

Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report

Site location:
Redfern PBSA
104-116 Regent Street
Redfern NSW

Prepared for:
Wee Hur (Australia)

Prepared by: Jack Williams
Date Prepared: 26 November 2021 -
Revision 1
Our Ref: 211126_Redfern PBSA_AIA

Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	3
2.	SCOPE OF THE REPORT	3
3.	LIMITATIONS	3
4.	METHODOLOGY	4
5.	SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION	5
6.	GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES ..	5
7.	OBSERVATIONS, DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	7
8.	RECOMMENDATIONS	11
9.	BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES.....	12
10.	LIST OF APPENDICES	12

COPYRIGHT

©Urban Arbor Pty Ltd 2021

The use of any or all sections of this report in any documentation relating to the site is permissible so long as the copyright is noted at the completion of all sections.

Any other use of this report, or any part thereof for any other purpose or in documentation for any other site is strictly prohibited. No part of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system or updated in any form or by any means (electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without written permission of Urban Arbor Pty Ltd.

Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.

Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)

Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date: 26 November 2021.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Urban Arbor have been instructed by Wee Hur (Australia) to inspect one street tree that adjoins the site and provide an Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report in relation to a proposed development.
- 1.2 Below is a list of all documents and information provided to assist in preparing this report;
 - A) Detail and Level Survey, L.T.S, 11 March 2021.
 - B) Architectural Drawings, Antoniades Architects, Revision A - 25 November 2021.
 - C) Landscape Report, RPS Australia East, Revision A - 8 December 2021.
- 1.3 The site and tree inspections were carried out on 16 July 2021. Access was available to the subject site and adjoining public areas only.

2. SCOPE OF THE REPORT

- 2.1 This report has been undertaken to meet the following objectives.
 - A) Conduct a ground level visual assessment of one street tree that adjoins the site.
 - B) Determine the trees estimated contribution years and remaining useful life expectancy and award the trees a retention value.
 - C) Provide an assessment of the potential impact the proposed development works will cause to the condition of the subject tree in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
 - D) For trees that are determined to be viable for retention, provide tree pruning specifications in accordance with AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
 - E) Specify tree protection measures in accordance with AS4970-2009.

3. LIMITATIONS

- 3.1 The observations and recommendations are based on the site inspections identified in section 1 only. The findings of this report are based on the observations and site conditions at the time of inspection.
- 3.2 All of the observations were carried out from ground level. The accuracy of the assessment of the subject trees structural condition and health is limited to the visibility of the tree at the time of inspection.
- 3.3 The tree inspection was visual from ground level only. No soil or tissue testing was carried out as part of the tree inspection. None of the surrounding surfaces adjacent to trees were lifted or removed during the tree inspections.
- 3.4 Root decay can sometimes be present with no visual indication above ground. It is also impossible to know the extent of any root damage caused by mechanical damage such as underground root cutting during the installation of services without undertaking detailed root investigation. Any form of tree failure due to these activities is beyond the scope of this assessment.

Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.

Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)

Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date: 26 November 2021.

- 3.5 The report reflects the subject tree(s) as found on the day of inspection. Any changes to the growing environment of the subject tree, or tree management works beyond those recommended in this report may alter the findings of the report. There is no warranty, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies relating to the subject tree, or subject site may not arise in the future.
- 3.6 Tree identification is based on accessible visual characteristics at the time of inspection. As key identifying features are not always available the accuracy of identification is not guaranteed. Where tree species is unknown, it is indicated with an *spp.*
- 3.7 All diagrams, plans and photographs included in this report are visual aids only, and are not to scale unless otherwise indicated.
- 3.8 Alteration of this report invalidates the entire report.

4. METHODOLOGY

- 4.1 The following information was collected during the assessment of the subject tree(s).
 - 4.1.1 Tree common name
 - 4.1.2 Tree botanical name
 - 4.1.3 Tree age class
 - 4.1.4 DBH (Trunk/Stem diameter at breast height/1.4m above ground level) - millimetres.
 - 4.1.5 Estimated height - metres
 - 4.1.6 Estimated crown spread radius to four cardinal points - metres
 - 4.1.7 Health
 - 4.1.8 Structural condition
 - 4.1.9 Amenity value
 - 4.1.10 Estimated remaining contribution years (SULE)¹
- 4.2 An assessment of the trees condition was made using the visual tree assessment (VTA) model (Mattheck & Breloer, 1994).²
- 4.3 Tree diameter was measured using a DBH tape or in some cases estimated. Tree height and tree canopy spread was measured with a clinometer or in some cases estimated. All other measurements were estimations unless otherwise stated. The other tools used during the assessment were a nylon mallet, compass, camera and a steel probe.

¹ Barrell, J. (2001), 'SULE: Its use and status in the new millennium' in *Management of Mature Trees proceedings of the 4th NAAA Workshop*, Sydney, 2001. Barrell

² Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).

Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.

Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)

Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date: 26 November 2021.

- 4.4 All DBH measurements, tree protection zones, and structural root zones were calculated in accordance with methods set out in AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).³ See appendices for information.
- 4.5 Details of how the observations in this report have been assessed are listed in the appendices.

5. SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

- 5.1 The site is located in the City of Sydney Local Government Area (LGA). The site is not located within a heritage conservation area and is not identified as a heritage item in the LEP maps.⁴

6. GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

- 6.1 **Tree protection zone (TPZ):** The TPZ is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites and is an area required to maintain the viability of trees during development. It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significantly further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified in AS4970-2009 to be the area where root loss or disturbance will generally impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The TPZ also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). The TPZ is calculated by multiplying the DBH by twelve, with the exception of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns, the TPZ of which have been calculated at one metre outside the crown projection. Additional information about the TPZ is included in appendix 3.
- 6.2 **Structural Root Zone (SRZ):** This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always needs to be maintained to preserve a viable tree. The SRZ is calculated using the following formula; $(DAB \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$. There are several factors that can vary the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally, work within the SRZ should be avoided. Soil level changes should also generally be avoided inside the SRZ of trees to be retained. Palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns do not have an SRZ. See the appendices for more information about the SRZ.

³ Council Of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).

⁴ Sydney LEP Heritage map - Sheet HER_010,
https://eplanningdlprod.blob.core.windows.net/pdfmaps/7200_COM_HER_010_005_20160308.pdf, accessed 19 July 2021.
Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.
Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)
Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.
Date: 26 November 2021.

6.3 Minor encroachment into TPZ: Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate and the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment.




Image 1: Example minor TPZ encroachment from AS4970-2009.

6.4 Major encroachment into TPZ: Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed the project Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted. Root investigations may be required to identify roots that will be impacted during major TPZ encroachment (see appendix 3 for more information in relation to root investigations).

7. OBSERVATIONS, DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

7.1 Tree information: Details of each individual tree assessed, including the observations taken during the site inspection can be found in the tree inspection schedule in appendix 2, where the indicative tree protection zone (TPZ) for the subject tree has been calculated. The TPZ and SRZ should be measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. The subject tree has been awarded a retention value based on the observations during the site inspection. The system used to award the retention value is Tree AZ. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. The Tree AZ categories sheet (Barrell Tree Consultancy) has been included in the appendices to assist with understanding the retention values. The retention value that has been allocated to the subject tree in this report is not definitive and should only be used as a guideline. This information has been summarised below.

7.2 Site Plan: A site plan has been included in appendix 1, where the indicative TPZ/SRZ of the trees assessed has been overlaid onto the existing site plan.

7.3 Tree 1 Observations: Tree 1 is a semi-mature London Plane (*Platanus x acerifolia*). The tree has an approximate height of 12m, a crown spread (radius at widest section of crown) of 5m, a DBH of 340mm, and a DAB of 390mm. At the time of the inspection, the tree was displaying good health and vigour for the species and no significant structural defects were identified. Tree 1 has a long useful life expectancy of more than forty years (SULE category 1a) and a retention value of A1. Tree 1 has a TPZ of 4.1 metres and an SRZ of 2.2 metres.

7.4 Tree 1: Assessment of Construction Impacts

7.4.1 This is a street tree that adjoins the site at Regent Street. At ground level, the proposed building encroaches into the TPZ by 12% (6.2m²) but not into the SRZ, which is major TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009, indicating that the tree will potentially be impacted. This is only 2% over threshold for minor encroachment under AS4970-2009. However as the tree is a street tree, it is likely to have an asymmetric shaped root system, with roots potentially extending further to the West into the subject site.

There is not space contiguous with the TPZ for this area to be compensated. There is an existing concrete kerb adjacent to the site boundary that may be restricting root growth into the site/area of proposed TPZ encroachment at ground level. If the tree is proposed to be retained, root investigations will be required adjacent to the site boundary to determine if significant roots will be impacted and assess the associate impact to the tree (see appendix 3 for more information in relation to root investigations).

- 7.4.2 The proposed awning will cantilever closer to the tree 1 at level 1. The proposed awning is located within 0.5m of the trunk of tree 1. Significant canopy pruning will be required to construct the awning and building in the proposed location. The following pruning is required to construct the awning and building in the proposed location;
- Remove primary branch to North West at 4m (section 7.5, branch 1, photo 1, 2 and 3). The final pruning cut will measure approximately 120mm in diameter.
 - Remove primary branch to South West at 4m (section 7.5, branch 2, photo 1, 2 and 3). The final pruning cut will measure approximately 200mm in diameter.
 - Remove primary branch to West at 5m (section 7.5, branch 3, photo 1, 2 and 3). The final pruning cut will measure approximately 50mm in diameter.
 - Crown reduce remaining branches in upper crown to site boundary (approximately 1m reduction from West). This will require tip pruning of the lower order branches with a maximum final diameter pruning cut of 25mm in diameter (section 7.5, photo 1 and 2).
- 7.4.3 The pruning specified above will result in removing approximately 20-25% of the overall live crown, including the removal of two significant primary branches. This pruning is considered significant due to the large volume of canopy area that will be removed. Also, the shape of the crown will be asymmetric when complete. The pruning will therefore impact the shape and form of the tree. The species is tolerant of canopy pruning, therefore long term, it is possible that the tree will compensate for the modified shape and form via the production of response growth.
- 7.4.4 Additional pruning is also likely to be required during the construction phase to accommodate scaffolding and hoarding. Scaffolding and hoarding plans have not been prepared/provided for assessment, however based on my experience an additional 5-10% of the canopy area to the West may need to be removed to accommodate these temporary structures, which will further unbalance the shape of the crown and potentially impact the trees future viability.
- 7.4.5 Another consideration is the future growth of the tree. The trunk is located within 0.5m of the proposed awning. Due to the close proximity between the trunk and awning, it is possible that the awning could be damaged by the future growth of the trunk, the tree is semi-mature in age class and has the potential to increase significantly in the future, including the diameter/girth of the trunk.
- 7.4.6 To summarise, significant canopy pruning is required to construct the proposed building and awning, that will potentially impact the condition and future viability of the tree. Additional pruning is also likely to be required for temporary structures during construction (hoarding and scaffolding). In combination, 25-35% of the overall live crown area may need to be removed on one side of the tree, which will further unbalance the shape of the crown and potentially impact the trees future viability. The proposed building encroaches into the TPZ by more than 10%, which is major TPZ encroachment under AS4970-2009 and indicates that the trees root system will potentially be impacted.

There is also the potential for future conflict issues due to the close proximity between the trunk and proposed awning. If the tree is to be retained in a viable condition, design modifications will be required to reduce the amount of required canopy pruning for the building, awning and temporary structures (scaffolding and hoarding).

The tree will potentially be impacted by the proposed development works, including the combined impact of root and canopy pruning. The tree has therefore been recommended to be removed. If the tree is to be retained, in accordance with AS4970-2009, it will need to be demonstrated that the proposed development works will not impact the tree viability of the tree.

7.5 Photographs Tree 1






Photo 2: Looking North up into the crown of tree 1. The yellow dashed lines indicate the branches to be removed.



Photo 3: Looking South West.

Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.

Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)

Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date: 26 November 2021.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1 This report has been prepared to assess the impact of a proposed development at the subject site to one street tree that adjoins the site.
- 8.2 The tree will potentially be impacted by the proposed development works, including the combined impact of root and canopy pruning. The tree has therefore been recommended to be removed.
- 8.3 All tree work recommended in this report must be carried out or directly supervised by a qualified and experienced Arborist with a minimum of AQF level 3 in arboriculture, preferably a contractor approved by Arboriculture Australia (AA) or the Tree Contractors Association of Australia (TCAA). The work must be carried out in accordance with NSW Work Cover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998) and AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
- 8.4 Replacement planting for all trees recommended for removal should be incorporated into the landscape plan. Any replacement tree must be selected in accordance with AS2303-2015 Tree stock for landscape use.


9. BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES

- Council Of Standards Australia, *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites* (2009).
- Council Of Standards Australia, *AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees* (2007).
- Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).
- Mattheck, C., Weber, K. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - Encyclopaedia of visual tree assessment*, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology - Campus North, P.O. Box 3640, D-76021, Karlsruhe, Germany (2015).
- Lonsdale, D., *Principles of tree hazard assessment and management*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1999).
- Barrell, J. (2001), 'SULE: Its use and status in the new millennium' in *Management of Mature Trees proceedings of the 4th NAAA Workshop*, Sydney, 2001.
- Barrell Tree Consultancy, *Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ*, <http://www.treeaz.com/>.
- *Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012*,
<https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/EPI/2012/628>.
- *Sydney Development Control Plan 2012*,
<http://www.cityofsydney.nsw.gov.au/development/planning-controls/development-control-plans>.

10. LIST OF APPENDICES

The following are included in the appendices:

- Appendix 1: Site plan
- Appendix 2: Tree Inspection Schedule
- Appendix 3: Further Information of Methodology



Jack Williams
Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF5)
FdSc Arboriculture (UK)
ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualification (TRAQ)
Quantified Tree Risk Assessment Registered (QTRA)
Arboricultural Australia Member and Registered Consulting Arborist No. 2556
ISA Member No. 228863


Report on trees at: 104-116 Regent St, Redfern, NSW.

Prepared for: Wee Hur (Australia)



Prepared by: Jack Williams, Urban Arbor, jack@urbanarbor.com.au, (02) 8004 2802.

Date: 26 November 2021.

2.1 PROPOSED GROUND PLAN



Map Legend



Urban Arbor Pty Ltd

PO Box 450 Turrumurra NSW 2074
02 8004 2802, sales@urbanarbor.com.au

Site Location: 104-116 Regent St
Redfern NSW

SCALE : 1 : 200 @ A3

DATE : 7/19/2021

Plan Title: Appendix 1 - Site Plan

Prepared by: Jack Williams



Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule

Tree ID	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age Class	Height (m)	Canopy Spread Radius (m)	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	DBH (mm)	DAB (mm)	Health	Structure	Amenity Value	SULE	Retention Value	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Notes
1	London Plane	<i>Platanus x acerifolia</i>	Semi-mature	12	5	340				340	390	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	4.1	2.2	Co-dominant stems at 4.5m with open form to union and no indication of significant included bark.

Explanatory Notes

Tree Species - Where species is unknown it is indicated with an 'spp'.

Age Class - Over mature (OM), Mature (M), Early mature (EM), Semi mature (SM), Young (Y).

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) - Measured with a DBH tape or estimated at approximately 1.4m above ground level.

Diameter Above root Buttresses (DAB): Measured with a DBH tape or estimated above root buttresses (DAB) for calculating the SRZ.

Height - Height from ground level to top of crown. All heights are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

Spread - Radius of crown at widest section. All tree spreads are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) - DBH x 12. Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded to nearest 0.1m. For monocots, the TPZ is set at 1 metre outside the crown projection.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ) - (DAB x 50)^{0.42} x 0.64. Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded up to nearest 0.1m.

Health - Good/Fair/Poor/Dead

Structure - Good/Fair/Poor

Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) - 1. Long (40+years), 2. Medium (15 - 40 years), 3. Short (5 - 15 years), 4. Remove (under 5 years), 5. Small/young.

Amenity Value - Very High/High/Medium/Low/Very Low.


Retention Value: Tree AZ, see appendix 3 for categories.

Appendix 3 - Further Information of Methodology

1. **Tree Protection Zone:** The tree protection zone (TPZ) is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable. The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12. The derived value is measured in radius from the centre of the stem/trunk at ground level. A TPZ should not be less than 2.0 metres nor greater than 15 metres (except where crown protection is required). It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significant further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified AS4970-2009 to be extent where root loss or disturbance will generally not impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The tree protection also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). I have calculated the TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns at one metre outside the crown projection. See appendices for additional information about the TPZ including information about calculating the TPZ and examples of TPZ encroachment.

Minor encroachment into TPZ: Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate and the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment.

Major encroachment into TPZ: Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed the project Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted.



2. **Structural Root Zone:** This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always need to be maintained to preserve a viable tree as it will only have a minor effect on the trees vigour and health. There are several factors that determine the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally work within the SRZ should be avoided.

An indicative SRZ radius can be determined from the diameter of the trunk measured immediately above the root buttresses. Root investigation could provide more information about the extent of the SRZ. The following formula should be used to calculate the SRZ.

SRZ radius = $(D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$ (D = Diameter above root buttress).

3. **Tree Age Class:** If can be difficult to determine the age of a tree without carrying out invasive tests that may damage the tree, so we have categorised there likely age class which is defined below;
 - Young/Newly planted: Young or recently planted tree.
 - Semi Mature: Up to 20% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Early mature/Mature: Between 20%-80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Over mature: Over 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
 - Dead: Tree is dead or almost dead.

4. **Health/Physiological Condition:** Below are examples conditions used when assigning a category for tree health.

<u>Category</u>	<u>Example condition</u>	<u>Summary</u>
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crown has good foliage density for species. • Tree shows no or minimal signs of pathogens that are unlikely to have an effect on the health of the tree. • Tree is displaying good vigour and reactive growth development. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is in above average health and condition and no remedial works are required.
Fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree may be starting to dieback or have over 25% deadwood. • Tree may have slightly reduced crown density or thinning. • There may be some discolouration of foliage. • Average reactive growth development. • There may be early signs of pathogens which may further deteriorate the health of the tree. • There may be epicormic growth indicating increased levels of stress within the tree. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is in below average health and condition and may require remedial works to improve the trees health.
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree may be in decline, have extensive dieback or have over 30% deadwood. • The canopy may be sparse or the leaves may be unusually small for species. • Pathogens or pests are having a significant detrimental effect on the tree health. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is displaying low levels of health and removal or remedial works may be required.
Dead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is dead or almost dead. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree should generally be removed.

5. **Structural Condition:** Below are examples conditions used when assigning a category for structural condition.

<u>Category</u>	<u>Example condition</u>	<u>Summary</u>
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Branch unions appear to be strong with no sign of defects. • There are no significant cavities. • The tree is unlikely to fail in usual conditions. • The tree has a balanced crown shape and form. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree is considered structurally good with well developed form.
Fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree may have minor structural defects within the structure of the crown that could potentially develop into more significant defects. • The tree may have a cavity that is currently unlikely to fail but may deteriorate in the future. • The tree is an unbalanced shape or leans significantly. • The tree may have minor damage to its roots. • The root plate may have moved in the past but the tree has now compensated for this. • Branches may be rubbing or crossing. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The identified defects are unlikely cause major failure. • Some branch failure may occur in usual conditions. • Remedial works can be undertaken to alleviate potential defects.
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The tree has significant structural defects. • Branch unions may be poor or weak. • The tree may have a cavity or cavities with excessive levels of decay that could cause catastrophic failure. • The tree may have root damage or is displaying signs of recent movement. • The tree crown may have poor weight distribution which could cause failure. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The identified defects are likely to cause either partial or whole failure of the tree.

6. **Amenity Value:** To determine the amenity value of a tree we assess a number of different factors, which include but are not limited to the information below.

- The visibility of the tree to adjacent sites.
- The relationship between the tree and the site.
- Whether the tree is protected by any statutory conditions.
- The habitat value of the tree.
- Whether the tree is considered a noxious weed species.

The amenity value is rated using one of the following values.

- Very High
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very Low

7. **Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE), (Barrel, 2001):** A trees safe useful life expectancy is determined by assessing a number of different factors including the health and vitality, estimated age in relation to expected life expectancy for the species, structural defects, and remedial works that could allow retention in the existing situation.

Category	Description
1. Long - Over 40 years	(a) Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth. (b) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree care. (c) Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention.
2. Medium - 15 to 40 years	(a) Trees that may only live between 15 and 40 more years. (b) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons. (c) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (d) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial tree care.
3. Short - 5 to 15 years	(a) Trees that may only live between 5 and 15 more years. (b) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons. (c) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (d) Trees that require substantial remedial tree care and are only suitable for retention in the short term.
4. Remove - Under 5 years	(a) Dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees because of disease or inhospitable conditions. (b) Dangerous trees because of instability or recent loss of adjacent trees. (c) Dangerous trees because of structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form. (d) Damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain. (e) Trees that could live for more than 5 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (f) Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years. (g) Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons given in (a) to (f). (h) Trees in categories (a) to (g) that have a high wildlife habitat value and, with appropriate treatment, could be retained subject to regular review.
5. Small/Young	(a) Small trees less than 5m in height. (b) Young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height. (c) Formal hedges and trees intended for regular pruning to artificially control growth.

8. **Root investigations:** The root investigations should identify roots greater than 30mm in diameter that are located along the edge of the structures footprint or in the location of footings. Root investigations must be carried out using non-invasive methods, such as manual excavations or ground penetrating radar (GPR). Any excavations for the root investigations must be carried out manually to avoid damaging the roots during excavations. Manual excavation may include the use of a high-pressure air/air knife, or a combination of high-pressure water and a vacuum device. When hand excavating carefully work around roots retaining as many as possible. Take care to not fray, wound, or cause damage to any roots during excavations as this may cause decay or infection from pathogens. It is essential that exposed roots are kept moist and the excavation back filled as soon as possible. The root investigations should be carried out by a qualified Arborist minimum AQF3. Once roots are exposed, a visual assessment can be carried out by a consulting Arborist to evaluate the potential impact of the proposed root loss on the health and stability of the tree. A root map/report should be prepared identifying the findings of investigations, including photographs as supporting evidence in the report.

9. **Retention Value:** The system I have used to award the retention value is Tree AZ. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. The table below provides a brief description of each category.

TreeAZ Categories (Version 10.04-ANZ)

CAUTION: TreeAZ assessments must be carried out by a competent person qualified and experienced in arboriculture. The following category descriptions are designed to be a brief field reference and are not intended to be self-explanatory. They must be read in conjunction with the most current explanations published at www.TreeAZ.com.

Category Z: Unimportant trees not worthy of being a material constraint

Local policy exemptions: Trees that are unsuitable for legal protection for local policy reasons including size, proximity and species

- Z1** Young or insignificant small trees, i.e. below the local size threshold for legal protection, etc
- Z2** Too close to a building, i.e. exempt from legal protection because of proximity, etc
- Z3** Species that cannot be protected for other reasons, i.e. scheduled noxious weeds, out of character in a setting of acknowledged importance, etc

High risk of death or failure: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of acute health issues or severe structural failure

- Z4** Dead, dying, diseased or declining
- Z5** Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure cannot be satisfactorily reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, overgrown and vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
- Z6** Instability, i.e. poor anchorage, increased exposure, etc
- Z7** **Excessive nuisance:** Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of unacceptable impact on people
Excessive, severe and intolerable inconvenience to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. dominance, debris, interference, etc
- Z8** Excessive, severe and intolerable damage to property to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. severe structural damage to surfacing and buildings, etc

Good management: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years through responsible management of the tree population

- Z9** Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure can be temporarily reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
- Z10** Poor condition or location with a low potential for recovery or improvement, i.e. dominated by adjacent trees or buildings, poor architectural framework, etc
- Z11** Removal would benefit better adjacent trees, i.e. relieve physical interference, suppression, etc
- Z12** Unacceptably expensive to retain, i.e. severe defects requiring excessive levels of maintenance, etc

NOTE: Z trees with a high risk of death/failure (Z4, Z5 & Z6) or causing severe inconvenience (Z7 & Z8) at the time of assessment and need an urgent risk assessment can be designated as ZZ. ZZ trees are likely to be unsuitable for retention and at the bottom of the categorization hierarchy. In contrast, although Z trees are not worthy of influencing new designs, urgent removal is not essential and they could be retained in the short term, if appropriate.

Category A: Important trees suitable for retention for more than 10 years and worthy of being a material constraint

- A1** No significant defects and could be retained with minimal remedial care
- A2** Minor defects that could be addressed by remedial care and/or work to adjacent trees
- A3** Special significance for historical, cultural, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to retain for more than 10 years
- A4** Trees that may be worthy of legal protection for ecological reasons (Advisory requiring specialist assessment)

NOTE: Category A1 trees that are already large and exceptional, or have the potential to become so with minimal maintenance, can be designated as AA at the discretion of the assessor. Although all A and AA trees are sufficiently important to be material constraints, AA trees are at the top of the categorization hierarchy and should be given the most weight in any selection process.

TreeAZ is designed by Barrell Tree Consultancy (www.barrelltreecare.co.uk) and is reproduced with their permission

Glossary of Terms

Abiotic - Pertaining to non-living agents; e.g. environmental factors

Adventitious shoots - Shoots that develop other than from apical, axillary or dormant buds; see also 'epicormic'

Anchorage - The system whereby a tree is fixed within the soil, involving cohesion between roots and soil and the development of a branched system of roots which withstands wind and gravitational forces transmitted from the aerial parts of the tree

Bark - A term usually applied to all the tissues of a woody plant lying outside the vascular cambium, thus including the phloem, cortex and periderm; occasionally applied only to the periderm or the phellem

Branch:

- **Primary.** A first order branch arising from a stem
- **Lateral.** A second order branch, subordinate to a primary branch or stem and bearing sub-lateral branches
- **Sub-lateral.** A third order branch, subordinate to a lateral or primary branch, or stem and usually bearing only twigs

Branch collar - A visible swelling formed at the base of a branch whose diameter growth has been disproportionately slow compared to that of the parent stem; a term sometimes applied also to the pattern of growth of the cells of the parent stem around the branch base

Brown-rot - A type of wood decay in which cellulose is degraded, while lignin is only modified

Buckling - An irreversible deformation of a structure subjected to a bending load

Buttress zone - The region at the base of a tree where the major lateral roots join the stem, with buttress-like formations on the upper side of the junctions

Cambium - Layer of dividing cells producing xylem (woody) tissue internally and phloem (bark) tissue externally

Canker - A persistent lesion formed by the death of bark and cambium due to colonisation by fungi or bacteria

Compartmentalisation - The confinement of disease, decay or other dysfunction within an anatomically discrete region of plant tissue, due to passive and/or active defences operating at the boundaries of the affected region

Compressive loading - Mechanical loading which exerts a positive pressure; the opposite to tensile loading

Condition - An indication of the physiological condition of the tree. Where the term 'condition' is used in a report, it should not be taken as an indication of the stability of the tree

Crown/Canopy - The main foliage bearing section of the tree

Crown lifting - The removal of limbs and small branches to a specified height above ground level

Crown thinning - The removal of a proportion of secondary branch growth throughout the crown to produce an even density of foliage around a well-balanced branch structure

Crown reduction/shaping - A specified reduction in crown size whilst preserving, as far as possible, the natural tree shape

DAB (Diameter Above Buttress) - Trunk diameter measured above the root buttress

Defect - In relation to tree hazards, any feature of a tree which detracts from the uniform distribution of mechanical stress, or which makes the tree mechanically unsuited to its environment

Dieback - The death of parts of a woody plant, starting at shoot-tips or root-tips

Disease - A malfunction in or destruction of tissues within a living organism, usually excluding mechanical damage; in trees, usually caused by pathogenic micro-organisms

Dominance - In trees, the tendency for a leading shoot to grow faster or more vigorously than the lateral shoots; also the tendency of a tree to maintain a taller crown than its neighbours

Dormant bud - An axial bud which does not develop into a shoot until after the formation of two or more annual wood increments; many such buds persist through the life of a tree and develop only if stimulated to do so

Dysfunction - In woody tissues, the loss of physiological function, especially water conduction, in sapwood

DBH (Diameter at Breast Height) - Stem diameter measured at a height of 1.4 metres or the nearest measurable point. Where measurement at a height of 1.4 metres is not possible, another height may be specified

Deadwood - Branch or stem wood bearing no live tissues. Retention of deadwood provides valuable habitat for a wide range of species and seldom represents a threat to the health of the tree. Removal of deadwood can result in the ingress of decay to otherwise sound tissues and climbing operations to access deadwood can cause significant damage to a tree. Removal of deadwood is generally recommended only where it represents an unacceptable level of hazard

Epicormic shoot - A shoot having developed from a dormant or adventitious bud and not having developed from a first year shoot

Flush-cut - A pruning cut which removes part of the branch bark ridge and or branch-collar

Girdling root - A root which circles and constricts the stem or roots possibly causing death of phloem and/or cambial tissue

Habit - The overall growth characteristics, shape of the tree and branch structure

Hazard beam - An upwardly curved part of a tree in which strong internal stresses may occur without being reduced by adaptive growth; prone to longitudinal splitting

Heartwood/false-heartwood - The dead central wood that has become dysfunctional as part of the aging processes and being distinct from the sapwood

Heave - A term mainly applicable to a shrinkable clay soil which expands due to re-wetting after the felling of a tree which was previously extracting moisture from the deeper layers; also the lifting of pavements and other structures by root diameter expansion; also the lifting of one side of a wind-rocked root-plate

Included bark (ingrown bark) - Bark of adjacent parts of a tree (usually forks, acutely joined branches or basal flutes) which is in face-to-face contact

Lever arm - A mechanical term denoting the length of the lever represented by a structure that is free to move at one end, such as a tree or an individual branch

Lignin - The hard, cement-like constituent of wood cells; deposition of lignin within the matrix of cellulose microfibrils in the cell wall is termed Lignification

Lions tailing - A term applied to a branch of a tree that has few if any side-branches except at its end, and is thus liable to snap due to end-loading

Loading - A mechanical term describing the force acting on a structure from a particular source; e.g. the weight of the structure itself or wind pressure

Mycelium - The body of a fungus, consisting of branched filaments (hyphae)

Occlusion - The process whereby a wound is progressively closed by the formation of new wood and bark around it

Pathogen - A micro-organism which causes disease in another organism

Photosynthesis - The process whereby plants use light energy to split hydrogen from water molecules, and combine it with carbon dioxide to form the molecular building blocks for synthesizing carbohydrates and other biochemical products

Probability - A statistical measure of the likelihood that a particular event might occur

Pruning - The removal or cutting back of twigs or branches, sometimes applied to twigs or small branches only, but often used to describe most activities involving the cutting of trees or shrubs

Radial - In the plane or direction of the radius of a circular object such as a tree stem

Reactive Growth/Reaction Wood - Production of woody tissue in response to altered mechanical loading; often in response to internal defect or decay and associated strength loss (cf. adaptive growth)

Ring-barking - The removal of a ring of bark and phloem around the circumference of a stem or branch, normally resulting in an inability to transport photosynthetic assimilates below the area of damage. Almost inevitably results in the eventual death of the affected stem or branch above the damage

Root-collar - The transitional area between the stem/s and roots

Sapwood - Living xylem tissues

Soft-rot - A kind of wood decay in which a fungus degrades cellulose within the cell walls, without any general degradation of the wall as a whole

Stem/s - Principle above-ground structural component(s) of a tree that supports its branches

Stress - In plant physiology, a condition under which one or more physiological functions are not operating within their optimum range, for example due to lack of water, inadequate nutrition or extremes of temperature

SRZ (Structural Root Zone) - The area around the base of the tree required for the tree's stability in the ground.

Subsidence - In relation to soil or structures resting in or on soil, a sinking due to shrinkage when certain types of clay soil dry out, sometimes due to extraction of moisture by tree roots

Taper - In stems and branches, the degree of change in girth along a given length

Targets - In tree risk assessment (with slight misuse of normal meaning) persons or property or other things of value which might be harmed by mechanical failure of the tree or by objects falling from it

Topping - In arboriculture, the removal of the crown of a tree, or of a major proportion of it

Transpiration - The evaporation of moisture from the surface of a plant, especially via the stomata of leaves; it exerts a suction which draws water up from the roots and through the intervening xylem cells

TPZ (Tree Protection Zone) - A specified area above and below ground and at a given distance from the trunk set aside for the protection of a tree's roots and crown to provide for the viability and stability of a tree to be retained where it is potentially subject to damage by development.

Understory - This layer consists of younger individuals of the dominant trees, together with smaller trees and shrubs which are adapted to grow under lower light conditions

Veteran tree - Tree that, by recognised criteria, shows features of biological, cultural or aesthetic value that are characteristic of, but not exclusive to, individuals surviving beyond the typical age range for the species concerned. These characteristics might typically include a large girth, signs of crown retrenchment and hollowing of the stem

Vigour - The expression of carbohydrate expenditure to growth (in trees)

White-rot - A range of kinds of wood decay in which lignin, usually together with cellulose and other wood constituents, is degraded

Wind exposure - The degree to which a tree or other object is exposed to wind, both in terms of duration and velocity

Wind pressure - The force exerted by a wind on a particular object

Windthrow - The blowing over of a tree at its roots