




Matter	Consideration/Description
Project Area and Disturbance Area	<p>Clarify the project area and the disturbance area for the proposed project, including both the TGO and SAR mines including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - total hectares in the project area (breakdown hectares in the 'TGO Mine Site' and hectares in the 'SAR Mine Site'); - total hectares for disturbance in the project area (breakdown hectares impacted in the 'TGO Mine Site' and hectares impacted by the 'SAR Mine Site'); - breakdown of hectares for impacts (roads, voids, emplacement, other, across the disturbance area within the project area (TGO and SAR mine sites); and - total approved footprint of RSF2, noting discrepancy between the MOD 5 approved area of 64.3 ha, and Table 2.2 and 7.4 of EIS Volume 2 - Appendix 13 - RSF2 Design Report – RSF2 catchment area, giving footprint area of 57 ha (28.5 ha for each cell).
Construction hours	<p>Clarify the proposed construction hours, as used in the relevant impact assessments; e.g. noise, air and traffic. Provide a breakdown of activities, such as SAR Mine construction and realignment of public roads/highways</p>
Produced gold	<p>The EIS describes that a total of 765,000 ounces (oz) of gold would be produced on-site and transported off-site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clarify how the produced gold would be transported off-site and to where, including transportation type (e.g. via the road network) and frequency (e.g. per day).
Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions	<p>Scopes 1 and 2, provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - GHG emission intensity (per unit of production) for the proposed project, including both the TGO and SAR mines; i.e.annual intensity over the project life, and annual average intensity across the life of the project) - a comparison of the intensities with the existing TGO operation and other mineral mining operations in NSW, considering best practice; - GHG emissions that would be covered by the Federal Government's Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme (CPRS); and <p>evaluation of feasibility of measures to reduce GHG emissions associated with the project, particularly emissions not covered by the CPRS..</p>
Disturbance Area Specialist Study Clarifications	<p>Inconsistencies identified throughout the EIS and technical studies include:</p> <p>Land and Soil Capability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Does the Land and Soil Capability Assessment consider that the road disturbance is 81 ha or 67 ha? - Confirm what was considered as the total disturbance area in the Land and Soil Capability Assessment (i.e. 461, 462 or 475 ha (Page 5 describes that the 462 ha of disturbance area consists of '185 ha of waste rock emplacement and void, 209 ha of other infrastructure and 81 ha for reserves to realign roads', totaling 475 ha, Figure 8.1 details that the disturbance includes 185 ha for void and emplacement 67 ha for roads and 209 ha for other, which totals 461 ha). <p>Agricultural Impact Statement:</p>

Matter	Consideration/Description
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What does the 2222 ha in Figure 10 capture? What is the area (ha) in the TGO Mine Site? <p>BDAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The BDAR assessed disturbance footprint of 494.28 ha, what areas does this include? Is this the total disturbance area for the Project? <p>ACHAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The ACHAR assessed a disturbance area of 465 ha, describing that it only assessed the disturbance area within the SAR Mine Site. Previous sections of the EIS or the other specialist studies have this figure as either 461, 462 or 475. - The 'Study Area' does not include the additional disturbance area located in the existing project site. The recommendation also includes that 'All land-disturbing activities must be confined to within the Study Area or the approved TGO Mine Site. Should the parameters of the proposed work extend beyond this, then further archaeological assessment may be required'. Confirm that the additional disturbance area within the TGO Mine Site has been approved for land disturbance under the MP09_0155. - Figure 11 of the Cultural Heritage Assessment (October 2011) for the TGO Mine Site (MP09_0155) details that heritage sites TGP-ST8 and TGP-ST9 may be in proximity to the proposed disturbance area for the TGEP. Page 5-118 also describes that these are scarred trees that would be required to be fenced off during the construction period to ensure that they are not damaged by the works. Provide an update on the status of these heritage items, including if they still remain in-situ and whether mitigation measures are required to manage these sites. <p>Agricultural Impact Statement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Figure 6.8.4 of the EIS describes the 50 ha of land that would be enhanced from Class 6 to Class 4 as north-west of the SAR Open Cut (noting, this appears to be outside of the disturbance area), whilst Figure 8 of the Agricultural Impact Assessment includes an additional area to the north and in the southern corner marked as the 50 ha of enhancement area. - Why is this area not included in the 'disturbance area' overlay, won't it be disturbed to improve the class? - Does the 50 ha include the northern and southern areas detailed in the AIS? <p>EIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Section 6.8.2.4 of the EIS describes that the BSAL area is 880 ha and the remainder area is 936 ha (describing that this would be subject to disturbance associated with the realigned public road), totaling 1816 ha. What is the 1816 area? How does this relate to the disturbance area for the project or the SAR Mine Site? Will all of the 936 ha be disturbed? Is this outside of the project's disturbance area (described as somewhere between 461 and 494.28 ha)? - Table 6.8.4 of the EIS describes that during mining there would be a reduction in 317 ha of Class 4 soils. Only Chromosol and Andesite

Matter	Consideration/Description
	Chromosol Soil Mapping Unit are Class 4 soils (section 6.8.2.5 of the EIS) and only 217 would be impacted by the project, where is the additional 100 ha of Class 4 soils?
Figures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide a figure in JPEG or PNG format that includes the total project area (known as the TGO Mine Site and the SAR Mine Site in the EIS), the total disturbance area, and key infrastructure overlaid. Minimise the use of boxed labels on the figures and use the legends instead to clearly show the above requested items. - Provide Figures 1.1, 3.1.1, 3.1.2, 3.1.3 of the EIS in JPEG or PNG. - Provide Figure A Staged Vegetation Clearing of letter dated 22 July in JPEG or PNG.
Construction and Operation Staff	Provide breakdown of FTE construction and operation staff.
Clarification of Figure 6.9.2 of the EIS	 <p>Are these areas considered in the 2,222 ha?</p> <p>Is this area outside of the project area included in the land to be retained for agriculture (254 ha)?</p> <p>Figure 6.9.2 AGRICULTURAL LAND USE AND MANAGEMENT</p>
Disturbance Area in the TGO Mine Site	<p>What area was assessed in the TGO Mine Site? The Figures for this area vary between specialist area assessments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Figure 6.10.2 of the EIS:

Matter	Consideration/Description
	<div>  <p>See Inset 1</p> <p>What is this area? Is this included in the disturbance area for the project?</p> </div> <p>- Figure 6.11.1 of the EIS:</p> <div>  </div>