

# Kambala School Traffic Impact Assessment

Prepared for: Kambala School

17 July 2020

The Transport Planning Partnership



# Kambala School Traffic Impact Assessment

Client: Kambala School

Version: V03

Date: 17 July 2020

TTPP Reference: 19465

**Quality Record** 

Version	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Signature
V01	07/04/20	Charbel Hanna	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	-
V02	23/06/20	Oasika Faiz	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	-
V03	17/07/20	Oasika Faiz	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	KIMyel



### Table of Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1
		1.1.1 Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements	1
	1.2	Background	3
	1.3	References	3
	1.4	Report Structure	3
2	Exis	sting Conditions	4
	2.1	The Site	4
		2.1.1 Legal Description and Ownership	7
	2.2	Surrounding Road Network	7
	2.3	Parking Restrictions	7
	2.4	Vehicle Access	8
	2.5	Public Transport	9
	2.6	Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities	10
	2.7	Existing Pick-Up / Drop-Off Activities	12
	2.8	Existing Traffic Volumes	14
	2.9	Existing Intersection Performance	17
		2.9.1 Level of Service Criteria	17
		2.9.2 Modelling Results	18
3	Exis	sting Travel Patterns	19
	3.1	Travel Questionnaires	19
	3.2	Child Care Centre	20
	3.3	Existing Mode Trip Generation	21
	3.4	Pick Up / Drop Off Locations	21
4	Pro	posed Development	23
	4.1	Overview of Proposed Development	23
	4.2	Proposed Access and Car Park Arrangements	24
	4.3	Service Vehicle and Emergency Vehicle Access	24
5	Pai	king Assessment	25
	5.1	Existing Car Parking Provision	25
	5.2	Car Parking Requirements	25
		5.2.1 State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments)	25
		5.2.2 Woollahra Council Development Control Plan 2015 (Woollahra DCP)	25
	5.3	Bicycle Parking Requirements	26



	5.4	Motorcycle Parking Requirements	. 26
	5.5	Proposed Pick-Up / Drop-Off Facilities	. 26
6	Traf	fic Assessment	. 27
	6.1	Future Estimated Modal Splits	. 27
	6.2	Future Case Scenario with Proposed Expansion	. 28
		6.2.1 Traffic Distribution	. 29
	6.3	Traffic Impact	. 33
	6.4	Road and Personal Safety (CPTED Principles)	. 34
7	Trav	el Demand Measures	. 35
	7.1	School Feedback	. 35
	7.2	Green Travel Plan Initiatives	. 37
		7.2.1 School Bus	. 37
		7.2.2 Monitoring of the GTP	. 38
8	Cor	nclusion	. 39

### Tables

Table 1.1: Review of Compliance with SEARs	. 1
Table 2.1: Legal Description	.7
Table 2.2: Junior Year Group Pick-Up Times 1	12
Table 2.3: Car Park Total Traffic Movements during Survey Period	17
Table 2.4: SIDRA Intersection LoS Criteria1	18
Table 2.5: Existing Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results	18
Table 3.1: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes	19
Table 3.2: Peak Hour Vehicle Generation Proportions	20
Table 3.3: Estimated Existing Staff and Student Trips for each Travel Mode	21
Table 6.1: Additional Proposed Travel Demand2	28
Table 6.2: Vehicles Generated to Pick Up / Drop Off Locations	29
Table 6.3: Existing Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results	33
Table 6.4: Post Development Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results	34
Table 7.1: Willingness to use School Bus if available	38



## Figures

Figure 2.1: Kambala School Location Context Plan
Figure 2.2: Aerial Map of the Kambala Campus5
Figure 2.3: Project Scope
Figure 2.4: Key Plan
Figure 2.5: Parking Restrictions
Figure 2.6: Vehicle Access Locations
Figure 2.7: Public Transport Map
Figure 2.8: Pedestrian Crossing in front of Main School Gate11
Figure 2.9: Cycling Map11
Figure 2.10: Pick-Up / Drop-Off Areas12
Figure 2.11: School Gate along Tivoli Avenue
Figure 2.12: School Access Gate along Tivoli Avenue
Figure 2.13: Surveyed Intersections
Figure 2.14: Tivoli Avenue / Bayview Hill Road Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements
Figure 2.15: New South Head Road / Bayview Hill Road Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements 16
Figure 2.16: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements 17
Figure 3.1: Arrival and Departure Times
Figure 3.2: Pick Up / Drop Off Locations (Prep - Year 6)
Figure 3.3: Pick Up / Drop Off Locations (Year 7 - Year 12)
Figure 6.1: Bayview Hill Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional AM Traffic Generation
Figure 6.2: New South Head Road / Bayview Hill Road Additional AM Traffic Generation
Figure 6.3: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional AM Traffic Generation
Figure 6.4: Tivoli Avenue / Bayview Hill Road Additional PM Traffic Generation
Figure 6.5: New South Head Road / Bayview Hill Road Additional PM Traffic Generation
Figure 6.6: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional PM Traffic Generation
Figure 7.1: Reasons for Vehicle Usage – Staff
Figure 7.2: Reasons for Travel Choice – Students (Year 3 – Year 12)

### APPENDICES

- A. TRAFFIC SURVEYS
- **B.** SIDRA MOVEMENT SUMMARIES
- C. GREEN TRAVEL PLAN



# 1 Introduction

This report supports a State Significant Development Application (SSDA) submitted to the Department of Planning, Infrastructure and Environment (DPIE) pursuant to Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), for the proposed redevelopment of the sports precinct of Kambala School at 794 -796 New South Head Road, Rose Bay.

This application is SSD by way of clause 8 and schedule 1 under *State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011* on the basis that the development is for the purpose of an existing school and has a Capital Investment Value of more than \$20 million.

This report has been prepared having regard to the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements issued for the project by DPIE, ref no SSD-10385 issued on 24 November 2019.

#### 1.1.1 Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements

On 24 November 2019, the Department of Planning and Environment (DoPE) issued the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARS). Specifically, a traffic and accessibility impact assessment is required as part of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), in accordance with the SEARs for the proposed development.

The issues raised in the SEARs have been considered during the preparation of this report and are summarised in Table 1.1.

#### Table 1.1: Review of Compliance with SEARs

	SEARS Transport, Traffic, Parking and Access	Report Reference
<b>Tra</b> Inc lim	<b>nsport and Accessibility</b> lude a transport and accessibility impact assessment, which details, but not ited to the following:	
•	accurate details of the current daily and peak hour vehicle, existing and future public transport networks and pedestrian and cycle movement provided on the road network located adjacent to the proposed development	Section 2.5, 2.6 and 2.8
٠	details of estimated total daily and peak hour trips generated by the proposal, including vehicle, public transport, pedestrian and bicycle trips	Section 3.3
٠	the adequacy of existing public transport or any future public transport infrastructure within the vicinity of the site, pedestrian and bicycle networks and associated infrastructure to meet the likely future demand of the proposed development	Section 2.5 and 2.6
٠	measures to integrate the development with the existing/future public transport network	Section 7.2
٠	the impact of trips generated by the development on nearby intersections, with consideration of the cumulative impacts from other approved developments in the vicinity, and the need/associated funding for, and details of, upgrades or road improvement works, if required (Traffic modelling is to be undertaken using SIDRA network modelling for current and future years)	Section 6.3



		SEARS Transport, Traffic, Parking and Access	Report Reference
•	the effic inclu scho lane	identification of infrastructure required to address any impacts on traffic eiency and road safety impacts associated with the proposed development, uding details on improvements required to affected intersections, additional bool bus routes along bus capable roads (i.e. minimum 3.5m wide travel es), additional bus stops or bus bays	Section 6.3
•	deto gen susto incre	ails of travel demand management measures to minimise the impact on eral traffic and bus operations, including details of a location-specific ainable travel plan (Green Travel Plan) and the provision of facilities to ease the non-car mode share for travel to and from the site	Section 6.3 + GTP (separate document)
•	the pub	proposed walking and cycling access arrangements and connections to lic transport services	Section 4.2
٠	the faci on p cros	proposed access arrangements, including car and bus pick-up/drop-off ities, and measures to mitigate any associated traffic impacts and impacts public transport, pedestrian and bicycle networks, including pedestrian sings and refuges and speed control devices and zones	Section 4.1
•	prop con pass	bosed bicycle parking provision, including end of trip facilities, in secure, venient, accessible areas close to main entries incorporating lighting and sive surveillance	Section 5.3
٠	prop corr leve	bosed number of on-site car parking spaces for staff and visitors and esponding compliance with existing parking codes and justification for the I of car parking provided on-site	Section 4.2
•	an a up/a dev	assessment of the cumulative on-street parking impacts of cars and bus pick- drop-off, staff parking and any other parking demands associated with the elopment	Section 5.2.2
•	an dev dev safe	assessment of road and pedestrian safety adjacent to the proposed elopment and the details of required road safety measures and personal ty in line with CPTED	Section 6.4
•	eme arra type	ergency vehicle access, service vehicle access, delivery and loading ngements and estimated service vehicle movements (including vehicle and the likely arrival and departure times)	Section 2.4
•	the Mar rela	preparation of a preliminary Construction Traffic and Pedestrian nagement Plan to demonstrate the proposed management of the impact in tion to construction traffic	
	0	assessment of cumulative impacts associated with other construction activities (if any);	
	0	an assessment of road safety at key intersection and locations subject to heavy vehicle construction traffic movements and high pedestrian activity;	
	0	details of construction program detailing the anticipated construction duration and highlighting significant and milestone stages and events during the construction process;	
	0	details of anticipated peak hour and daily construction vehicle movements to and from the site;	Separate document
	0	details of on-site car parking and access arrangements of construction vehicles, construction workers to and from the site, emergency vehicles and service vehicle;	prepared by TFF
	0	details of temporary cycling and pedestrian access during construction;	
	0	demonstrate how pedestrian and cycle rider movements along footways and cycleways are maintained at all times during construction activities. Should the development require closure to either facility, detail the adequate safety and diversion measures out in place to limit time delay and detour distances;	
	0	details of any crane locations and road closures; and	
	0	details of any potential impacts to the bus network.	



### 1.2 Background

#### Need for a Campus Masterplan

Kambala is an independent day and boarding school for girls up to 18 years. Kambala also has an early learning centre catering for approximately 70 girls and boys aged between 6 months and 5 years. The school was established in the late 1800s and moved to the current campus in 1913. The campus has evolved in an organic and ad-hoc manner over the last 100 years as the school and its demands have grown.

A new campus-wide planning approach offers the opportunity to strategically plan for the future in a sustainable and effective manner and to preserve the unique aesthetic and heritage qualities of the campus. The preparation of a campus-wide planning approach is also consistent with the School's 2019 - 2023 Strategic Plan which identified the need for a broader strategic plan to coordinate renewal and development in a feasible and staged manner.

### 1.3 References

In preparing this report, reference has been made to the following:

- An inspection of the site and its surrounds;
- traffic surveys undertaken in-house;
- Woollahra Development Control Plan 2015;
- Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014;
- Roads and Maritime Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, and;
- other documents as referenced in this report.

### 1.4 Report Structure

The remainder of this report is set out as follows:

- Chapter 2 sets out the existing conditions of the site;
- Chapter 3 presents the existing travel patterns to/from the site;
- Chapter 4 describes the proposed development;
- Chapter 5 provides a parking assessment of the proposed development;
- Chapter 6 provides a traffic assessment of the proposed development;
- Chapter 7 describes the travel demand measures to be put in place for the proposed development, and;
- Chapter 8 provides a summary of the report.



# 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 The Site

Kambala is located at 794-796 New South Head Road, Rose Bay and is within the Woollahra Council local government area (LGA). Situated in the eastern suburbs of Sydney, the School is approximately 8km east of the Sydney CBD. The School is located on New South Head Road which is a classified road connecting the City with the eastern beaches. The School is surrounded by predominantly residential uses.

The campus is bound by New South Head (to the east), Bayview Hill Road (to the north) and Tivoli Avenue (to the west). Fernbank Boarding House is located at 1A -3 Bayview Hill Road opposite the Kambala School grounds. No works are proposed to this part of the campus in this DA. The locational context of the School is illustrated at Figure 2.1. Figure 2.2 provides an aerial map of the School and its immediate surrounds.

The School campus slopes down from New South Head Road in the east to the west and comprises a series of existing buildings in the western part of the campus that range in height and age. The south western and north western part of the campus accommodates much of the school's existing built form, while the eastern part has the school's sporting fields and courts.

The Kambala School building known as Tivoli House is in the heart of the campus. The house, its interiors, gateposts, gates and flanking walls with railing facing Tivoli Avenue, as well as 2 Norfolk Island Pines are listed as a heritage item in Woollahra Local Environmental Plan 2014 (WLEP 2014).

Within the School campus, the site of this SSDA is illustrated in Figure 2.3. The site proposed for new buildings is on top of the existing sports field and music building, as shown in green. The site proposed for demolition works and associated façade redevelopment and landscaping works is shown in red and is limited to a portion of the existing Hawthorne Building and the Arts building. The site of new landscape works is shown in yellow and includes all external spaces connecting these works. It is anticipated that the construction works will be staged, so the construction site for any given stage will be smaller than the overall site identified in Figure 2.3. The four key main buildings proposed are identified in Figure 2.4.







Source: Ethos Urban

#### Figure 2.2: Aerial Map of the Kambala Campus



The Site Base Map Source: Nearmap

() NOT TO SCALE







### 2.1.1 Legal Description and Ownership

The campus comprises several allotments, the legal descriptions of which are provided in Table 2.1 below. The existing campus has a site area of approximately 22511m<sup>2</sup>.

Address	Lot	Plan
	Lot 67	DP 2538
794-796 New South Head Road	Lot C	DP 210074
	Lot 1	DP 1089403
3 Tivoli Avenue	Null	SP 64653
3 Bayview Hill Road	Lot 1	DP 175832
1 A Bayview Hill Road	Lot 45	DP 2538
1 Bayview Hill Road	Lot 46	DP 2538

#### Table 2.1: Legal Description

### 2.2 Surrounding Road Network

Kambala School is bound between Bayview Hill Road to the north, Tivoli Avenue to the west and New South Head Road to the east. A brief description of the roads is provided below:

**Bayview Hill Road** is a cul-de-sac local road that is one-way westbound along the boundary of the site (i.e. between New South Head Road and Tivoli Avenue) and two-way west of Tivoli Avenue. East of Tivoli Avenue it is 5m wide with kerbside parking permitted on the northern side of the road. Bayview Hill road is 7m wide west of Tivoli Avenue. The default speed limit along the road is 50km/hr but is governed by school zone speed limits during school days.

**Tivoli Avenue** is a two-way, two-lane local road with a 9m wide carriageway. The road connects to Bayview Hill Road in the north and New South Head Road in the south. Kerbside parking is provided on both sides along the length of Tivoli Avenue. The default speed limit along the road is 50km/hr but is governed by school zone speed limits during school holidays.

**New South Head Road** is a two-way, four-lane state road with a 12m wide carriageway. Limited kerbside parking is permitted within the vicinity of the site. There is a posted speed limit of 60km/h outside of school zones.

### 2.3 Parking Restrictions

On-street parking restrictions surrounding the site are presented in Figure 2.5



#### Figure 2.5: Parking Restrictions



It should be noted that parking spaces along Tivoli Avenue and Bayview Hill Road were generally at capacity during school hours.

### 2.4 Vehicle Access

The site currently provides three (3) vehicle access gates which are located on New South Head Road and Tivoli Avenue as shown in Figure 2.6.



#### Figure 2.6: Vehicle Access Locations



Base Map Source: Nearmap, photograph dated 21/01/20

The separate vehicle entry and exit driveways on Tivoli Avenue, near Bayview Hill Road, provides access into the school's car park, which provides parking for staff and childcare centre visitors.

The remaining vehicle access points, i.e. on Tivoli Avenue and New South Head Road, provides access to service and emergency vehicles only.

### 2.5 Public Transport

The site is primarily served by buses, with the nearest bus stop located on New South Head Road in front of the main school gate. This stop is serviced by the following bus routes:

- 324 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Old South Head Rd
- 325 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Vaucluse Rd
- 386 Vaucluse to Bondi Junction via New South Head Rd & Old South Head Rd

Buses are available seven days a week and provide services every 15 minutes during peak periods and every 30 minutes outside peak hours. All bus services travel to Edgecliff Railway Station via a 23-28-minute trip.

The locations of these bus stops are presented in Figure 2.7.





#### Figure 2.7: Public Transport Map

Base Map Source: Google Maps Australia

### 2.6 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

Pedestrian footpaths are provided on all roads surrounding the site.

Controlled pedestrian crossings are provided at the intersection of New South Head Road and Vaucluse Road north-east of the subject site and at the intersection of New South Head Road and Tivoli Avenue south of the site. There is also a controlled pedestrian crossing in front of the main school gate some 30m west of Rawson Road as shown in Figure 2.8.





#### Figure 2.8: Pedestrian Crossing in front of Main School Gate

Within the vicinity, on-road cycling routes are provided along New South Head Road, Vaucluse Road and Towns Road. Cycling routes surrounding the site are shown in Figure 2.9.

#### Figure 2.9: Cycling Map



Base Map Source: Cycling in Waverley and Woollahra (https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0016/36511/Cycle-route-map.pdf)



### 2.7 Existing Pick-Up / Drop-Off Activities

Pick-Up / Drop-Off (PUDO) activities for junior year classes are primarily undertaken along Bayview Hill Road and Tivoli Avenue as shown in Figure 2.10.

#### Figure 2.10: Pick-Up / Drop-Off Areas



Table 2.2 indicates the staggered pick-up times for primary school students along Bayview Hill Road and Tivoli Avenue.

#### Table 2.2: Junior Year Group Pick-Up Times

Year Group	Time	Location
Prep	2:40pm	Bayview Hill Road
Transition	2:50pm	Bayview Hill Road
Year 1	3:00pm	Tivoli Avenue*
Year 2	3:05pm	Tivoli Avenue
Year 3	3:15pm	Tivoli Avenue
Year 4	3:15pm	Tivoli Avenue
Year 5	3:25pm	Tivoli Avenue
Year 6	3:25pm	Tivoli Avenue

\* Note: effective as of Term 2, 2020.



It should be noted that informal drop-off activities are also undertaken on-street further south along Tivoli Avenue in front of a school gate as shown in Figure 2.11 and Figure 2.12. Both primary and high school students were observed to be dropped off at this location.

It should also be noted that there are no designated high school student PUDO areas within the vicinity of the school. High school students were observed to be dropped-off either within primary school drop-off zones (with other primary school students), at the school gate along Tivoli Avenue or along Rawson Road (east of the school which would then require students to cross NSHR at the signalised pedestrian crossing and thus enter the school via the main school gate). A small number of high school students were also observed to be dropped off along NSHR in front of the main school gate.

#### Figure 2.11: School Gate along Tivoli Avenue







#### Figure 2.12: School Access Gate along Tivoli Avenue

### 2.8 Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic surveys were conducted on Thursday 20 February between 7:00am and 9:00am and between 2:00pm and 5:00pm to determine the existing traffic generated by the school during peak PUDO periods and the operation of the nearby intersections surrounding the school.

The following intersections were surveyed during the abovementioned periods:

- New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue
- New South Head Road / Bayview Hill Road
- Tivoli Avenue / Bayview Hill Road

Figure 2.13 shows the abovementioned intersections relative to the school.





#### Figure 2.13: Surveyed Intersections

Traffic volumes at the above intersections are provided in the following figures (Figure 2.14, Figure 2.15 and Figure 2.16).





#### Figure 2.14: Tivoli Avenue / Bayview Hill Road Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements

#### Figure 2.15: New South Head Road / Bayview Hill Road Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements



Note: "U" indicates a U-turn movement.





#### Figure 2.16: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Peak Hour Total Vehicle Movements

The raw vehicle movement data is provided in Appendix A.

Total vehicle movements into and out of the school car park are provided in Table 2.3

Table 2.3	Car Park	<b>Total Traffic</b>	<b>Movements</b>	during	Survey	Period
-----------	----------	----------------------	------------------	--------	--------	--------

TIME	IN	OUT
7:00am – 9:00am	97	68
2:30pm – 5:30pm	114	184
Total	211	252

### 2.9 Existing Intersection Performance

Intersection capacity analysis has been undertaken using SIDRA Intersection 8 modelling software to ascertain the operation of the key intersections surrounding the site as outlined in Section 2.8.

### 2.9.1 Level of Service Criteria

Roads and Maritime uses "Level of Service" (LoS) as a measure of performance for all intersection types operating under prevailing traffic conditions. The level of service ranges from "A" to "F" which is directly related to the average delay which vehicles experience when travelling through an intersection. Levels of service A through to D are considered to provide acceptable performance with LoS A providing better performance than LoS D. LoS D

is the long-term desirable level of service. Levels of service E and F are considered to provide unsatisfactory intersection performance.

At signalised intersections, the average delay is the volume weighted average of all movements. For roundabouts and priority (give-way and stop-sign) controlled intersections, the average delay is taken to be the delay of the movement which incurs the greatest delay.

Table 2.4 shows the criteria that SIDRA intersection adopts in assessing the LoS.

Level of Service (LoS)	Average Delay per Vehicle (secs/veh)	Traffic Signals & Roundabouts	Give Way & Stop Sign
А	Less than 14	Good operation	Good operation
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
С	29 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 to 56	Near capacity	Near capacity, accident study required
E	57 to 70	At capacity, at signals, incidents would cause excessive delays. Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode
F	Greater than 70	Unsatisfactory, requires additional capacity	Unsatisfactory, requires other control mode or major treatment

#### Table 2.4: SIDRA Intersection LoS Criteria

### 2.9.2 Modelling Results

A summary of the AM and PM school peak hour traffic modelling results is provided in Table 2.5.

#### Table 2.5: Existing Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results

		AMI	Peak	PM Peak		
Intersection	Control	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service	
NSHR – Tivoli Avenue	Signals	12	A	9	A	
NSHR – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	10	A	10	A	
Tivoli Avenue – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	7	A	7	A	



# 3 Existing Travel Patterns

### 3.1 Travel Questionnaires

Online questionnaires were distributed to school staff and students via email in February 2020 to determine their travel mode choice and behaviour to/from the school. A total of 978 surveys were completed by staff and students as of 24/03/20.

A summary of the existing staff and student travel modes is provided in Table 3.1. It is assumed that the mode of travel employed by staff is used for both arrival and departure.

Mark.	Staff	Kinderge	arten – Year 6	Year 7 – Year 12	
Mode	21011	Arrival	Departure	Arrival	Departure
Car (as driver, no passengers)	84%	-	-	2%	2%
Car (as driver, with passengers)	1%	-	-	1%	1%
Car (as passenger, driver didn't stay)	0%	-	-	-	-
Car (as passenger, driver stayed)	1%	-	-	-	-
Car (as passenger, no other students)	-	31%	33%	21%	16%
Car (as passenger, with other students)	-	47%	48%	27%	16%
Motorcycle / Scooter	2%	-	-	0%	0%
Walk	1%	8%	6%	15%	17%
Public Bus	6%	8%	7%	27%	40%
School Bus (incl. Scot's College Bus)	0%	6%	6%	4%	4%
Train and Bus	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%
Cycle	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	<1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

#### Table 3.1: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes

Based on the travel survey questionnaires, the following average car occupancy numbers were recorded:

- Staff: 1.02 persons per vehicle (including driver)
- Prep Year 6: 1.90 persons per vehicle
- Year 7 Year 12: 1.99 persons per vehicle

A summary of the staff and student arrival and departure travel patterns is shown in Figure 3.1.





Figure 3.1: Arrival and Departure Times

It should be noted that Table 3.2 indicates the proportions of vehicles which arrive and depart in the peak hour, based on the information provided above in Figure 3.1.

Table :	3.2: F	Peak	Hour	Vehicle	Generation	Proportions
	·· ·	C GIL			ochoranon	

Group	Arrival	Departure	
Staff	38.5%	8.2%	
Prep – Year 6	73.31%	74.58%	
Year 7 – Year 12	81.41%	82.27%	

### 3.2 Child Care Centre

A large majority of children that attend the Child Care Centre (i.e. Hampshire House) 5 days per week arrive and depart from the centre via private vehicle. A very small number (~5%) walk with their parent or guardian to the centre.

It should be noted that of the 68 total children, 26 arrive with their parents/guardians who are also staff at the school. Additionally, of these 26 children, 9 have either 1 or more siblings at Hampshire House and/or Kambala School. Similarly, of the 42 children who do not belong to staff at the school, 37 have either 1 or more siblings in Hampshire House and/or Kambala School.

Note: orange highlighted boxes indicate the adjoining road network peak hour.



Based on this information, the following car occupancy rate has been deduced.

Child Care Centre

2.06 persons per vehicle\*

\*= includes staff, students and children

### 3.3 Existing Mode Trip Generation

Based on the travel questionnaires undertaken, an estimate of the existing site traffic generation for each travel mode is shown in Table 3.3.

#### Table 3.3: Estimated Existing Staff and Student Trips for each Travel Mode

	Sheeff	Prep	o – Year 6	Year 7 – Year 12	
Mode	Statt	Arrival	Departure	Arrival	Departure
Car (as driver, no passengers)	193	-	-	12	12
Car (as driver, with passengers)	2	-	-	6	6
Car (as passenger, driver didn't stay)	0	-	-	0	0
Car (as passenger, driver stayed)	2	-	-	0	0
Car (as passenger, no other students)	0	124	132	129	99
Car (as passenger, with other students)	0	188	192	166	99
Motorcycle / Scooter	5	-	-	0	0
Walk	2	32	24	92	105
Public Bus	14	32	28	166	246
School Bus (incl. Scot's College Bus)	0	24	24	25	25
Train and Bus	2	0	0	18	25
Cycle	7	0	0	0	0
Other	2	0	0	0	0
Total	230	399	399	616	616

It's noted that arrival/ departure patterns span over a three-hour period in both the morning and afternoon peak periods.

### 3.4 Pick Up / Drop Off Locations

As determined by the questionnaires distributed online, the following figures (Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3) indicate the PUDO locations for students at Kambala School.





#### Figure 3.2: Pick Up / Drop Off Locations (Prep - Year 6)





It should be noted that "Other" includes side streets east of the site such as Towns Road, Chamberlain Avenue, Churchill Road and/or the school car park. Additionally, a significant number of students (particularly students of the high school) are picked up/ dropped off along Rawson Road with a small number picked up/ dropped off along New South Head Road in front of the main gate at the school.



## 4 Proposed Development

### 4.1 Overview of Proposed Development

This SSDA includes detailed plans for a new sport, wellbeing and senior learning precinct. Accordingly, consent is sought for the following:

- The excavation of part of the existing sports field to facilitate the construction of the following:
  - sports facilities including weights room and dance rooms;
  - indoor multipurpose sports courts for use by up to 1500 people;
  - innovative and flexible teaching and learning spaces;
  - amenities, store rooms, plant, circulation and ancillary spaces
  - reinstatement of the sports field surface on the roof (sports field and perimeter fencing)
  - spectator seating / bleachers;
- The removal of the tennis courts (currently on the roof of the music building), and the construction of the following:
  - a wellbeing centre, called the SHINE centre, to accommodate the Kambala SHINE program
  - a new staff centre, called the KITE centre, to accommodate staff workstations, meeting areas, staff development workshop rooms and amenities
  - reinstatement of the tennis courts, lighting and perimeter fencing on the new roof
- a new eastern forecourt for the school, new external landscaped areas and new courtyards;
- minor works to the existing music building to facilitate a new connection to the new courtyard;
- the partial demolition of the Hawthorne building and the construction of a new façade, roof and landscaping; and
- the demolition of the Arts building and the construction of new facades to adjacent affected buildings, and new landscaping to the footprint of the demolished building

The proposal also seeks to increase the capacity of the school from the permitted number of 950 students to 1,020 students (increase of 70 students). No increases to staff numbers are proposed. It is understood that the existing school enrolments currently exceed the existing cap with 1,020 students enrolled. Therefore, the proposed increase in the school capacity would enable the school to continue its existing operation.



Additionally, the improved facilities and learning areas would be provided for future students, including family and supporters during weekend sporting events and will not be open to the general public.

It is assumed that the increase in the number of students would be distributed evenly across the various year groups, excluding children at the Child Care Centre.

### 4.2 Proposed Access and Car Park Arrangements

The existing car park and access points to the school would remain unchanged.

### 4.3 Service Vehicle and Emergency Vehicle Access

Service vehicle and emergency vehicle access to the school would remain unchanged.

Existing service vehicle and emergency vehicle access is provided at the main school gate on NSHR and at the access driveway on Tivoli Avenue as shown previously in Figure 2.6.

A new service ramp is to be provided from the ground level to the level 3 sports field for up to a small rigid vehicle (SRV), for use by Roads and Maritime Services (Roads and Maritime) or their contractors to perform maintenance operations to the embankment, over which they have an easement. In addition, the school would use the ramp on a day to day basis for the maintenance and servicing of the sports field and transportation of sports equipment using a buggy/gater.



# 5 Parking Assessment

### 5.1 Existing Car Parking Provision

The school currently provides 123 car spaces comprising the following:

-	Staff Car Parking	100 spaces
•	Child Care Centre Parking	12 spaces
•	Accessible Parking	3 spaces
-	Visitor parking	8 spaces.

### 5.2 Car Parking Requirements

#### 5.2.1 State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments)

There is no specific car parking rate under the Education State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP). However, generally, any modifications must not reduce the number of existing car parking spaces provided and/or must not contravene any existing condition of the most recent development consent relation to car parking.

### 5.2.2 Woollahra Council Development Control Plan 2015 (Woollahra DCP)

The Woollahra DCP specifies parking rates for both Child Care Centres and Educational Establishment.

The DCP recommends parking for Child Care Centres be provided at the following rates...

- Staff Parking
  0.5 spaces per 100m<sup>2</sup>
- Visitor Parking
  1 space per 4 children

Parking requirements for Educational Establishments are specified at a minimum rate of 1 space per 100m<sup>2</sup>, with parking for disabled persons to be provided at a minimum rate of 1 car space per 50 spaces or part thereof.

However, the proposed expansion (as described in Section 4.1) would provide services and facilities to existing students, rather than provide capacity for additional students. The proposed minor increase in the student numbers (up to 70 students) would bring the school's permitted capacity to 1,020 students. No increases to staff numbers is proposed.

On this basis, while the proposed development includes some 5,655m<sup>2</sup> of development, it is not appropriate to increase the school's parking provision.



Furthermore, Kambala, like many schools in recent times, is to implement a Green Travel Plan to reduce car travel to the school and encourage staff and students to travel by alternative modes of transport e.g. bus, cycling etc. On this basis, any increases to the site's parking provisions would counter any initiatives implemented by the Green Travel Plan.

### 5.3 Bicycle Parking Requirements

The bicycle parking requirements for the proposed development have been assessed in accordance with Council's DCP and is outlined below:

- Child Care Centre
  1 space per 10 staff + 2 spaces per centre
- Educational Establishments
  1 space per 10 staff + 1 space per 20 students.

The proposed development includes an increase to the student cap by 70 students and no increases to staff. The proposed increase in students would only apply to primary and secondary students with no changes proposed to the childcare centre operations.

On this basis, based on a rate of one space per 20 students, the proposed development is required four bicycle parking spaces for students.

It is proposed to comply with this requirement.

### 5.4 Motorcycle Parking Requirements

Council's DCP requires motorcycle parking to be provided at a rate of 1 motorcycle parking space per 10 car spaces for all types of development.

No increases to car parking is proposed.

### 5.5 Proposed Pick-Up / Drop-Off Facilities

It is proposed to maintain existing pick-up / drop-off arrangements for the Child Care Centre, Primary School and High School.



# 6 Traffic Assessment

The proposal also seeks to increase the capacity of the school from the permitted number of 950 students to 1,020 students (increase of 70 students). No increases to staff numbers are proposed. It is understood that the existing school enrolments currently exceed the existing cap with 1,020 students. Therefore, the proposed increase in the school capacity would enable the school to continue its existing operation. Nonetheless, as a sensitivity analysis of the surrounding road network and to account for any fluctuations in school population, a traffic assessment has been undertaken to assess the impact of a potential increase in student population by 70 students.

This section outlines the traffic assessment associated with the proposed development assuming that there would be no modal shift away from car usage (or any other mode). It is however noted that travel demand strategies are proposed to be implemented at the school, as detailed in Section 7.2, which aim to influence the way people move to/from the school to encourage sustainable travel and reduce traffic and parking impacts within the communities. Such measures could facilitate a modal shift away from car and an increased uptake in more sustainable transport options.

On this basis, the below generally represents a very conservative assessment by assuming that there would be no modal shift away from car usage (or other mode).

### 6.1 Future Estimated Modal Splits

Based on the existing modal splits at the school as outlined in Section 3, the future mode splits generated by proposed development have been estimated in Table 6.1. These figures assume that there would be no modal shift away from car usage (or other modes).

The future modal split figures have been based upon the net increase in student capacity compared to the approved cap of the school (i.e. a net additional 70 students). It should be noted that that the proposed development does not include an increase to staff numbers.

For the purposes of this assessment, the 70 additional students have been evenly distributed between the junior and senior schools based on existing student proportions.



	Staff	Prep	o – Year 6	Year 7 – Year 12	
Mode	Statt	Arrival	Departure	Arrival	Departure
Car (as driver, no passengers)	0	0	0	1	1
Car (as driver, with passengers)	0	0	0	0	0
Car (as passenger, driver didn't stay)	0	0	0	0	0
Car (as passenger, driver stayed)	0	0	0	0	0
Car (as passenger, no other students)	0	9	9	9	7
Car (as passenger, with other students)	0	13	13	11	7
Motorcycle / Scooter	0	0	0	0	0
Walk	0	2	2	6	7
Public Bus	0	2	2	11	17
School Bus (incl. Scot's College Bus)	0	2	2	2	2
Train and Bus	0	0	0	1	2
Cycle	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	28	28	42	42

#### Table 6.1: Additional Proposed Travel Demand

Based on the above, the proposed development is expected to result in a net increase of 43 and 37 students which use a car as a mode of transport to and from school respectively.

Factoring in the car occupancy values calculated in Section 3.1 (1.9-1.99 persons per car), this would result in the following number of vehicles generated to and from the school:

- AM Traffic Generation
  22 vehicles
- PM Traffic Generation 19 vehicles.

However, the traffic proportions specified in Table 3.2 indicate that not all vehicles arrive during the adjoining road network peak hour. The proportions in Table 3.2 would result in the following number of vehicles added during the road network peak hour:

- AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation 16 vehicles
- PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation
  16 vehicles.

Noting that student traffic generation to the school involves pick-up/ drop-off activities as opposed to long term stays, vehicles are anticipated to arrive and depart in the same hour. Therefore, the above would equate to 32 two-way vehicle movements per hour in the both the AM and PM peak hours.

### 6.2 Future Case Scenario with Proposed Expansion

The resultant increase in the number of students and subsequently the number of vehicle trips to and from the school have been added to the existing base models as specified in Section



2.8. This has been done to determine the effect of the proposed development on the surrounding road network.

#### 6.2.1 Traffic Distribution

As mentioned previously, the additional 16 vehicles in both the AM and PM peak hours would be distributed across the existing proportion of primary and high school students. As such, this results in the following vehicle split:

- Primary School Generated Vehicles
  39% of additional vehicles
- High School Generated Vehicles
  61% of additional vehicles

Incorporating the Pick Up / Drop Off location factors specified in Section 3.4, the proposed development would attract vehicles to the following areas in both the AM and PM peak hours (refer to Table 6.2).

Area	Primary School		Hig	h School		Tetel Mehiele
	Proportion	Additional Vehicles	Proportion	Additional Vehicles	Vehicles	Movements
Tivoli Avenue	61%	4	36%	3	7	14
Bayview Hill Road	17%	1	16%	2	3	6
NSHR	13%	1	9%	1	2	2
Rawson Road	5%	0	33%	3	3	6
Other*	4%	0	6%	1	1	2
Total	100%	6	100%	10	16	30

#### Table 6.2: Vehicles Generated to Pick Up / Drop Off Locations

\*Note: refers to areas such as Churchill Rd, Chamberlain Ave, Towns Road, School Car Park etc.

Figure 6.1 to Figure 6.6 indicate the additional vehicles added to the adjoining road network as a result of the development proposal.

Vehicles seeking to access drop-off / pick-up zones on Bayview Hill Road and Tivoli Avenue have been distributed onto the adjoining road network based on existing turning movement proportions. That is, approximately 30% would turn left into Bayview Hill Road from NSHR while the rest would turn right from NSHR. Similarly, when leaving the site, it is assumed that vehicle movements at the intersection of NSHR / Tivoli Avenue would follow existing movement proportions.

Vehicles seeking to drop-off / pick-up students in front of the main school gate on NSHR would do so by travelling north along NSHR. When leaving the site, it is assumed that vehicles would continue north along NSHR.



With regard to drop-off / pick-up procedures on Rawson Road, it has been assumed that a third of vehicles would turn right into Rawson Road via NSHR, a third would turn left into the road via NSHR while the rest would access Rawson Road via the east (Churchill Road, Chamberlain Avenue, Dudley Road, etc.); departure routes would follow the reverse path.

To provide a conservative assessment of the proposed development, the small number of vehicles assumed to use "Other" areas for drop-off / pick-up procedures have been distributed to Tivoli Avenue. Approach and departure routes would follow those mentioned previously for Bayview Hill Road and Tivoli Avenue.

As such, the following figures indicate the additional vehicle movements distributed to the adjoining road network based on the proposed development.



#### Figure 6.1: Bayview Hill Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional AM Traffic Generation







#### Figure 6.3: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional AM Traffic Generation






#### Figure 6.4: Tivoli Avenue / Bayview Hill Road Additional PM Traffic Generation









#### Figure 6.6: New South Head Road / Tivoli Avenue Additional PM Traffic Generation

### 6.3 Traffic Impact

A proposed increase in 32 vehicle movements per hour equates to an increase of one vehicle every two minutes and is considered to be a negligible increase in traffic. Notwithstanding, to provide a thorough assessment, the estimated increase to traffic has been modelled in SIDRA based on the volumes presented in Figure 6.1, Figure 6.2 and Figure 6.3.

The results of the existing scenario and post development scenario are presented in Table 6.3 and Table 6.4 respectively.

		AM	Peak	PM I	<b>'eak</b>
Intersection	Control	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service
NSHR – Tivoli Avenue	Signals	12	А	9	А
NSHR – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	10	А	10	А
Tivoli Avenue – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	7	A	7	A

#### Table 6.3: Existing Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results



		AMI	Peak	PM I	<b>'eak</b>
Intersection	Control	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service	Average Delay (sec)	Level of Service
NSHR – Tivoli Avenue	Signals	12	А	9	A
NSHR – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	11	А	10	A
Tivoli Avenue – Bayview Hill Road	Priority	7	A	7	A

#### Table 6.4: Post Development Peak Hour Intersection Analysis Results

As can be seen from the above tables, the impact of the proposed development is negligible, increasing the delay of only the intersection of NSHR / Bayview Hill Road by 1 second in the AM peak hour. Full vehicle movement summaries are provided in Appendix B.

# 6.4 Road and Personal Safety (CPTED Principles)

Further to the above, a number of potential design measures have been considered to maintain road and personal safety in line with the Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles of surveillance, access control and space and activity management.

The following design measures should be considered as part of the proposed development:

- Ensure appropriate lighting is provided especially at pedestrian access points, parking areas and footpaths,
- Proposed safety signages in different languages around designated drop-off and pick-up areas to enhance awareness for a larger audience and thus mitigate the risk of any safety issues around the schools,
- Trim or remove foliage blocking sight lines and ensure there is minimal obstruction to lines of sight near key pedestrian facilities and pedestrian access points,
- Consider the implementation of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) where practical to maximise surveillance opportunities out of school hours,
- Install boom gates, ticketed entry or other access control devices to regulate and restrict vehicle movements to/from the schools for authorised personnel only,
- Ensure security on pedestrian access points to the school to reduce opportunities for perpetrators to enter the school undetected,
- Ensure regular maintenance is in place including rubbish removal, graffiti remove, repair of light fixtures, trimming of vegetation and/or regular patrols, where feasible, and
- All staff should undergo crime awareness training to identify any potential suspicious behaviour and reporting procedures within or near the schools.



# 7 Travel Demand Measures

Travel demand management is a term for strategies to encourage a modal shift away from single occupant private vehicle trips and influence the way people move to/from a site to deliver better environmental outcomes to encourage sustainable travel and reduce traffic and parking impacts within communities.

A key element of travel demand management is the preparation of a Green Travel Plan (GTP). The primary purpose of GTPs at schools is to encapsulate a strategy for managing travel demand that embraces the principles of sustainable transport whilst recognising the unique context of travel planning at education facilities. In its simplest form, GTPs encourage travel using transport modes that have low environmental impacts, for example active transport modes including walking, cycling, public transport, and encourages better management of car use.

In the case of GTPs for schools, this is of vital importance as schools are often located in local residential areas which can negatively impact local traffic and parking amenity during the concentrated peak periods of school pick up and drop off times. Furthermore, on-site car parking is often a luxury as schools cannot afford to apportion limited land resources due to teaching space and play space requirements.

Therefore, the implementation of a GTP would assist manage travel demand at the school, particularly with consideration to the future expansion of the school. It is expected that the GTP document would target staff and parents at the school.

# 7.1 School Feedback

As part of the survey questionnaire distributed to both staff and students at the school, staff and students were asked why they chose drive to the school. The majority of responses related to convenience, as shown in Figure 7.1 and Figure 7.2.





Figure 7.1: Reasons for Vehicle Usage – Staff







On this basis, it would be necessary to both disincentivise car travel and improve the convenience of other travel modes to see a shift in travel mode away from car. Measures would include reducing/ restricting car parking provision on-site and providing facilities such as bike parking, school shuttle bus services and also raise awareness of existing transport infrastructure around the site that staff, students and parents/ guardians may not be aware of.

# 7.2 Green Travel Plan Initiatives

Based on the above, the following general travel strategies will be considered for implementation in the GTP to encourage more sustainable travel:

- organise a carpool system/registry which could reduce single private vehicle car trips to and from the school;
- provide a public transport timetable, car share vehicle locations and cycle maps on noticeboards to make staff, students and parents/guardians more aware of alternative transport options;
- organise a walking/cycling group, or similar, to promote walking/use of bicycles of staff and students living in the same area
- organise lessons to teach students and staff to ride a bike;
- provision of appropriate hi-visibility uniforms for students to ride to school;
- enhance existing bicycle repair tools and end-of-trip facilities including shower and changing rooms as well as bicycle infrastructure;
- arrange activities and promotions to encourage staff and students to use public transport
  - hosting and participating in active travel events such as Ride2Work Day and National Bike Week
  - provision of an Opal card or GoGet car share discounts or incentives for staff
  - affiliation to a local bicycle retailer and service centre to provide discounts for staff and students.

The above measures should be considered as part of the GTP for the school. It can be expected that such measures if implemented would be able to shift travel demand away from car usage by up to 5%.

#### 7.2.1 School Bus

Kambala is investigating the potential to provide a new school shuttle bus that would provide a direct service between the school and Edgecliff train station and/or services to local suburbs.



The questionnaire survey of staff and students indicates that there is large interest in catching a school bus if it provided access to nearby suburbs and train stations (Edgecliff) as shown in Table 7.1.

Group	Yes	No
Staff	50%	50%
Prep – Year 6	83%	17%
Year 7 – Year 12	67%	33%

#### Table 7.1: Willingness to use School Bus if available

A standard bus can hold between 50-70 passengers while a shuttle bus/ mini-bus can hold around 14 passengers. It is envisaged that a shuttle bus may be provided to enable more frequent and multiple services back and forth between stops or a standard bus would be provided to accommodate a large number of passengers over less frequent services.

Notwithstanding, a new bus service would have capacity to easily shift 50-70 students' travel modes, thereby, resulting a in nil detriment to traffic impact from the proposed increase of up to 70 students.

#### 7.2.2 Monitoring of the GTP

For the GTP to be effective, it is recommended that the GTP be monitored on a regular basis, e.g. per term or yearly, through travel surveys, staff meetings, parent consultations or similar. Travel surveys would show how staff, students and parents travel to/from the site and identify whether the proposed initiatives and measures outlined in the GTP are effective or are required to be replaced or modified to ensure that the best outcomes are achieved. Regular consultation with staff, students and parents would also be beneficial to help understand people's reasons for travelling the way they do and to help identify any potential barriers to change their travel behaviours.

In order to ensure successful implementation of the GTP, a Travel Plan Coordinator (TPC) should be appointed to oversee the measures and resultant impacts of the GTP.

A more detailed Green Travel Plan has been prepared as part of the SSD package of works and is provided in Appendix C, it is however envisaged that that any consent of the approval would require a commitment to prepare an Operational Transport Management Plan prior to Construction Certificate to outline the proposed traffic management measures to be implemented at the school, including mode share targets and proposed travel strategies to reduce private vehicle trips.



# 8 Conclusion

This study details our assessment of the traffic and transport implications associated with the proposed expansion of the school. The key findings of this report are presented below.

- It is proposed to deliver a new sports precinct which would involve redevelopment of the existing sports field and partial redevelopment of the surrounding existing buildings.
- The proposal would result in the increase of the number of permitted students by 70 i.e. from 950 students to 1,020 students.
- It is understood that the existing school enrolments currently exceed the existing cap with 1,020 students. Therefore, the proposed increase in the school capacity would enable the school to continue its existing operation. Nonetheless, as a sensitivity analysis of the surrounding road network and to account for any fluctuations in school population, a traffic assessment has been undertaken to assess the impact of a potential increase in student population by 70 students.
- Overall, an increase in 70 students is expected to generate an additional 16 vph during both the school AM and PM peak periods.
- The intersections of NSHR / Tivoli Avenue, NSHR / Bayview Hill Road and Tivoli Avenue Bayview Hill Road all operate at LoS A in both the existing and development scenarios.
- To manage the impacts associated with the proposal, the school will implement travel demand management measures to minimise its impact on the surrounding road network, including the:
  - provision of a green travel plan for the school
- The proposed travel demand measures are expected to reduce school car usage by 5%.
- The achievement of a 5% modal shift will ensure that traffic levels post development are similar to those currently achieved.

Overall, it is concluded that the traffic and parking aspects of the proposed scheme would be managed and thus be acceptable. With the implementation of green travel strategies, the vehicle trip generation of the proposed scheme would significantly be reduced such that it would be comparable with that generated by the approved school capacity.

Thus, the surrounding key intersections would operate at a satisfactory level.

Regular management and extensive education/consultation with key stakeholders of the schools, including staff and parents, would need to be conducted to ensure the success of the proposed mitigation measure and green travel strategies/initiatives.



# Appendix A

Traffic Surveys

Tir	ne				Ne	w Sout	h Hea	d Road	(N)								Tov	wns Ro	ad								Ne	w Sout	h Head	d Road	(S)			
15	min		Left			Throug	h		Right		Pade	TOT		Left			hroug	า		Right		Pade	TOT		Left		T	hroug	n		Right		Peds	TOT
Start	End	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	l'eus	101	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	reus	101	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	i cus	
07:30	7:45	10	0	10	230	9	239	3	1	4	0	253	33	1	34	3	0	3	4	0	4	10	41	9	0	9	119	14	133	18	2	20	0	162
07:45	8:00	15	0	15	193	9	202	12	0	12	2	229	26	1	27	5	0	5	13	0	13	2	45	21	0	21	141	9	150	15	3	18	0	189
08:00	8:15	34	0	34	174	10	184	31	0	31	2	249	17	0	17	6	0	6	15	0	15	4	38	56	0	56	181	14	195	23	1	24	0	275
08:15	8:30	40	2	42	153	3	156	12	0	12	1	210	15	1	16	1	0	1	9	0	9	3	26	46	0	46	188	15	203	25	1	26	0	275
08:30	8:45	29	0	29	157	10	167	7	0	7	1	203	15	0	15	1	0	1	7	0	7	4	23	21	0	21	143	14	157	9	0	9	1	187
08:45	9:00	10	0	10	140	12	152	5	0	5	0	167	20	0	20	1	0	1	9	0	9	7	30	16	0	16	127	11	138	14	0	14	0	168
09:00	9:15	12	0	12	188	6	194	4	0	4	0	210	20	0	20	1	0	1	6	0	6	4	27	7	0	7	93	7	100	9	1	10	0	117
09:15	9:30	12	1	13	144	8	152	1	0	1	1	166	20	1	21	1	0	1	6	0	6	3	28	7	0	7	115	9	124	17	1	18	0	149
14:30	14:45	13	0	13	164	10	174	2	0	2	0	189	9	0	9	1	0	1	7	0	7	9	17	9	1	10	131	7	138	5	0	5		153
14:45	15:00	15	2	17	181	8	189	6	0	6	1	212	14	0	14	1	0	1	7	0	7	3	22	12	0	12	129	10	139	10	0	10		161
15:00	15:15	18	0	18	221	17	238	2	0	2	0	258	12	1	13	2	0	2	5	0	5	40	20	20	0	20	164	6	170	11	1	12		202
15:15	15:30	38	0	38	198	14	212	7	0	7	0	257	16	0	16	4	0	4	8	0	8	50	28	29	0	29	168	4	172	18	0	18		219
15:30	15:45	21	0	21	170	10	180	7	0	7	2	208	12	0	12	1	0	1	7	0	7	23	20	22	0	22	143	7	150	17	0	17		189
15:45	16:00	8	1	9	145	5	150	6	0	6	1	165	9	1	10	1	0	1	4	0	4	5	15	11	0	11	168	6	174	34	1	35		220
16:00	16:15	12	0	12	153	6	159	6	0	6	3	177	11	0	11	2	0	2	7	0	7	3	20	14	0	14	153	4	157	24	0	24		195
16:15	16:30	18	0	18	197	5	202	5	0	5	0	225	10	1	11	1	0	1	10	0	10	5	22	10	0	10	162	3	165	18	1	19		194
16:30	16:45	28	0	28	172	9	181	4	0	4	2	213	14	0	14	0	0	0	12	0	12	6	27	7	0	7	163	4	167	20	0	20		194
16:45	17:00	20	0	20	171	8	179	7	0	7	1	206	11	0	11	5	0	5	9	0	9	7	25	10	0	10	161	3	164	33	0	33		207
17:00	17:15	13	0	13	151	4	155	12	0	12	1	180	24	1	25	2	0	2	8	0	8	11	35	16	0	16	171	4	175	27	0	27		218
17:15	17:30	21	0	21	158	6	164	4	0	4	0	189	19	0	19	1	0	1	5	0	5	12	25	12	0	12	194	2	196	37	0	37		245
AM	TOT	162	3	165	1379	67	1446	75	1	76	7	1687	166	4	170	19	0	19	69	0	69	37	258	183	0	183	1107	93	1200	130	9	139	1	1522
PM	TOT	225	3	228	2081	102	2183	68	0	68	11	2479	161	4	165	21	0	21	89	0	89	174	276	172	1	173	1907	60	1967	254	3	257	0	2397

DEA					Ne	w Sout	h Head	d Road	(N)								Τον	wns Ro	ad								Ne	w Sout	h Head	d Road	(S)			
1.5	K HOUK	Lights leavie Total Lights leavie Total Lights leavie Total				Pode	TOTAL		Left			Straight	t		Right		Pode			Left			Straigh	ıt		Right		Pode	TOTAL					
		Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total			Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	i eus	IOIAL	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	leavie	Total	reus	
07:3	8:30	99	2	101	750	31	781	58	1	59	5	941	91	3	94	15	0	15	41	0	41	19	150	132	0	132	629	52	681	81	7	88	0	901
15:0	) 16:00	85	1	86	734	46	780	22	0	22	3	888	49	2	51	8	0	8	24	0	24	118	83	82	0	82	643	23	666	80	2	82	0	830

Tin	ne			Ba	yview H	lill Road	d (E)							Tivo	oli Ave	nue							Bay	view Hi	ill Road	(W)		
15 r	nin		Left			Throug	h	Pade	TOT		Left			Right			U-turns	;	Pade	TOT		Through	า		Right		Pade	TOT
Start	End	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	i eus	101	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	i eus	101	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	i eus	101
07:30	7:45	17	1	18	0	0	0	0	18	4	1	5	0	0	0	3	0	3	5	8	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
07:45	8:00	34	0	34	1	0	1	0	35	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	3	0	0	0	5	0	5	5	5
08:00	8:15	87	0	87	0	0	0	0	87	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	6
08:15	8:30	56	0	56	1	0	1	0	57	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2
08:30	8:45	25	0	25	0	0	0	0	25	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	2
08:45	9:00	27	0	27	0	0	0	2	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	2
09:00	9:15	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	12	3	0	3	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	7	0	0	0	4	1	5	0	5
09:15	9:30	6	0	6	2	0	2	0	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4
14:30	14:45	9	1	10	0	0	0	0	10	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4
14:45	15:00	22	0	22	2	0	2	0	24	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
15:00	15:15	20	0	20	2	0	2	3	22	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	4	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	2
15:15	15:30	43	0	43	0	0	0	0	43	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	2	4
15:30	15:45	30	0	30	0	0	0	0	30	4	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1
15:45	16:00	11	0	11	1	0	1	0	12	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	1	3
16:00	16:15	29	0	29	1	0	1	0	30	2	0	2	0	0	0	6	1	7	2	9	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3
16:15	16:30	18	0	18	0	0	0	3	18	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2
16:30	16:45	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
16:45	17:00	17	0	17	2	0	2	0	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	3	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5
17:00	17:15	32	0	32	0	0	0	0	32	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	14	6	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	5
17:15	17:30	16	0	16	0	0	0	0	16	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	5
AM	TOT	264	1	265	4	0	4	2	269	13	1	14	0	0	0	13	1	14	14	28	0	0	0	27	1	28	10	29
PM '	ΤΟΤ	260	1	261	8	0	8	6	269	22	0	22	3	0	3	20	2	22	49	25	0	0	0	36	0	36	10	36

				Bay	view H	ill Road	(E)							Tivo	oli Aver	າບອ							Bay	view Hi	ill Road	(W)		
I LAK	Lights Heaviel Tota				Straigh	t	Pade			Left			Right			U-turns		Pode			Straight			Right		Pode	TOTAL	
		Lights	leavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	IOTAL	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	IOTAL	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	TOTAL
07:45	8:45	202	0	202	2	0	2	0	204	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	1	6	2	11	0	0	0	14	0	14	6	15
14:45	15:45	115	0	115	4	0	4	3	119	9	0	9	1	0	1	3	1	4	14	16	0	0	0	8	0	8	7	8

Tim	ne			New S	outh H	ead Ro	ad (N)					New S	South H	ead Ro	ad (S)						Tivoli A	Avenue			
15 r	nin	1	[hroug]	า		Right		Pade	τοτ		Left		1	[hrough	า	Pade	TOT		Left			Right		Pode	τοτ
Start	End	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	i eus	101	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	Teus	101	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	i eus	101
07:30	7:45	282	9	291	3	1	4	4	295	27	0	27	157	14	171	0	198	9	1	10	25	0	25	3	35
07:45	8:00	223	11	234	2	0	2	4	236	13	1	14	176	13	189	0	203	13	1	14	32	0	32	7	46
08:00	8:15	197	10	207	1	0	1	1	208	12	0	12	243	17	260	0	272	37	0	37	54	0	54	11	91
08:15	8:30	179	6	185	1	0	1	3	186	23	0	23	237	13	250	0	273	36	0	36	55	0	55	13	91
08:30	8:45	167	10	177	2	0	2	4	179	15	0	15	165	15	180	0	195	9	0	9	22	0	22	5	31
08:45	9:00	163	10	173	2	0	2	5	175	13	0	13	148	8	156	0	169	7	0	7	41	0	41	4	48
09:00	9:15	206	8	214	2	0	2	2	216	11	0	11	103	9	112	0	123	11	1	12	14	0	14	5	26
09:15	9:30	181	9	190	0	0	0	0	190	4	1	5	145	7	152	0	157	7	1	8	18	0	18	1	26
14:30	14:45	183	8	191	1	0	1	1	192	10	0	10	141	8	149	0	159	5	0	5	18	1	19	4	24
14:45	15:00	212	7	219	0	0	0	3	219	9	0	9	168	10	178	0	187	4	0	4	24	0	24	6	28
15:00	15:15	248	20	268	3	0	3	1	271	10	0	10	200	6	206	0	216	6	0	6	19	0	19	5	25
15:15	15:30	224	15	239	2	0	2	15	241	30	0	30	207	6	213	0	243	20	0	20	39	2	41	33	61
15:30	15:45	203	12	215	0	0	0	7	215	19	1	20	189	8	197	0	217	19	0	19	53	0	53	30	72
15:45	16:00	174	6	180	2	0	2	0	182	27	0	27	195	6	201	0	228	15	0	15	15	0	15	10	31
16:00	16:15	169	3	172	4	0	4	0	176	15	0	15	188	3	191	0	206	13	0	13	29	1	30	17	43
16:15	16:30	209	6	215	1	0	1	2	216	12	1	13	193	4	197	0	210	10	0	10	46	0	46	4	56
16:30	16:45	196	11	207	4	0	4	0	211	13	0	13	186	4	190	0	203	12	0	12	33	0	33	16	45
16:45	17:00	181	8	189	3	0	3	3	193	19	0	19	208	3	211	0	230	9	0	9	25	0	25	16	34
17:00	17:15	192	4	196	1	0	1	2	197	19	0	19	201	4	205	0	224	18	0	18	45	0	45	20	63
17:15	17:30	190	6	196	3	0	3	2	199	11	0	11	241	2	243	0	254	26	0	26	50	0	50	7	76
AM	ΤΟΤ	1598	73	1671	13	1	14	23	1685	118	2	120	1374	96	1470	0	1590	129	4	133	261	0	261	49	394
PM	τοτ	2381	106	2487	24	0	24	36	2512	194	2	196	2317	64	2381	0	2577	157	0	157	396	4	400	168	558

DEAK				New S	South H	ead Ro	ad (N)					New S	South H	ead Ro	ad (S)						Tivoli A	venue			
FEAK	HOUK		Straight	•		Right		Pode	τοται		Left			Straight	•	Pode	τοται		Left			Right		Pode	TOTAL
		Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	IOTAL	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	IOIAL	Lights	Heavie	Total	Lights	Heavie	Total	reus	IOIAL
07:30	8:30	881	36	917	7	1	8	12	925	75	1	76	813	57	870	0	946	95	2	97	166	0	166	34	263
15:00	16:00	849	53	902	7	0	7	23	909	86	1	87	791	26	817	0	904	60	0	60	126	2	128	78	189



# Appendix B

SIDRA Movement Summaries

# V Site: 1 [EX.AM Bayview Hill - Tivoli]

Existing AM Base Case Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ment P	erformanc	e - Vel	nicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South:	Tivoli A	venue										
1	L2	9	1.0	0.012	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	39.5
3u	U	6	1.0	0.012	7.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	44.1
Approa	ach	16	1.0	0.012	5.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	40.9
East: E	Bayview	Hill Road										
4	L2	205	1.0	0.111	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2
5	T1	2	1.0	0.001	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.0
Approa	ach	207	1.0	0.111	3.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2
West:	Bayview	/ Hill Road										
12	R2	16	1.0	0.014	4.3	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.30	0.50	0.30	37.4
Approa	ach	16	1.0	0.014	4.3	NA	0.1	0.4	0.30	0.50	0.30	37.4
All Veh	nicles	239	1.0	0.111	3.5	NA	0.1	0.4	0.02	0.46	0.02	37.6

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:12 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

## V Site: 2 [EX.AM NSHR - Bayview Hill]

Existing AM Base Case Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	ment P	erforman	ce - Vel	nicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South:	New So	outh Head F	Road									
1	L2	139	1.0	0.223	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.15	0.00	39.2
2	T1	717	1.0	0.223	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	39.7
Approa	ach	856	1.0	0.223	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.08	0.00	39.7
North:	New So	uth Head R	Road									
8	T1	822	1.0	0.243	1.0	LOS A	1.3	8.9	0.13	0.04	0.15	39.2
9	R2	62	1.0	0.243	10.3	LOS A	1.3	8.9	0.43	0.14	0.47	37.2
Approa	ach	884	1.0	0.243	1.7	NA	1.3	8.9	0.16	0.05	0.17	39.1
All Veh	nicles	1740	1.0	0.243	1.1	NA	1.3	8.9	0.08	0.06	0.09	39.4

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:12 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

#### Site: 5.TCS3969 [EX.AM NSHR - Tivoli]

Existing AM Base Case Peak Hour: Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 117 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Move	ment Pe	erformanc	e - Ver	nicles								
Mov ID	Turn	Demand l Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h
South:	New So	uth Head F	Road									
1	L2	80	1.0	0.050	4.0	LOS A	0.4	2.5	0.14	0.50	0.14	38.1
2	T1	916	5.0	0.343	5.7	LOS A	9.4	68.8	0.38	0.34	0.38	36.1
Approa	ach	996	4.7	0.343	5.6	LOS A	9.4	68.8	0.36	0.35	0.36	36.3
North:	New Sou	uth Head R	oad									
8	T1	965	5.0	0.359	5.9	LOS A	10.0	73.1	0.39	0.35	0.39	37.0
9	R2	8	1.0	0.359	9.3	LOS A	9.6	69.7	0.39	0.35	0.39	36.2
Approa	ach	974	5.0	0.359	5.9	LOS A	10.0	73.1	0.39	0.35	0.39	37.0
West:	Tivoli Ave	enue										
10	L2	102	1.0	0.341	51.0	LOS D	5.3	37.2	0.93	0.77	0.93	16.3
12	R2	175	1.0	0.699	54.6	LOS D	9.6	68.1	0.98	0.85	1.05	22.9
Approa	ach	277	1.0	0.699	53.3	LOS D	9.6	68.1	0.96	0.82	1.00	21.0
All Veh	nicles	2246	4.3	0.699	11.6	LOS A	10.0	73.1	0.45	0.41	0.45	33.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of A	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective						
שו	Description	ped/h	sec	Service	pedestnan	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
P3	North Full Crossing	53	52.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
P4	West Full Crossing	53	52.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
All Pe	destrians	105	52.8	LOS E			0.95	0.95						

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:13 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

# V Site: 1 [EX.PM Bayview Hill - Tivoli]

Existing PM Base Case Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand l Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	Tivoli A	venue												
1	L2	8	1.0	0.010	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	39.5		
3u	U	5	1.0	0.010	7.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	44.1		
Approa	ach	14	1.0	0.010	4.8	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	40.8		
East: E	Bayview	Hill Road												
4	L2	109	1.0	0.059	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2		
5	T1	3	1.0	0.002	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.0		
Approa	ach	113	1.0	0.059	3.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.44	0.00	37.3		
West:	Bayview	/ Hill Road												
12	R2	11	1.0	0.008	3.9	LOS A	0.0	0.2	0.21	0.47	0.21	37.5		
Approa	ach	11	1.0	0.008	3.9	NA	0.0	0.2	0.21	0.47	0.21	37.5		
All Veh	nicles	137	1.0	0.059	3.5	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.45	0.02	37.8		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:13 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

## V Site: 2 [EX.PM NSHR - Bayview Hill]

Existing PM Base Case Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South: New South Head Road														
1	L2	86	1.0	0.209	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.10	0.00	39.6		
2	T1	701	5.0	0.209	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.04	0.00	39.8		
Approa	ach	787	4.6	0.209	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	0.00	39.8		
North:	New So	outh Head R	Road											
8	T1	821	5.0	0.215	0.4	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.06	0.01	0.06	39.6		
9	R2	23	1.0	0.215	9.6	LOS A	0.5	3.5	0.15	0.04	0.16	39.1		
Approa	ach	844	4.9	0.215	0.7	NA	0.5	3.5	0.07	0.02	0.07	39.6		
All Veh	nicles	1632	4.7	0.215	0.5	NA	0.5	3.5	0.03	0.03	0.03	39.7		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:13 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

#### Site: 5.TCS3969 [EX.PM NSHR - Tivoli]

Existing PM Base Case Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 108 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Move	Novement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	New So	uth Head R	Road											
1	L2	92	1.0	0.057	3.9	LOS A	0.4	2.6	0.14	0.50	0.14	38.1		
2	T1	860	1.0	0.297	3.9	LOS A	6.8	48.2	0.32	0.28	0.32	37.3		
Approa	ach	952	1.0	0.297	3.9	LOS A	6.8	48.2	0.30	0.31	0.30	37.4		
North:	New So	uth Head R	oad											
8	T1	949	1.0	0.328	4.1	LOS A	7.8	54.9	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.9		
9	R2	7	1.0	0.328	7.5	LOS A	7.5	52.8	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.3		
Approa	ach	957	1.0	0.328	4.1	LOS A	7.8	54.9	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.9		
West:	Tivoli Av	enue												
10	L2	63	1.0	0.264	50.3	LOS D	3.1	21.8	0.94	0.75	0.94	16.4		
12	R2	135	1.0	0.582	52.6	LOS D	6.9	48.8	0.99	0.79	0.99	23.3		
Approa	ach	198	1.0	0.582	51.9	LOS D	6.9	48.8	0.97	0.78	0.97	21.6		
All Veh	nicles	2106	1.0	0.582	8.5	LOS A	7.8	54.9	0.38	0.35	0.38	35.3		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective						
U	Description	FIOW	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
		ped/n	sec		ped	m								
P3	North Full Crossing	53	48.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
P4	West Full Crossing	53	48.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
All Pe	destrians	105	48.3	LOS E			0.95	0.95						

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:14 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

# abla Site: 1 [EX+D.AM Bayview Hill - Tivoli]

Existing AM Base Case + Development Traffic Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	Tivoli A	venue												
1	L2	9	1.0	0.012	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	39.5		
3u	U	6	1.0	0.012	7.3	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	44.1		
Approa	ach	16	1.0	0.012	5.0	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.01	0.54	0.01	40.9		
East: E	Bayview	/ Hill Road												
4	L2	217	1.0	0.118	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2		
5	T1	2	1.0	0.001	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.0		
Approa	ach	219	1.0	0.118	3.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2		
West:	Bayviev	v Hill Road												
12	R2	16	1.0	0.014	4.4	LOS A	0.1	0.4	0.31	0.50	0.31	37.3		
Approa	ach	16	1.0	0.014	4.4	NA	0.1	0.4	0.31	0.50	0.31	37.3		
All Veh	nicles	251	1.0	0.118	3.5	NA	0.1	0.4	0.02	0.46	0.02	37.6		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:15 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

# V Site: 2 [EX+D.AM NSHR - Bayview Hill]

Existing AM Base Case + Development Traffic Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand l Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	New So	outh Head F	Road											
1	L2	147	1.0	0.226	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.16	0.00	39.2		
2	T1	722	1.0	0.226	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	39.7		
Approa	ach	869	1.0	0.226	0.6	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.08	0.00	39.7		
North:	New Sc	outh Head R	load											
8	T1	823	1.0	0.249	1.1	LOS A	1.4	9.8	0.14	0.05	0.15	39.1		
9	R2	68	1.0	0.249	10.6	LOS A	1.4	9.8	0.48	0.16	0.52	36.9		
Appro	ach	892	1.0	0.249	1.9	NA	1.4	9.8	0.17	0.06	0.18	39.0		
All Vel	nicles	1761	1.0	0.249	1.2	NA	1.4	9.8	0.08	0.07	0.09	39.3		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:15 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

#### Site: 5.TCS3969 [EX+D.AM NSHR - Tivoli]

Existing AM Base Case + Development Traffic Peak Hour: Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 117 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Move	Novement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	New So	uth Head F	Road											
1	L2	80	1.0	0.050	4.0	LOS A	0.4	2.5	0.14	0.50	0.14	38.1		
2	T1	927	5.0	0.348	5.7	LOS A	9.6	70.0	0.38	0.34	0.38	36.1		
Approa	ach	1007	4.7	0.348	5.6	LOS A	9.6	70.0	0.36	0.35	0.36	36.3		
North:	New Sou	uth Head R	oad											
8	T1	966	5.0	0.360	5.9	LOS A	10.0	73.3	0.39	0.35	0.39	37.0		
9	R2	8	1.0	0.360	9.3	LOS A	9.6	69.8	0.39	0.35	0.39	36.2		
Approa	ach	975	5.0	0.360	5.9	LOS A	10.0	73.3	0.39	0.35	0.39	37.0		
West:	Tivoli Ave	enue												
10	L2	106	1.0	0.355	51.2	LOS D	5.5	38.8	0.93	0.77	0.93	16.2		
12	R2	182	1.0	0.740	55.9	LOS D	10.2	72.3	0.98	0.87	1.09	22.7		
Approa	ach	288	1.0	0.740	54.2	LOS D	10.2	72.3	0.96	0.84	1.04	20.9		
All Veh	nicles	2271	4.3	0.740	11.9	LOS A	10.2	73.3	0.45	0.41	0.46	33.7		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Move	Movement Performance - Pedestrians													
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of A	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective						
שו	Description	ped/h	sec	Service	pedestnan	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate						
P3	North Full Crossing	53	52.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
P4	West Full Crossing	53	52.8	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95						
All Pe	destrians	105	52.8	LOS E			0.95	0.95						

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:15 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

# V Site: 1 [EX+D.PM Bayview Hill - Tivoli]

Existing PM Base Case + Development Traffic Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov	Turn	Demand I	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective	Aver. No.	Average		
ID		Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate	Cycles	Speed		
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				km/h		
South:	Tivoli A	venue												
1	L2	8	1.0	0.010	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	39.5		
3u	U	5	1.0	0.010	7.1	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	44.1		
Approa	ach	14	1.0	0.010	4.8	LOS A	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.54	0.02	40.8		
East: E	Bayview	Hill Road												
4	L2	121	1.0	0.066	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.00	37.2		
5	T1	3	1.0	0.002	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.0		
Approa	ach	124	1.0	0.066	3.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.44	0.00	37.3		
West:	Bayviev	v Hill Road												
12	R2	11	1.0	0.008	4.0	LOS A	0.0	0.2	0.22	0.47	0.22	37.5		
Approa	ach	11	1.0	0.008	4.0	NA	0.0	0.2	0.22	0.47	0.22	37.5		
All Veh	nicles	148	1.0	0.066	3.5	NA	0.0	0.3	0.02	0.45	0.02	37.8		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:16 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

# V Site: 2 [EX+D.PM NSHR - Bayview Hill]

Existing PM Base Case + Development Traffic Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Move	Movement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand I Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	New Se	outh Head R	Road											
1	L2	96	1.0	0.213	3.4	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.11	0.00	39.5		
2	T1	706	5.0	0.213	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.05	0.00	39.8		
Appro	ach	802	4.5	0.213	0.4	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.06	0.00	39.8		
North:	New So	outh Head R	oad											
8	T1	822	5.0	0.218	0.5	LOS A	0.5	3.9	0.07	0.02	0.07	39.6		
9	R2	25	1.0	0.218	9.8	LOS A	0.5	3.9	0.17	0.04	0.18	39.0		
Appro	ach	847	4.9	0.218	0.7	NA	0.5	3.9	0.07	0.02	0.07	39.6		
All Vel	nicles	1649	4.7	0.218	0.6	NA	0.5	3.9	0.04	0.04	0.04	39.7		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA: Intersection LOS and Major Road Approach LOS values are Not Applicable for two-way sign control since the average delay is not a good LOS measure due to zero delays associated with major road movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:16 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8

#### Site: 5.TCS3969 [EX+D.PM NSHR - Tivoli]

Existing PM Base Case + Development Traffic Created by: CH Site Category: (None) Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 108 set

Signals - Fixed Time Isolated Cycle Time = 108 seconds (Site User-Given Phase Times)

Move	Novement Performance - Vehicles													
Mov ID	Turn	Demand l Total veh/h	Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back Vehicles veh	of Queue Distance m	Prop. Queued	Effective Stop Rate	Aver. No. Cycles	Average Speed km/h		
South:	New Sc	outh Head F	Road											
1	L2	92	1.0	0.057	3.9	LOS A	0.4	2.6	0.14	0.50	0.14	38.1		
2	T1	873	1.0	0.302	3.9	LOS A	7.0	49.2	0.32	0.29	0.32	37.3		
Approa	ach	964	1.0	0.302	3.9	LOS A	7.0	49.2	0.30	0.31	0.30	37.4		
North:	New So	uth Head R	oad											
8	T1	951	1.0	0.328	4.1	LOS A	7.8	55.0	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.9		
9	R2	7	1.0	0.328	7.5	LOS A	7.5	52.9	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.3		
Approa	ach	958	1.0	0.328	4.1	LOS A	7.8	55.0	0.33	0.30	0.33	37.9		
West:	Tivoli Av	enue												
10	L2	67	1.0	0.282	50.4	LOS D	3.3	23.3	0.94	0.75	0.94	16.4		
12	R2	142	1.0	0.629	53.2	LOS D	7.4	52.0	0.99	0.82	1.02	23.2		
Approa	ach	209	1.0	0.629	52.3	LOS D	7.4	52.0	0.98	0.79	1.00	21.5		
All Veh	nicles	2132	1.0	0.629	8.8	LOS A	7.8	55.0	0.38	0.35	0.39	35.2		

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Site tab). Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

SIDRA Standard Delay Model is used. Control Delay includes Geometric Delay.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Movement Performance - Pedestrians								
Mov	Description	Demand	Average	Level of	Average Back	of Queue	Prop.	Effective
U	Description	FIOW	Delay	Service	Pedestrian	Distance	Queued	Stop Rate
		ped/h	sec		ped	m		
P3	North Full Crossing	53	48.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
P4	West Full Crossing	53	48.3	LOS E	0.2	0.2	0.95	0.95
All Pedestrians		105	48.3	LOS E			0.95	0.95

Level of Service (LOS) Method: SIDRA Pedestrian LOS Method (Based on Average Delay) Pedestrian movement LOS values are based on average delay per pedestrian movement. Intersection LOS value for Pedestrians is based on average delay for all pedestrian movements.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 8.0 | Copyright © 2000-2019 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Processed: Wednesday, 1 April 2020 5:52:17 PM Project: C:\Users\Charbel\OneDrive - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP PTY LTD\19465 Kambala\19465-School Precinct-200217.sip8



# Appendix C

Green Travel Plan

# Kambala School Green Travel Plan

Prepared for: Kambala School

17 July 2020

# The Transport Planning Partnership

E: info@ttpp.net.au



# Kambala School Green Travel Plan

Client: Kambala School

Version: V03

Date: 17 July 2020

TTPP Reference: 19465

**Quality Record** 

Version	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Signature	
V01	20/04/20	Charbel Hanna	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	-	
V02	23/06/20	Charbel Hanna	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	-	
V03	17/07/20	Charbel Hanna	Oasika Faiz	Ken Hollyoak	KAMUYL	



# Table of Contents

1	Introduction1				
	1.1	Background1			
		1.1.1 Overview of Proposed Development			
	1.2	The Role of Travel Plans2			
	1.3	Travel Plan Pyramid			
	1.4	Drivers of the Travel Plan4			
		1.4.1 Car Parking			
		1.4.2 Environmental Impacts			
		1.4.3 Health Benefits			
		1.4.4 Social Equity			
		1.4.5 Ease of Access			
2	Exis	ting Transport Policy Context			
	2.1	Greater Sydney Region Plans: 30-minute City (2018)7			
	2.2	Local Planning Documentation8			
		2.2.1 Woollahra Local Strategic Planning Statement (Draft September 2019)			
3	Exis	ting Transport Conditions9			
	3.1	Rail Services9			
	3.2	Bus Services			
	3.3	Existing Pedestrian Infrastructure12			
	3.4	Existing Cycling Infrastructure			
	3.5	Car Share			
	3.6	Mode Split Analysis			
		3.6.1 Staff and Students15			
		3.6.2 Child Care Centre			
	3.7	School Feedback16			
4	Obj	jectives and Targets			
	4.1	Future Student and Staff Numbers			
	4.2	Objectives			
	4.3	Mode Share Targets			
5	Me	thods of Encouraging Modal Shift21			
	5.1	Potential Site-Specific Measures			
		5.1.1 Walking			
		5.1.2 Cycling			



		5.1.3 Public Transport	22
		5.1.4 School Bus	22
		5.1.5 Travel Share	23
		5.1.6 Off-site Measures	23
	5.2	GTP Information	23
	5.3	Information and Communication	24
	5.4	Actions	24
6	Mar	nagement and Monitoring of the Plan	26
	6.1	Management	26
	6.2	Remedial Actions	26
	6.3	Consultation	27
	6.4	Conclusion	27

# Tables

Table 3.1: Population of Walkers to a Bus Stop (Weekday Trips)	.10
Table 3.2: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes	.15
Table 3.3: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes (Simplified)	.15
Table 4.1: Existing and Projected Modal Splits	. 20
Table 5.1: Willingness to use School Bus if available	. 22
Table 5.2: Framework Action Table	.25

# Figures

Figure 1.1: Travel Plan Pyramid
Figure 3.1: Existing Rail Network Map9
Figure 3.2: Kambala School Bus Timetable
Figure 3.3: Signalised Pedestrian Crossing
Figure 3.4: Cycling Map
Figure 3.5: Location of Existing GoGet Vehicles
Figure 3.6: Reasons for Vehicle Usage – Staff
Figure 3.7: Reasons for Travel Choice – Students (Year 3 – Year 12)



# APPENDICES

A. TRAVEL ACCESS GUIDE



# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Background

This Green Travel Plan (GTP) supports a State Significant Development Application (SSDA) submitted to the Department of Planning, Infrastructure and Environment (DPIE) pursuant to Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), for the proposed redevelopment of the sports precinct of Kambala School at 794 -796 New South Head Road, Rose Bay.

This application is SSD by way of clause 8 and schedule 1 under *State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011* on the basis that the development is for the purpose of an existing school and has a Capital Investment Value of more than \$20 million.

This report has been prepared having regard to the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements issued for the project by DPIE, ref no SSD-10385 issued on 24 November 2019, which states under "Transport and Accessibility":

 details of travel demand management measures to minimise the impact on general traffic and bus operations, including details of a location-specific sustainable travel plan (Green Travel Plan) and the provision of facilities to increase the non-car mode share for travel to and from the site

#### 1.1.1 Overview of Proposed Development

This SSDA includes detailed plans for a new sport, wellbeing and senior learning precinct. Accordingly, consent is sought for the following:

- The excavation of part of the existing sports field to facilitate the construction of the following:
  - sports facilities including weights room and dance rooms;
  - indoor multipurpose sports courts for use by up to 1500 people;
  - innovative and flexible teaching and learning spaces;
  - amenities, store rooms, plant, circulation and ancillary spaces
  - reinstatement of the sports field surface on the roof (sports field and perimeter fencing)
  - spectator seating / bleachers;
- The removal of the tennis courts (currently on the roof of the music building), and the construction of the following:



- a wellbeing centre, called the SHINE centre, to accommodate the Kambala SHINE program
- a new staff centre, called the KITE centre, to accommodate staff workstations, meeting areas, staff development workshop rooms and amenities
- reinstatement of the tennis courts, lighting and perimeter fencing on the new roof
- a new eastern forecourt for the school, new external landscaped areas and new courtyards;
- minor works to the existing music building to facilitate a new connection to the new courtyard;
- the partial demolition of the Hawthorne building and the construction of a new façade, roof and landscaping; and
- the demolition of the Arts building and the construction of new facades to adjacent affected buildings, and new landscaping to the footprint of the demolished building

Additionally, the proposal seeks to increase the capacity of the school from the permitted number of 950 students to 1,020 students (increase of 70 students). No increases to staff numbers are proposed. It is understood that the existing school enrolments currently exceed the existing cap with 1,020 students enrolled. Therefore, the proposed increase in the school capacity would enable the school to continue its existing operation. On this basis, this GTP aims to improve the travel behaviour of existing students and staff members.

## 1.2 The Role of Travel Plans

The purpose of a Green Travel Plan (GTP) is to encapsulate a strategy for managing travel demand that embraces the principles of sustainable transport. In its simplest form, this GTP encourages use of transport modes that have low environmental impact, such as active transport modes (e.g. walking, cycling), public transport, and better management of car use.

Active transport presents a number of interrelated benefits including:

- improved health benefits;
- reduced traffic congestion, noise and air pollution caused by cars;
- greater social connections with communities, and;
- cost savings to the economy and individual.

A GTP is a package of coordinated strategies and measures to promote and encourage active and sustainable travel. This GTP aims to influence the way students, staff, parents and visitors travel to and from the school to deliver better environmental outcomes and provide a range of travel choices, whilst also reducing reliance on private car usage, particularly single occupancy car trips (for staff and high school students) and single passenger car trips (for students).



The planning of the new development would need to accommodate innovative ideas to better manage the transport demand of the project. It will be necessary to introduce new measures to ensure that trips generated by the future expansion of the school are not solely private car based.

Key drivers for the GTP are detailed in Section 1.4.

In order to ensure that the GTP meets its intended objectives, a review of 'best practice' guidelines such as the City of Sydney 'Guide to Travel Plans', has been undertaken.

From the above, the key themes applicable to this GTP include:

- Site audit and data collection: A desktop audit has been undertaken in order to identify and document the existing issues and opportunities relevant to site and its accessibility particularly by non-car modes. Opportunities to improve amenity, incentivise non-car travel and the removal of barriers to use of sustainable transport modes are then dealt with under the Site-Specific Measures.
- Audit of Policies: An audit of key policy documents has been undertaken to assist define the direction and purpose of the GTP, aligned with the key targets and objectives from a local and regional perspective.
- Bicycle parking and car parking management: This GTP provides a strategy for management of both bicycle parking and car parking moving forward, and how they interact with travel choices.
- Local alliances: The development of relationships between the Proponent and various stakeholders will assist the Proponent in delivering improved transport options.

## 1.3 Travel Plan Pyramid

The GTP will need to be tailored to the schools to ensure appropriate measures are in place for the different users (e.g. students, staff, parents and visitors) to promote a modal shift away from car usage.

The key elements of the GTP are shown in the Travel Plan Pyramid in Figure 1.1.





Figure 1.1 demonstrates that the key foundations to ensure the success of a GTP are:

- Location i.e. proximity to existing public transport services and proximity to mixed land uses, e.g. shops and services, such that walking or cycling becomes the natural choice.
- Built Environment i.e. provision of high-quality pedestrian and cycling facilities, end-oftrip facilities and reduced car parking provision to encourage sustainable transport choices.

## 1.4 Drivers of the Travel Plan

Further to the above, there are a number of social, environmental and economic drivers for developing and implementing a GTP for the schools as detailed below.

#### 1.4.1 Car Parking

Car parks utilise valuable land resources and impact amenity. If the area continues to grow and there is no modal shift towards non-car transport modes, the car parking demand could increase significantly. As such, the provision of car parking must reflect the site's proximity to public transport to influence a modal shift to sustainable transport modes. In this instance, the site is located within close proximity to high frequency bus services.



#### 1.4.2 Environmental Impacts

The transport sector (road, rail, air and ship) is Australia's third largest source of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), accounting for 18 per cent of emissions in Australia in 2015 (Climate Council of Australia, 2016). Mitigating this impact is a key driver of the GTP. Within Australia, the transport sector has the highest rate of growth of GHG emissions per year having risen by about 63 per cent since 1990, with cars and light commercial vehicles responsible for over 60 per cent of Australia's transport pollution levels (Climate Council of Australia, 2018). In comparison, travel modes such as walking and cycling have the lowest emissions while public transportation has significantly lower impact than private vehicles.

#### 1.4.3 Health Benefits

The use of sustainable transport modes can have wide-ranging health benefits due to a corresponding reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and increase in physical activity from walking and cycling. The shift from private cars to sustainable transport "can yield much greater health 'co-benefits' than improving fuel and vehicle efficiencies" (World Health Organisation, 2011). The potential benefits can include reduced respiratory diseases from better air quality, prevention of heart disease, some cancers, type 2 diabetes and some obesity-related risks.

Active transport modes also provide more sustained health benefits because physical activity becomes part of one's everyday routine. Sustainable transport modes also improve air quality by reducing air pollution and reducing exposure to particulates, sulphates and atmospheric ozone. According to a report prepared by The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare in 2007, air pollution is responsible for causing about 3,000 premature deaths in Australia each year, which represents 2.3 per cent of total deaths in Australia per year – more than the number of deaths from car accidents. Reducing pollution has both significant environmental and health benefits.

#### 1.4.4 Social Equity

Transport has a fundamental role in supporting social equity through providing access to essential amenities, employment opportunities and social and recreational goods. Greater levels of walking and cycling hold significant benefits in terms of equity and community cohesion. Car dependency accentuates inequalities of access amongst certain groups who are less likely to drive including the unemployed, persons on low incomes, children and young people, the aged, and persons with disabilities. As such, sustainable transport modes can provide a more affordable alternative to car use.


#### 1.4.5 Ease of Access

Ease of access has a significant impact on choices of work and living. Negative experiences and costs associated with travel can reduce the competitiveness of a commercial, retail, industrial and community precinct. High quality and efficient transport systems are key to attracting and retaining students and staff. Support for active transport modes is also highly desired by employers and employees, because it improves health and productivity.



## 2 Existing Transport Policy Context

The review of existing relevant policy clearly demonstrates a number of themes that should inform the approach to ongoing management of transport demand, and investment in the transport network. These themes include:

- Provision of high-quality local transport infrastructure and improved bike paths and networks and improving accessibly and connectivity
- Addressing car parking issues in key locations, including residential and business districts and encouraging active transport
- Creating connected, liveable communities where people can walk, cycle and use public transport to promote healthier, active communities.

### 2.1 Greater Sydney Region Plans: 30-minute City (2018)

The Greater Sydney Region Plan aims to deliver a 30-minute city where jobs, services and quality public transport spaces are in easy reach of people's residencies.

However, a recent study conducted by Deloitte Access Economics found that only 75 of the 313 Sydney neighbourhoods could currently be deemed to have easy access to major job hubs and other key services within half an hour. Based on the findings of the Deloitte study and work undertaken by Arup, a number of key performance criteria have been identified in order to achieve a 30-minute city:

- Access to healthcare hospitals provide an important facility to many people and play a role for employment, education and training facilities. Parking is often limited at hospitals and as such, access via a variety of transport modes are required.
- Access to retail services access to all forms of retail (supermarkets and specialist stores) is essential to achieve a 30-minute city. There has already been an increase in the number of mixed-use developments within Sydney to create micro-communities, which provide mixed retail services, residential, commercial and community facility uses.
- Access to schools access to good schools relies on housing affordability, which also shape where teachers live. In particular, many students have good access to local schools, however some have to travel outside their catchment areas for specialist and selective schools. As such, it is important to create strong transport links to provide good access to local schools and connect teachers with their place of residents and work.
- Access to further education facilities public transport links for TAFE and universities are vital as students and teachers often travel out of the local catchment to the educational facility as they are often located in areas with high property prices.
- Quality of public transport facilities Whilst Sydney is a liveable city; it is often constrained by transport issues. As such, the provision of good quality, reliable public transport facilities are essential to achieve a 30-minute city.



- Access to jobs people being able to live close to their jobs is fundamental to delivering a 30-minute city. The current Sydney CBD has the highest concentration of jobs but as found by the Deloitte study, the average one-way commute for those travelling into the CBD from outside the city is 63 minutes. The locations with the best access to jobs currently are located near to railway stations, or close to major employment centres such as the Sydney CBD.
- Access to residents a way of minimising travel needs is to locate jobs and services close to where residents live.

### 2.2 Local Planning Documentation

### 2.2.1 Woollahra Local Strategic Planning Statement (Draft September 2019)

The Woollahra Local Strategic Planning Statement sets out a 20-year land use vision and planning priorities for the future of the Woollahra area.

With regard to transport and parking, the Strategic Plan sets the following objectives:

- Plan and deliver cycleway connections in collaboration with government agencies and neighbouring councils consistent with Future Transport 2056's conceptual Greater Sydney Principal Bicycle Network and Council's Integrated Transport Strategy (2020).
- Collaborate with Transport for NSW and other government agencies, on planning future infrastructure and investment including the Oxford Street Remake program and upgrades of Harbour-side facilities.
- Support implementation of the Woollahra Integrated Transport Strategy (2020) including:
  - Preparing and Active Transport Plan
  - Planning and promoting the use of shared vehicles
  - Increasing integration of transport infrastructure and services across the Eastern City District
- Advocate to Transport for NSW for increased ferry and bus services
- Advocate for increasing the role of Edgecliff as a key transport interchange in our area
- Plan and create pedestrianised street settings across our area that priorities people and placemaking
- Continue to encourage use of active transport modes and prioritise expansions of active transport connections
- Monitor changing freight and servicing needs, investigating approaches to address future demand as required
- Promote increased walkability, pedestrian safety, and permeability across our area, through initiatives such as the Greater Sydney Green Grid and Bondi to Manly Walk.



## 3 Existing Transport Conditions

### 3.1 Rail Services

Train services are available at Edgecliff Station located 4.6 km southwest of the site. The T4 Eastern Suburbs and Illawarra Line operates from this station with connections to the CBD and further south to Sutherland Shire. Services are generally provided approximately every 3-6 minutes during the morning and evening peak periods.

The existing rail network map is displayed in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Existing Rail Network Map

Source: TfNSW Sydney Trains Network Map

### 3.2 Bus Services

Similar to the above, the Integrated Public Transport Service Planning Guidelines state that bus services influence the travel mode choices of sites within 400 metres (approximately 5 minutes) of a bus stop. However, data collected by TfNSW Transport Performance and Analytics from 2014/15 household travel surveys suggest that walking trips to a bus stop extend further than the traditional 400m distance to a bus stop, as shown in Table 3.1.



Walking Distance	Population	Percentage of Population	
Up to 400m	155,948	49%	
401m to 800m	91,077	28%	
801m and greater	73,632	23%	
Total	320,657	100%	

#### Table 3.1: Population of Walkers to a Bus Stop (Weekday Trips)

Data Source: TfNSW Transport Performance and Analytics Household Travel Surveys 2014/2015

However, the site is located adjacent to STA bus services right outside the school's main gate on New South Head Road.

The following bus routes run past the school along New South Head Road:

- 324 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Old South Head Rd
- 325 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Vaucluse Rd
- 386 Vaucluse to Bondi Junction via New South Head Rd & Old South Head Rd

Buses are available seven days a week and provide services every 15 minutes during peak periods and every 30 minutes outside peak hours.

The Kambala private school bus service (provided by Inspire Transport) as well as the Scot's College's private school bus service also stop in front of the main school gate on NSHR. The Kambala School Bus timetable is provided in Figure 3.2.



#### Figure 3.2: Kambala School Bus Timetable

Stop	Pick-up time	Drop-off time
Cnr of Little Bay Rd and Namatjira Place	7:22 AM	~
Little Bay Rd Near Nurla Ave	~	4:24 PM
Anzac Pde Near Forrest St	7:24 AM	~
Anzac Pde Near Franklin St	~	4:19 PM
Anzac Pde Near Beauchamp Rd	7:26 AM	4:17 PM
Maroubra Rd Near Flower St	7:30 AM	4:16 PM
Maroubra Rd Near French St	~	4:15 PM
Malabar Rd - Maroubra Bay Public School	~	4:13 PM
Malabar Rd Near Liguria St	~	4:11 PM
Malabar Rd Opp Mermaid Ave	7:32 AM	~
Malabar Rd Near Denning St	~	4:10 PM
Malabar Rd Near Nymboida St	7:32 AM	~
Arden St Near Malabar Rd	7:35 AM	4:07 PM
Arden St Near Carr St	7:38 AM	4:05 PM
Coogee Oval, Arden St Near Dolphin St	7:40 AM	~
Arden St Near Dolphin St	~	4:04 PM
Arden St Near Burnie St	~	4:01 PM
Arden St Near Brandon St	7:44 AM	~
Macpherson St Near Leichhardt St	7:46 AM	3:58 PM
Leichhardt St Near Macpherson St	7:47 AM	~
Leichhardt St Near Bronte Rd	~	3:57 PM
Murray St Near Bronte Rd	~	3:56 PM
Murray St Near Gibson St	7:50am	~
Alfred St Opp Belgrave St	~	3:53 PM
Alfred St Near Birrell St	7:50 AM	~
Bondi Rd Near Watson St	~	3:50 PM
Glenayr Av Near Hall St	8:03 AM	3:43 PM
Glenayr Av Near Warners Ave	~	3:41 PM
Glenayr Av Near Blair St	8:05 AM	~
Blair St Near Mitchell St	~	3:40pm
School Students are picked up and dropped directly at the school gates	8:15 AM	3:30pm

Source: <u>https://www.inspiretransport.com.au/kambala-school-bus-hire-school-run/</u>

The school bus service runs through the following suburbs (reading down the list indicates the order of suburbs on approach to the school, naturally reading up the list indicates the order of suburbs on leave of the school):

- Chifley
- Malabar
- Matraville
- Maroubra
- South Coogee
- Coogee
- Clovelly
- Waverley
- Bronte



- Bondi
- Bondi Beach
- School (bus stop In front of main school gate on New South Head Road).

### 3.3 Existing Pedestrian Infrastructure

Well established pedestrian facilities are provided within the immediate vicinity of the site. Sealed pedestrian footpaths are provided along the site frontage, with a dedicated pedestrian facility provided in front of the main school gate in the form of a signalised pedestrian crossing. At present, these pedestrian facilities are heavily used during school peak drop off and pick up times.

The existing signalised pedestrian crossing is shown in Figure 3.3.



#### Figure 3.3: Signalised Pedestrian Crossing

Base Map Source: Nearmap Australia

### 3.4 Existing Cycling Infrastructure

Further to this, a good cycle network is currently provided within the immediate vicinity of the site. A dedicated on-road cycle path is currently provided on the north side of Queens Park Road, which provides good connectivity to the wider cycle network in the area. The existing cycle network is shown in Figure 3.4.





It should be noted that cycling is generally not observed by students. It is understood that this may be the case due to the incline/grade of New South Head Road along the frontage of the school (average of 6%) as well as the high volume of traffic which runs past.

### 3.5 Car Share

Car sharing is a flexible, cost effective alternative to car ownership and is a convenient and reliable way for staff to use a car when they need one. GoGet is a car share company operated in Australia, with a number of vehicles positioned within the area.

Car share is a concept by which members join a car ownership club, choose a rate plan and pay an annual fee. The fees cover fuel, insurance, maintenance, and cleaning. The vehicles are mostly sedans, but also include SUVs and station wagons. Each vehicle has a home location, referred to as a "pod", either in a parking lot or on a street, typically in a highly populated urban neighbourhood. Members reserve a car by web or telephone and use a key card to access the vehicle.

Notably, the City of Sydney Council has reported that "a single car share vehicle can replace up to 12 private vehicles that would otherwise compete for local parking". As such, the provision of car sharing facilities or the promotion of using existing car sharing facilities in the vicinity should be able to reduce both the parking demand for the site and the traffic generated by it.

Figure 3.5 shows the location of the existing GoGet vehicles within an 800m radius catchment of the site.





#### Figure 3.5: Location of Existing GoGet Vehicles

Base Map Source: GoGet.

As can be seen from Figure 3.5 above, three (3) GoGet vehicle locations are within an 800m radius of the site.



### 3.6 Mode Split Analysis

### 3.6.1 Staff and Students

Online questionnaires were distributed to school staff and parents via email in February 2020 to determine their travel mode choice and behaviour.

A summary of existing staff and student travel modes is provided in Table 3.2 and Table 3.3.

	Staff	Kindergarten – Year 6		Year 7 – Year 12	
Mode		Arrival	Departure	Arrival	Departure
Car (as driver, no passengers)	84%	-	-	2%	2%
Car (as driver, with passengers)	1%	-	-	1%	1%
Car (as passenger, driver didn't stay)	0%	-	-	-	-
Car (as passenger, driver stayed)	1%	-	-	-	-
Car (as passenger, no other students)	-	31%	33%	21%	16%
Car (as passenger, with other students)	-	47%	48%	27%	16%
Motorcycle / Scooter	2%	-	-	0%	0%
Walk	1%	8%	6%	15%	17%
Public Bus	6%	8%	7%	27%	40%
School Bus (incl. Scot's College Bus)	0%	6%	6%	4%	4%
Train and Bus	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%
Cycle	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	<1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

#### Table 3.2: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes

#### Table 3.3: Summary of Existing Staff and Student Travel Modes (Simplified)

Mada	Staff	Kindergarten – Year 6		Year 7 – Year 12	
Mode		Arrival	Departure	Arrival	Departure
Private Motor Vehicle	88%	78%	81%	51%	35%
Walk or Cycle	4%	8%	6%	15%	17%
Bus / Train	7%	14%	13%	34%	48%
Other	1%	0%	0%	0%	<1%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%



#### 3.6.2 Child Care Centre

A large majority of children that attend the Child Care Centre (i.e. Hampshire House) 5 days per week arrive and depart from the centre via private vehicle. A very small number (~5%) walk with their parent or guardian to the centre.

It should be noted that of the 68 total children, 26 arrive with their parents/guardians who are also staff at the school. Additionally, of these 26 children, 9 have either 1 or more siblings at Hampshire House and/or Kambala School. Similarly, of the 42 children who do not belong to staff at the school, 37 have either 1 or more siblings in Hampshire House and/or Kambala School.

Based on this information, the following car occupancy rate has been deduced.

Child Care Centre
 2.06 persons per vehicle\*

\*= includes staff, students, and children

### 3.7 School Feedback

As part of the survey questionnaire distributed to both staff and students at the school, staff and students were asked why they chose drive to the school. The majority of responses related to convenience, as shown in Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7.





Figure 3.6: Reasons for Vehicle Usage – Staff







On this basis, it would be necessary to both disincentivise car travel and improve the convenience of other travel modes to see a shift in travel mode away from car. Measures would include reducing/ restricting car parking provision on-site and providing facilities such as bike parking, school shuttle bus services and also raise awareness of existing transport infrastructure around the site that staff, students and parents/ guardians may not be aware of.



## 4 Objectives and Targets

### 4.1 Future Student and Staff Numbers

The proposal also seeks to increase the capacity of the school from the permitted number of 950 students to 1,020 students (increase of 70 primary and secondary students). No increases to staff numbers are proposed. It is understood that the existing school enrolments currently exceed the existing cap with 1,020 students enrolled. Therefore, the proposed increase in the school capacity would enable the school to continue its existing operation. On this basis, this GTP aims to improve the travel behaviour of existing students and staff members.

### 4.2 Objectives

The following objectives have been identified in order to achieve the vision of the GTP.

#### Objective 1: Facilitate a shift towards more sustainable transport modes

- Improve access, safety, amenity and convenience of sustainable transport modes for travel to and from the site
- Provide incentives for sustainable travel and establish a culture of active and public transport use.
- Continue to encourage non-car-based travel modes by limiting the convenience of car access to the site.

#### Objectives 2: Make the site a great place to live, work and visit

- Improve access and mobility and enhance the sense of place.
- Reduce the need to travel by co-locating complementary land uses.

### 4.3 Mode Share Targets

As indicated previously, the aim of the GTP is to encourage a modal shift away from cars by implementing measures that influence the travel patterns of staff. To ensure that the GTP is having the desired effect, implementation would be regularly monitored. The success of the GTP is measured by setting modal share targets and identifying the measures and actions that have the greatest impact.

The results of the modal split analysis indicated that private motor vehicle is the predominant mode share type among all users, although some differences were observed between student arrival and departure mode types.



Noting that a modal shift of between 3-5% would be a significant achievement (as stated by the experts in the LEC), it is considered that the private motor vehicle mode share target for each user type should be:

- Staff: 83%
- primary school students: 73% and 76% (for arrival and departure respectively)
- secondary school students: 46% and 30% (for arrival and departure respectively)

It has been assumed that a mode shift away from private vehicle is not feasible for children at the child care centre.

A summary of the existing and projected modal splits for each user type is provided in Table 4.1

Main Method of Travel Existin	Staff Modal Split		Primary Student Modal Split			Secondary Student Modal Split						
		Proposed	Existing		Proposed		Existing		Proposed			
	Existing		Arr	Dep	Arr	Dep	Arr	Dep	Arr	Dep		
Car	88%	83%	78%	81%	73%	76%	51%	35%	46%	30%		
Walk	4%	7%	8%	6%	10%	8%	15%	17%	17%	19%		
Public Transport	7%	10%	14%	13%	17%	16%	34%	48%	37%	51%		
Total	100%	100%	10	0%	100%		100%		10	0%	10	0%

#### Table 4.1: Existing and Projected Modal Splits



## 5 Methods of Encouraging Modal Shift

To achieve the objectives of the GTP, measures will be put in place to influence the travel patterns to/from the site, with a view to encouraging modal shift away from cars.

### 5.1 Potential Site-Specific Measures

The school will consider the following measures to encourage more sustainable travel use. Measures to encourage more sustainable travel use for primary school student trips would be targeted towards parents/caretakers at the school since these students are clearly not old enough to drive.

A school newsletter will be distributed to all parents to encourage walking and active travel to school. In addition to this, a small committee of interested people, including students and parents, will be established to represent the school to promote initiatives for safe, greener and more active travel. A number of teachers will be appointed to attend/organise the committee meetings with students and parents to represent the school to promote active travel.

### 5.1.1 Walking

Staff employed at the site could be encouraged to walk by implementing a '10,000 steps per day initiative'. This involves the provision of high-quality pedestrian facilities, including pedestrian paths to/from key public transport hubs and bus stops. Staff members who have achieved the 10,000-step goal over a set period could be rewarded.

In addition to this, a workplace walking group would be established, where all staff would be invited, particularly those who live locally, to walk together to get to the school. Information regarding the workplace walking group would be sent via email, posted on noticeboards and/or on the school website to promote participation and encourage staff who live locally to walk to work.

If successful, this could form the basis of a walking bus. A Walking School Bus<sup>™</sup>, which is a community and parent based initiative, is a group of primary school children who walk to and from school along a safe and enjoyable set route, accompanied by a minimum of two parent driver/supervisors per 'bus'. In this way the teachers could be the accompanying adults.

### 5.1.2 Cycling

Provision of high-quality bicycle parking and end-of-trip facilities will encourage people to travel by bicycles. To further encourage staff and students to use these bicycle parking



facilities, it is recommended that adequate weather protection and security features will be in place to ensure safe bicycle storage.

Similar to walking groups, cycling groups could be established to encourage staff and students to arrive by bicycles. All staff and students will be encouraged to travel to the site by bicycles though word of mouth and bicycle maps posted on noticeboards, newsletters and the school website. In addition, the school could participate in active travel events such as Ride2Work or Ride2School day and National Bike Week.

Other measures could include engaging a local bicycle retailer and service centre to provide discounts for staff and students, as well as the organisation of further educational programmes to teach staff and students how to properly and safely ride a bike.

#### 5.1.3 Public Transport

Public transport noticeboards will be provided to make staff, students, visitors parents more aware of the alternative transport options available. The format of the noticeboards will be based upon the travel access guide. In addition to this, the school will consider the provision of Opal Cards with a monthly allowance to facilitate travel for staff or alternatively provide a one-off pre-loaded Opal Card upon commencement of employment to influence their travel behaviour and habits on day one of employement.

#### 5.1.4 School Bus

Kambala is investigating the potential to provide a new school shuttle bus that would provide a direct service between the school and Edgecliff train station and/or services to local suburbs.

The questionnaire survey of staff and students indicates that there is large interest in catching a school bus if it provided access to nearby suburbs and train stations (Edgecliff) as shown in Table 5.1.

Group	Yes	No
Staff	50%	50%
Prep – Year 6	83%	17%
Year 7 – Year 12	67%	33%

#### Table 5.1: Willingness to use School Bus if available

A standard bus can hold between 50-70 passengers while a shuttle bus/ mini-bus can hold around 14 passengers. It is envisaged that a shuttle bus may be provided to enable more frequent and multiple services back and forth between stops or a standard bus would be provided to accommodate a large number of passengers over less frequent services.



#### 5.1.5 Travel Share

A carpooling forum will be developed to encourage staff to travel in groups. The forum would provide a platform for people travelling on the same route to find each other and form groups. The forum will be posted on the staff website, noticeboards and in newsletters.

#### 5.1.6 Off-site Measures

The school will consult with Council with a view to implementing several off-site measures to improve the transport connections to and from the site including:

- Investigations with Council to accommodate the bus and cycle facilities within the proposed development and/or upgrade or provide pedestrian facilities.
- Improved signage and way finding from key public transport hubs, to improve the walking and cycling experience. Signage would include wayfinding for cyclists to direct them to the best and safest route to the school.
- Investigations with Council to facilitate additional car sharing facilities.

### 5.2 GTP Information

The information provided within the GTP will be provided to staff and parents in the form of a package of easy to understand travel information known as a Travel Access Guide (TAG).

This will be included in the information pack provided to staff and parents on day one during their induction.

TAGs provide customised travel information for people travelling to and from a site, using sustainable forms of transport – walking, cycling and public transport. It provides a simple quick visual look at a location making it easy to see the relationship of site to train stations, light rail stations, bus stops and walking and cycling routes.

Such TAGs encourage the use of active transport and can reduce associated greenhouse gas emissions and traffic congestion while improving health through active transport choices.

They can take many forms from a map printed on the back of business cards or brochures. Best practice suggests that the information should be as concise, simple and site centred as possible and where possible provided on a single side/sheet. If instructions are too complex, people are likely to ignore them.

This TAG should be available for pick up at various locations at the site such as, at front entrances, school website and noticeboards for visitors and parents.

A draft TAG has been prepared for the site and is provided in Appendix A.



### 5.3 Information and Communication

Several opportunities exist to provide staff with information about nearby transport options. Connecting staff with information would help to facilitate journey planning and increase their awareness of convenient and inexpensive transport options which support change in travel behaviour.

#### Transport NSW info

 Bus, train and ferry routes, timetables and journey planning are provided by Transport for New South Wales through their Transport Info website: <u>http://www.transportnsw.info/</u>

#### Cycling in Waverley and Woollahra & Woollahra Bicycle Strategy 2009

 Waverley and Woollahra Councils Bike Plan provides a range of information relating to local cycleways in the area: <u>https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/services/traffic\_and\_transport/cycling</u>

Similarly, such phone apps as Trip View display Sydney public transport timetable data and show a summary view showing current and subsequent services, as well as a full timetable viewer. This timetable data is stored on the phone, so it can be used offline.

Connecting staff via social media may provide a platform to informally pilot new programs or create travel-buddy networks and communication.

The above web links and any social media platforms may be included within the GTP/TAG.

### 5.4 Actions

A summary of the key strategies and framework action table is shown in Table 5.2. It should be noted that this framework action table would be updated as required. However, it is stressed that the availability of the suggested strategies is a key factor in influencing travel patterns.



#### Table 5.2: Framework Action Table

Strategy	Action	Targeted Audience	Timeline	Responsibility				
Managing Car Use								
Car Pooling	Establish a car-pooling system to reduce single car occupancy and promote social interaction	Staff	On-going	Travel Plan Coordinator				
	Promoting F	Public Transport						
Bus/train	Consider providing a pre- loaded Opal Card or monthly Opal Card allowance to encourage public transport use	Staff	On-going	Travel Plan Coordinator				
Bus/train	Investigate the potential to provide a new school shuttle bus to Edgecliff train station and/or local suburbs	Staff and Parents	Prior School Expansion					
	Promoting Cyc	cling and Walking						
Provision of End-of- Trip Facilities	Provide bicycle parking, showers, lockers and change rooms	Staff and Parents	Prior School Expansion	School				
'10,000 steps per day initiative'	Reward staff members who have achieved the 10,000-step goal over a set period	Staff	On-going	Travel Plan Coordinator				
Walking/Cycling groups	Walking and cycling groups to encourage staff to travel to school together	Staff	On-going	Travel Plan Coordinator				
Cycling Educational Programmes	Provide cycling educational programmes for staff/students	Staff and Parents	On-going	Travel Plan Coordinator				
	(	Dther						
Green Travel Plan	Provide staff with the Green Travel Plan to encourage active travel	Staff and Parents	Prior School Expansion/ Staff and Parent Induction	Travel Plan Coordinator				
Transport Access Guide	Provide staff with a TAG on day one of induction and post the TAG on noticeboards, front entrances, the School's online website, etc.	Staff and Parents	Prior School Expansion/ Staff and Parent Induction	Travel Plan Coordinator				
Ongoing Review	Ongoing review of the GTP to introduce additional measures as required	-	Ongoing	Travel Plan Coordinator				



## 6 Management and Monitoring of the Plan

### 6.1 Management

There is no standard methodology for the implementation and management of a GTP. However, the GTP will be monitored to ensure that it is achieving the desired benefits. The mode share targets set out in Section 4.3 are used in this regard to ensure there is an overall goal in the management of the GTP.

The monitoring of the GTP would require travel surveys to be undertaken with a focus to establish travel patterns including mode share of trips to and from the Site.

The implementation of the GTP will need a formal Travel Plan Co-ordinator (TPC), who will have responsibility for developing, implementing and monitoring the GTP. The TPC will be an appointed staff member of the school or an independent expert.

It will also be necessary to provide feedback to staff and parents to ensure that they can see the benefits of sustainable transport.

Indeed, there are several keys to the development and implementation of a successful GTP. These include:

- Communications Good communications are an essential part of the GTP. It will be necessary to explain the reason for adopting the plan, promote the benefits available and provide information about the alternatives to driving alone.
- Commitment GTPs involve changing established habits or providing the impetus for people in new developments to choose a travel mode other than private car use. To achieve co-operation, it is essential to promote positively the wider objectives and benefits of the plan. This commitment includes the provision of the necessary resources to implement the plan, beginning with the introduction of the 'carrots' or incentives for changing travel modes upon occupation.
- Consensus It will be necessary to obtain broad support for the introduction of the plan from the staff.

Once the plan has been adopted, it is essential to maintain interest in the scheme. Each new initiative in the plan will need to be publicised and marketing of the project as a whole will be important.

### 6.2 Remedial Actions

A continuous review will take place to identify remedial actions should the modal share targets not be achieved. However, the following measures are proposed both as discrete



measures (e.g. car share) and those being proposed as part of the proposed expansion of the school:

- Increased cycle parking
- Increased / improved changing facilities / lockers
- Increase in shuttle bus frequency (if required)
- Increase use of car share (e.g. GoGet for staff).

Alternatively, the TPC could work with council to see how the measures might be aligned with those identified in council's bicycle strategy: <u>https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/ data/assets/pdf file/0003/48144/090831rep-</u> <u>GS11920 Woollahra Bike Strategy exhibition draft.pdf</u>

### 6.3 Consultation

The results of the Green Travel Plan will be communicated with Council, staff, parents and to the wider community via the school website and/or newsletters.

As such, it is recommended that a summary letter is produced presenting the results of the survey within one month of the undertaking of the travel surveys (say 3-months post-expansion). The letter/report may be also appended to the GTP and submitted to Council for comment. Subsequent surveys would be undertaken after 1, 3 and 5 years.

Communication to staff and the wider community may be carried out in a similar form by public display of the GTP on the school website. Alternatively, a news article on the matter could be included on the website.

### 6.4 Conclusion

It is recommended that travel surveys be undertaken 3-months post-expansion of the school with this draft GTP updated accordingly to suit the site's existing modal splits and findings of the travel surveys, including opportunities and constraints to influence a modal shift away from car usage. Subsequent surveys should be undertaken after 1, 3 and 5 years.



## Appendix A

Travel Access Guide





# **Transport Access Guide**

# **Getting Around**



Walk to/from Kambala School, noting the following travel times from key locations in the surrounding area:

- Coles Rose Bay
- IGA Rose Bay ٠
- Johnstons Lookout
- **Kimberley Reserve**
- **Diamond Bay Reserve**
- **Dudley Page Reserve** •
- Rodney Reserve



10 minutes

12 minutes



### goal of 10,000 steps per day!

### **Public Transport Information**

Plan your trip using Sydney's Trip Planning Tool: transportnsw.info/trip

Or the RMS Cycleway Finder: https://www.rms.nsw.gov.au/maps/cycleway\_finder





Bus services are available on New South Head Road in front of the Main School Gate.

Such services provide connections to local areas such as Watsons Bay, Bondi Beach and Double Bay, as well as Edgecliff Train Station.

The following bus services are provided at the main school gate:

- 324 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Old South Head Road
- 325 Watsons Bay to Walsh Bay via Vaucluse Road
- 386 Vaucluse to Bondi Junction via New South Head Road and Old South Head Road

Edgecliff Train Station services the Bondi Junction to Waterfall or Cronulla line with trains running every 3 minutes during peak hours.



There are many cycle friendly roads in the proximity of the site, providing connectivity to Vaucluse, Watsons Bay and Bondi Beach.



Visit Woollahra Municipal Council's page on cycling: https://www.woollahra.nsw.gov.au/recreation/cycleways

The Transport Planning Partnership Suite 402 Level 4, 22 Atchison Street St Leonards NSW 2065

> P.O. Box 237 St Leonards NSW 1590

> > 02 8437 7800

info@ttpp.net.au

www.ttpp.net.au

The Transport Planning Partnership Suite 402 Level 4, 22 Atchison Street St Leonards NSW 2065

> P.O. Box 237 St Leonards NSW 1590

> > 02 8437 7800

info@ttpp.net.au

www.ttpp.net.au