

## Appendix F

## Analysis of Draft Aerotropolis Precinct Plan 2020

Precinct Planning Framework	Response	Compliance		
3.1 Recognise Country	<ul> <li>The proposal has been designed in consideration of the Connection to County guidelines currently on exhibition</li> <li>Consultation with Aboriginal communities has occurred.</li> <li>The buildings design and build elements have been integrated to the land through themes, landscaping and naming features</li> <li>The proposal achieves the objectives and requirements of the control</li> </ul>	• Yes		
3.1.1 Aboriginal heritage	<ul> <li>Consultation has occurred and an Aboriginal Due Diligence Assessment accompanies this SSD at Appendix L.</li> </ul>	• Yes		
3.1.2 Non-Aboriginal heritage	<ul> <li>The site is near State Heritage Register items Kelvin (SHR 00046) and the Church of the Holy Innocents (SHR 02005)</li> <li>A SOHI accompanies the SSD at Appendix K which confirms minimal impacts are identified.</li> </ul>	• Yes		
3.2 Blue-Green Infrastructure Framework	<ul> <li>The AMRF has been designed in consideration of integrating blue and green infrastructure systems into the proposal</li> <li>Appropriate mitigation strategies to reduce urban impacts on the environment are incorporated into the proposal</li> <li>Reduction of the urban heat island effect is a key consideration of the buildings design</li> <li>Open space and stormwater management solutions are provided as a part of the proposal</li> <li>Connection to the natural landscape and biodiversity impacts are also incorporated into the design and proposal</li> </ul>	• Yes		
3.2.1 Wianamatta-South Creek Corridor	<ul> <li>WSUD principles are integrated into the proposal, to reduce impacts on the Wianamatta South Creek Corridor</li> <li>Active and passive transport solutions will be integrated into the proposal and wider locality</li> <li>Appropriate biodiversity and water management will occur through the proposal ensuring the natural environment is protected, regenerated and restored.</li> </ul>	• Yes		
3.2.2 Flood management	The proposal has been designed in consideration of the 1% AEP	• Yes		
3.2.3 Water in the landscape	<ul> <li>The application has a landscape lead approach to design incorporating WSUD principles into the application</li> </ul>	• Yes		



	Ensure the restoration of the natural     waterways and hydrological avalage	
3.2.4 Riparian corridors and farm dams	<ul> <li>waterways and hydrological cycles</li> <li>Dams are located on the site as well as riparian corridors. The proposal will not impact the viability and health of these waterways</li> <li>The proposal will retain, restore and regenerate the land to ensure the waterways health is continuously improved</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.2.5 Integrated water management and water sensitive urban design	<ul> <li>Appropriate water management and WSUD are incorporated into the proposal</li> <li>Water harvesting methods are incorporated into the roof feature of site</li> <li>Refer to Appendices C and D for additional information</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.2.6 Undisturbed soil network	<ul> <li>The site appears to be mapped as such compliance with the requirements is required</li> <li>The proposal follows the natural landscape to ensure excavation and soil minimisation is achieved</li> <li>The proposal will incorporate appropriate landscaping and planting to achieve desired canopy requirements</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.2.7 Public domain and canopy cover	<ul> <li>The AMRF will be the first building within the Aerotropolis Core, which will provide for an interconnected facility with appropriate public domain and landscaping</li> <li>The proposal will provide for deep soil opportunity to ensure appropriate canopy cover is achieved to assist in reducing the urban heat island effect</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.2.8 Biodiversity and vegetation corridors	<ul> <li>The facility will be constructed away from the high biodiverse value areas of the site.</li> <li>There is minimal impact on biodiversity and vegetation corridors.</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.2.9 Scenic and cultural connection	• The facility will be constructed with high quality materials and appropriate design to ensure the scenic value of the area is preserved	• Yes
3.3 Access and Movement F	ramework	
3.3.1 Transport strategy	<ul> <li>The facility is designed to encourage alternative transport methods</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.3.2 Active transport	<ul> <li>The facility will incorporate appropriate mix of transport methods to achieve the objective of the control.</li> <li>A metro station has been approved which will service the site with sufficient public transport.</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.3.3 Bus Network	Not Applicable	• N/A
3.3.4 Freight	Not Applicable	• N/A
3.3.5 Road Network	• The proposal involves the construction of road to the facility which will be integrated into emerging road grid.	• Yes



	<ul> <li>Appropriate landscaping will be provided to screen the road structure</li> </ul>	
3.3.6 Travel Demand Management	A traffic and transport assessment is provided which addresses this objective	• Yes
3.3.7 Protected transport corridors	<ul> <li>The facility is located adjacent to the new metro corridor</li> <li>The facility has been designed to minimise impacts on the corridor</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.3.8 Street hierarchy and typology (including widths)	• The proposal will be consistent with the street hierarchy, as it creates a street environment that is safe, functional and integrated into the land	• Yes
3.4 Land Use and Built Form	n Framework	
3.4.1 Hierarchy of centres	<ul> <li>The facility is in the Aerotropolis Core which is the primary centre of the Aerotropolis</li> <li>The proposal is consistent with the land use intended in this primary centre</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.4.2 Land use and built form	<ul> <li>The facility's land-use is permissible with consent and consistent with the zonings under the Aerotropolis SEPP</li> <li>The indicative built form complies with the Obstacle limitation surface requirements</li> <li>The built form responds to the natural landscape and provides a facility with an appropriate bulk and scale in context of the metropolitan core</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.4.3 Height	<ul> <li>The site is shown to have a maximum height plan of 55-70 m under the control.</li> <li>The proposed height of 16.7 m complies with the control</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.4.4 Floor space ratio in mixed use centres	• The site is identified to have a maximum FSR between 3.0:1 to 3.5:1 net over the block	• Yes
3.4.5 Yield and density framework	<ul> <li>The proposal requires to provide employment consistent with General Industrial: 25 - 30 jobs/ hectare</li> <li>The proposal provides for 22.3 jobs per hectare</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.4.6 Urban typologies	<ul> <li>The facility has been designed to integrate a landscape led design approach to reflect an integrated built form with the natural environment</li> </ul>	• Yes
3.4.7 Evolution or temporal land use and development	Not applicable	• N/A
3.4.8 Subdivision and block structure	<ul> <li>The control requires a lot size pattern of;         <ul> <li>Light industry enterprise</li> <li>Maximum 150x150m block size</li> </ul> </li> <li>The proposal achieves the requirements of the control by providing a block size constituent with the requirements</li> </ul>	• Yes



3.4.9 Open Space Typology• Not applicable the site is not identified within the relevant precincts• Yes3.4.10 Interface and management with existing uses• Existing use rights does not apply• N/A3.4.11 sites greater than 5,000sqm• The site area is 4,254 m²• N/A3.4.12 Amalgamation• The proposal is consistent with the Enterprise and Mixed use objectives of the control • The land size and pattern does not isolate future land from development and will create a pattern and scale which promotes connectivity• Yes
management with existing usesThe site area is 4,254 m²N/A3.4.11 sites greater than 5,000sqm• The site area is 4,254 m²• N/A3.4.12 Amalgamation and Mixed use objectives of the control • The land size and pattern does not isolate future land from development and will create a• Yes
5,000sqm       • The proposal is consistent with the Enterprise and Mixed use objectives of the control       • Yes         3.4.12 Amalgamation       • The proposal is consistent with the Enterprise and Mixed use objectives of the control       • Yes         • The land size and pattern does not isolate future land from development and will create a       • Yes
<ul> <li>and Mixed use objectives of the control</li> <li>The land size and pattern does not isolate future land from development and will create a</li> </ul>
and an integrated landform with the landscape and environment
<ul> <li>3.4.13 Roofscapes</li> <li>The roof of the facility provides for photovoltaic cells and rain harvesting methods.</li> <li>The facility incorporates a green roof structure which addresses the requirements of the control</li> <li>Appropriate rainwater reuse methods will be integrated into the roof features</li> </ul>
3.5 Social and Cultural Infrastructure Framework
3.5.1 Social, community and cultural infrastructureThe land is within the Aerotropolis Core.Yes• The control requires that one community facility be provided by 2036• A community component is incorporated into the proposal
<ul> <li>Sustainability and Resilience Framework</li> <li>The facility is designed to the following principles         <ul> <li>achieve net-zero operational carbon emissions by 2030</li> <li>provide an unrestricted supply of water that is resilient to drought and enable unrestricted use to activate blue/</li> <li>green connections and reduce reliance on potable supplies</li> <li>eliminate waste to landfills and promote circular economy initiatives that create a symbiotic relationship between</li> <li>the residential and advanced manufacturing industries within Bradfield.</li> <li>Create a healthy environment for people and the natural ecology</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Be resilient to climate impacts and mitigate the urban heat island effect</li> <li>Generate sustainable social outcomes through placemaking and community building</li> <li>The facility is also aiming to achieve the living building challenge</li> <li>Refer to Section 8.1.3 and Appendix M.</li> </ul>



part Wianamatta-South Creek Precinct				
4.1.1 Active frontages	<ul> <li>The proposal incorporates an interactive facility which is aimed to engage the facility</li> <li>The design of a glass façade allows viewing of the industrial activities</li> <li>The facility will be a high interactive area which aim is to promote a high technology industry and engage the community</li> </ul>	• Yes		
4.1.2 Special site: Metropolitan centre	<ul> <li>The facility is within the metropolitan centre and forms the first building of the Aerotropolis</li> <li>The facility will be integrated with the street grid when further development occurs to ensure connectivity with the metropolitan core</li> </ul>	• Yes		
4.1.3 State heritage site: Kelvin Park Homestead	A statement of Heritage Impact at Appendix K which suggests the proposal does not impact this item	• Yes		
5.1 Infrastructure delivery	<ul> <li>The facility will provide for appropriate infrastructure services including <ul> <li>Electricity</li> <li>Water</li> <li>Sewer</li> <li>Gas</li> </ul> </li> <li>Interim solutions are required, however once services provide connect the emerging city with appropriate facilities the building serviced.</li> <li>The facility will be serviced by a pump out sewage system in the short term.</li> <li>Once sewage is constructed to the site the facility will connect.</li> </ul>	• Yes		
5.2 Sequencing Priorities within the Initial Precincts	<ul> <li>The facility is within the initial precinct for priority development</li> <li>The priority precincts will be serviced with infrastructure first</li> </ul>	• Yes		
5.3 Out of sequence development	• N/A	• N/A		