



Ms Erin Dethridge
Associate Director
Urbis Pty Ltd
Level 8
123 Angel Place
SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Ms Dethridge

Huntingwood Processing Facility Expansion (SSD-17352813)
Additional Matters for Submissions Report

I refer to the Department's previous correspondence dated 18 November 2021 which requests the provision of a Submissions Report in response to issues raised in submissions by agencies and the public.

In addition to responding to the submissions already received you are required to submit additional information that addresses the issues identified in Attachment 1.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact David Koppers on 9373 2869 or david.koppers@planning.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Ritchie'.

2 December 2021

Chris Ritchie

Director

Industry Assessments

as delegate for the Planning Secretary

ATTACHMENT 1

Based upon the Department's review of the information of the EIS, the Department considers further information is required to clarify matters and addresses inconsistencies within the documentation. In this regard the following information is required to be provided:

Air Quality:

1. The operational risk assessment methodology used is not supported and a quantitative evidence based assessment with appropriate dispersion modelling must be used to demonstrate that the development can comply with the relevant air quality criteria and must consider cumulative impacts generated from the sites existing operations. The quantitative assessment must include an assessment of ammonia emissions. This requirement does not apply to the odour impact assessment.

Traffic:

2. Confirm the unloading locations for the existing and proposed silo buildings and the new smaller processing building. If the unloading locations are located in areas utilised for through traffic movements, it will need to be explained how the interaction between parked and transiting heavy vehicles will operate in practice.
3. Table 11 (TIA) – Total vehicle entries and exits for Huntingwood 1 are not equal. Huntingwood 2 is movements are equal.
4. In accordance with the submission made by Transport for NSW, the intersection performance of Brabham Drive and Great Western Highway shall be assessed.

Preliminary Site Investigation

5. Confirm that the PSI incorporates a limited DSI and clarify the extent of works undertaken by the DSI.
6. The PSI has categorised the existing fill on the site to be General Solid Waste and suggests offsite disposal will be needed. Confirm what is the plan with the management of the fill and the total amount of existing onsite fill.

Geotechnical

7. The Geotechnical report states that much of the development area is classified as uncontrolled fill which is not suitable to support footings or floor slabs. How it this going to be addressed? Consideration must also be given to the findings of the PSI.

Clarifications:

8. Confirm the estimated production capacity of the development (tonnes per annum).
9. Table 3 (EIS) – Confirm the Development Application and Modification reference numbers, as there are inconsistencies with the numbering format.
10. The information presented in Table 5 (EIS) and Drawing No. 200810-DA-003-S and 200810-DA-100-C appears to be inconsistent. 'Building Area' figures in Table 5 (EIS) do not add up.
11. Table 9 (EIS) – Total vehicle entries and exits for Huntingwood 1 are not equal. Huntingwood 2 is movements are equal.

12. Table 17 (EIS) – confirm the total amount of material that is required to be excavated onsite and the total amount of additional fill that is required to be imported.
13. Section 3.2.3 (EIS) and Figure 16 (EIS) – provides a brief overview of the manufacturing process. A more detailed explanation would be beneficial and should include an explanation of how the proposed expansion operations integrate with the existing packaging hall, packing warehouse and high-bay warehouse.
14. The ESD Report (Appendix T) makes reference to a large solar array. This does not appear to be illustrated on the submitted plans or referenced in the EIS. Details on the solar system and its location are to be provided.
15. The Statutory Compliance Table (Appendix C) does not consider all of section 1.3 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (stops as 1.3(e)). All of section 1.3 should be addressed.