoring.

4.1.3. AHIMS Database

The Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) database comprises previously registered Aboriginal archaeological objects and cultural heritage places in NSW, and it is managed by the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) under Section 90Q of the NPW Act. 'Aboriginal objects' is the official term used in AHIMS for Aboriginal archaeological sites. The terms 'Aboriginal sites', 'AHIMS sites' and 'sites' are used herein to describe the nature and spatial distribution of archaeological resources in relation to the subject area.

A search of the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) was carried out on 1 March 2022 (AHIMS Client Service ID: 663671) for an area of approximately 11 km x 8 km. A summary of all previously registered Aboriginal sites within the extensive search area is provided in Table 9 and Figure 4. The spatial distribution of all previously registered Aboriginal sites within the extensive search area is shown in Figure 5. The Basic and Extensive AHIMS search results are included in Appendix A. The results of the search are discussed below.




Figure 6– AHIMS search results (Client Service ID: 663671).

Table 9 – Summary of extensive AHIMS search (AHIMS Client Service ID: 663671).

Site Type	Context	Total	Percentage
PAD	Open	16	25%
Shelter with PAD	Closed	12	19%
Artefact Scatter	Open	9	14%
Shelter with Art	Closed	7	11%
Isolated Find	Open	4	6%
Hearth	Open	2	3%
Midden	Open	2	3%
Rock Engraving	Open	2	3%
Shelter	Closed	2	3%
Shelter with Art and Midden	Closed	2	3%
Shelter with Art and PAD	Closed	2	3%
Aboriginal Gathering	Open	1	2%
Aboriginal Gathering and Midden	Open	1	2%
Grinding Grooves	Open	1	2%
Total		63	100%

The AHIMS search identified no Aboriginal objects and no Aboriginal places within the curtilage of the subject area. The nearest registered Aboriginal object is the New Green Square School PAD site (AHIMS ID# 45-6-3944), located approximately 630m to the east of the subject area (see also Section 4.1.1).


In the broader search area, a total of 68 Aboriginal objects and no Aboriginal places are registered. Four sites were identified as 'not a site' in the search results and one was identified as a duplicate, reducing the total number of identified Aboriginal objects to 63.

It is evident from the AHIMS search results that there is a paucity of registered Aboriginal objects within the vicinity of the present subject area. While this may indicate low Aboriginal land use, it is important to note that the AHIMS register does not represent a comprehensive list of all Aboriginal objects or sites in a specified area. Most registered sites in urban area are identified through targeted surveys for infrastructure and maintenance works, with the restrictions on extent and scope of those developments. Therefore, a further (or alternative) explanation for the paucity of registered Aboriginal sites near the subject area is a lack of archaeological investigation rather than low Aboriginal land use.

4.1.4. Conclusions Drawn from Archaeological Context

The following conclusions are drawn from the archaeological background information, including AHIMS results and pertinent regional archaeological investigations:

- No Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places are registered within the subject area.
- No previous Aboriginal archaeological investigations have been identified that directly address the subject area.
- Previous archaeological investigations from similar contexts near to the subject area identified the potential for archaeological resources dating to the Pleistocene within intact natural soils below modern fill layers.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



Project No: P0037375

Project Manager: Sam Richards

AHIMS Sites in Extensive Search area

- | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Subject Area | — Permanent | ● Aboriginal Gathering | ● Isolated Find | ● Shelter with Art |
| — Contours | - - Ephemeral | ● Aboriginal Gathering and Midden | ● Midden | ● Shelter with Art and Midden |
| ■ Hydrology | ● Artefact Scatter | ● PAD | ● Shelter with Art and PAD | |
| | ● Grinding Grooves | ● Rock Engraving | ● Shelter with PAD | |
| | ● Hearth | ● Shelter | | |

Figure 7 – Map of AHIMS sites in extensive search area.

4.2. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

The environmental context of a subject area is relevant to its potential for Aboriginal objects and places. Aboriginal objects may be associated with certain landscape features that played a part in the everyday lives and traditional cultural activities of Aboriginal people. Landscape features that are considered indicative of archaeological potential include rock shelters, sand dunes, waterways, waterholes, and wetlands. Conversely, disturbance to the landscape after Aboriginal use may reduce the potential for Aboriginal objects and places. An analysis of the landscape within and near to the subject area is provided below.

4.2.1. Soil Landscape and Geology

Certain soil landscapes and geological features are associated with greater archaeological potential for Aboriginal objects and places. For example, sand dune systems are associated with the potential presence of burials and sandstone outcrops are associated with the potential presence of grinding grooves and rock art. The depth of natural soils is also relevant to the potential for archaeological materials to be present, especially in areas where disturbance is high. In general, as disturbance level increases, the integrity of any potential archaeological resource decreases. However, disturbance might not remove the archaeological potential even if it decreases integrity of the resources substantially.

The NSW Soil and Land Information System (SALIS) provides information on expected soil landscapes within NSW. The subject area is identified as lying entirely within the Tuggerah soil landscape (Figure 7). The Tuggerah soil landscape is described as residing upon gently undulating to rolling coastal dune fields. Soils are described as deep (>200 cm) Podzols (Uc2.31, Uc2.32, Uc2.34) on dunes and Podzols/Humus Podzol intergrades (Uc2.23, Uc2.21, Uc2.3, Uc4.33) on swales. Dominant soil materials include loose speckled grey-brown loamy sand, bleached loose sand, grey-brown mottled sand, black soft sandy organic pan, brown soft sandy iron pan and yellow massive sand.

A geotechnical investigation of the subject area was undertaken by Fortify Geotech on behalf of EP Risk Management to determine the subsurface conditions of the subject area (EP RISK 2022).

Due to the commercial and industrial history, the soil within the subject area was found to be highly contaminated with concentrations of lead, asbestos, arsenic, chromium, zinc and other chemicals, such as Benzo(a)Pyrene (B(a)P) Toxic Equivalence Quotient (TEQ). There was a total of eight (8) boreholes (BH) excavated at various depths with the deepest borehole extending down to 8.5 m. Table 10 provides a summary of the soil profile encountered in the subject area. Figure 6 shows the locations of the boreholes within the subject area.

The geotechnical findings are consistent with the subject area being located in the sandy Tuggerah soil landscape, with intact natural sand being found at a depth of between 0.6/1.9m to 7.3m/8.5m below the ground surface. Above that depth, modern fill and pavement were encountered, indicating disturbance of the natural soil profile to a significant depth. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 1.8m to 3.0m below the ground surface, approximately corresponding with the depth of the natural sand body. It is likely that the intact natural soils date to the Pleistocene (>11,000 BP).

The sandy soils of the Tuggerah soil landscape are considered archaeologically sensitive. However, ground disturbance significantly reduces the likelihood of Aboriginal objects being retained at depths above 0.6 to 1.9m below the existing ground surface.

Table 10 – Geotechnical borehole findings.

Inferred Unit	Encountered Depth to Top of Unit (m)	Description
Concrete/Fill	0 - 0.6m/1.9m	Concrete, sandy gravel, silty gravelly sand, silty sandy gravel, silty clayey sand, sand, gravelly sandy clay, silty sand, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse sand, low plasticity clay, medium plasticity clay, angular gravels to 15mm size, sub-angular gravels to 50mm size, black, dark grey, dark brown, brown, grey, dry to moist, moist, moist to wet, loose, loose to medium dense, medium dense.

Inferred Unit	Encountered Depth to Top of Unit (m)	Description
Alluvial/Residual Soils	0.6/1.9m to 7.3m/8.5m	Sandy, clayey sand, sandy clay, clay, sandy gravelly clay, silty sand, clayey sand gravel; fine sand, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse sand, low plasticity clay, low to medium plasticity clay, medium plasticity clay, sub-angular gravels to 30mm size, dark grey, dark brown, pale brown, pale grey, mottled orange/red, dark red, grey, black, yellow-brown, ironstone gravels, dry, dry to moist, moist, moist to wet, wet, loose to medium dense, medium dense, medium dense to dense, dense, dense to very dense, firm to stiff, stiff, stiff to very stiff, very stiff, very stiff to hard, hard.
Bedrock A	Below 7.3m/8.5m	Sandstone; extremely weathered (XW) at the bedrock surface, quickly becoming slightly weathered (SW) and high strength fresh (FR), medium to coarse grained, grey, pale grey, red, dry, wet




Figure 8 – Location of geotechnical boreholes within subject area.
Source: Urbis, 2022

4.2.2. Topography

Certain landform elements are associated with greater archaeological potential for Aboriginal objects and places. Areas that are located on a ridge top, ridge line or headland, located within 200m below or above a cliff face or within 20m of or in a cave, rock shelter or cave mouth are considered sensitive areas for Aboriginal objects and places.

The subject area has a generally flat topography. It is not associated with any topographic features that are considered to be archaeologically sensitive.

4.2.3. Vegetation

The presence of certain types of vegetation within in an area may be indicative of archaeological potential for certain site types, such as modified trees, or more generally of the habitability of an area for Aboriginal people.

The original vegetation of the Tuggerah soil landscape would have comprised dry sclerophyll eucalypt and apple woodland. Dominant tree species likely included smooth-barked apple (*Angophora costata*), Sydney peppermint (*Eucalyptus piperita*) and old man banksia (*Banksia aemula*). The shrubby sclerophyllous understorey likely included many species including bracken (*Pteridium esculentum*), Christmas bush (*Ceratopetalum gummiferum*), woody pear (*Xylomelum pyriforme*) and prickly moses (*Acacia ulicifolia*). The variety of floral and faunal species in the subject area could have been utilised by Aboriginal people for medicinal, ceremonial and subsistence purposes.

As the subject area has been cleared of all vegetation there is no possibility of culturally modified trees being retained.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022. PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



Project No: P0037375

Project Manager: Sam Richards

- Subject Area
 Ephemeral
 Aeolian (AEtg)
 Disturbed Terrain (DTxx)
 Residual (REbt)
 Water
- Hydrology
 Hydrology 200m Buffer
- Permanent
 Contours

Figure 9 – Soil Landscapes and Hydrology.

4.2.4. Hydrology

Proximity to a body of water is a factor in determining archaeological potential. Areas within 200m of the whole or any part of a river, stream, lake, lagoon, swamp, wetlands, natural watercourse or the high-tide mark of shorelines (including the sea) are considered sensitive areas for Aboriginal objects and places.

The nearest extant waterway to the subject area is Sheas Creek, which is approximately 73m north from the subject area and is connected to the Alexandra Canal (Figure 7). The tributary is a first order ephemeral stream that has now been incorporated into the stormwater drainage system.

As the subject area is located within 200m of an existing waterway, the hydrology of the subject area is considered to be archaeologically sensitive.

4.2.5. Historical Ground Disturbance

Historical ground disturbance, either through human activity (e.g. soil ploughing, construction of buildings and clearing of vegetation) or natural processes (e.g. erosion) reduce the spatial and vertical integrity of archaeological resources within a subject area and expose sub-surface deposits. Ground disturbance can thus reduce the archaeological potential of a site.

The subject area is located within a 1400-acre land grant made to William Hutchison in 1823. Hutchison's grant, which he named 'Waterloo Estate', changed hands several times over the 19th century, before being subdivided in the 1880s (The Dictionary of Sydney). In the late 19th Century, the Cooper family continued to own and operate the property. Historical records suggest the Cooper family held the property well into the 20th century, being in the ownership of William Cooper until 1913. The property was then transferred to Tom Raine in September 1913 (Conveyance, 1915). Figure 9 shows a contemporary map demonstrating that Bourke Road was established by the late 19th Century, along with dwellings and structures fronting the road. Figure 10 shows that by 1891, the subject area consists part of a larger agricultural property, as indicated by the small structures and surrounding open land with fencing. Given the Cooper's retained the property and were a largely agricultural family, it can be assumed that the property was utilised for agricultural pursuits at this time. Bourke Road was known at the time for being a place of both Chinese market gardens and European agriculture, which had continued from the early 19th century. The subject area was used for agricultural purposes for most of the early 20th century.



Figure 10 – Map of the country around Sydney, 1881 from Reconnaissance by Lieut. Parrott, Volr. Engineers. The approximate location of the subject area is outlined in red. The green arrow indicates north.

Source: NLA MAP RM 903.




Figure 11 – Historical survey of the subject area (outlined in red), dated 1891, showing the subject area is part of a larger property, likely used for agriculture. Property boundaries (fences) and small structures are visible. The red square shows the location of the subject area. Note that watermains have been installed. The green arrow indicates north.

Source: SydneyWater, PWDS1544-S950




Figure 12 – Survey taken in 1925 along Bourke Road showing small structures within the subject area (outlined in red). A vegetable garden is visible on the eastern side of the subject area. The green arrow indicates north.

Source: SydneyWater, DTS649

By 1929, the subject area is further subdivided into three separate allotments by Norman Rigg Smith (NSW Land Registry Services). The three allotments are labelled 1-3 with the layout shown in Figure 12. The subject area was used for industrial purposes following this subdivision.




Figure 13 – Land title records, c.1929, showing the three separate allotments contained within the subject area (outlined in red). The green arrow indicates north.

Source: NSW Land Registry Services, Vol Fol 4352 106

From the beginning to late 20th century, there were multiple industries across the subject area including chemical and metal product manufacturers, zinc and lead merchants, sprayers, adhesives manufacturers and a car tyre fitter's workshop (EP RISK, 2022, p. i). The earliest historical imagery of the subject area dates to 1943 and shows an industrial complex over the entire extent of the majority of the subject area (Figure 13).

Industrial designer and manufacturing company, Gilkon Pty Ltd purchased Lots, 1, 2 & 3 off Paul Roberts Parsons Ltd and F H Booth and Son Pty. Ltd 1969 (Ibid). Mooney Properties Pty Ltd, purchased the site in 1989 (EP RISK, 2022, p. 11).

The existing buildings appear to date to the Inter-War period, owing to the visible presence of construction materials and techniques that were characteristic of that period. After this time the subject area remained relatively unchanged with minor modifications up to the present.

The historical land use of the subject area is likely to have caused a high-level disturbance due to land clearance, demolition of older structures and the construction of industrial buildings. These findings are consistent with the results obtained from the geotechnical investigations.



Figure 14 – Aerial imagery from 1943 showing a large warehouse structure on the western section of subject area (outlined in red). Note the eastern and southern section of the subject area containing smaller warehouse structures with open space around them. The green arrow indicates north.

Source: SixMaps, 2022

4.2.6. Conclusions Drawn from Environmental Context

The following conclusions are drawn from the above assessment of the environmental context of the subject area:

- The subject area is located in the Tuggerah soil landscape and within 200m of a former low order, ephemeral waterway, which are considered archaeologically sensitive landscape features.
- Historical activities, including construction and demolition of buildings and utilisation of the subject area for industrial purposes, are determined to have caused a high level of ground disturbance across the subject area.
- A previous geotechnical investigation encountered intact natural soils at a depth of between 0.6/1.9m to 7.3m/8.5m below the ground surface, likely dating to the Pleistocene (>11,000 BP).
- The geotechnical investigation further encountered groundwater at a depth of approximately 1.8m to 3.0m below the ground surface.

4.3. VISUAL INSPECTION

A visual inspection of the subject area was undertaken by Sam Richards (Urbis Senior Archaeologist) and Kirsten Downey (Urbis Consultant Archaeologist) on 27 April 2022.

The visual inspection was undertaken in rainy conditions. Visibility was nil across most of the subject area due to the presence of a concrete flooring covering the extent of the subject area. There were no areas of exposure present. Ground Surface Visibility (GSV) was estimated to be 0% across the entire subject area.

No Aboriginal objects were identified during the visual inspection.

The entire subject area is covered by concrete flooring (Figure 14 to Figure 19). Although an assessment of subsurface ground disturbance could not be made, the presence of concrete flooring is consistent with the above assessment of high level of disturbance

While it is evident that the ground surface has been heavily impacted by historical activities, the visual inspection was unable to determine any subsurface disturbance within subsurface natural soils.



Figure 15- Front of building from Bourke Street.



Figure 16- Inside the building showing concrete floor.



Figure 17-Interior of building.




Figure 18- Interior of building showing concrete floor and brick walls.



Figure 19- Outside area showing concrete is present.



Figure 20- Interior of building.

4.4. PREDICTIVE MODEL

A predictive model may be used to estimate the nature and distribution of evidence of Aboriginal land use in a subject area. A predictive model should consider variables that may influence the location, distribution and density of sites, features or artefacts within a subject area. Variables typically relate to the environment and topography, such as soils, landscape features, slope, landform and cultural resources.

The general process archaeologists employ to determine the likelihood of any particular site type (artefact scatter, shelter, midden etc) occurring within a given subject area requires the synthesis of information for general distribution of archaeological sites within the wider area including:

- Detailed analysis of previous archaeological investigations within the same region.
- Presence or absence of landscape features that present potential for archaeological resources (human occupation, use) such as raised terraces adjacent to permeant water.
- Analysis of the geology and soil landscape within the subject area which allows for a determination to be made of the type of raw material that would have been available for artefact production (silcrete, tuff, quartz etc) and the potential for the accumulation of archaeological resource within the subject area.
- Investigation of and determination of the level of disturbance/historical land use within the subject area which may impact on or remove entirely any potential archaeological material.

An indicative process of determining the likelihood of a given site occurring within a subject area is provided in Table 11 below.

Table 11 – Indicative process for determining the potential presence of a site.

Likelihood	Indicative subject area context	Indicative action
High	Low level of ground disturbance in combination with at least one archaeologically sensitive landscape feature or Aboriginal object (either registered or newly identified) within the subject area.	Detailed archaeological investigation including but not limited to survey, test excavation and potentially (depending on density and/or significance of archaeological deposit) salvage excavation.
Moderate	Moderate level of ground disturbance in combination with at least one archaeologically sensitive landscape feature or Aboriginal object (either registered or newly identified) within the subject area.	Detailed archaeological investigation including but not limited to survey, test excavation and potentially (depending on density and/or significance of archaeological deposit) salvage excavation.
Low	High level of ground disturbance in combination with at least one archaeologically sensitive landscape feature or Aboriginal object (either registered or newly identified) within the subject area.	Employ chance finds procedure and works can continue without further archaeological investigation.
Nil	Complete ground disturbance (i.e. complete removal of natural soil landscape); or no archaeologically sensitive landscape features and no archaeological sites within subject area.	Employ chance finds procedure and works can continue without further archaeological investigation.

4.4.1. Typical Site Types

A range of Aboriginal site types are known to occur within New South Wales. Site types that are typically encountered in the Cumberland Plain are described below.

Art sites can occur in the form of rock engravings or pigment on sandstone outcrops or within shelters. An engraving is some form of image which has been pecked or carved into a rock surface. Engravings typically vary in size and nature, with small abstract geometric forms as well as anthropomorphic figures and animals also depicted. In the Sydney region engravings tend to be located on the tops of Hawkesbury Sandstone ridges where vistas occur. Pigment art is the result of the application of material to a stone to leave a distinct impression. Pigment types include ochre, charcoal and pipeclay. Pigment art within the Sydney region is usually located in areas associated with habitation and sustenance.

Artefact Scatters/Camp Sites represent past Aboriginal subsistence and stone knapping activities and include archaeological remains such as stone artefacts and hearths. This site type usually appears as surface scatters of stone artefacts in areas where vegetation is limited, and ground surface visibility increases. Such scatters of artefacts are also often exposed by erosion, agricultural events such as ploughing, and the creation of informal, unsealed vehicle access tracks and walking paths. These types of sites are often located on dry, relatively flat land along or adjacent to rivers and creeks. Camp sites containing surface or subsurface deposit from repeated or continued occupation are more likely to occur on elevated ground near the most permanent, reliable water sources. Flat, open areas associated with creeks and their resource-rich surrounds would have offered ideal camping areas to the Aboriginal inhabitants of the local area.

Bora / Ceremonial Sites are locations that have spiritual or ceremonial values to Aboriginal people. Aboriginal ceremonial sites may comprise natural landforms and, in some cases, will also have archaeological material. Bora grounds are a ceremonial site type, usually consisting of a cleared area around one or more raised earth circles, and often comprised of two circles of different sizes, connected by a pathway, and accompanied by ground drawings or mouldings of people, animals or deities, and geometrically carved designs on the surrounding trees.

Burials of the dead often took place relatively close to camp site locations. This is due to the fact that most people tended to die in or close to camp (unless killed in warfare or hunting accidents), and it is difficult to move a body long distance. Soft, sandy soils on, or close to, rivers and creeks allowed for easier movement of earth for burial; and burials may also occur within rock shelters or middens. Aboriginal burial sites may be marked by stone cairns, carved trees or a natural landmark. Burial sites may also be identified through historic records or oral histories.

Contact Sites are most likely to occur in locations of Aboriginal and settler interaction, such as on the edge of pastoral properties or towns. Artefacts located at such sites may involve the use of introduced materials such as glass or ceramics by Aboriginal people or be sites of Aboriginal occupation in the historical period.

Grinding Grooves are the physical evidence of tool making or food processing activities undertaken by Aboriginal people. The manual rubbing of stones against other stones creates grooves in the rock; these are usually found on flat areas of abrasive rock such as sandstone. They may be associated with creek beds, or water sources such as rock pools in creek beds and on platforms, as water enables wet grinding to occur.

Isolated Finds represent artefactual material in singular, one-off occurrences. Isolated finds are generally indicative of stone tool production, although can also include contact sites. Isolated finds may represent a single item discard event or be the result of limited stone knapping activity. The presence of such isolated artefacts may indicate the presence of a more extensive, in situ buried archaeological deposit, or a larger deposit obscured by low ground visibility. Isolated artefacts are likely to be located on landforms associated with past Aboriginal activities, such as ridgelines that would have provided ease of movement through the area, and level areas with access to water, particularly creeks and rivers.

Middens are indicative of Aboriginal habitation, subsistence and resource extraction. Midden sites are expressed through the occurrence of shell deposits of edible shell species often associated with dark, ashy soil and charcoal. Middens often occur in shelters, or in eroded or collapsed sand dunes. Middens occur along the coast or in proximity to waterways, where edible resources were extracted. Midden may represent a single meal or an accumulation over a long period of time involving many different activities. They are also often associated with other artefact types.

Modified Trees are evidence of the utilisation of trees by Aboriginal people for various purposes, including the construction of shelters (huts), canoes, paddles, shields, baskets and bowls, fishing lines, cloaks, torches and bedding, as well as being beaten into fibre for string bags or ornaments. The removal of bark exposes the

heart wood of the tree, resulting in a scar. Trees may also have been scarred in order to gain access to food resources (e.g. cutting toeholds so as to climb the tree and catch possums or birds), or to mark locations such as tribal territories. Such scars, when they occur, are typically described as scarred trees. These sites most often occur in areas with mature, remnant native vegetation. The locations of scarred trees often reflect an absence of historical clearance of vegetation rather than the actual pattern of scarred trees. Carved trees are different from scarred trees, and the carved designs may indicate totemic affiliation; they may also have been carved for ceremonial purposes or as grave markers.

Potential Archaeological Deposits (PADs) are areas where there is no surface expression of stone artefacts, but due to a landscape feature there is a strong likelihood that the area will contain buried deposits of stone artefacts. Landscape features which may feature in PADs include proximity to waterways, particularly terraces and flats near third order streams and above; ridge lines, ridge tops and sand dune systems.

Shelters are places of Aboriginal habitation. They take the form of rock overhangs which provided shelter and safety to Aboriginal people. Suitable overhangs must be large and wide enough to have accommodated people with low flooding risk. Due to the nature of these sites, with generic rock overhangs common particularly in areas with an abundance of sandstone, their use by Aboriginal people is generally confirmed through the correlation of other site types including middens, art, PAD and/or artefactual deposits.

4.4.2. Assessment of Archaeological Potential

The likelihood of the site types described in 0 above occurring within the present subject area is assessed in Table 12 below.

Table 12 – Predictive model.

Site Type	Assessment	Potential
Art	The subject area does not include any visible sandstone outcrops or rock overhangs that would be indicative of the potential for rock art (Section 0). The likelihood of any concealed rock overhangs or sandstone outcrops being present within the subject area is considered to be negligible.	Nil
Artefact Scatters / Campsites	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4), both of which are indicative of likely past Aboriginal land use and the potential for artefact scatters / campsites. A high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject significantly reduces the potential for artefact scatters / campsites from the Holocene (Section 4.2.5). However, there is moderate potential for the presence of artefact scatters / campsites from the Pleistocene in the underlying natural sand body at depths of at least 0.6 to 8.5m.	Moderate
Bora / Ceremonial	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4), both of which are indicative of likely past Aboriginal land use and the potential for bora / ceremonial sites. However, due to the high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject area (Section 4.2.5) and susceptibility of bora / ceremonial sites to disturbance, the likelihood of such sites being retained is considered to be low.	Low - Nil

Site Type	Assessment	Potential
Burial	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4). A high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject significantly reduces the potential for burials from the Holocene (Section 4.2.5). However, there is moderate potential for the presence of burials from the Pleistocene in the underlying natural sand body at depths of at least 0.6 to 8.5m.	Moderate
Contact site	The location of the subject area within an area of early European settlement is indicative of the potential for contact sites (Section 4.2.5). However, a high level of historical disturbance to Holocene deposits across the entire subject area significantly reduces the potential for contact sites to be retained (Section 4.2.5).	Low - Nil
Grinding Grooves	The subject area does not include any visible sandstone outcrops that would be indicative of the potential for grinding grooves (Section 0). The likelihood of any concealed sandstone outcrops being present within the subject area is considered to be negligible.	Nil
Isolated Finds	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4), both of which are indicative of likely past Aboriginal land use and the potential for isolated finds. A high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject significantly reduces the potential for isolated finds from the Holocene (Section 4.2.5). However, there is moderate potential for the presence of isolated finds from the Pleistocene in the underlying natural sand body at depths of at least 0.6 to 8.5m.	Moderate
Midden	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4). A high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject significantly reduces the potential for middens from the Holocene (Section 4.2.5). However, there is moderate potential for the presence of middens from the Pleistocene in the underlying natural sand body at depths of at least 0.6 to 8.5m.	Moderate
Modified Trees	Historical development of the subject area has resulted in clearance of all vegetation, removing any potential for the presence of modified trees (Section 4.2.3).	Nil
PAD	The subject area is located within the soft, sandy Tuggerah soil landscape (Section 4.2.1) and is located in proximity to a former low-order natural waterway (Section 4.2.4), both of which are indicative of likely past Aboriginal land use and the potential for archaeological deposits. A high level of historical ground disturbance across the entire subject significantly reduces the potential for archaeological deposits from the Holocene (Section 4.2.5). However, there is moderate potential for the presence of archaeological deposits from the Pleistocene in the underlying natural sand body at depths of at least 0.6 to 8.5m.	Moderate

Site Type	Assessment	Potential
Shelters	The subject area does not include any rock overhangs that would be indicative of the potential for shelters (Section 0). The likelihood of any concealed rock overhangs being present within the subject area is considered to be negligible.	Nil

4.5. SUMMARY

The assessments of the archaeological and environmental contexts of the subject area are summarised as follows:

- No Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places are registered within the subject area.
- No previous Aboriginal archaeological investigations have been identified that directly address the subject area.
- The subject area is located in the Tuggerah soil landscape and within 200m of a former low order, ephemeral waterway, which are archaeologically sensitive landscape features.
- Historical activities, including construction and demolition of buildings and utilisation of the subject area for industrial purposes, are determined to have caused a high level of ground disturbance across the subject area.
- Geotechnical findings confirm the impact of historical activities on the soil profile, with disturbance being encountered to a minimum depth of 0.6m below the existing ground surface.
- Previous archaeological investigations from similar contexts near to the subject area identified the potential for archaeological resources dating to the Pleistocene within intact natural soils below historical disturbance.
- There is nil to low potential for Aboriginal sites within the disturbed soil layers to depths of approximately 0.6m below the existing ground surface.
- The subject area has moderate archaeological potential for artefact scatters / campsites, burials, isolated finds, middens and PADS dating to the Pleistocene within intact natural soil at depths exceeding 0.6m below the existing ground surface.

5. SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT

The following is an assessment and discussion of the cultural significance of the subject area, made in consultation with the RAPs. The assessment follows principles and procedures outlined in the Burra Charter the Assessment Guidelines.

The Burra Charter defines cultural significance as being derived from the following values: social or cultural value, historic value, scientific value and aesthetic value. Aesthetic, historic, scientific and social values are commonly interrelated. All assessments of heritage values occur within a social and historic context. Therefore, all potential heritage values will have a social component.

Assessment of each value should be graded in terms that allow the significance to be described and compared (e.g. high, moderate, or low). In applying these criteria, consideration should be given to:

- Research potential: *does the evidence suggest any potential to contribute to an understanding of the area and/or region and/or state's natural and cultural history?*
- Representativeness: *how much variability (outside and/or inside the subject area) exists, what is already conserved, how much connectivity is there?*
- Rarity: *is the subject area important in demonstrating a distinctive way of life, custom, process, land-use, function or design no longer practised? Is it in danger of being lost or of exceptional interest?*
- Education potential: *does the subject area contain teaching sites or sites that might have teaching potential?*

Heritage significance is assessed by considering each cultural or archaeological site against the significance criteria set out in the Assessment Guidelines. The Assessment Guidelines require that the assessment and justification in a statement of significance includes a discussion of whether any value meets the following criteria:

- Does the subject area have a strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons? – social value.
- Is the subject area important to the cultural or natural history of the local area and/or region and/or state? – historic value.
- Does the subject area have potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of the cultural or natural history of the local area and/or region and/or state? – scientific (archaeological) value.
- Is the subject area important in demonstrating aesthetic characteristics in the local area and/or region and/or state? – aesthetic value.

5.1. ASSESSMENT OF HERITAGE VALUES

The following assessment of the social or cultural, historic, scientific and aesthetic values of the subject area has been prepared in accordance with the Assessment Guidelines.

In acknowledgment that the Aboriginal community themselves are in the best position to identify heritage values, the assessment is informed by consultation with the Aboriginal community. Consultation with Aboriginal people should provide insight into past events. The RAPs were invited to provide comment and input into this ACHAR and to the assessment of cultural heritage values for the subject area, as documented in this report. Any culturally sensitive values identified have not been explicitly included in the report or made publicly available. Any such values would be documented and lodged with the knowledge holder providing the information.

5.1.1. Social or Cultural Value

Social or cultural value encompasses the qualities for which a place has become a focus of spiritual, political, national or other cultural sentiment for Aboriginal people. Social or cultural value is how people express their connection with a place and the meaning that place has for them. Places of social or cultural value have associations with contemporary community identity. These places can have associations with tragic or warmly remembered experiences, periods, or events. Communities can experience a sense of loss should a place of social or cultural value be damaged or destroyed. Social or cultural values can therefore only be identified through consultation with Aboriginal people.

Comments received during Stage 2/3 and Stage 4 from several RAPs are of relevance to assessing the social and cultural value of the subject area.

██████████ stated in response to the Stage 2/3 information pack that:

██████████ hold cultural knowledge of the whole of Sydney area for over fifty years, we hold a deep spiritual connection to Mother Earth. We aim the look after Mother Earth and conserve our land and cultural sites, these sacred sites are highly significant to us Aboriginal people. Aboriginal places, sacred sites, burials, rock art, gridding grooves, stone tools/ artefacts, and objects are being destroyed all over Sydney, it is in our best interest the save these places and treat them with respect before it is too late."

██████████ stated in response to the Stage 2/3 information pack that:

"As traditional owners we would however like to let you know that we have strong and ongoing connection to the area. Please keep us updated as the project progresses."

██████████ stated in response to the Stage 4 ACHA draft review that:

"The Alexandria area is an area our group has a vast knowledge of, we have worked and lived in for many years, this area is highly significant to the ██████████ due to the connection of sites and the continued occupation. Our group has been involved in all previous assessments and works in this area as a traditional owner ██████████ for the past 40 plus years."

"Aboriginal peoples are the oldest continued culture...the land may have been taken from us for many tens of years and disturbed. However, they still have cultural values, as a culture we have had to adapt to a forever changing landscape, allowance for culture, way of practicing these cultures and even our language is forever changing and adapting."

Key priorities of the development are to use sustainable materials, plant native plants that are from the area, using correct terminology, do not use the past tense and ensure that it is clear throughout the development that this is always has been and always will be Aboriginal land.

Our ██████████ only be assessed by ██████████, we have our song lines and creation places that only our people can identify, our connection to our nura is part of us and our country.

Our histories are held by our people and places, when we are looking for cultural aspects of an area they are not only seen but felt, our spiritual connections are our culture and heritage that connect us to our old people through the evidence that we see on our site visits.

People from other mobs should be respectful of our country and people if they are not respectful that the ██████████ are the knowledge holders then they are not cultural, therefore should not be involved on cultural heritage on ██████████

Based on the evidence obtained during the consultation process, the subject area is determined to have social and cultural value to the Aboriginal community.

5.1.2. Historic Value

Historic value encompasses the history of aesthetics, science and society. A place may have historic value because it is associated with a historic figure, event, phase or activity in an Aboriginal community. The significance of a place will be greater where evidence of the association or event survives in situ, or where the settings are substantially intact, than where it has been changed or evidence does not survive. However, some events or associations may be so important that the place retains significance regardless of subsequent treatment. Places may also have 'shared' historic values with other (non-Aboriginal) communities.

Places of post-contact Aboriginal history have generally been poorly recognised in investigations of Aboriginal heritage. Consequently, the Aboriginal involvement and contribution to important regional historical themes is often missing from accepted historical narratives. For this reason, it is often necessary to collect oral histories along with archival or documentary research to gain a sufficient understanding of historic values.

No historical associations between Aboriginal people and the subject area have been identified and the potential for contact sites within the subject area is assessed to be nil to low. The subject area is therefore unlikely to have historic value insofar as it relates to Aboriginal cultural heritage.

5.1.3. Scientific (Archaeological) Value

Scientific value relates to the importance of a landscape, area, place or object because of its rarity, representativeness and the extent to which it may contribute to further understanding and information. Information about scientific value will be gathered through any archaeological investigation undertaken. Archaeological investigations must be carried out according to the Code of Practice.

The archaeological potential of the subject area has assessed to be moderate for artefact scatters / campsites, burials, isolated finds, middens and PADS within intact natural soil. Any intact archaeological deposits would lie at depths of at least 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface and would likely date to the Pleistocene period. Owing to the rareness of such resources, the subject area is likely to have scientific value related to Aboriginal cultural heritage.

5.1.4. Aesthetic Value

Aesthetic value of a place relates to the sensory, scenic, architectural and creative aspects of a place. It may include visual aspects, such as form, scale, colour, texture and material of the fabric, and the smells and sounds associated with the place and its use.

It is evident that the subject area is highly disturbed through land clearance, the construction and demolition of buildings and its utilisation for industrial purposes, including storage of goods and vehicle traffic. The present visual appearance and other sensory aspects of the subject area are unlikely to resemble those of the landscape of the local area as it existed prior to European contact. The subject area is therefore unlikely to have aesthetic value insofar as it relates to Aboriginal cultural heritage.

5.2. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The subject area is determined to have no historic value or aesthetic value and therefore has no historic or aesthetic significance.

Based on the evidence obtained during the consultation process, the subject area is determined to have social and cultural value to the Aboriginal community because of its association with waterways in the broader area (Shea's Creek and Alexandra Canal), contemporary community identity, spiritual connection to the land and the location of other known sites in the broader area of Alexandria. However, the nearest waterway is approximately 73m north from the subject area and the nearest known sites are over 630m away. Furthermore, the subject area is highly disturbed and present the landform is heavily modified by historical activities. The subject area therefore offers little research or education potential in relation to the cultural importance of waterways or other sites to Aboriginal people, nor is it a good representation of such cultural associations. Furthermore, the subject area is not a rare example of a cultural site associated with a waterway as many such sites are known in the Sydney region. The subject area is therefore assessed as having low Aboriginal cultural heritage significance for social or cultural value.

The ACHA has determined that there is moderate potential for Aboriginal objects to be retained within an intact natural sand body, approximately 0.6m below the existing ground surface. Any Aboriginal objects retained within the natural sand body would have scientific value as rare examples of early Holocene and Pleistocene occupation of the Sydney basin and for their potential to contribute to an understanding of the cultural history of the region at that time. Therefore, the subject area is determined to have moderate Aboriginal cultural heritage significance for its scientific value.

6. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The following is an assessment of the potential impact of the proposed development on any Aboriginal objects and/or Aboriginal places within the subject area and the possible strategies for avoiding or minimising harm to those Aboriginal objects and/or Aboriginal places.

The potential harm to Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places that is likely to be caused by a proposed activity is the effect of that activity on the Aboriginal heritage values identified above. According to the NPW Act, "harm" to an object or place includes any act or omission that:

- Destroys, defaces, or damages the object or place.
- Moves the object from the land on which it had been situated.
- Causes or permits the object or place to be harmed.

Harm does not include something that is trivial or negligible, such as picking up and replacing a small stone artefact, breaking a small Aboriginal object below the surface when you are gardening, crushing a small Aboriginal object when you walk on or off a track, picnicking, camping or other similar recreational activities.

The Assessment Guidelines define harm to Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places as being either direct or indirect:

- **Direct harm** may occur as the result of any activity which disturbs the ground including, but not limited to, site preparation activities, installation of services and infrastructure, roadworks, excavation, flood mitigation measures.
- **Indirect harm** may affect sites or features located immediately beyond or within the area of the proposed activity. Examples include, but are not limited to, increased impact on art in a shelter from increased visitation, destruction from increased erosion and changes in access to wild food resources.

The present assessment of potential harm follows the principles of ecologically sustainable development (ESD), in particular the precautionary principle and the principle of inter-generational equity:

- The **precautionary principle** states that full scientific certainty about the threat of harm should never be used as a reason for not taking measures to prevent harm from occurring.
- The **principle of inter-generational equity** holds that the present generation should make every effort to ensure the health, diversity and productivity of the environment, which includes cultural heritage, is available for the benefit of future generations. If a site type that was once common in an area becomes rare, the loss of that site (and site type) will result in an incomplete archaeological record and will negatively affect intergenerational equity.

Consideration of potential harm to Aboriginal objects and Aboriginal places according to ESD principles allows for an understanding of the cumulative impact of the proposed activity and an understanding of how harm can be avoided or minimised, if possible.

6.1. ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL HARM

The desktop assessment undertaken as part of the present ACHA has determined that there are no known Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places within the subject area. However, the archaeological potential of the subject area has assessed to be moderate for artefact scatters / campsites, burials, isolated finds, middens and PADS within intact natural soil at depths exceeding 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface. As such, there is moderate potential for harm to Aboriginal objects due to the construction of a basement level carpark.

No physical works are proposed under SSDA-38600121 as it is limited to establishing a concept envelope for future development. Any physical works within the concept envelope that exceed a depth of 0.6 m below the existing ground surface have the potential to directly harm Aboriginal objects.

Once the proposed works have been finalised in Stage 2 then further refinement of this ACHA will be required with regards to potential harm and impacts to Aboriginal objects.

6.2. AVOIDANCE & MINIMISATION OF HARM

All practicable measures must be taken to avoid harm and conserve any significant Aboriginal objects and/or Aboriginal places, along with their cultural heritage values. If harm to Aboriginal objects and/or Aboriginal places is unavoidable, management strategies must be considered to minimise the harm. The type of management strategies proposed must be appropriate to the significance of Aboriginal heritage values, Aboriginal objects and/or Aboriginal places. Harm avoidance and minimisation measures must be feasible and within the financial viability of the proposed activity

As there are no known Aboriginal objects within or near to the subject area, the proposed works inherently avoid harm to any known Aboriginal objects. However, the proposed works have the potential to harm unknown objects should any of the future works exceed below the fill layer (0.6/1.9m) into the alluvial sand body.

Potential harm to any unknown objects in the subject area may be minimised by undertaking further archaeological investigation program which will be further defined once the detailed design and physical impacts from the proposal have been finalised at Stage 2 of the project.

7. CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

The ACHA of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707, concluded that:

- No Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places are registered within the subject area.
- No previous Aboriginal archaeological investigations have been identified that directly address the subject area.
- The subject area is located in the Tuggerah soil landscape and within 200m of a first order, ephemeral waterway, which is an archaeologically sensitive landscape feature.
- Historical activities, including construction and demolition of buildings and utilisation of the subject area for industrial purposes, are determined to have caused a high level of ground disturbance across the subject area.
- Geotechnical findings confirm the impact of historical activities on the soil profile, with disturbance being encountered to a minimum depth of 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface. There is nil to low potential for Aboriginal sites within the disturbed soil layers.
- Geotechnical findings further indicate the presence of an intact natural sand body below approximately 0.6/1.9m, likely the Tuggerah soil landscape, which is an archaeologically sensitive landform. This natural sand body has been identified with the potential for archaeological resources dating to the Pleistocene. This natural soil profile extends 0.6/1.9m to 7.3m/8.5m below the existing ground surface.
- The subject area has moderate archaeological potential for artefact scatters / campsites, burials, isolated finds, middens and PADS dating to the potentially the Pleistocene within intact natural soil at depths exceeding 0.6/1.9m below the existing ground surface.
- The subject area is determined to have moderate Aboriginal cultural heritage significance for its scientific value associated with the potential for Aboriginal objects dating to the Pleistocene.
- If any Aboriginal objects are retained within intact natural soils below the existing ground surface, they would have high scientific significance as rare examples of occupation of the Sydney basin and for their potential to contribute to an understanding of the cultural history of the region.
- Any physical works in the subject area that impact the ground below 0.6m/1.9m or more have the potential to directly harm Aboriginal objects. The assessment for subsurface impacts will need to be confirmed in Stage 2 of the SSDA once the proposed works are finalised.

Based on the above conclusions, Urbis recommends the following:

- Consultation with the Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) should continue until the finalisation of the proposed development to ensure the opportunity for community input.
- As suggested by the RAPs during the consultation process, Native planting should be considered within the proposal at Stage 2 of the SSDA.
- Any physical works in the subject area that impact undisturbed subsurface soil below a depth of 0.6m/1.9m should be further investigated to understand if Aboriginal archaeological resources are present.
- Once the detailed design and physical impacts from the proposal have been finalised at Stage 2 of the SSDA, the recommendations and potential harm and impacts to Aboriginal objects should be refined and reconsidered.

[REDACTED] agreed with the methodology employed for the ACHA and endorsed the above conclusions and recommendations.

8. REFERENCES

- AECOM, 2019. *Potts Hill to Alexandria Transmission Cable Project: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment*.
- AHMS, 2012. *Aboriginal and Historical Archaeological Impact Assessment Eastlakes Shopping Centre, Eastlakes, NSW*.
- Attenbrow, V. 2010, *Sydney's Aboriginal Past, 2nd Edition*, University of New South Wales Press, Sydney: Australia.
- Australia ICOMOS Incorporated, 2013. *The Burra Charter, The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*
- City of Sydney, 2012. *Local Environment Plan (LEP)*.
- City of Sydney, 2012. *Development Control Plan (DCP)*.
- Clarkson, C., Jacobs, Z., Marwick, B., Fullagar, R., Wallis, L., Smith, M., Roberts, R.G., Hayes, E., Lowe, K., Carah, X., Florin, S.A., McNeil, J., Cox, D., Arnold, L.J., Hua, Q., Huntley, J., Brand, H.E.A., Manne, T., Fairbairn, A., Shulmeister, J., Lyle, L., Salinas, M., Page, M., Connell, K., Park, G., Norman, K., Murphy, T. and Pardoe, C. 2017, *Human occupation of northern Australia by 65,000 years ago*, *Nature*, vol. 547, pp. 306-310.
- Conveyance, 1915. Book 1062, No. 663.
- Department of Environment Climate Change and Water, 2010a, *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*.
- DECCW, 2010b, *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW*.
- DECCW, 2010c, *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents*.
- Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.
- Department of Planning, Industry and Environment, 2018. *Soil Landscapes of the Sydney 1:100,000 Sheet. Tuggerah (tg)*. Pp. 118–122.
- EP Risk Management, 2022. *Detailed Site Investigation: 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, 2015*.
- Etheridge, R., TW Edgeworth David, T.W. Grimshaw, H.W., 1896. *On the occurrence of a submerged forest, with remains of the Dugong, at Shea's Creek near Sydney*, *Journal and Proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales*, Vol. 30.
- Extent Heritage, 2018. *Alexandria Park Community School, 7-11 Park Road Alexandria: Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report*.
- Fortify Geotech, 2022. *Proposed Commercial Development: 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW*.
- Haworth, R., Baker, R. & Flood, P., 2004. *A 6000 Year-old Fossil Dugong from Botany Bay: Inferences about Changes in Sydney's Climate, Sea Levels and Waterways*. Australian Geographical Studies. Vol. 42, pp. 46–59.
- National Parks and Wildlife Act, 1974*.
- National Parks and Wildlife Regulations, 2009*.
- NSW Land Registry Services, Vol Fol 4250 104
- Office of Environment and Heritage, 2011. *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW*.
- The Dictionary of Sydney, n.d. *Waterloo Estate*. Retrieved 20 April at https://dictionaryofsydney.org/place/waterloo_estate
- Tindale, NB. 1974. *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia. Their Terrain, Environmental Controls, Distribution, Limits and Proper Names*. ANU Press, Canberra: Australia.

Urbis, 2022 (in prep). *28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria: Historical Archaeological Assessment*.

Williams, A.N., Atkinson, F., Lau, M., Toms, P., 2014. A Glacial cryptic refuge in southeast Australia: Human occupation and mobility from 36,000 years ago in the Sydney Basin, New South Wales. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 29(8): 735-748.

DISCLAIMER

This report is dated 10 June 2022 and incorporates information and events up to that date only and excludes any information arising, or event occurring, after that date which may affect the validity of Urbis Pty Ltd (**Urbis**) opinion in this report. Urbis prepared this report on the instructions, and for the benefit only, of Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd (**Instructing Party**) for the purpose of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (**Purpose**) and not for any other purpose or use. To the extent permitted by applicable law, Urbis expressly disclaims all liability, whether direct or indirect, to the Instructing Party which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose other than the Purpose, and to any other person which relies or purports to rely on this report for any purpose whatsoever (including the Purpose).

In preparing this report, Urbis was required to make judgements which may be affected by unforeseen future events, the likelihood and effects of which are not capable of precise assessment.

All surveys, forecasts, projections and recommendations contained in or associated with this report are made in good faith and on the basis of information supplied to Urbis at the date of this report, and upon which Urbis relied. Achievement of the projections and budgets set out in this report will depend, among other things, on the actions of others over which Urbis has no control.

In preparing this report, Urbis may rely on or refer to documents in a language other than English, which Urbis may arrange to be translated. Urbis is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of such translations and disclaims any liability for any statement or opinion made in this report being inaccurate or incomplete arising from such translations.

Whilst Urbis has made all reasonable inquiries it believes necessary in preparing this report, it is not responsible for determining the completeness or accuracy of information provided to it. Urbis (including its officers and personnel) is not liable for any errors or omissions, including in information provided by the Instructing Party or another person or upon which Urbis relies, provided that such errors or omissions are not made by Urbis recklessly or in bad faith.

This report has been prepared with due care and diligence by Urbis and the statements and opinions given by Urbis in this report are given in good faith and in the reasonable belief that they are correct and not misleading, subject to the limitations above.

APPENDIX A

BASIC AND EXTENSIVE AHIMS SEARCH RESULTS



AHIMS Web Services (AWS) Search Result

Your Ref/PO Number : P0037375 - Basic Search 1

Client Service ID : 663671

Urbis Pty Ltd - Angel Place L8 123 Pitt Street

Date: 01 March 2022

Level 8 123 Angel Street

Sydney New South Wales 2000

Attention: Wade Goldwyer

Email: wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.9462, 151.1366 - Lat, Long To : -33.875, 151.2602, conducted by Wade Goldwyer on 01 March 2022.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

68	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.



AHIMS Web Services (AWS)

Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P0037375 - Basic Search 1

Client Service ID : 663671

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 01/03/2022 for Wade Goldwyer for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.9462, 151.1366 - Lat, Long To : -33.875, 151.2602. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 68

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Heritage NSW and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.

APPENDIX B

REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTY CONSULTATION LOG

Date	Time	Type	Contacted	Contacted individual	Contacted by	Contacted by Individual	Subject	Reply	Follow-up needed?	Person actioned	Comment
Stage 1 Agency notice											
21/02/2022	12:40pm AWST	Email	Greater Sydney LLS, MLALC, City of Sydney Council, NTS Corp, The Registrar, Heritage NSW		Urbis	Wade Goldwayer (WG)	Stage 1 Agency Notices		NA	WG	
21/02/2022	12:30pm AWST	Email	NTT		Urbis	WG	Native Title Search		NA	WG	websearch also completed
22/02/2022	6:15am AWST	Email	Urbis	Sam Richards (SR)	GS LLS	NA	Stage 1 Agency Response	Did not provide list of RAPs. Acknowledged that GS LLS are not primary source for RAPs and recommended contacting Heritage NSW, which has already been done.	NA	WG	
22/02/2022	10:41am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG	NT Tribunal	NA	Stage 1 Agency Response	There were no results within the boundaries specified.	NA	WG	
23/02/2022	1:52 pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG	City of Sydney Council	Tony Smith	Stage 1 Agency Response	Sent contact details for LALC	NA	WG	Recorded
24/02/2022	1:12 pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG	Heritage NSW	Barry Guntther	Stage 1 Agency Response	Sent contact details for LALC	NA	WG	Recorded
Stage 1 RAP notice/advertisement											
28/02/2022	10:03am AWST	Email	All RAPs in register	All RAPs in register	Urbis	WG	Stage 1.3 RAP Invitation	Invitation letter sent	Yes	WG	Waiting response
28/02/2022	2:00pm AEST	Post			Urbis	WG	Stage 1.3 RAP Invitation	Invitation letter sent	Yes	Kirsten Downey (KD)	Waiting response
28/02/2022	10:35am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
28/02/2022	10:54am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
28/02/2022	11:36am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
28/02/2022	11:37am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
28/02/2022	12:20pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
1/03/2022	7:29am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest ("Insurances attached")	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
1/03/2022	3:06pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
3/03/2022	6:03am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest ("Insurances attached")	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
3/03/2022	10:04am AWST	Email	Urbis	Sam Richards (SR)			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
4/03/2022	12:10pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
14/03/2022	8:28pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Response to register interest	Yes	WG	Acknowledgement of registration
Stage 2 & 3											
17/03/2022	3:46pm AWST	Email	All RAPs in register	All RAPs in register	Urbis	WG	Stage 2 & 3 Presenting Information and Gathering	Letter sent out	Yes	WG	Waiting for responses
21/03/2022	9:19am AWST 9:23am AWST	Email	Heritage NSW MLALC		Urbis	WG	Stage 1.6 Notification to Heritage NSW & LALC	Notification update sent	No	WG	NA
21/03/2022		Email			Urbis	WG	Stage 1.6 Notification to Heritage NSW & LALC	Notification update sent	No	WG	NA
30/03/2022	1:49PM AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 2 & 3 RAP Response	<p>_____ would like to recommend cultural interpretation plan for the site to recognise the Aboriginal people as the one of the oldest continuing cultures and the traditional people of country. This can be achieved through native landscaping, art, sculptures, Aboriginal naming of buildings, native edible gardens, and water features for visitors.</p> <p>_____ would like to agree to ACHA, and we look forward to further consultation on this project.</p>	Yes	WG	Acknowledged email and confirmed to further discuss request with client
1/04/2022	1:01PM AWST	Email		All RAPs in register	Urbis	WG	Stage 2 & 3 Presenting Information and Gathering	Follow up email to RAPs who did not yet respond	No	WG	Waiting for responses
4/04/2022	8:39am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 1.3 RAP Response	Yes, notified La Perouse LALC that their name appeared on the Heritage NSW list and must have been done in error	WG		_____ has designated as the project is not within their boundary
11/04/2022	7:44am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 2 & 3 RAP Response	Email notification that the project is not within _____ Thank you for the report I have read it and it looks great. We have nothing at	Yes	WG	NA
20/04/2022	11:57am AWST	Email			Urbis	WG	Site Visit & Meeting Invitation	Invitation email sent	Yes	WG	NA
20/04/2022	11:00pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Site Visit & Meeting Response	Question regarding online attendance	Yes	WG	Confirmed that only in person attendance is possible
22/04/2022	9:46am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Site Visit & Meeting Response	Confirmation of attendance	Yes	WG	Acknowledged with details of inspection
24/04/2022	8:52am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Site Visit & Meeting Details	Confirmation of attendance	Yes	WG	Acknowledged with details of inspection
26/04/2022	1:45pm AWST	Email			Urbis	WG		Details for site inspection meeting (10am-12pm on 27 April 2022)	Yes	WG	Acknowledged with details of inspection
11/05/2022	4:33pm AWST	Email	All RAPs in register	All RAPs in register	Urbis	WG	Stage 4 Draft ACHA	Draft ACHA sent to RAPs for review with the cut off date being 8 June 2022	No	WG	Acknowledged with details of inspection
Stage 4											
26/05/2022	2:37pm AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 4 Draft ACHA Response	_____ support draft ACHA	Yes	WG	Acknowledgment of email
31/05/2022	9:14am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 4 Draft ACHA Response	_____ letter, supporting draft ACHA	Yes	WG	Acknowledgment of email
6/06/2022	7:57am AWST	Email	Urbis	WG			Stage 4 Draft ACHA Response	_____ support draft ACHA and request to stay updated on project related matters	Yes	WG	Acknowledgment of email

APPENDIX C

REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTY CONSULTATION DOCUMENTATION

STAGE 1.1 – NATIVE TITLE SEARCH

Search Register of Native Title Claims

The Register of Native Title Claims (RNTC) contains information about all claimant applications that have been registered. The Registrar is responsible for maintaining the RNTC.

Further [information about the RNTC](#) is available.

Tribunal file no.	<input type="text"/>
Federal Court file no.	<input type="text"/>
Application name	<input type="text"/>
State or Territory	New South Wales ▼
Representative A/TSI body area	<input type="text"/>
Local government area	Council of the City of Sydney
Date filed between	<input type="text"/> and <input type="text"/>
Sort by	Date filed ▼
<input type="button" value="Search >"/>	

No results for current search criteria

Search National Native Title Register

The National Native Title Register (NNTR) is a register established under s. 192 of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Cth).

The NNTR contains determinations of native title made by:

- the High Court of Australia
- the Federal Court of Australia
- or a recognised body such as South Australia's Supreme Court and Environment Resources and Development Court.

Further [information about the NNTR](#) is available.

Tribunal file no.	<input type="text"/>
Federal Court file no.	<input type="text"/>
Short name	<input type="text"/>
Case name	<input type="text"/>
State or Territory	ALL ▼
Registered Native Title Body Corporate*	<input type="text"/>
Representative A/TSI body area	<input type="text"/>
Local government area	Council of the City of Sydney
Determination type	ALL ▼
Legal process	ALL ▼
Determination outcome	ALL ▼
Determination date between	<input type="text"/> and <input type="text"/>
Sort by	Determination date ▼
<input type="button" value="Search >"/>	

*Please note: current contact details for the Registered Native Title Body Corporate are available from the Office of the Registrar of Indigenous Corporations www.oric.gov.au

No results for current search criteria

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Monday, 21 February 2022 12:33 PM
To: Geospatial Search Requests
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: P0037375_Stage 1 Native Title Search Request - 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment
Attachments: Request for Spatial Search of Tribunal Registers_2022.pdf

Tracking:	Recipient	Delivery
	Geospatial Search Requests	
	Sam Richards	Delivered: 21/02/2022 12:35 PM

To whom it may concern,

We were hoping to politely follow up on the below request for any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders for our project at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

If you do not have any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders, could you please confirm that in a response email.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender



Request for Spatial Search of Tribunal Registers

1: Your details

Your name:	<i>Wade Goldwyer</i>		
Your company:	<i>Urbis</i>		
E-mail address:	<i>wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au</i>	Phone:	<i>+61 8 9346 0506</i>
Your reference:	<i>P0037375</i>	Your state:	<i>New South Wales</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>I have read and acknowledge the terms and conditions on the next page.</i>		

2: Areas to be searched

Jurisdiction to be searched:	<i>New South Wales</i>	Tenure to be searched:	<i>Non freehold parcel</i>
------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	----------------------------

Parcel or tenement identifiers (add up to 20 separate identifiers). **Please see over for parcel identifiers.**

Parcel 1:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 2:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 3:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 4:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 5:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 6:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 7:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 8:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 9:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 10:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 11:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 12:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 13:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 14:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 15:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 16:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 17:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 18:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>
Parcel 19:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>	Parcel 20:	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>

If your search area is not a parcel or mining or petroleum tenement, you can enter other tenure or administrative regions here (e.g. local government area, townsite or county). Please provide as much detail as you can.

*The site is located at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria (Lot1-3 / DP324707).
County: Cumberland; Parish: Alexandria; City of Sydney LGA*

E-mail the completed form to GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au

Parcel Identifiers

In most jurisdictions please identify parcels using lot on plan, or lot/section/plan as appropriate. The NNTT is generally not able to identify parcels using land title information. Where possible, the NNTT uses the terminology and formatting of unique identifiers used in each state to uniquely identify a land parcel. More details are below:

1. **Lot on plan.** Use for Western Australia and Queensland.
2. **Lot/Section/Plan.** Use for New South Wales.
3. **LAISKEY.** Use for the Northern Territory. The laiskey is a unique identifier for each parcel comprised of the location code, LTO code (derived from the survey plan) where applicable and the parcel number.
4. **Parcel ID** – Use for South Australia. Concatenation of Parcel Type, Parcel, Plan Type and Plan.
5. **SPI** (Standard Parcel Identifier) – Use for Victoria.

Terms and Conditions

1. Specify only one jurisdiction (e.g. Queensland) and one type of tenure (e.g. mining tenement) per form. You can add up to 20 separate tenements or parcels per search request. For more than 20 parcels or tenements please submit additional search requests or contact GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au to discuss your requirements.

Note: if your area of interest cannot be clearly identified from the search form, or is not held in NNTT datasets, we may instead provide search results for a surrounding local government area, or other suitable regional area.

2. Freehold land.

Under the Native Title Act 1993 (Cth), the valid grant of a freehold estate (other than certain types of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander land) on or before 23 December 1996 is known as a 'previous exclusive possession act'. This means that native title has been extinguished over the area. Native title claimants are not allowed to include land and waters covered by previous exclusive possession acts in their applications; therefore they would normally exclude freehold areas. A native title application may, however, be made over freehold land on the basis that freehold was invalidly granted, but the chances of this happening are very low.

3. Cultural Heritage in NSW.

The National Native Title Tribunal has undertaken steps to remove itself from the formal list of sources for information about indigenous groups in development areas. The existence or otherwise of native title is quite separate to any matters relating to Aboriginal cultural heritage. Information on native title claims, native title determinations and Indigenous Land Use Agreements is available on the Tribunal's website.

4. Spatial searches rely on data obtained from the relevant custodian. Whilst efforts are taken to update such datasets on a regular basis, the collection and interpretation of such datasets may be influenced by a number of factors that can impact of the completeness and accuracy of your search results.

Disclaimer

While the National Native Title Tribunal (NNTT) and the Native Title Registrar (Registrar) have exercised due care in ensuring the accuracy of the information provided, it is provided for general information only and on the understanding that neither the NNTT, the Registrar nor the Commonwealth of Australia is providing professional advice. Appropriate professional advice relevant to your circumstances should be sought rather than relying on the information provided. In addition, you must exercise your own judgment and carefully evaluate the information provided for accuracy, currency, completeness and relevance for the purpose for which it is to be used.

The information provided is often supplied by, or based on, data and information from external sources, therefore the NNTT and Registrar cannot guarantee that the information is accurate or up-to-date.

The NNTT and Registrar expressly disclaim any liability arising from the use of this information.

This information should not be relied upon in relation to any matters associated with cultural heritage.

Wade Goldwyer

From: Geospatial Search Requests <GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 22 February 2022 10:41 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: RE: SR22/232 - P0037375_Stage 1 Native Title Search Request - 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - SR22/232 [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

OFFICIAL

Native title search – NSW Parcels – Multiple
Your ref: P0037375 - **Our ref:** SR22/232

Dear Wade Goldwyer ,

Thank you for your search request received on 21 February 2022 in relation to the above area, please find your results below.

Search Results

The results provided are based on the information you supplied and are derived from a search of the following Tribunal databases:

- Schedule of Native Title Determination Applications
- Register of Native Title Claims
- Native Title Determinations
- Indigenous Land Use Agreements (Registered and notified)

Feature ID	Tenure	As At	Feature Area SqKm	Overlapping Native Title Features	
1//DP324707	FREEHOLD	11/10/2021	0.0011	NNTT File Number	Name
				No overlap	
2//DP324707	FREEHOLD	11/10/2021	0.0008	NNTT File Number	Name
				No overlap	
3//DP324707	FREEHOLD	11/10/2021	0.0011	NNTT File Number	Name
				No overlap	

For more information about the Tribunal's registers or to search the registers yourself and obtain copies of relevant register extracts, please visit our [website](#).

Information on native title claims and freehold land can also be found on the Tribunal's website here: [Native title claims and freehold land](#).

Please note: There may be a delay between a native title determination application being lodged in the Federal Court and its transfer to the Tribunal. As a result, some native title determination applications recently filed with the Federal Court may not appear on the Tribunal's databases.

The search results are based on analysis against external boundaries of applications only. Native title applications commonly contain exclusions clauses which remove areas from within the external boundary. To determine whether the areas described are in fact subject to claim, you need to refer to the "Area covered by claim" section of the relevant Register Extract or Schedule Extract and any maps attached.

Search results and the existence of native title

Please note that the enclosed information from the Register of Native Title Claims and/or the Schedule of Applications is **not** confirmation of the existence of native title in this area. This cannot be confirmed until the Federal Court makes a determination that native title does or does not exist in relation to the area. Such determinations are registered on the National Native Title Register.

The Tribunal accepts no liability for reliance placed on enclosed information

The enclosed information has been provided in good faith. Use of this information is at your sole risk. The National Native Title Tribunal makes no representation, either express or implied, as to the accuracy or suitability of the information enclosed for any particular purpose and accepts no liability for use of the information or reliance placed on it.

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact us via GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au

Regards,

Geospatial Searches

National Native Title Tribunal | Perth

Email: GeospatialSearch@nntt.gov.au | www.nntt.gov.au

From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>

Sent: Monday, 21 February 2022 12:33 PM

To: Geospatial Search Requests <GeospatialSearch@NNTT.gov.au>

Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>

Subject: SR22/232 - P0037375_Stage 1 Native Title Search Request - 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment

Caution: This is an external email. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

We were hoping to politely follow up on the below request for any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders for our project at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

If you do not have any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders, could you please confirm that in a response email.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**




LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [**Reconciliation Action Plan**](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

STAGE 1.2 – ASCERTAINING LIST OF POTENTIAL RAPS



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

21 February 2022

To whom it may concern,

28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA – ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1.2

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd ('the Proponent') to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area') (see attached figure). The subject area is within the City of Sydney Local Government Area.

The ACHA will form part of a staged State Significant Development Application under Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* for the subject area. The proposed development includes redevelopment of the subject area for a 'health services facility'.

The Proponent can be contacted via:


Mr Adam Thomas
Group Commercial Leader
Johnstaff
Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000
Via email: adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au

The ACHA is to be carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act). The assessment will detail the nature, extent and significance of any Aboriginal cultural heritage resources that may exist within the subject area and provide recommendations regarding management of those resources.

As part of the ACHA, Urbis will conduct a community consultation process with registered Aboriginal parties. The community consultation will be conducted in accordance with Section 4 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water).

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements, Urbis seeks to compile a list of Aboriginal people and organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

If you are aware of any Aboriginal persons and/or organisations that may hold an interest in the project, we request that you please provide their details at your earliest convenience and preferably by **7 March 2022** to:



Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000
P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: srichards@urbis.com.au

Urbis, on behalf of the Proponent, will write to each Aboriginal person or group whose details are provided to notify them of the proposed project and invite them to register an interest in the community consultation process.

If you have any questions in relation to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "S. Richards", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
+61 2 8424 5136
+61 493 042 925
sam.richards@urbis.com.au

P0037375_28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria_ACHA_Stage1.2

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Monday, 21 February 2022 12:40 PM
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: P0037375_Stage 1 Agency Notice_28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment
Attachments: P0037375_28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria_ACHA_Stage1.2.pdf

Tracking:	Recipient	Delivery
	Sam Richards	Delivered: 21/02/2022 12:42 PM
	gs.service@lls.nsw.gov.au	
	landconservation@metrolalc.org.au	
	council@cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au	
	information@ntscorp.com.au	
	heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.gov.au	
	adminofficer@oralra.nsw.gov.au	

To whom it may concern,

We were hoping to politely follow up on the below request for any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders for our project at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

If you do not have any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders, could you please confirm that in a response email.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT


D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

23 February 2022

Our Ref: TRIM 2022/068268-02
File No: S120598: CUSTOMER SERVICE - ENQUIRIES - Heritage Enquiries

Mr Adam Thomas
Group Commercial Leader
Johnstaff
Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000

By e-mail: adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au

Dear Adam,

**Re: 28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA – ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE
ASSESSMENT – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1.2**

I write in response to a letter or enquiry from Sam Richards of Urbis dated 21 February 2022.

The City of Sydney defers to the [REDACTED] as the cultural stakeholder for these matters.

Contact details:

Street Address:
36-38 George Street,
Redfern NSW 2016

Postal Address:
PO Box 1103,
Strawberry Hills
NSW 2012

Business Hours:
Mon - Fri / 9am to 5pm
Phone: (02) 8394 9666
Fax: (02) 83949733
Email: bookings@metrolalc.org.au
Web: <http://www.metrolalc.org.au>

I hope that this information is useful. If you want to speak to a City of Sydney heritage specialist on this matter, please contact Mr Michele Grande on 9246 7616.

Yours sincerely,



Tony Smith
**Urban Design & Heritage Manager – Planning Assessment Unit
City of Sydney**

Wade Goldwyer

From: LLS GS Service Mailbox <gs.service@lls.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 22 February 2022 6:15 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: Re: P0037375_Stage 1 Agency Notice_28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Mr Richards

Thank you for your recent letter seeking assistance to identify Aboriginal stakeholder organisations and persons who may hold an interest in Country at the project area designated in your correspondence. Please accept our apologies for the delay in responding.

Greater Sydney Local Land Services (GS LLS) acknowledges that Local Land Services (formerly as Catchment Management Authorities) has been listed in Section 4.1.3.(g) of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation requirements for proponents 2010, to support Part 6, of the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 as a source of information to obtain the 'names of Aboriginal people who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places'.

GS LLS understands and respects the significant role and values that tangible and intangible Aboriginal Cultural Heritage holds for First Nations/Aboriginal people with Country. GS LLS also partners with many First Nations communities on Caring for Country projects that aim to protect and enhance those tangible and intangible values in Country including Aboriginal Cultural Heritage. GS LLS considers Aboriginal Cultural Heritage matters in relation to its role in land management and considers cultural heritage issues in the context of Natural Resource Management.

However, GS LLS feels that it is not a primary source of contact for First Nations (Aboriginal) communities or persons that may inform or provide comment on development or planning issues.

GS LLS strongly recommends you contact Heritage NSW to seek their advice on all-inclusive contact lists of persons and organisations who 'speak for Country' and that may assist with your investigation.

Regards

Customer Service Team
Greater Sydney Local Land Services
Level 4, 2 - 6 Station St Penrith | PO Box 4515, Westfield Penrith NSW 2750
T: 02 4724 2100
E: gs.service@lls.nsw.gov.au | **W:** www.greatersydney.lls.nsw.gov.au

You can also contact us through our [online enquiry form](#)

Rate our service

Local Land Services is committed to providing excellent customer service. Feedback is welcomed.

Should you wish to provide feedback please click here: <https://rateitnow.com/greatersydneyregion>

Greater Sydney Local Land Services acknowledges we operate in and deliver services throughout Country of First Nations people in the Greater Sydney Region.
We recognise and respect Elders and cultural knowledge holders, past and present, while acknowledging the unique and diverse enduring cultures and histories of all First Nations people.
Always was and always will be Aboriginal land.



From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>
Sent: Monday, 21 February 2022 3:39 PM
Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>
Subject: P0037375_Stage 1 Agency Notice_28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment

To whom it may concern,

We were hoping to politely follow up on the below request for any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders for our project at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.
If you do not have any information regarding potential Aboriginal stakeholders, could you please confirm that in a response email.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.



Our reference: Doc22/128840

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000

24/02/2022

Dear Sam,

**WRITTEN NOTIFICATION OF PROPOSAL AS REQUIRED UNDER DECCW ABORIGINAL
CULTURAL HERITAGE CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROPONENTS 2010**

Subject: Lot 1-3 in DP324707 - 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria.

Thank you for your correspondence dated 21 February 2022 to Heritage NSW (Department of Premier and Cabinet) regarding the above project.

Attached is a list of known Aboriginal parties for the proposed development at City of Sydney local Government Area that Heritage NSW considers likely to have an interest in the activity.

Please note this list is not necessarily an exhaustive list of all interested Aboriginal parties.

Receipt of this list does not remove the requirement of a proponent/ consultant to advertise in local print media and contact other bodies seeking interested Aboriginal parties, in accordance with the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (April 2010).

Under Section 4.1.6. of the Consultation Requirements, you must also provide a copy of the names of each Aboriginal person who registered an interest to the relevant Heritage NSW office and Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) within 28 days from the closing date for registering an interest.

Please note that the contact details in the list provided by Heritage NSW may be out of date as it relies on Aboriginal parties advising Heritage NSW when their details need changing. If individuals/companies undertaking consultation are aware that any groups contact details are out of date, or letters are returned unopened, please contact either the relevant stakeholder group (if you know their more current details) and/or Heritage NSW. AHIP applicants should make a note of any group they are unable to contact as part of their consultation record.

If you have any questions about this advice, please email:
heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.gov.au or contact (02) 9873 8500.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Barry Gunther". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Barry Gunther
Aboriginal Heritage Planner
Aboriginal Heritage Regulation Branch – South Heritage NSW

Attachment A: Registered Aboriginal Interests DPC RAP List for the City of Sydney Local Government Area

LIST OF ABORIGINAL STAKEHOLDERS FOR THE DEPARTMENT of PREMIER and CABINET (DPC) SOUTHERN REGION HELD BY DPC FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE OEH ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROPONENTS 2010

These lists are provided to proponents in accordance with section 4.1.2 of the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents 2010* (the "Consultation Requirements") which commenced on 12 April 2010.

The consultation process involves getting the views of, and information from, Aboriginal people and reporting on these. It is not to be confused with other field assessment processes involved in preparing a proposal and an application. Consultation does not include the employment of Aboriginal people to assist in field assessment and/or site monitoring. Aboriginal people may provide services to proponents through a contractual arrangement however, this is separate from consultation. The proponent is not obliged to employ those Aboriginal people registered for consultation. Consultation as per these requirements will continue irrespective of potential or actual employment opportunities for Aboriginal people.

A copy of the Consultation Requirements can be found on the OEH website at:

<http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/cultureheritage/commconsultation/09781ACHconsultreq.pdf>.

Under the Consultation Requirements; a proponent is required to provide Aboriginal people who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places as relevant to the proposed project area, with an opportunity to be involved in consultation. Section 3.3.1 of the Consultation Requirements states that Aboriginal people who can provide this information are, based on Aboriginal lore and custom, the traditional owners or custodians of the land that is the subject of the proposed project.

The Consultation Requirements also state that:

Traditional owners or custodians with appropriate cultural heritage knowledge to inform decision making who seek to register their interest as an Aboriginal party are those people who:

- *continue to maintain a deep respect for their ancestral belief system, traditional lore and custom*
- *recognise their responsibilities and obligations to protect and conserve their culture and heritage and care for their traditional lands or Country*
- *have the trust of their community, knowledge and understanding of their culture, and permission to speak about it.*

Please note: the placement of an organisation's name on any OEH Aboriginal stakeholder list for the Consultation Requirements does not override a proponent's requirement to also advertise in the local newspaper and to seek from other sources the names of any other Aboriginal people who may hold cultural knowledge as required under clause 60 of the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019.


How to use this list


- 1. Contact the organisations/individuals who have indicated an interest in the relevant LGA/s and invite them to register an interest in your project**


Do not reproduce the attached list in publicly available reports and other documents. Your report should only contain the names of the organisations and individuals who you have invited to register an interest in your project and those who have registered as stakeholders for your project.


Last updated 21 February 2022


City of Sydney Local Government Area












STAGE 1.3 – INVITATION TO POTENTIAL RAPS



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

28 February 2022

To whom it may concern,

28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA – ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1.3 – INVITATION TO REGISTER

Please be advised that your contact details have been provided to us by Heritage NSW as an Aboriginal person or party who may have an interest in registering for the above project.

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area') (see attached figure). The subject area is within the City of Sydney Local Government Area.

The ACHA will form part of a staged State Significant Development Application under Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* for the subject area. The proposed development includes redevelopment of the subject area for a 'health services facility'.


Proponent can be contacted via:

Mr Adam Thomas
Group Commercial Leader
Johnstaff
Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000
Via email: adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au

The ACHA will be conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, including the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements). The ACHA will include a community consultation process with registered Aboriginal parties.

The Proponent is seeking the registration of Aboriginal persons or groups who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal object(s) and/or place(s) that may be present in the subject area. Urbis, on behalf of the Proponent, hereby invites you to register an interest in the community consultation process for the above project.

If you wish to register your interest, please respond in writing (preferably by email) by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14 March 2022**.



Please send responses to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000
P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Following confirmation of your registration, Urbis will forward your name and contact details to Heritage NSW and Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, as required under the Consultation Requirements. If you do not want your details released, please advise us accordingly when registering your interest.

Please note that inclusion in the consultation process does not automatically result in paid site assessment, per Section 3.4 of the Consultation Requirements. Engagement for delivery of any services will be decided by the Proponent based on a range of considerations including skills, relevant experience and provision of certificates of currency.

If you have any questions in relation to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "S. Richards", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
+61 2 8424 5136
+61 493 042 925
sam.richards@urbis.com.au



Figure 1 – Location of the subject area (outlined in red).

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 10:03 AM
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: P0037375_28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria_ACHA_Stage 1.3_Invitation.pdf


Importance: High

Tracking:

Recipient


Delivery

Delivered: 28/02/2022 10:05 AM



Recipient

Delivery



Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant

Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Search e.g. product, service

Notices ▾

Alexandria, 2015, NS...

+50km ▾

Filters

GO

All Classifieds > Notices

Latest articles

How to prepare your home for storm season

Summer is fast approaching so it's time to equip your home in order to have the best...



Garden fun for kids

Keeping the kids entertained is no easy task; however, with a little imagination, the...



Spring energy saving tips

Saving energy around the home is about more than just turning off lights. Read our quick tips to...



Let your garden shine at night

Just as a lamp can create a cosy feel inside the home, outdoor lighting can offer the...



Get out of a fix with these tradies

When big things break, it may seem obvious which tradies to call, but there are other tradies to...



View more articles

Notices 1

Show map

Sort by featured ☆

Sort by name □

Sort by date 📅

Results: 50 ▾



Alexandria, 2015

Public Notices

ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT

28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria NSW Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment – Community Consultation Stage 1...


Call

Email

2/3/2022



9 Alexandria 2015



☎ (02) 8424 ...

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 1 March 2022 7:29 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter (Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: [REDACTED]
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi,
Thank you for your email, I would like to register in being involved in all levels of consultation for this project.
Including, Meetings, Reports, Sharing Cultural Information, and available Field Work.

About the founder Carolyn Hickey

I am a Traditional Owner and Custodian with over 20 years experience in helping preserve Aboriginal cultural heritage on projects.


I hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and values that exist in the project area.


We would like you to consider including [REDACTED]
[the Women's Circle Employees](#) for all future field work.

[The Kawalkan workforce Program](#) is a designed program created to employ young indigenous youths between the ages of (18-29) years of age.

The Women's Circle was created with the need to always have Experienced Indigenous Women present in all field work.
To aim for not only gender equality in the workplace but, to help identify and protect any women's sacred places.

Please feel free to contact me on details supplied





From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>

Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 1:02 PM

Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>

Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards

Senior Consultant

Urbis Pty Ltd

Level 8, 123 Pitt Street

Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136

E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER

CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,
On behalf of [REDACTED] I would like to register interest in the project at Bourke Street.

Kind regards,
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Feb 28, 2022 at 1:03 PM Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards

Senior Consultant

Urbis Pty Ltd

Level 8, 123 Pitt Street

Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136

E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.



Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 11:36 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade

[REDACTED] like to register an interest into 28-32 Bourke Rd Alexandria

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

On Monday, February 28, 2022, 1:03 pm, Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards

Senior Consultant

Urbis Pty Ltd

Level 8, 123 Pitt Street

Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136

E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 11:36 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

Please accept this email as registration for the above mentioned project. Please let me know if you require any further information. Eg certificate of currency...

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 28 Feb 2022, at 1:03 pm, Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER

CONSULTANT

<image001.gif>

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

<image002.png>

<image004.png><image006.png><image008.png><image010.png>

LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work. Learn more about our **Reconciliation Action Plan**.

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

<P0037375_28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria_ACHA_Stage 1.3_Invitation.pdf>

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 10:54 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

[REDACTED] like to express an interest in the ACHA Stage 1.3 of 28-32 Bourke Rd Alexandria.

[REDACTED] a very experience Cultural and Heritage Officer Brad Maybury who has worked with numerous Arachnologist on other projects around the South West Sydney Area.

[REDACTED] happy to participate in the community Consultation and we would like to be involved in any sites work involved with this project or any other projects you may be responsible for.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 1:04 PM
Cc: [Sam Richards](#)
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Importance: High

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 6:03 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter (Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: [REDACTED]
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

Thank you for informing us that **Urbis** will be involved in an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at **28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW** & that you are inviting Aboriginal organisations to register, if they wish too be involved in the community consultation process.

As a senior Aboriginal person for the past 40yrs, I actively participate in the protection of the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage throughout the Sydney Basin, & particularly throughout Western Sydney, on behalf of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] wish to provide to you my organisation's registration of interest.

I wish to be involved & participate in all levels of consultation/project involvement. I wish to attend all meetings, participate in available field work & receive a copy of the report.

I have attached a copy of Kamilaroi Yankuntjatjara Working group's Public Liability Insurance & Workers Compensation certificate.

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Our RAPS have up to 15yrs Cultural Heritage experience in – field work which involves manual excavation (digging), sieving, identifying artefacts, setting up transits, setting up equipment, packing equipment, site surveys & attending meetings.

Should you wish me to provide further information, please do not hesitate to contact me [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)

Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 1:03 PM

Cc: [Sam Richards](#)

Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Importance: High

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#)

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our **Reconciliation Action Plan**.

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 1 March 2022 3:06 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: image004.png
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good afternoon my name is [REDACTED] just wondering if I can register please thank you

On Mon, 28 Feb 2022, 1:03 pm Wade Goldwyer, <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards

Senior Consultant

Urbis Pty Ltd

Level 8, 123 Pitt Street

Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136

E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER

CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: Sam Richards
Sent: Thursday, 3 March 2022 10:04 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Fwd: 28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

FYI

Kind regards,
Sam

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 3, 2022 12:33:20 PM
To: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>
Subject: 28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA

Good afternoon Sam,

Thank you for the invitation for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria.
Could you please register [REDACTED] for this project please.

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 10:34 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: image001.gif; image002.png; image004.png; image006.png; image008.png; image010.png; P0037375_28-32 Bourke Road Alexandria_ACHA_Stage 1.3_Invitation.pdf
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Wade,

Could you please register [REDACTED] interest in this project please.

Regards
[REDACTED]

On 28 Feb 2022, at 1:03 pm, Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW.

In accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Consultation Requirements Urbis proposes to invite Aboriginal people and/or organisations who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places that may exist within the subject area.

Please find attached the official invitation letter with further information.

If you would like to register your interest in this project, please respond in writing by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14th March 2022**.

Please send responses to the following:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000 P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.



Attention Urbis

Date: 040322

Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria

Dear Wade

Our group is a non- profit organisation that has been active for over forty years in Western Sydney, we are a [REDACTED] with over three hundred members. The main aim in our constitution is the care of Darug sites, places, wildlife and to promote our culture and provide education on the [REDACTED]


The Alexandria area is an area that our group has a vast knowledge of, we have worked and lived in for many years, this area is significant to the [REDACTED] due to the connection of sites and the continued occupation. Our group has been involved in all previous assessments and works in this area as a traditional owner [REDACTED] for the past 40 plus years.

People from other mobs should be respectful of our country and people if they are not respectful that the [REDACTED] knowledge holders then they are not cultural, therefore should not be involved on cultural heritage on [REDACTED]

Therefore, we would like to register our interest for full consultation and involvement in the above project area.

Please contact us with all further enquiries on the above contacts.

Regards



and pay respect to the Darug people, the traditional Aboriginal custodians of this land.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 12:20 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

I would like to register the [REDACTED] interests for location:
28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 1.3 Invitation Letter_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

The contact person(s) are:

[REDACTED]

From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>
Sent: Monday, 28 February 2022 1:03 PM

STAGE 1.6 – FORWARDING RAP LIST

21 March 2022


Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Regulation Branch
Heritage NSW
Department of Premier and Cabinet
Via email: heritagemailbox@environment.nsw.gov.au

To whom it may concern,

STAGE 1.6 - ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – 28-32 BOURKE ROAD – LIST OF REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTIES AND NOTIFICATION LETTER

In accordance with Section 4.1.6 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010) please find below the compiled list of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) and notification letter under Section 4.1.3 for the abovementioned project (Appendix A).

Table 1 – List of Registered Aboriginal Parties




Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries in relation to the provided information.

Yours sincerely,




Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
Direct phone 02 8424 5136
sam.richards@urbis.com.au



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

APPENDIX A



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

28 February 2022

To whom it may concern,

28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA – ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1.3 – INVITATION TO REGISTER

Please be advised that your contact details have been provided to us by Heritage NSW as an Aboriginal person or party who may have an interest in registering for the above project.

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area') (see attached figure). The subject area is within the City of Sydney Local Government Area.

The ACHA will form part of a staged State Significant Development Application under Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* for the subject area. The proposed development includes redevelopment of the subject area for a 'health services facility'.


Proponent can be contacted via:

Mr Adam Thomas
Group Commercial Leader
Johnstaff
Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000
Via email: adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au

The ACHA will be conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, including the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements). The ACHA will include a community consultation process with registered Aboriginal parties.

The Proponent is seeking the registration of Aboriginal persons or groups who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal object(s) and/or place(s) that may be present in the subject area. Urbis, on behalf of the Proponent, hereby invites you to register an interest in the community consultation process for the above project.

If you wish to register your interest, please respond in writing (preferably by email) by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14 March 2022**.



Please send responses to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000
P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Following confirmation of your registration, Urbis will forward your name and contact details to Heritage NSW and Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, as required under the Consultation Requirements. If you do not want your details released, please advise us accordingly when registering your interest.

Please note that inclusion in the consultation process does not automatically result in paid site assessment, per Section 3.4 of the Consultation Requirements. Engagement for delivery of any services will be decided by the Proponent based on a range of considerations including skills, relevant experience and provision of certificates of currency.

If you have any questions in relation to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "S. Richards", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
+61 2 8424 5136
+61 493 042 925
sam.richards@urbis.com.au



Figure 1 – Location of the subject area (outlined in red).

21 March 2022


Nathan Moran
Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council
officeadmin@metrolalc.org.au

Dear Mr Moran,

STAGE 1.6 - ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – 28-32 BOURKE ROAD – LIST OF REGISTERED ABORIGINAL PARTIES AND NOTIFICATION LETTER

In accordance with Section 4.1.6 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010) please find below the compiled list of Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) and notification letter under Section 4.1.3 for the abovementioned project (Appendix A).

Table 1 – List of Registered Aboriginal Parties




Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries in relation to the provided information

Yours sincerely,




Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
02 8424 5136
sam.richards@urbis.com.au



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

APPENDIX A



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

28 February 2022

To whom it may concern,

28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA – ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT – ABORIGINAL COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGE 1.3 – INVITATION TO REGISTER

Please be advised that your contact details have been provided to us by Heritage NSW as an Aboriginal person or party who may have an interest in registering for the above project.

Urbis has been commissioned by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd to conduct an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 ('the subject area') (see attached figure). The subject area is within the City of Sydney Local Government Area.

The ACHA will form part of a staged State Significant Development Application under Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* for the subject area. The proposed development includes redevelopment of the subject area for a 'health services facility'.


Proponent can be contacted via:

Mr Adam Thomas
Group Commercial Leader
Johnstaff
Level 5, 9 Castlereagh Street
SYDNEY NSW 2000
Via email: adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au

The ACHA will be conducted in accordance with the relevant guidelines under the NSW *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974*, including the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements). The ACHA will include a community consultation process with registered Aboriginal parties.

The Proponent is seeking the registration of Aboriginal persons or groups who may hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal object(s) and/or place(s) that may be present in the subject area. Urbis, on behalf of the Proponent, hereby invites you to register an interest in the community consultation process for the above project.

If you wish to register your interest, please respond in writing (preferably by email) by clearly stating your interest and nominating a contact person by **14 March 2022**.



Please send responses to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000
P: +61 2 8424 5136
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au

Following confirmation of your registration, Urbis will forward your name and contact details to Heritage NSW and Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, as required under the Consultation Requirements. If you do not want your details released, please advise us accordingly when registering your interest.

Please note that inclusion in the consultation process does not automatically result in paid site assessment, per Section 3.4 of the Consultation Requirements. Engagement for delivery of any services will be decided by the Proponent based on a range of considerations including skills, relevant experience and provision of certificates of currency.

If you have any questions in relation to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "S. Richards", with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant
+61 2 8424 5136
+61 493 042 925
sam.richards@urbis.com.au



Figure 1 – Location of the subject area (outlined in red).

STAGE 2 & 3 – PRESENTING AND GATHERING INFORMATION



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

17 March 2022

To whom it may concern,

28-32 BOURKE ROAD, ALEXANDRIA - ABORIGINAL CULTURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION STAGES 2 & 3 - PRESENTING & GATHERING INFORMATION

Thank you for registering your interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment for 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, Gadigal land, NSW, legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707 (hereafter referred as the 'subject area').


Urbis has been engaged by Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd ('the proponent') to conduct an ACHA in support of a two stage State Significant Development Application (SSDA-38600121), which seeks approval to redevelop the subject area for a 'health services facility' comprising of a multi-purpose health facility anchored by a mental health hospital with medical centre uses located on lower levels to be occupied by allied health providers. Stage 1 of the SSDA is for the concept envelope of the building, which will be followed by a design competition for the design of the building. The Stage 2 SSDA will seek approval for the detailed design of the building and its construction.

In accordance with Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water NSW) ('the Consultation Requirements') this present communication aims to provide all Registered Aboriginal Parties (RAPs) with the proposed project information and a process to facilitate the following:

- Contribute to culturally appropriate information gathering and the research methodology.
- Provide information that will enable the cultural significance of Aboriginal objects and/or places on the proposed project area to be determined.
- Have input into the development of any cultural heritage management options.

SUBJECT AREA


The subject area is located is located approximately 4.3 km south of the Sydney CBD, within the City of Sydney Local Government Area (Sydney LGA) and on the traditional lands of the Gadigal people and within the catchment of the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The subject area encompasses approximately 2972 square metres.



PROPOSED WORKS

The subject area is proposed to be redeveloped into a multi-purpose health facility anchored by a mental health hospital. The proposed redevelopment will comprise of the following works:

- Demolition of all existing structures within the subject area.
- Ground disturbance including site remediation and excavation to accommodate basement car parking.





GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



Project No: P0037375

Location of the Subject Area

▬ Subject Area
 ▬ Contours
 ▬ Ephemeral
 ▬ Train Line

Figure 2 – Location of the subject area.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT

This section comprises the summary of the Aboriginal archaeological background research completed to date including the search of the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) environmental context and historical land use.

ABORIGINAL HERITAGE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (AHIMS)

The Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) database comprises previously registered Aboriginal archaeological objects and cultural heritage places in NSW and it is managed by the Department of Premier & Cabinet (DPC) under Section 90Q of the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* (NPW Act).

A search of AHIMS was undertaken on 1st March 2022 (AHIMS Client Service ID: 663671) for an area of approximately 11 km x 8 km.

In the broader search area, a total of 68 Aboriginal objects and no Aboriginal places are registered (see Table 1). Aboriginal objects are the official terminology in AHIMS for Aboriginal archaeological sites. From this point forward the terms of 'Aboriginal sites', 'AHIMS sites' or 'sites' will be used to describe the nature and spatial distribution of archaeological resources in relation to the subject area.

Within the search results, four sites were identified as 'not a site', seven sites were identified as destroyed and one site was recorded as a duplicate of an existing site. The total number of identified valid Aboriginal cultural heritage sites has therefore reduced to 56.


A summary of the AHIMS search is provided in Table 1 and Figure 3 below and the AHIMS basic and extensive search are included in Appendix 1.

Table 1 – Summary of extensive AHIMS search (AHIMS Client Service ID: 663671)

Site Type	Context	Total	Percentage
PAD	Open	13	23%
Aboriginal Gathering	Open	1	2%
Aboriginal Gathering and Midden	Open	1	2%
Artefact Scatter	Open	6	11%
Grinding Grooves	Open	1	2%
Hearth	Open	2	4%
Isolated Find	Open	3	5%
Midden	Open	2	4%
Rock Engraving	Open	2	4%
Shelter	Closed	2	4%
Shelter with Art	Closed	7	13%
Shelter with Art and Midden	Closed	2	4%
Shelter with Art and PAD	Closed	2	4%
Total		56	100%

The following conclusions are drawn from the AHIMS results:

- No Aboriginal sites or Aboriginal places are registered within the subject area.
- No Aboriginal objects are registered within 1km of the subject area.
- The observed paucity of sites in the vicinity of the subject area may be indicative of lack of archaeological investigation rather than low Aboriginal land use.
- Spatial analysis of registered archaeological objects within the region surrounding the subject area indicate tendency to be found in greater frequency in the vicinity of water.
- While the archaeological context is not indicative of past Aboriginal land use in and around the subject area, it does not exclude the possibility of archaeological resources being retained within the subject area.



1 KM


Project No: P0037375

Project Manager: Sam Richards

AHIMS Sites in Extensive Search area

- | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ■ Subject Area | — Permanent | ● Aboriginal Gathering | ● Isolated Find | ● Shelter with Art |
| — Contours | - - Ephemeral | ● Aboriginal Gathering and Midden | ● Midden | ● Shelter with Art and Midden |
| ■ Hydrology | ● Artefact Scatter | ● PAD | ● Shelter with Art and PAD | ● Shelter with PAD |
| | ● Grinding Grooves | ● Rock Engraving | | |
| | ● Hearth | ● Shelter | | |

Figure 3 – Registered AHIMS Sites.



ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT

A full analysis of the environmental context is included in the ACHAR. This consideration of the environmental context is included to assess the likelihood for Aboriginal objects to be deposited and retained across the subject area. The environmental context of the subject area is summarised below:

- The subject area has a generally flat topography and does not include any visible topographic features that are indicative of potential for Aboriginal objects
- The subject area is located within 200m of a former low order, ephemeral waterway (Sheas Creek), which connects to Alexandra Canal. The hydrology of the subject area is indicative of the potential for Aboriginal objects (Figure 4).
- The subject area is located in the Tuggerah soil landscape. This soil landscape is deep (>200cm) and consists of an extensive sand dune system. Due to the depth of natural soils, archaeological deposits may be retained to considerable depth despite disturbance
- The subject area has been entirely cleared of natural vegetation which reduces the potential for culturally modified trees.

The relevance of the environmental context to the archaeological potential of the subject area will be considered during the ACHA process.



GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56

© 2022, PSMA Australia Ltd, HERE Pty Ltd, ABS. Produced by Urbis Pty Ltd ABN 50 105 256 228, Mar 2022



Project No: P0037375

Project Manager: Sam Richards

Subject Area

Hydrology

Permanent

Ephemeral

Hydrology 200m Buffer

Contours

Aeolian (AEtg)

Disturbed Terrain (DTxx)

Residual (REbt)

Water

0.1 KM LANDSCAPES AND HYDROLOGY

Figure 4 – Soil Landscapes and Hydrology.

METHODOLOGY

The proposed impact assessment process for the ACHA, including the input points into the investigation and assessment activities for RAPs, is outlined below, in accordance with Section 4.2.2(b) of the Consultation Requirements.

The ACHA will be conducted in accordance with *Part 6 of the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974* ('NPW Act'), *Part 5 of the National Parks and Wildlife Regulation 2019* ('NPW Reg') and will adhere to the following guidelines:

- *Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents 2010* (DECCW, 2010);
- *Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (DECCW, 2010);
- *Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in New South Wales* (OEH, 2011); and
- *The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, 2013.*

The ACHA will follow the general methodology described in Table 2 below.

Table 2– Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment methodology

Process Method	Description
Desktop assessment	Collection and evaluation of background information, including archaeological and historical resources and environmental conditions, to develop a predictive model for archaeological potential.
Consultation with RAPs	Providing information on the project to RAPs and gathering information about the proposed methodology and the Aboriginal cultural heritage values and significance of the subject area.
Site inspection with RAPs	On-site meeting including site inspection of the subject area with the RAPs to allow further opportunity for cultural information to be provided and for the RAPs to familiarise themselves with the subject area and discuss the archaeological approach.
Preparation of draft ACHA report	Synthesis of all information collected during the ACHA process to prepare a draft assessment report and provision of the draft report to the Proponent and the RAPs for comments. The report will include an assessment of significance of any Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal cultural

Process Method	Description
	heritage values that may exist within the subject area, an impact assessment and provide management and mitigation measures.
Finalisation of ACHA report	Incorporation of all comments from the Proponent and RAPs into ACHA report and finalisation.

Urbis welcomes input and information from the RAPs at any stage throughout the entire process of the ACHA. Consistent with the Consultation Requirements, the formal input points for the consultation are the following:


- During Stage 2 and 3 – Following review of the current communication, which presents information about the proposed project and ACHA methodology.
- During Stage 2 and 3 – During or following the site visit and meeting.
- During Stage 4 – Following review of the draft ACHA.

CRITICAL TIMELINES

The critical timelines and milestones for the completion of the ACHA and delivery of reports are presented in Table 3 below, in accordance with Section 4.2.2(c) of the Consultation Requirements. Please note that the presented timeframes are estimates only and are intended as a guided to allow forward planning of personnel and resources.

Table 3 – Critical timelines.

Project Stage	Due Date
Stage 2 and 3: Provision of comments on the provided project information and proposed methodology (this document).	Within 28 days from delivery of this document.
Stage 3: Site survey (if agreed to by proponent).	Within one weeks following the cut-off date of this letter.
Stage 4: Provision of the draft ACHA report (including the proposed management and mitigation measures) to the RAPs.	Within one week of the site inspection.
Stage 4: Provision of comments on draft ACHA report.	Within 28 days from delivery of the draft ACHA report to the RAPs.
Stage 4: Finalisation of the ACHA report including the consideration of all comments and feedback.	Within one week of the closing of the comment period for the draft ACHA report.



ROLES, FUNCTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The roles, functions and responsibilities of the proponent and RAPs are defined below, in accordance with Section 4.2.2(d) of the Consultation Requirements.

The roles, functions and responsibilities of the Proponent, Urbis (acting on behalf of the Proponent), RAPs and any other parties involved in the consultation process are those defined in Section 5 of the Consultation Requirements.

Please note that, in accordance with Section 3.4 of the Consultation Requirements, consultation does not include the employment of Aboriginal people to assist in field assessment and/or site monitoring. Furthermore, there is no obligation on the Proponent to employ Aboriginal people registered for consultation. Aboriginal people may provide services to the Proponent through a contractual arrangement separate to the consultation process. Consultation will continue irrespective of potential or actual employment opportunities for Aboriginal people.

GATHERING CULTURAL INFORMATION

Urbis is providing the opportunity for RAPs to identify, raise and discuss their cultural concerns, perspectives and assessment requirements (if any), in accordance with Section 4.2.2(e) of the Consultation Requirements.


Urbis is actively seeking information on the cultural heritage and cultural significance of the subject area. Such information includes the existence of any Aboriginal objects of cultural value to Aboriginal people in or near the subject area (whether declared under s.84 of the NPW Act or not), including places of social, spiritual and cultural value, historic places with cultural significance and potential places/areas of historic, social, spiritual and/or cultural significance.

Please also consider the following when providing information:

- Do you have information on any Aboriginal objects within or near the subject area?
- Do you or somebody you know have information of cultural values, stories in relation to the subject area and if that information can be shared?

If you or your organisation has sensitive or restricted public access information for determining or managing the heritage values of the subject area, it is proposed that the proponent will manage this information (if provided by the Aboriginal community) in accordance with a sensitive cultural information management protocol. It is anticipated that the protocol will include making note of and managing the material in accordance with the following key limitations as advised by Aboriginal people at the time of the information being provided:

- Any restrictions on access of the material.
- Any restrictions on communication of the material (confidentiality).
- Any restrictions on the location/storage of the material.
- Any cultural recommendations on handling the material.
- Any names and contact details of persons authorised within the relevant Aboriginal group to make decisions concerning the Aboriginal material and degree of authorisation.



- Any details of any consent given in accordance with customary law.
- Any access and use by the RAPs of the cultural information.

Please consider the above list when providing your recommendations regarding any culturally sensitive information.


QUESTIONNAIRE

To streamline information gathering during Stage 2 and 3, and to inform the proponent for any field inspection component, Urbis requests the following information from you:

1. **Cultural connection:** Please describe the nature of your cultural connection to the country on which the subject area is situated. Please include any relevant cultural knowledge or knowledge of Aboriginal objects or places within the subject area. Have you ever lived in or near the subject area? If you are a Traditional Owner, please state this clearly.
2. **Representing your community members:** Please state who you or your organisation represents. Do you or your organisation represent other members of the Aboriginal community? If so, please describe how information is provided to the other members, and how their information and knowledge may be provided back to the proponent and Urbis.
3. **Previous experience:** Please list your relevant (for example, in the area of the proposed project) previous experience in providing cultural heritage advice and survey participation.
4. **Schedule of Rates:** Please provide your Certificate of Currency including Product and Public Liability Insurance and Worker's Compensation. Please also include a schedule of rates (hourly/half day/day) for fieldwork participation, and include any expenses you may expect to incur, and these will be sought to be reimbursed. *Please note that it is for the discretion for the proponent to decide if they invite RAPs for site works and the consultation process does not guarantee paid employment.*

The above questions are provided as a questionnaire in Appendix 2, for your convenience. Please complete the questionnaire and return it to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: 02 8424 5136



Please provide the requested information by **14th April 2022**. Comments received after this date might be excluded from the draft ACHA. Please provide your comments in writing to the above contact.


Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'S' followed by a horizontal line that tapers off to the right.

Samuel Richards
Senior Consultant

02 8424 5136

sam.richards@urbis.com.au



**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**

URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

APPENDIX 1 – AHIMS BASIC AND EXTENSIVE SEARCH RESULTS



AHIMS Web Services (AWS) Search Result

Your Ref/PO Number : P0037375 - Basic Search 1

Client Service ID : 663671

Urbis Pty Ltd - Angel Place L8 123 Pitt Street

Date: 01 March 2022

Level 8 123 Angel Street

Sydney New South Wales 2000


Attention: Wade Goldwyer

Email: wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

Dear Sir or Madam:

AHIMS Web Service search for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.9462, 151.1366 - Lat, Long To : -33.875, 151.2602, conducted by Wade Goldwyer on 01 March 2022.

The context area of your search is shown in the map below. Please note that the map does not accurately display the exact boundaries of the search as defined in the paragraph above. The map is to be used for general reference purposes only.



A search of Heritage NSW AHIMS Web Services (Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System) has shown that:

68	Aboriginal sites are recorded in or near the above location.
0	Aboriginal places have been declared in or near the above location. *

If your search shows Aboriginal sites or places what should you do?

- You must do an extensive search if AHIMS has shown that there are Aboriginal sites or places recorded in the search area.
- If you are checking AHIMS as a part of your due diligence, refer to the next steps of the Due Diligence Code of practice.
- You can get further information about Aboriginal places by looking at the gazettal notice that declared it. Aboriginal places gazetted after 2001 are available on the [NSW Government Gazette \(https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette\)](https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/gazette) website. Gazettal notices published prior to 2001 can be obtained from Heritage NSW upon request

Important information about your AHIMS search

- The information derived from the AHIMS search is only to be used for the purpose for which it was requested. It is not to be made available to the public.
- AHIMS records information about Aboriginal sites that have been provided to Heritage NSW and Aboriginal places that have been declared by the Minister;
- Information recorded on AHIMS may vary in its accuracy and may not be up to date. Location details are recorded as grid references and it is important to note that there may be errors or omissions in these recordings,
- Some parts of New South Wales have not been investigated in detail and there may be fewer records of Aboriginal sites in those areas. These areas may contain Aboriginal sites which are not recorded on AHIMS.
- Aboriginal objects are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 even if they are not recorded as a site on AHIMS.
- This search can form part of your due diligence and remains valid for 12 months.



AHIMS Web Services (AWS)


Extensive search - Site list report

Your Ref/PO Number : P0037375 - Basic Search 1

Client Service ID : 663671

Report generated by AHIMS Web Service on 01/03/2022 for Wade Goldwyer for the following area at Lat, Long From : -33.9462, 151.1366 - Lat, Long To : -33.875, 151.2602. Number of Aboriginal sites and Aboriginal objects found is 68

This information is not guaranteed to be free from error omission. Heritage NSW and its employees disclaim liability for any act done or omission made on the information and consequences of such acts or omission.




**ANGEL PLACE
LEVEL 8, 123 PITT STREET
SYDNEY NSW 2000**


URBIS.COM.AU
Urbis Pty Ltd
ABN 50 105 256 228

APPENDIX 2 – ACHA QUESTIONNAIRE


1. **Cultural connection:** Please describe the nature of your cultural connection to the country on which the subject area is situated. Please include any relevant cultural knowledge or knowledge of Aboriginal objects or places within the subject area. Have you ever lived in or near the subject area? If you are a Traditional Owner, please state this clearly.



2. **Representing your community members: Please state who you or your organisation represents. Do you or your organisation represent other members of the Aboriginal community? If so, please describe how information is provided to the other members, and how their information and knowledge may be provided back to the Proponent and Urbis.**



3. **Previous experience:** Please list your relevant (for example, in the area of the proposed project) previous experience in providing cultural heritage advice and survey participation.




4. **Schedule of Rates:** Please provide your Certificate of Currency including Product and Public Liability Insurance and Worker's Compensation. Please also schedule of rates (hourly/half day/day) for fieldwork participation, and include any expenses you may expect to incur, and these will be sought to be reimbursed. Please note that it is for the discretion for the Proponent to decide if they invite RAPs for site works and the consultation process does not guarantee paid employment.

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Thursday, 17 March 2022 3:56 PM
Cc: Sam Richards; Vijay Prabhu; adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: P0037375_28-32 Bourke Rd Alexandria_ACHA_Stage 2.3.pdf

Tracking:

Recipient



Delivery

Delivered: 17/03/2022 3:57 PM

Delivered: 17/03/2022 3:57 PM

Good evening,

Thank you for registering your interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707.

Please see attached the Stage 2 and 3 document (28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Stage 2 & 3: Presenting & Gathering Information) which outlines the project for your comment and feedback. Please provide a response in writing, preferably email, to myself or Sam Richards (details below) by COB **14th April 2022**.

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: 02 8424 5136

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_Follow Up Email_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Date: Monday, 11 April 2022 7:44:36 AM

Hi Wade,

Thank you for the report I have read it and it looks great. We have nothing at this stage to add.

As traditional owners we would however like to let you know that we have strong and ongoing connection to the area.

Please keep us updated as the project progresses.

Kind regards



Sent from my iPhone

On 1 Apr 2022, at 4:01 pm, Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your involvement with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707.

Just a reminder, please read the attached the Stage 2 and 3 document, which outlines the project for your comment and feedback. Please note that this letter was initially sent out on 17 May 2022 and this email is just a follow up.

Your response/feedback can be providing in writing, preferably email to myself or Sam Richards (details below) by COB **14th April 2022**.

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: 02 8424 5136

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT
<001.gif>

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#)

[<image002.png>](#)

[<image004.png><image006.png><image008.png><image010.png>](#)

LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work. Learn more about our [**Reconciliation Action Plan**](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

<P0037375_28-32 Bourke Rd Alexandria_ACHA_Stage 2.3.pdf>

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 30 March 2022 1:49 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Wade,

Thank you for your draft 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria. [REDACTED] cultural knowledge of the whole of Sydney area for over fifty years, we hold a deep spiritual connection to Mother Earth. We aim the look after Mother Earth and conserve our land and cultural sites, these sacred sites are highly significant to us Aboriginal people. Aboriginal places, sacred sites, burials, rock art, gridding grooves, stone tools/ artefacts, and objects are being destroyed all over Sydney, it is in our best interest the save these places and treat them with respect before it is too late.

We would like to recommend cultural interpretation plan for the site to recognise the Aboriginal people as the one of the oldest continuing cultures and the traditional people of country. This can be achieved through native landscaping, art, sculptures, Aboriginal naming of buildings, native edible gardens, and water features for example. We would like to agree to ACHA, and we look forward to further consultation on this project.

Kind Regards

From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>
Sent: Thursday, 17 March 2022 6:55 PM
Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>; Vijay Prabhu <vprabhu@urbis.com.au>; adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au <adam.thomas@johnstaff.com.au>
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good evening,

Thank you for registering your interest in the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707.

Please see attached the Stage 2 and 3 document (28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Stage 2 & 3: Presenting & Gathering Information) which outlines the project for your comment and feedback. Please provide a response in writing, preferably email, to myself or Sam Richards (details below) by COB **14th April 2022**.

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: 02 8424 5136

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_Follow Up Email_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Date: Thursday, 12 May 2022 8:39:00 AM
Attachments: [image023.png](#)
[image024.png](#)
[image025.png](#)
[image026.png](#)
[image027.png](#)
[image028.png](#)
[image029.png](#)
[image030.png](#)
[image031.png](#)
[image032.png](#)
[image033.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)

Hi [REDACTED]

We hope that your week is going well. As this project is not located within your boundary we have since deregistered [REDACTED] from this project.
If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

We will be sure to keep the [REDACTED] actively informed for future projects and look forward to working in future together.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER

CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

From: Wade Goldwyer

Sent: Wednesday, 20 April 2022 11:54 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_Follow Up Email_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

H [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email. There must have been an error with the Heritage NSW list as your organisation was previously listed and then registered for this project. Apologies for the error. Would you like for us to deregister your organization as it is not located within your boundary?

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER

CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, 4 April 2022 8:25 AM

To: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>

Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_Follow Up Email_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good morning Wade,

Thank you for your email.

This area isn't within our boundary.

Warm Regards

From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>
Sent: Friday, 1 April 2022 4:01 PM
Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment_Stage 2 & 3_Presenting & Gathering Information_Follow Up Email_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your involvement with the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment of 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW legally referred to as Lot 1-3 in DP324707.

Just a reminder, please read the attached the Stage 2 and 3 document, which outlines the project for your comment and feedback. Please note that this letter was initially sent out on 17 May 2022 and this email is just a follow up.

Your response/feedback can be providing in writing, preferably email to myself or Sam Richards (details below) by COB **14th April 2022**.

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: 02 8424 5136

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT
D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).


This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 1:45 PM
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Details of Site Visit_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Tracking:

Recipient



Delivery

Delivered: 26/04/2022 1:45 PM

Good afternoon,


Thank you for registering your interest in the site visit and meeting for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria.

The details of the site visit are as follows:

Date: Wednesday 27 April 2022

Time: 10am-12pm

Location: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria – meet at the front of 28-32 Bourke Road (meeting place indicated by the red arrow on the map below)



The agenda for the site visit will include an initial meeting to discuss the proposed works and ACHA methodology, followed by an inspection of the site.

Please bring the following protective equipment (PPE):

- Hi-vis shirt or vest
- Steel-capped boots
- Face mask

If you feel unwell on the day, please do not attend the site visit. If you need to get in touch with us on the day to cancel, or for any other reason, please contact:

Sam Richards
Mobile: 0493 042 925

If you have any questions before then, please let me know.

Kind regards

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA

T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).


This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Wednesday, 20 April 2022 11:57 AM
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Site Visit and Meeting_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Importance: High

Tracking: Recipient



Delivery

Delivered: 20/04/2022 11:57 AM

Good afternoon

Thank you for registering your interest and taking an active role in the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW (the subject area).

In accordance with Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements) Urbis invites you on behalf of Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd (the Proponent) to register your interest in attending an on-site meeting and inspection of the subject area. The meeting will provide the opportunity to familiarise yourself with the subject area, to discuss the cultural heritage approach and raise any cultural heritage information or concerns in accordance with Section 4.3 of the Consultation Requirements. The inspection will take place after the meeting and will include a walkover of the subject area.

The site visit will take place from **10am-12pm, Wednesday 27 April 2022**.

Please also be advised that due to the current environment around social distancing rules for COVID19, **only one representative from each group is invited to attend**. There will be additional measures implemented on the day, non-attendance if you feel unwell or have been sick with the relevant symptoms. These will be detailed in a further communication prior to the site visit.

The proponent has agreed to remuneration for one representative from each registered organisation for the site visit.

If you wish to attend the site visit, **please respond** accordingly and provide the following no later than **close of business, Friday 22 April 2022**:

- Name and mobile phone number of the nominated site officer
- Certificates of Currency (if not already provided)
- Schedule of Rates (if not already provided)

Please provide your registration of interest and associated documentation to:

Wade Goldwyer
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney 2000 NSW
P: +61 8 9346 0506
E: wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries in relation to the provided information.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 20 April 2022 12:00 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Site Visit and Meeting_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

Is this meeting available to be held online?

We would love to attend in the meeting via an online platform.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this message

On Wednesday, 20 April 2022, 01:56:26 pm AEST, Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au> wrote:

Good afternoon

Thank you for registering your interest and taking an active role in the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW (the subject area).

In accordance with Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements) Urbis invites you on behalf of Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd (the Proponent) to register your interest in attending an on-site meeting and inspection of the subject area. The meeting will provide the opportunity to familiarise yourself with the subject area, to discuss the cultural heritage approach and raise any cultural heritage information or concerns in accordance with Section 4.3 of the Consultation Requirements. The inspection will take place after the meeting and will include a walkover of the subject area.

The site visit will take place from **10am-12pm, Wednesday 27 April 2022**.

Please also be advised that due to the current environment around social distancing rules for COVID19, **only one representative from each group is invited to attend**. There will be additional measures implemented on the day, non-

attendance if you feel unwell or have been sick with the relevant symptoms. These will be detailed in a further communication prior to the site visit.

The proponent has agreed to remuneration for one representative from each registered organisation for the site visit.

If you wish to attend the site visit, **please respond** accordingly and provide the following no later than **close of business, Friday 22 April 2022**:

- Name and mobile phone number of the nominated site officer
- Certificates of Currency (if not already provided)
- Schedule of Rates (if not already provided)

Please provide your registration of interest and associated documentation to:

Wade Goldwyer

Urbis Pty Ltd

Level 8, 123 Pitt Street

Sydney 2000 NSW

P: +61 8 9346 0506

E: wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries in relation to the provided information.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our **Reconciliation Action Plan**.

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 22 April 2022 9:46 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Site Visit and Meeting_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

You can lock us in for the meeting.

Kind Regards
[REDACTED]

From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
Sent: Wednesday, 20 April 2022 1:56 PM
Cc: [Sam Richards](#)
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Site Visit and Meeting_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Importance: High

Good afternoon

Thank you for registering your interest and taking an active role in the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA) for the proposed redevelopment at 28-32 Bourke Road, Alexandria, NSW (the subject area).

In accordance with Section 4.2 and 4.3 of the Aboriginal cultural heritage consultation requirements for proponents (DECCW, 2010) (the Consultation Requirements) Urbis invites you on behalf of Alexandria Property Development Pty Ltd (the Proponent) to register your interest in attending an on-site meeting and inspection of the subject area. The meeting will provide the opportunity to familiarise yourself with the subject area, to discuss the cultural heritage approach and raise any cultural heritage information or concerns in accordance with Section 4.3 of the Consultation Requirements. The inspection will take place after the meeting and will include a walkover of the subject area.

The site visit will take place from **10am-12pm, Wednesday 27 April 2022**.

Please also be advised that due to the current environment around social distancing rules for COVID19, **only one representative from each group is invited to attend**. There will be additional measures implemented on the day, non-attendance if you feel unwell or have been sick with the relevant symptoms. These will be detailed in a further communication prior to the site visit.

The proponent has agreed to remuneration for one representative from each registered organisation for the site visit.

If you wish to attend the site visit, **please respond** accordingly and provide the following no later than **close of business, Friday 22 April 2022**:

- Name and mobile phone number of the nominated site officer
- Certificates of Currency (if not already provided)
- Schedule of Rates (if not already provided)

Please provide your registration of interest and associated documentation to:

Wade Goldwyer
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street
Sydney 2000 NSW
P: +61 8 9346 0506
E: wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries in relation to the provided information.

Kind regards,

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our **Reconciliation Action Plan**.

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 2:17 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: Re: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Details of Site Visit_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

So sorry to do this at short notice but [REDACTED] cant attend tomorrow, she has flu like symptoms which she is organising a covid test tomorrow plus she is heavily pregnant.
I don't want to take the risk of sending her put until [REDACTED] is tested and cleared.

We would be more than happy to respond to your report after the site meeting with our feedback.

Regards
[REDACTED]

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Wade Goldwyer <wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au>
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2022 3:44:52 PM
Cc: Sam Richards <sam.richards@urbis.com.au>
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria_Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment - Details of Site Visit_(Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good afternoon,

Thank you for registering your interest in the site visit and meeting for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment at 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria.

The details of the site visit are as follows:

Date: Wednesday 27 April 2022

Time: 10am-12pm

Location: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria – meet at the front of 28-32 Bourke Road (meeting place indicated by the red arrow on the map below)



The agenda for the site visit will include an initial meeting to discuss the proposed works and ACHA methodology, followed by an inspection of the site.

Please bring the following protective equipment (PPE):

- Hi-vis shirt or vest
- Steel-capped boots
- Face mask

If you feel unwell on the day, please do not attend the site visit. If you need to get in touch with us on the day to cancel, or for any other reason, please contact:

Sam Richards
Mobile: 0493 042 925

If you have any questions before then, please let me know.

Kind regards

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

STAGE 4 – REVIEW OF DRAFT ACHAR

Wade Goldwyer

From: Wade Goldwyer
Sent: Wednesday, 11 May 2022 4:33 PM
Cc: Sam Richards
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375)
Attachments: P0037375_28-32 Bourke Rd Alexandria_ACHAR_D02_RAP Review.pdf

Tracking:

Recipient



Delivery

Delivered: 11/05/2022 4:34 PM

Good afternoon,

Thank you again for registering your interest in the above project.

In accordance with Stage 4 of the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA), attached is the draft Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) for your consideration and comment.

Please provide any comments in relation to the draft ACHAR by **8 June 2022** to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: [02 8424 5136](tel:0284245136)

If you have any questions please let us know.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.



Attention: Urbis

Date:31/05/22

Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria

Dear Wade

We have received and reviewed the 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria.

Our group is a non- profit organisation that has been active for over forty years in Western Sydney, we are a [REDACTED] with over three hundred members. The main aim in our constitution is the care of [REDACTED], places, wildlife and to promote our culture and provide education on the [REDACTED]

The Alexandria area is an area our group has a vast knowledge of, we have worked and lived in for many years, this area is highly significant to the [REDACTED] due to the connection of sites and the continued occupation. Our group has been involved in all previous assessments and works in this area as a traditional owner [REDACTED] for the past 40 plus years.

“Aboriginal peoples are the oldest continued culture...the land may have been taken from us for many tens of years and disturbed. However, they still have cultural values, as a culture we have had to adapt to a forever changing landscape, allowance for culture, way of practicing these cultures and even our language is forever changing and adapting.”

Key priorities of the development are to use sustainable materials, plant native plants that are from the area, using correct terminology, do not use the past tense and ensure that it is clear throughout the development that this is always has been and always will be Aboriginal land.

Our [REDACTED] can only be assessed by [REDACTED], we have our song lines and creation places that only our people can identify, our connection to our nura is part of us and our country.


Our histories are held by our people and places, when we are looking for cultural aspects of an area they are not only seen but felt, our spiritual connections are our culture and heritage that connect us to our old people through the evidence that we see on our site visits.

People from other mobs should be respectful of our country and people if they are not respectful that the Darug are the knowledge holders then they are not cultural, therefore should not be involved on cultural heritage on [REDACTED]

We support the project information and recommendations .

.

Please contact us with all further enquiries on the above contacts.



Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 26 May 2022 2:37 PM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

[REDACTED] would like to support the DRAFE ACHA Report.

Kind regards

From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
Sent: Wednesday, 11 May 2022 6:33 PM
Cc: [Sam Richards](#)
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good afternoon,

Thank you again for registering your interest in the above project.

In accordance with Stage 4 of the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA), attached is the draft Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) for your consideration and comment.

Please provide any comments in relation to the draft ACHAR by **8 June 2022** to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: [02 8424 5136](tel:0284245136)

If you have any questions please let us know.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956

E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#).

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.


Wade Goldwyer

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 6 June 2022 7:57 AM
To: Wade Goldwyer
Subject: RE: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Wade,

Thank you for the report for 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal ACHA Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375) we agree your report. As traditional owners we would however like to let you know that we have strong and ongoing connection to the area. Please keep us updated as the project progresses.



From: [Wade Goldwyer](#)
Sent: Wednesday, 11 May 2022 6:33 PM
Cc: [Sam Richards](#)
Subject: 28-32 Bourke Rd, Alexandria - Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report - Stage 4 Draft Review (Urbis Ref# P0037375)

Good afternoon,

Thank you again for registering your interest in the above project.

In accordance with Stage 4 of the consultation process for the Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment (ACHA), attached is the draft Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) for your consideration and comment.

Please provide any comments in relation to the draft ACHAR by **8 June 2022** to:

Sam Richards
Senior Consultant, Archaeology

Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8, 123 Pitt Street, Sydney, 2000
E: sam.richards@urbis.com.au
P: [02 8424 5136](tel:0284245136)

If you have any questions please let us know.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

WADE GOLDWYER
CONSULTANT

D +61 2 8233 9956
E wgoldwyer@urbis.com.au

GREAT CITIES ARE SAFE CITIES

Urbis is committed to ensuring the health and wellbeing of our team, clients and visitors.

To support this, everyone attending our offices must confirm they are fully vaccinated against COVID-19 and check in via [Sine Pro](#)

**SHAPING
CITIES AND
COMMUNITIES**



LEVEL 14, 1 WILLIAM STREET
PERTH, WA 6000, AUSTRALIA
T +61 8 9346 0500

Urbis recognises the traditional owners of the land on which we work.
Learn more about our [Reconciliation Action Plan](#).

This email and any files transmitted are for the intended recipient's use only. It contains information which may be confidential and/or protected by copyright. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the *Privacy Act 1988 (Cth)*. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender and permanently delete the email. Any confidentiality or copyright is not waived or lost because this email has been sent to you by mistake.

