Table 1 Response to DPE Request for Additional Information, Ardglen IEA

Ref No.	DPE Request	JBA Response
1a	Report structure – section 1.2 provides the report structure; however this structure is not in accordance with the IAPAR 2020. The department's preference is that the IEA report be structured as per section 4 and Appendix C of the IAPAR 2020.	The IEA report structure has been revised to include specific subsections to align with the IAPAR.
2a	Site interviews – there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report detailing the site personnel interviewed (including name and title).	Sections 1 and 3.3 of the IEA report amended to confirm that interviews with Luke Robinson during the IEA process.
2b	Site inspection – while Appendix C includes photographs taken during the site inspection, there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report detailing the site inspection date and areas inspected.	Section 3.3.3 of the IEA report updated to outline the areas of the site that were reviewed during the site inspection.
2c	Stakeholder consultation – section 3.2.1 of the IEA report lists the stakeholders consulted during the preparation of the IEA; however Table 3 and Appendix D do not contain correspondence to or from all agencies and the Community Consultative Committee. If no response was received, this should be noted in the report.	Section 3.4 of the IEA report updated to confirm agencies that did not respond to the request for input to the audit.
2d	Compliance status descriptors – there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report detailing the meanings of the compliance status descriptors used by the auditor.	Section 3.5 and notes below table in Section 4.2 have been added to confirm compliance status descriptors used.
3a	Compliance performance – while section 4.1 briefly discusses compliance performance, the IEA report should also include a comparison between the total number of compliance requirements and the number of non-compliances identified during the reporting period. Graphics can be used to summarise project performance in relation to compliance requirements.	Section 4.2 has been added to the IEA report to summarise the compliance status of the development.
3b	Summary of notices, orders, penalty notices or prosecutions – there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report discussing any notices, orders, penalty notices or prosecutions issued during the audit period. If there were no notices, orders, penalty notices or prosecutions issued during the audit period, this should be noted in the report.	Section 4.3 of the IEA report updated to include a summary of regulatory notices and orders received during the audit period.
3c	Previous IEA actions – there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report discussing the status of all actions arising from the previous IEA and the progress or outcomes of each action. Details must include the source of the action, reference (condition number), action proposed, proposed completion date, the status (date completed, if relevant) and the action complete.	Section 4.9 has been added to the IEA report, summarising actions from the previous audit, add assessment of whether the actions were completed. Put under the environmental performance section.
3d	EMP, sub-plans and compliance documents – while section 4.1 states that the management strategies, plans and other documents required for the site were found to be being implemented during the audit period, there is no discussion in the body of the IEA report of whether these	Section 4.7 has been added to the IEA report, which provides comment on the adequacy of AQ management plans, strategies and programs. Appendix B includes comments against the relevant conditions of approval on the

Ref No.	DPE Request	JBA Response
	documents are adequate or if there are any opportunities for improvement.	adequacy of site management plans, strategies and programs.
3e	Complaints – while section 4.3 states that there were a "low number of complaints received [during the audit period]", there is no information regarding the exact number of complaints, the issue(s) raised in the complaints and the adequacy of the response to the complaints.	Section 4.6 of the IEA report has been updated to refer to the number of complaints received during the audit period and the adequacy of Daracon Quarries' responses.
3f	Actual versus predicted impacts – there is no specific section in the body of the IEA report that provides an assessment of the compliance between actual and predicted impacts documented in environmental impact assessment, including an assessment of the physical extent of the development in comparison with the approved boundary and any potential off-site impacts of the development.	Section 4.8 of the IEA report has been updated to describe a comparison of actual and predicted environmental impacts.
3g	Improvement opportunities and key strengths – while section 6 contains recommendations for non-compliances identified by the auditor, this section should also include a brief discussion of any continual environmental management improvement opportunities identified as part of the audit and key strengths of the development's environmental management and performance identified by the auditor.	The recommendations made in Section 6 of the IEA report includes those arising from both non-compliances and from general opportunities to improve environmental performance on site. A summary of the key strengths of site environmental management identified during the audit has been added to Section 6 of the IEA report.