

## Rebecka Groth

---

**From:** Doris Yau  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 9 November 2021 12:14 PM  
**To:** Rebecka Groth  
**Subject:** RE: Notice of Exhibition – Access Logistics Park (SSD-17647189)

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Hi Rebecka

Thanks for the referring the EIS for us to review.

It is noted that the proposal is about subdividing the land into 16 lots and to construct and operate a warehouse in lot 2. A SEPP 33 assessment is also included as part of the EIS and verifying the warehouses in Lot 2 would store, handle and transport dangerous goods below SEPP 33 triggers. The same document also indicated that the intent of the individual warehouses in the remaining lots would not handle dangerous goods exceeding SEPP 33 thresholds. Although non-SEPP 33 triggered development generally imply the risk of a facility and its extent of consequence would remain onsite for a typical industrial lot area, some of the industrial lots in the proposed subdivision plan are relative small, additional mitigation may be required to ensure the industrial warehouses would not generate cumulative risk. As such, it is requested the applicant to respond the following question:

Given Lot 5 to 8 are relatively smaller lots of 50m width and Lot 9 to 12 of approx. 40m, the individual warehouse which may store dangerous goods below SEPP 33 trigger may still impose fire or toxic impacts to the adjoining lots and subsequently requiring cumulative risk consideration, for example when LPG bullet located too close to the lot boundary or toxic gas releases from ammonia refrigeration system. Please clarify what are the mitigation to ensure the future individual Non-SEPP 33 triggered warehouses at these smaller lots would not generate consequence beyond the site boundary.

Regards,  
Doris

---

