

Arborist Reports, Horticultural & Arboricultural Consultation.

Frasers Property Australia Level 2, 1C Homebush Bay Drive Rhodes, NSW, 2138 ABN: 87 985 295 902 10 Walter Road, Ingleside, NSW, 2102 Mob: 0402 246 910 Stuart@ezigrow.com.au

01 November 2023

To whom it may concern,

ARBORICULTURAL REPORT ADDENDUM STATEMENT – SSD-14378717: Telopea Urban Renewal – Stage 1A, Telopea, NSW, 2117.

This letter has been commissioned by Frasers Property Australia, to summarise the changes to the Arboricultural Impact Appraisal and Method Statement – Revision C (arborist report) by Naturally Trees, dated 26th October 2022, in response to the NSW Governments Department of Planning and Environments request for additional information dated 6th November 2023, and has been prepared by myself as the original arborist was not available to make the requested changes in a timely fashion.

This request asks to "Provide an updated Arboricultural Impact Assessment addressing the opportunity to retain additional trees by removing the New Link Road light rail crossing." The following documents have been reviewed and referred to in the preparation of this assessment:

Arboricultural Impact Appraisal and Method Statement – Revision C (arborist
report) by Naturally Trees, dated 26th October 2022.
Landscape Master Plan for DA Stage 1A-1. Project No. 013595. Dwg Nos.
HSL-S1A-2_L_0001 to HSL-S1A-1_L_3002 – Revision F by Hassell dated 9 th
July 2023.

- □ Landscape Master Plan for DA Stage 1A-2. Project No. 013595. Dwg Nos. HSL-S1A-2_L_0001 to HSL-S1A-2_L_3012 − Revision E by Hassell dated 26th July 2023.
- Roadworks and Civil Plan for DA Stage 1A. Project No. 110500-04. Dwg Nos.
 DA1001 to DA1601- Amendment 3 by J. Wyndham Prince dated 04th March 2023.

Summary of changes:

The original arborist report shows that the New Link Road light rail crossing will result in the removal of six (6) high and very high category trees and five (5) low and very low category trees. The proposed new plans with the road removed and extra parkland and landscaping proposed means that all of the high category trees (Trees 284, 285, 286, 300, 301 and 319) can be retained. The low category trees are still proposed for removal in lieu of a new landscape plan which has these trees replaced with new trees of more appropriate species, and to replace trees that are dying or in poor condition. These changes are summarised in the table below (see notes in original report for explanatory key):

No.	Species	Height	Spread	DBH	TPZ	Tree AZ	Original Impact	New Impact
284	Corymbia maculata	26	18	600	7.2	AA1	Remove	Retain
285	Corymbia maculata	26	18	600	7.2	AA1	Remove	Retain
286	Corymbia maculata	26	18	600	7.2	AA1	Remove	Retain
287	Pinus radiata	16	12	500	6.0	ZZ4	Remove	Remove
288	Ulmus parvifolia	6	6	150	2.0	Z1	Remove	Remove
300	Corymbia maculata	28	16	600	7.2	AA1	Remove	Retain
301	Corymbia maculata	28	16	600	7.2	AA1	Remove	Retain
317	Callistemon sp.	6	4	300	3.6	Z 9	Remove	Remove
318	Callistemon sp.	8	5	300	3.6	Z 9	Remove	Remove
319	Callistemon sp.	10	8	400	4.8	A 1	Remove	Retain
320	Prunus sp.	3	3	100	2.0	ZZ3	Remove	Remove

Trees 300, 301 and 319:

These trees were listed for removal due to the impact of the new roadway and concrete surfaces. The new plans have these trees integrated into the extension of the parklands, and this level of encroachment has now been reduced. There will still be some proposed encroachment, but largely due to nearby concrete/stone pedestrian pathways and localised landscape features. These pathways should be installed above grade, with tree sensitive construction methods aimed to reduce any potential impacts further and allowing these trees to be successfully retained.

These trees are important, high category trees, and as such any impacts on them should be minimised, it is my opinion that the newly proposed works will not result in a significant long-term impact on these trees especially if tree protection is carried out as per the tree protection methodology set out in the above report. These trees can be successfully retained and protected under these newly proposed plans.

Trees 284, 285, 286:

These trees were also listed for removal in the original arborist due to the impact of the new roadway. The new plans have these trees integrated into the extension of the parklands, and this level of encroachment has now been reduced. There will still be some proposed encroachment, and it is recommended that the exact position of the trees be confirmed so that the installation of the proposed new "Sun bench with backrest" is installed with consideration to these trees. The installation of this item MUST be done by using tree sensitive construction methods, with no major level's changes, or excavation within the TPZ's or SRZ's of these trees. Hand dug piers will be acceptable as long as they are supervised by the nominated project arborist. As with the other retained trees, these trees may also be impacted by the installation of the new, nearby, concrete/stone pedestrian pathways. These pathways should be installed above grade, with tree sensitive construction methods aimed to reduce any further potential impacts and allowing these trees to be successfully retained.

These trees are important, high category trees, and as such any impacts on them should be minimised, it is my opinion that the newly proposed works will not result in a significant long-term impact on these trees especially if tree protection is carried out as per the tree protection methodology set out in the above report. These trees can be successfully retained and protected under these newly proposed plans.

Trees 287, 288, 317, 318 and 320:

These trees were listed for removal in the original arborist due to the impact of the new roadway and concrete surfaces. The new plans have these trees integrated into the extension of the parklands, however Trees 287, 288, and 318 are still located within the proposed new pathways or the multi-use court and will still need to be removed. Trees 317 and 320 are located within the landscaped areas, however they

are still proposed for removal as part of the new landscape plans, and it is proposed to replace them with new trees of a more appropriate species type.

These trees are low and very category trees, and as such they should not be considered as a constraint to development. These trees are either dying, in poor structural condition or are too small for protection and are proposed to be removed and replaced within the new proposed landscape plans.

For further information regarding the subject trees, please contact Ezigrow.

Yours sincerely

Stuart Sutton

Graduate Cert in Arboriculture (AQF Lvl 8), MSc in Horticulture, BSc in Agriculture (Hons), Cert II Horticulture (Arboriculture).

SCINTI