MUSEUMS DISCOVERY CENTRE EXPANSION ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## APPENDIX BB SEPP 64 (ADVERTISING AND SIGNAGE) ASSESSMENT

Milestone





ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED SIGNAGE ZONES PURSUANT TO STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY NO. 64 - ADVERTISING AND SIGNAGE (SEPP 64)

## STATE SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FOR MUSEUMS DISCOVERY CENTRE EXPANSION AT 2 GREEN ROAD, CASTLE HILL

## **SEPTEMBER 2020**

No	Provisions of SEPP 64	Compliance
1	Character of the area	Complies.
	Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?	The Design report prepared by Lahznimmo Architects (refer <b>Appendix B</b> of the EIS) includes a Building Signage location strategy which shows five signage zones located at the southern end of proposed Building J.
		The signage zones are designed to identify the new MDC building from the public realm of Showground Ground and main pedestrian entrances. The signs will be consistent with the existing character of the overall site and TAFE campus which comprise institutional buildings with large building footprints and directional signs designed to guide visitors to the respective sites and buildings.
	Is the proposal consistent with a	Complies.
	particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	Signage in the area generally consists of existing directional signs and buildings signs associated with the surrounding institutional buildings. The proposed signage zones are consistent with this theme.
2	Special areas	Complies.
	Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	A residential area is located to the north of proposed Building J and it will not be impacted by the proposed signage zones which are located to the south of the building, next to Showground Road for visitors.  The immediate locality does not include any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes that would be impacted by the proposed signs.
3	Views and Vistas	Complies.
	Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	The proposed signage will not obscure or compromise any important views and vistas.
	Does the proposal dominate the	Complies.
	skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	The proposed signage will not dominate the skyline or reduce the quality of any vistas. The signage zones will be integrated into the building facades and will not sit above the parapet at any point.

No	Provisions of SEPP 64	Compliance
	Does the proposal respect the	Complies.
	viewing rights of other advertisers?	• •
		The proposed signage will not obstruct any views to other
		advertisements in the area.
4	Streetscape setting or landscape	Complies.
	Is the scale, proportion and form of	The scale, proportion and form of the proposed signage will
	the proposal appropriate for the	be suited to the large institutional building.
	streetscape, setting or landscape?	be suited to the large motitational ballang.
	Does the proposal contribute to the	Complies.
	visual interest of the streetscape,	
	setting or landscape?	The proposed signage zones suitably identify the building
		from the public realm, and they will complement the
		architecture including materials and finishes.
	Does the proposal reduce clutter by	N/A
	rationalising and simplifying	.47.
	existing advertising?	
	Dana tha marana	Commiss
	Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	Complies.
	unsignaniess:	The proposed signage zones are neat and well-presented
		and will improve the visual presentation and identification
		of the building.
	Does the proposal protrude above	Complies.
	buildings, structures or tree	The managed simple date and marketing above the
	canopies in the area or locality?	The proposed signage does not protrude above the proposed Building J or surrounding tree canopies.
		proposed building 5 or surrounding tree carropies.
5	Site and building	Complies
	•	·
	Is the proposal compatible with the	The signage zones complement the scale and proportion
	scale, proportion and other	of the new building and will have a contemporary
	characteristics of the site or	presentation suited to the architecture and purpose of the
	building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	site.
	Does the proposal respect	Complies.
	important features of the site or	
	building, or both?	The proposed signage zones are integrated into the
		architecture and will not detract from any important
		features of the site including other institutional buildings
		and vegetation. They will complement the form and
		function of the building including the need to enhance the
		sense of permeability through the east - west pedestrian link.
		III IIV.
	Does the proposal show innovation	Complies.
	and imagination in its relationship	·
	to the site or building, or both?	The proposed signage zones are located to optimise
		identification of the building and promote wayfinding. The
		location and size of the signage zones are innovatively
		designed to encourage interaction with the building,
		especially at the east and west pedestrian entrances.

Provisions of SEPP 64	Compliance
Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures	Complies.  Safety devices or platforms are not required.
Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage structure on which it is to be displayed?	
Illumination	Complies.
<ul> <li>Would illumination result in unacceptable glare?</li> <li>Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft?</li> <li>Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?</li> <li>Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted if necessary?</li> <li>Is the illumination subject to a curfew?</li> </ul>	Illumination details will be identified once the signage strategy is further developed and prior to the issuing of the Construction Certificate. Should illumination be required, it will be designed to avoid unacceptable glare or light spill or impact upon the safety of pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft.  Any proposed illumination will be developed with respect to the relevant Australian Standards and best-practice measures for building identification signage.
Safety	Complies
Would the proposal reduce the safety of any public road?	The proposed signage will not reduce the safety of any public road, including Greens Road, considering their size, type and orientation. The signage zone on the south elevation is designed to be legible to pedestrians and passing motorists however it will not be a distraction or hazard. Unlike digital billboards with flashing content, for example, these signs are relatively benign and will promote safety by clearly identifying the new building from the adjacent road.
Would the proposal reduce the	Complies.
safety for pedestrians or bicyclists?	The proposed signage will not reduce safety for pedestrians or cyclists. Indeed, the signage will improve safety by way of improved wayfinding.
Would the proposal reduce the	Complies.
children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	The proposed signs will not reduce pedestrian safety or impact sightlines from public areas.
	Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures  Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage structure on which it is to be displayed?  Illumination  • Would illumination result in unacceptable glare?  • Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft?  • Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?  • Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted if necessary?  • Is the illumination subject to a curfew?  Safety  Would the proposal reduce the safety of any public road?