Appendix T – Statutory Compliance Table

Table 1: Permissibility, approvals regime, pre-conditions, and mandatory matters for consideration

Category	Action Required		
Power to grant	The power for the Minister (or the Minister's delegate) to grant approval / consent		
approval	variously lies within the provisions of:		
	Shoalhaven LEP 2014 (clause 2.3 and land use table) in relation to permissibility		
	(and as proposed via the concurrent rezoning process)		
	 Transport and Infrastructure SEPP (section 2.60) in relation to reinforcing or 		
	confirming permissibility		
	 Planning Systems SEPP (section 2.6(1)(b) and Schedule 1 – section 14(a)) in 		
	relation to designation of the development as SSD		
	EP&A Act (section 4.38(1) in relation to the granting of consent to a SSD that is		
	permissible.		
	Concurrent rezoning and DA processes are available under section 4.38(5) and Division 3.5 of the EDS A Act. The section 4.38(5) and the EDS A Act. The section 4.38(5) and the EDS A Act.		
Dawwieeihilib.	Division 3.5 of the EP&A Act.		
Permissibility	Under Shoalhaven LEP 2014, the development site is in part zoned SP2 – Health Services		
	Facility, and is in the process of concurrently being wholly rezoned to SP2 – Health		
	Services Facility, to be wholly permissible.		
	The land use table in the LEP permits with consent a <i>purpose shown on the Land Zoning</i>		
	Map, including any development that is ordinarily incidental or ancillary to development		
	for that purpose. The definition of a health services facility includes a hospital.		
	,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		
	The Transport and Infrastructure SEPP further reinforces or confirms permissibility at		
	section 2.60(1) by stating development for the purpose of health services facilities may		
	be carried out by any person with consent on land in a prescribed zone. Under section		
	2.59, the SP2 zone is identified as a prescribed zone.		
	At determination of the DA (due to the concurrent rezoning), no part of the proposed		
0.1	development is prohibited or partly prohibited.		
Other approvals	Consistent approvals:		
	Roadworks under s138 of the <i>Roads Act 1993</i> as they relate to the works within the road reservation of each of North Street and Shoalhaven Street, as well as the removal of		
	trees 61 and 62 within the Shoalhaven Street road reservation.		
	EPBC Act approval:		
	Several biodiversity-related Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) are		
	present within a 5km radius of the subject land. However, the proposal is not likely to		
	have a significant impact on any MNES, and as such no referral to the Commonwealth		
	Minister for the Environment is required. No other MNES relate to the site or		
	development.		
	Others		
	Other approvals:		
	No other approvals apply, noting the site is not bushfire prone land. The new Acute Services Building and the relevant land parcels are clear of bushfire affectation and are		
	not on bushfire prone land. Notwithstanding, the development is a Special Fire Protection		
	Purpose but does not need approval under s100B of the <i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i> . Eco		
	Logical has assessed the proposed development in accordance with Section 100B of the		
	Rural Fires Act 1997 and 'Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2019'.		
Pre-condition to	See Table 3 set out further below.		
exercising the			
power to grant			
approval			
Mandatory	Section 4.15 of the EP&A Act outlines the matters that a consent authority must take		
matters for	into consideration when determining DAs. These matters as relevant to this phase of the		
consideration	assessment process may be summarised as:		
	the provisions of environmental planning instruments (including draft)		
	instruments), development control plans, planning agreements, and the		
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 (EP&A Regulation)		
	the environmental, social and economic impacts of the development		

- the suitability of the site
- the public interest, including the objects in the EP&A Act and the encouragement of ecologically sustainable development (ESD).

See Table 2 set out below setting out the following:

- Consideration of the EP&A Act and EP&A Regulation
- Consideration of environmental planning instruments
- Considerations under other legislation
- Development Control Plan provisions

Table 2: Mandatory considerations table

Statutory reference	Mandatory consideration	Section in EIS	
Consideration under the EP&A Act and Regulation			
Section 1.3 Objects of the EP&A Act	Objects of the Act (a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources, (b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment, (c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land, (d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing, (e) to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats, (f) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage), (g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment, (h) to promote the proper construction and maintenance of buildings, including the protection of the health and safety of their occupants, (i) to promote the sharing of the responsibility for environmental planning and assessment between the different levels of government in the State, (j) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment.	5.1 and throughout EIS	
Section 4.15(1) Matters for consideration	 Relevant environmental planning instruments (as set out below) Relevant proposed environmental planning instruments (as set out below) Shoalhaven DCP 2014 The likely impacts of that development, including environmental impacts on both the natural and built environments, and social and economic impacts in the locality The suitability of the site for the development The public interest 	5.0 generally 5.1 5.1.15 7.0 generally, as well as 7.20, 7.21, 7.22 and 7.23.	
Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021	 Section 24 – how must a (SSD) DA be made. Sections 190, 191 and 192 – form and content of DA / EIS. 	Environmental Impact Statement Declaration & Certification	
Ecologically sustainable development	Section 193 of EP&A Regulation 193 Principles of ecologically sustainable development	7.8	

(1) The principles of ecologically sustainable development are the following— (a) the precautionary principle, (b) inter-generational equity, (c) conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity, (d) improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms. (2) The precautionary principle is that if there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent environmental degradation. (3) In applying the precautionary principle, public and private decisions should be guided by— (a) careful evaluation to avoid, wherever practicable, serious or irreversible damage to the environment, and (b) an assessment of the risk-weighted consequences of various options. (4) The principle of inter-generational equity is that the	
present generation should ensure the health, diversity and productivity of the environment are maintained or enhanced for the benefit of future generations. (5) The principle of the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity is that the conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity should be a fundamental consideration. (6) The principle of improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms is that environmental factors should be included in the valuation of assets and services, such as— (a) polluter pays, that is, those who generate pollution and waste should bear the cost of containment, avoidance or abatement, and (b) the users of goods and services should pay prices based on the full life cycle of the costs of providing the goods and services, including the use of natural resources and assets and the ultimate disposal of waste, and (c) established environmental goals should be pursued in the most cost effective way by establishing incentive structures, including market mechanisms, that enable those best placed to maximise benefits or minimise costs to develop their own solutions and responses to	
environmental problems.	
Considerations under environmental planning instruments	
State Environmental • Section 2.3(1)(b) - Land to which Chapter applies 5.1.7	
Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 – Section 2.7(1) - Clearing that does not require permit or approval. 7.2 Chapter 2	
State Environmental Planning Policy (Industry and Employment) 2021 – Chapter 3 Section 3.4 - Signage to which this Chapter applies Section 3.6 - Granting of consent to signage (section 3.1(1)(a) and Schedule 5) 4.6 5.1.11 7.17	
State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 – Chapter 2 Section 2.3 - Land to which Chapter applies Section 2.4 - Identification of coastal management areas Section 2.10 - Development on land within the coastal environment area Section 2.11 - Development on land within the coastal use area Section 2.12 - Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards	

	Section 2.13 – Development in coastal zone generally—		
	coastal management programs to be considered		
	Section 2.15 - Hierarchy of development controls if		
	overlapping		
State Environmental	Section 3.6 - New definitions of "hazardous industry"	5.1.9	
Planning Policy (Resilience	and "offensive industry" – section 3.3 of the SEPP	7.13	
and Hazards) 2021 –	• and offensive industry — section 3.3 of the 3LFF	7.13	
Chapter 3	Section 3.7 - Departmental guidelines:		
Chapter 3			
	Applying SEPP 33 (identify relevant requirements) Applying SEPP 33 (identify relevant requirements)		
	HIPAP No.3 – Risk Assessment (identify relevant		
	requirements)		
	 HIPAP No.12 – Hazards – related Conditions of 		
	Consent		
	• Section 3.10 – Development to which Part 3 applies		
	(Does Part 3 of former SEPP 33 apply?)		
	Section 3.11 - Preparation of preliminary hazard analysis		
	Section 3.12 – Matters for consideration by consent		
	authorities.		
State Environmental	Section 4.6 - Contamination and remediation to be	2.3	
Planning Policy (Resilience	considered in determining development application	4.9	
and Hazards) 2021 –	Section 4.8 - Category 1 remediation work: work	5.1.10	
Chapter 4	needing consent	7.1	
	Section 4.11 - Category 2 remediation work: work not		
	needing consent		
	Section 4.12 - Remediation work that is ancillary to other		
	development		
State Environmental	Division 10 – Health Services Facilities (section 2.60 –	5.1.6	
Planning Policy (Transport	development permitted with consent)	7.7	
and Infrastructure) 2021 –	Division 17 – Roads and Traffic (section 2.121 and		
Chapter 2	Schedule 3 – traffic-generating development)		
Shoalhaven LEP 2014	Part 2 – Permitted or prohibited development	5.1.13	
	 clause 2.3 - Zone objectives and land use table 		
	for SP2 – Infrastructure zone		
	 clause 2.7 - Demolition requires development 		
	consent		
	Part 4 – Principal development standards		
	o clause 4.3(2A) – Height of buildings	7.6	
	o clause 4.4 – Floor space ratio		
	 clause 4.6 - Exceptions to development 		
	standards		
	Part 5 – Miscellaneous provisions		
	o clause 5.10 – Heritage conservation	7.4	
	o clause 5.12 - Infrastructure development and		
	use of existing buildings of the Crown		
	Part 7 – Additional local provisions		
	o clause 7.1 – Acid sulfate soils	2.3 and 7.1	
	o clause 7.2 – Earthworks	7.5	
	o clause 7.8 – Scenic protection	7.6	
	o clause 7.9 – HMAS Albatross airspace	7.15	
	operations	1	
	o clause 7.11 – Essential services	7.10	
Consideration under proposed environmental planning instruments			
Draft SEPP (Remediation of	Corresponding provisions to former SEPP 55, now Chapter 4	5.1.10	
Land)	of Hazards and Resilience SEPP.		
Draft SEPP (Environment) No provisions apply. 5.1.12			
Considerations under other			
Biodiversity Conservation Act	The likely impact of the proposed development on	5.1.4 and	
2016	biodiversity values as assessed in the biodiversity	7.2	
(section 7.14)	development assessment report (BDAR). The Minister for		
(======================================	Planning may (but is not required to) further consider under		
	that Act the likely impact of the proposed development on		
	biodiversity values.		
	1	I .	

Development Control Plan (DCP) provisions		
Shoalhaven DCP 2014	As set out in section 2.10(a) of the Planning Systems SEPP, Development Control Plans (DCPs) do not apply to SSD DAs. The SEARs have also correspondingly not explicitly sought the DCP to be addressed. Notwithstanding, the few relevant provisions applicable to the site and the proposed development are assessed by the EIS.	5.1.15
	These include: Heritage conservation and Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) Site analysis, site design, and building materials Sustainable water management and sediment and erosion control Landscape design Tree and vegetation management Biodiversity impacts Coastal management areas Waste minimisation and management Car parking and traffic Acid Sulfate Soils and geotechnical matters	

Table 3: Pre-Conditions Table

Statutory reference	Pre-condition Pre-condition	Relevance	Section in EIS
State Environmental Planning Policy (Industry and Employment) 2021 – Chapter 3 Section 3.6	3.6 Granting of consent to signage A consent authority must not grant development consent to an application to display signage unless the consent authority is satisfied— (a) that the signage is consistent with the objectives of this Chapter as set out in section 3.1(1)(a), and (b) that the signage the subject of the application satisfies the assessment criteria specified in Schedule 5.	The development involves the display of new illuminated building identification signage.	7.17
State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 – Chapter 2 Sections 2.10, 2.11, 2.12 and 2.13	 2.10 Development on land within the coastal environment area (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following— (a) the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment, (b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes, (c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1, (d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms, (e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability, (f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places, (g) the use of the surf zone. (2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this section applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that— (a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subsection (1), or (b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or (c) if that impact cannot be managed to minimise that impact, or (d) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact. 	The development site sits wholly or partly within the Coastal Environment Area and the Coastal Use Area.	7.2

2.11 Development on land within the coastal use area

- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal use area unless the consent authority—
 - (a) has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following—
 - (i) existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
 - (ii) overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,
 - (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
 - (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
 - (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and
 - (b) is satisfied that—
 - (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
 - (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

2.12 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

2.13 Development in coastal zone generally—coastal management programs to be considered

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority has taken into consideration the relevant provisions of any certified coastal management program that applies to the land.

Ctata Environmental Diamaina	2.1 Aims shipships she	The development is not entirely	7 12
State Environmental Planning	3.1 Aims, objectives etc	The development is potentially	7.13
Policy (Resilience and	(d) to ensure that in determining whether a development is a hazardous or	hazardous development.	
Hazards) 2021 – Chapter 3	offensive industry, any measures proposed to be employed to reduce the		
	impact of the development are taken into account, and		
Section 3.1(d) and (e)	(e) to ensure that in considering any application to carry out potentially		
	hazardous or offensive development, the consent authority has sufficient		
Section 3.7	information to assess whether the development is hazardous or offensive and		
	to impose conditions to reduce or minimise any adverse impact,		
Section 3.12			
	3.7 Consideration of Departmental guidelines		
	In determining whether a development is—		
	(a) a hazardous storage establishment, hazardous industry or other potentially		
	hazardous industry, or		
	(b) an offensive storage establishment, offensive industry or other potentially		
	offensive industry,		
	consideration must be given to current circulars or guidelines published by the		
	Department of Planning relating to hazardous or offensive development.		
	beparement of Figurining Federing to Figure adds of offensive development.		
	3.12 Matters for consideration by consent authorities		
	In determining an application to carry out development to which this Part		
	applies, the consent authority must consider (in addition to any other matters		
	specified in the Act or in an environmental planning instrument applying to the		
	development)—		
	(a) current circulars or guidelines published by the Department of		
	Planning relating to hazardous or offensive development, and		
	(b) whether any public authority should be consulted concerning any		
	environmental and land use safety requirements with which the		
	development should comply, and		
	(c) in the case of development for the purpose of a potentially		
	hazardous industry—a preliminary hazard analysis prepared by or on		
	behalf of the applicant, and		
	(d) any feasible alternatives to the carrying out of the development		
	and the reasons for choosing the development the subject of the		
	application (including any feasible alternatives for the location of the		
	development and the reasons for choosing the location the subject of		
	the application), and		
	(e) any likely future use of the land surrounding the development.		
State Environmental Planning	4.6 Contamination and remediation to be considered in determining	A small part of the development site	7.1
Policy (Resilience and	development application	is contaminated, and/or the land	
Hazards) 2021 – Chapter 4	A consent authority must not consent to the carrying out of any development	requires remediation before it is	
	on land unless—	used for the project.	

Section 4.6(1)	(a) it has considered whether the land is contaminated, and		
(2)	(b) if the land is contaminated, it is satisfied that the land is suitable		
	in its contaminated state (or will be suitable, after remediation) for		
	the purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out,		
	and		
	(c) if the land requires remediation to be made suitable for the		
	purpose for which the development is proposed to be carried out, it is		
	satisfied that the land will be remediated before the land is used for		
	that purpose.		
State Environmental Planning	2.122 Traffic-generating development	Consideration of whether the	5.1.6 and 7.7
Policy (Transport and	(4) Before determining a development application for development to which	development is traffic-generating	
Infrastructure) 2021 –	this clause applies, the consent authority must—	development to trigger this	
Chapter 2	(a) give written notice of the application to TfNSW within 7 days after	requirement.	
•	the application is made, and	·	
Section 2.122	(b) take into consideration—	The development is traffic-	
	(i) any submission that RMS provides in response to that	generating development and	
	notice within 21 days after the notice was given (unless,	referral under this provision is	
	before the 21 days have passed, TfNSW advises that it will	relevant as a total of 178 new beds	
	not be making a submission), and	arises from 2022 to 2031.	
	(ii) the accessibility of the site concerned, including—		
	(A) the efficiency of movement of people and freight to and		
	from the site and the extent of multi-purpose trips, and		
	(B) the potential to minimise the need for travel by car and		
	to maximise movement of freight in containers or bulk freight		
	by rail, and		
	(iii) any potential traffic safety, road congestion or parking		
	implications of the development.		
Shoalhaven LEP 2014	7.1 - Acid Sulfate Soils	The site is affected by Class 5 Acid	2.3, 5.1.13 and
	(3) Development consent must not be granted under this clause for the	Sulfate Soils and is laterally within	7.1
Clause 7.1 – Acid Sulfate Soils	carrying out of works unless an acid sulfate soils management plan has been	100m of land that is classed as	
	prepared for the proposed works in accordance with the Acid Sulfate Soils	Class 1 Acid Sulfate Soils (namely	
Clause 7.11 – Essential	Manual and has been provided to the consent authority.	the Shoalhaven River and its	
Services	T44 Feedbal Control	immediate foreshores).	
	7.11 – Essential Services	Ecceptial convices will be personal	
	(1) Development consent must not be granted for development unless the	Essential services will be necessary	444 1740
	consent authority is satisfied that any of the following services that are essential for the development are available or that adequate arrangements	to operate the development.	4.11 and 7.10
	have been made to make them available when required—		
	(a) the supply of water,		
	(b) the supply of water, (b) the supply of electricity,		
	(c) the disposal and management of sewage.		
	(c) the disposal and management of sewage.		1