

# GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN – REV 4

Aspect Industrial Estate, Mamre Road, Kemps Creek, NSW

Prepared for Mirvac Projects Pty Ltd

02 MAY 2022



# CONTACT



**SIMON SPYRDZ**  
Associate Technical Director

M 0401 451 828  
E [Simon.Spyrdz@arcadis.com](mailto:Simon.Spyrdz@arcadis.com)

Arcadis  
Level 16 580 George Street  
SYDNEY NSW

---

# GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

## Aspect Industrial Estate, Mamre Road, Kemp's Creek, NSW

Rev 4

<b>Author</b>	Maddy Phillips	
<b>Checker</b>	Matthew Gibbs	
<b>Approver</b>	Simon Spyrdz	
<b>Report No</b>	10035157_GMP	
<b>Date</b>	2/05/2022	
<b>Revision Text</b>	Rev 4	

This report has been prepared for Mirvac Office and Industrial Pty Ltd in accordance with the terms and conditions of appointment in the Consultant Agreement for Lots 54-58 (DP 259135) Mamre Road, Kemp's Creek – Phase 2 DSI, FIP, UFP, Dam Decommissioning Strategy, Groundwater Management Plan dated 24 September 2019. Arcadis Australia Pacific Pty Limited (ABN 76 104 485 289) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this report by any third party.

## REVISIONS

Revision	Date	Description	Prepared by	Approved by
A	1/11/2019	Draft for client review	PM	DT
B	12/05/2020	Draft for client review	CL	CL
C	9/10/2020	Review of Legislation Amendment	BK	BV
Rev 4	02/05/2022	Updated with revised bulk earthworks levels and PSM 2021 data	MP	SS

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Purpose of this document	2
1.3	Objectives	2
1.4	Scope of Work	2
1.5	Proposed Redevelopment	3
<b>2</b>	<b>SITE IDENTIFICATION</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Current Site Use	4
2.2	Proposed Site Use	4
2.3	Surrounding Land Use	4
<b>3</b>	<b>SITE CONDITION AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Topography	5
3.2	Geology	5
3.3	Hydrogeology	5
3.4	Hydrology	5
3.5	Acid Sulfate Soil Risk	6
3.6	Summary of Previous Investigations and Design Information	6
3.6.1	Preliminary Site Investigation (JBS&G 2019)	6
3.6.2	Detailed Site Investigation (Arcadis 2019)	7
3.6.3	Geotechnical Investigation (PSM, 2020)	8
3.6.4	Additional Geotechnical Investigation (PSM, 2021)	8
3.6.5	Civil Infrastructure Drawings	9
<b>4</b>	<b>CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Source	11
4.2	Potentially Affected Media	11
4.3	Pathways	11
4.4	Receptors	12
4.5	Exposure Assessment	12
<b>5</b>	<b>LEGISLATION AND POLICY</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1	Legislative Framework	17
5.2	Assessment Criteria	17
<b>6</b>	<b>2019 GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT</b>	<b>18</b>
6.1	Groundwater Levels and Flow Direction	18
6.1.1	Groundwater Level Fluctuations	18
6.2	Groundwater Quality	18
6.2.1	Physico-Chemical Parameters	19
6.2.2	Analytical Results	19
6.3	Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity	20
6.4	Expected Volume of Groundwater to be Extracted	20

<b>7</b>	<b>ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>During Construction</b> .....	<b>23</b>
8.1.1	Intersected Groundwater Re-Use.....	23
8.1.2	Intersected Groundwater Treatment or Disposal.....	24
8.1.3	Records.....	24
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Post Construction</b> .....	<b>25</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>26</b>

# APPENDICES

**APPENDIX A FIGURES**

**APPENDIX B ANALYTICAL TABLES**

**APPENDIX C LABORATORY REPORTS**

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Arcadis Australia Pacific (Arcadis) was engaged by Mirvac Office and Industrial (Mircvac) to prepare a Groundwater Management Plan (GMP) to support the proposed Aspect Industrial Estate development located at Lots 54-58 DP259135 Mamre Road, Kemps Creek, NSW 2178 (the site). The location of the site is illustrated in Figure 1, Appendix A.

The site comprises an approximate area of 56.3 ha and is located within the Penrith City Council Local Government Area (LGA). The site is currently zoned as RU2 Rural residential land under Penrith City Council Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2010.

The site is currently unzoned within the Broader Western Sydney Employment Area stipulated within State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009 (SEPP WSEA). Arcadis is anecdotally aware that the strategic intent is for this land to be zoned for employment purposes.

Mircvac require the following documentation to support a State Significant Development (SSD) application relevant to the site:

- Detailed Site Investigation (DSI).
- Fill Importation Protocol (FIP).
- Unexpected Finds Protocol (UFP).
- Dam Decommissioning Strategy (DDS).
- Groundwater Management Plan (GMP).

This GMP is one of five reports that Arcadis has prepared for submission to Mirvac to support the industrial redevelopment.

A remediation action plan (RAP) may also be required under the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs).

### 1.1 Background

The site has approx. 950 m of frontage to Mamre Road, with a proposed signalised intersection providing vehicular access via Mamre Road to the M4 Motorway and the Great Western Highway to the north and Elizabeth Drive to the south. Known historical land uses at the site include: rural residential, grazing, dairy farming, poultry farming and horticulture.

Ministerial Local Planning Direction 3.5 precludes future residential development of the site due to its proximity to the Western Sydney Airport ANEF 20 noise contour. However, future land uses relevant to employment generating purposes are consistent with the approved 2020 amendment to the SEPP WSEA and the 2018 Western Sydney Aerotropolis Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan (LUIIP) Stage 1: Initial Precincts.

The proposed redevelopment of the site will facilitate land uses consistent with commercial and industrial use, as prescribed in the National Environmental Protection Measure as amended in 2013 (NEPC, 2013) and will involve the following activities:

- Demolition and removal of existing rural structures.
- Heritage salvage works (if applicable).
- Clearing of existing vegetation on the subject site and associated dam dewatering and decommissioning.
- Realignment of existing creek.
- On-site bulk earthworks including any required ground dewatering.
- Importation, placement and compaction of soil material, consisting of;
  - Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM) within the meaning of the POEO Act; and/or

- Excavated Natural Material (ENM) within the meaning of the NSW Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Resource Recovery Exemption under Part 9, Clauses 91 and 92 of the POEO (Waste) Regulation 2014 – The Excavated Natural Material Order 2014; and/or
  - Materials covered by a specific NSW EPA Resource Recovery Order and Exemption which are suitable for their proposed use.
- Boundary retaining walls.
  - Catchment level stormwater infrastructure, trunk services connections, utility infrastructure, roads and access infrastructure (signalised intersection with Mamre Road) associated with Stage 1.
  - Construction fit out and 24 hours a day / 7 day per week use of industrial warehouse and distribution buildings within Stage 1.
  - Detailed earthworks, stormwater, services and utility infrastructure associated with the construction of industrial logistics and warehouse buildings within Stage 1.
  - Boundary stormwater management, fencing and landscaping.
  - Staged subdivision of Stage 1.

Information provided to Arcadis by Orion Consulting (Orion), on behalf of Mirvac, indicates that earthworks undertaken as part of site redevelopment works will not require importation of significant volumes of fill material, as the bulk earthworks plan has been designed to achieve an overall cut/fill net balance for the development, whilst taking into consideration possible future planning modifications.

## 1.2 Purpose of this document

The purpose of this GMP is to describe the requirements for ongoing management at the Site which is proposed to undergo development for industrial and/or commercial land uses. It is expected that this GMP will form part of an overarching Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) that will manage environmental considerations during the construction phase.

This GMP has been prepared with due consideration of the results from a site investigation undertaken at the site in October 2019 (Arcadis 2019).

## 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of the GMP are to document a procedure that ensures that exposure of identified receptors to impacted groundwater is minimised, and to comply with regulatory requirements. Specifically, the objectives are:

- Outline the geology and hydrogeology of the site;
- Assess if groundwater dewatering will be required during the re-development;
- If dewatering is to occur develop a dewatering strategy that meets the requirements of relevant policy and legislation;
- Outline any licensing requirements;
- Estimate the volume of groundwater that may be extracted during the redevelopment; and
- Assess whether there are any further investigations required to assess potential groundwater impacts.

## 1.4 Scope of Work

To complete the objectives, Arcadis undertook the following scope to develop the GMP:

- Reviewed relevant reports to establish site characteristics relevant to groundwater considerations, reviewed the baseline groundwater analytical data and determined the likely groundwater flow direction;
- Reviewed concept architectural drawings and preliminary design plans;

- Review geotechnical investigation letter report (PCM, 2019);
- Reviewed the groundwater results from the DSI (Arcadis, 2019);
- Prepared a site-specific Groundwater Management Plan (GMP) detailing the following:
  - Entity responsible for ensuring the GMP is implemented;
  - The location and frequency of monitoring;
  - The Chemicals of Potential Concern (CoPC) which require ongoing analysis; and
  - The triggers and contingency plans for additional monitoring/remediation.
- Development of this GMP.

## 1.5 Proposed Redevelopment

The proposed site development will change the land-use from rural residential and farming to commercial and industrial use. Arcadis understands that the redevelopment will involve demolition of all infrastructure at the site including buildings, sheds, chicken coups, fencing and farm dams.

The new buildings to be constructed are understood to be single storey industrial warehouses built on a concrete slab. It is further understood that the slabs are to be elevated above the existing ground level founded on reworked soil from the site, negating the requirement to excavate for building foundations. Orion, on behalf of Mirvac, has indicated that significant volumes of imported fill will not be required for the earthworks undertaken as part of the site redevelopment works. No basements are to be excavated.

## 2 SITE IDENTIFICATION

The location and layout of the site are shown in Figures 1 and 2, Appendix A. The site details are provided in Table 2-1 below and described in detail in the following sections.

Table 2-1 Site Detail Summary

Item	Details
Address	804-882 Mamre Road, Kemps Creek, NSW, 2178
Lot and plan	Lots 54 to 58 DP259135
Local government area	Penrith City Council
Current land use	Rural residential properties
Current zoning	IN2: General Industrial
Proposed land use	Site is proposed to be redeveloped to an industrial and/or commercial land use (employment purposes)
Site coordinates Approx. centre of site (GDA 2020 UTM 56 H)	293603 m E 6250023 m S
Land area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Approx. 563,000 m <sup>2</sup> (57 ha)

An overview of the site condition and surrounding environment is provided in Section 4. Site history information is provided in Section 5.

### 2.1 Current Site Use

The site was historically used for light agricultural purposes (i.e. grazing, historical dairy farming, poultry farming and horticulture). Arcadis understands, the site was purchased by Mirvac Projects Pty Ltd to be redeveloped into an industrial/commercial property for employment purposes.

### 2.2 Proposed Site Use

The site is proposed to be redeveloped into a warehouse and distribution centres, including 11 warehouse and office combined compounds.

Bulk earthworks, including both cutting and filling of the site, will be required as part of the proposed industrial development.

### 2.3 Surrounding Land Use

The following current land uses have been identified immediately surrounding the site:

- North – rural residential properties,
- South – rural residential properties, market gardens
- East – rural residential properties
- West – Mamre road, with rural residential properties located immediately west of Mamre Road

## 3 SITE CONDITION AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT

### 3.1 Topography

The site slopes down to the south west and has an elevation of approx. 37 to 50 m relative level to the Australian Height Datum (RL mAHD). The site exists within a generally flat alluvial plain with localised undulating rises/falls, generally sloping toward Kemps Creek/South Creek to the west.

### 3.2 Geology

The 1:100,000 Geological Survey of NSW map of Sydney indicates the site is underlain by Bringelly Shale of the Wianamatta Group. This is described as comprising shales, carbonaceous clay, laminate and coal.

The eSPADE NSW Soil and Land Information database indicates that the site is underlain by Blacktown and Luddenham Soil Landscapes.

The soils encountered during fieldwork conducted by Arcadis in October 2019 aligned with the above descriptions and were described as:

- Fill material generally comprising topsoil and brown silty clay to a typical depth of 0.2m below ground level (m bgl) and a maximum depth of 1.2m bgl (in TP110 and MW01); and
- Natural material generally comprising slightly stiff, orange to brown clay with grey mottling turning into grey to brown weathered shale.

### 3.3 Hydrogeology

Groundwater is present within the Bringelly Shale. Typically, the Bringelly yields low volumes of saline groundwater. Shale generally has low water transmitting properties, displaying a very low primary porosity with most of the flow being via saturated structural features such as fractures, joints and laminations. Groundwater can be perched at the base of the weathered soil profile along the interface with fresh bedrock. The regional aquifer within the shale is often confined or partially confined and rises once intersected in a borehole.

A review of NSW Department of Primary Industries Office of Water records for groundwater bores within a 2,000 m radius of the site did not identify groundwater boreholes around the site. This is consistent with the groundwater within the shale being of moderate salinity, low yielding and a general abundance of surface water.

### 3.4 Hydrology

A generally north south oriented drainage line bisects the site along which the five dams have been constructed.

Observations were made during field work conducted in October 2019. The five dams were being used for stock watering and irrigation of crops and chicken sheds.

The site is predominately surfaced with grass cover, and as such, it is anticipated surface water generated during periods of rainfall will likely infiltrate at a rate reflective of the silty clay topsoil permeability. During periods of heavy or prolonged rainfall, excess water is likely to result in overland flow and traverse south-west towards Kemps Creek, following the topographic gradient. A portion of the overland flow is also likely to be captured by the existing on-site dams.

The nearest surface water bodies include several small dams on neighbouring properties and Kemps Creek, which is located approx. 600 m to the west of the site. Kemps Creek drains into South Creek approx. 900 m west of the site, before ultimately discharging into the Hawksbury River located approx. 26 km north of the site.

### 3.5 Acid Sulfate Soil Risk

Acid sulfate soils (ASS) are generally associated with low-lying coastal areas, including estuarine flood plains, rivers and creeks.

JBS&G, 2019 stated that since the site is not located near the coast and the elevation is in excess of 40 m AHD the likelihood of ASS within the study area is low.

Salts are naturally present in soil, bedrock and groundwater. In western Sydney salts naturally occur within the Ashfield Shale and are mobilised in the subsurface by the movement of groundwater. When saline groundwater is present close to the surface the salts can precipitate on the ground as the saline groundwater is drawn to the surface by fluctuating water tables combined with capillary action. Seepage of saline groundwater can cause corrosion of building materials, inhibit growth of most plant species except for highly salt tolerant vegetation, contributing to increased soil erosion. Salinity hazard mapping indicates the site is of moderate salinity potential due to the site being located on Ashfield Shale (DIPNR, 2012). Off site adjacent to drainage lines the salinity potential is considered high as the saline groundwater becomes shallower with an increased potential of the water table intersecting the ground surface.

### 3.6 Summary of Previous Investigations and Design Information

#### 3.6.1 Preliminary Site Investigation (JBS&G 2019)

In January 2019, JBS&G conducted a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) with limited soil sampling at the site.

The JBS&G review of the site history indicated that the site was historically used for light agricultural purposes (i.e. grazing, historical dairy farming, poultry farming and horticulture).

The findings of the desktop study (confirmed by detailed site inspections completed by JBS&G on 30 November 2018 and 16 January 2019) identified current and potential historical sources of on-site contamination. The sources of potential contamination were associated with the following storage, handling and uses on the site:

- Pesticides/herbicides used in former and current market gardens;
- Potential biological impacts from livestock/poultry farming;
- Potential use of hazardous building materials (asbestos, lead based paints, PCBs) in historic and current site structures resulting in localised impacts to soils in proximity to the location of site structures;
- Potential hydrocarbon and pesticide contamination from the storage of materials and consumables at various locations across the site area (former and current sheds).
- Fill materials of unknown origin; and
- Potential asbestos containing materials (ACM) in irrigation lines (conduits).

JBS&G collected soil samples from a total of 38 locations across the site (29 soil boreholes, two test pits and seven stockpiles). The results from the samples collected by JBS&G have been summarised below:

- Elevated Total Recoverable Hydrocarbon (TRH) concentrations were identified in stained soils below a fuel drum (sample BH10 at 0.1m). This impact was limited in lateral extent and did not appear to migrate vertically, based on visual observations of stained soil;
- A small number of heavy metal impacts to surface soils were also identified but were not considered to pose unacceptable ecological health risks under the proposed land use;
- Anthropogenic materials at some locations were present in quantities that may pose an aesthetic concern for sensitive land uses. JBS&G however noted that with the proposed land use (commercial/industrial), these materials may be retained beneath hardstand without any further management. The impacts identified were typical of historical land uses; and

- Trace level friable asbestos was identified at one location (HA13) adjacent to historical structures, which were observed to contain possible ACM sheet board. JBS&G noted that there was the potential for ACM to be present within site structures and in soil in the vicinity of the structures.

JBS&G concluded that whilst the investigation identified localised surficial soil impacts at the site, the investigation did not identify widespread contamination which may preclude future redevelopment of the site. Identified soil impacts are considered representative of common contaminants and historical land use activities which can be readily dealt with during the DA stage for redevelopment and assessment for site suitability. JBS&G also recommended that a Hazardous Building Material Survey (HBMS) be undertaken prior to any demolition of existing site structures.

### 3.6.2 Detailed Site Investigation (Arcadis 2019)

During October 2019, Arcadis undertook a Detailed Site Investigation (DSI) which involved intrusive works to assess soil, groundwater and surface water on site for contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) identified in the PSI.

Review of previous site reports, observations from site walk overs on 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2019 and analytical results from soil, surface water, groundwater and potentially asbestos containing material (PACM) indicated that impact at the site is unlikely to be wide-spread. Observations were consistent with the JBS&G findings.

The results from the samples collected by Arcadis have been summarised below:

- Soil samples were taken from 15 test pits and six monitoring wells. One sample reported an outlier exceedance of benzo(a)pyrene at MW02\_2.0, however this exceedance was considered an anomaly and does not represent the concentration of benzo(a)pyrene in natural soil materials, nor does it present a risk when compared to ecological screening levels.
- Three soil samples collected from areas adjacent to treated timber posts were assessed, with one sample (SO01) which exceed the NSW EPA General Solid Waste CT1 criteria for nickel.
- Groundwater was encountered in groundwater well at depths ranging from 37.9 m AHD to 57.2 m AHD across the site. It is anticipated that the higher groundwater table is perched and localised.
- All surface waters reported analytes below the adopted criteria.
- Surface waters reported elevated pH and electrical conductivity when compared to the adopted criteria.
- A small number of heavy metal impacts to groundwater were observed and these were attributed to the elevated background concentrations of metals in on-site clay soils.
- Potential asbestos containing material (PACM) reported positive identification of asbestos at three out of four samples locations. No PACM was observed on roads or access tracks, with identified material adjacent current or former structures.

Based on the findings of the DSI, the site was deemed suitable from a contamination perspective for the proposed development as an industrial estate, pending the removal of identified asbestos containing material and the issuing of a clearance certificate to soil surfaces. Arcadis recommended that a HAZMAT survey and an asbestos register should be developed for the site prior to demolition works, asbestos removal works should be undertaken and a clearance certificate issued post demolition and that a site unexpected finds protocol should be implemented prior to any intrusive works. Arcadis also recommended that on-site surface water should be measured after a significant rainfall event and compared to previously recorded the observations to observed water quality prior to dam de-watering. Accordingly, there is potential for unexpected finds, including contamination or waste, which may be encountered during demolition or earthworks at the site.

### 3.6.3 Geotechnical Investigation (PSM, 2020)

Pells Sullivan Meynink (PSM) prepared a Geotechnical Investigation Letter Report (PSM, 2020), which included an additional three geotechnical investigations undertaken 30 November 2018, 04 December 2018 and 16 January 2019.

- The geotechnical investigations comprised an inspection of site conditions including:
  - Geology
  - Surface conditions
  - Subsurface conditions
  - Groundwater
- Excavation of 19 test pits to depths of between 1.6 m and 3.5 m.
- Drilling of eight boreholes to depths of between 3.7 m and 15.0 m.
- Five bulk soil samples were recovered for California Bearing Ratio (CBR)
- Twenty-one samples were analysed for:
  - Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium
  - Exchange sodium percentage (ESP)
  - Salinity
  - Soil pH
  - Chlorides
  - Sulphates
  - Resistivity

A summary of results from the investigation indicate the following:

- pH of the soil samples ranged from 5.0 to 9.0, with an average of 6.6
- The electrical conductivity ( $EC_{1.5}$ ) of the soil samples was in the range of 27  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  to 1,400  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ .
- Concentrations of chlorides in samples were in the range of 130 mg/kg to 2,460 mg/kg.
- Concentrations of soluble sulfate in samples were in the range of <100 mg/kg to 930 mg/kg.
- CEC in samples was in the range of <0.2 meq/100g to 26.6 meq/100g.
- ESP was in the range of 5.6% to 53.4 %.
- The majority of soils on site were classified as “on-saline to moderately saline” except for one sample from TP8 that is very saline. TP8 is located within a fill area.
- Groundwater was observed a 3.0 m bgl in BH5 and at 3.0 m in TP1, TP32 and TP35. It is considered that there may possibly be perched water tables. Groundwater was not observed at any other location.

### 3.6.4 Additional Geotechnical Investigation (PSM, 2021)

PSM prepared an Additional Geotechnical Investigation Letter Report (PSM, 2021) which included advancement of 11 boreholes (**BHs**) to a maximum depth of 17.0 mbgl undertaken between 10 and 15 November 2021.

A summary of results from the additional geotechnical investigation include:

- Water seepage observed at BH06 at 3.5 mbgl (prior to rock coring).
- Water seepage observed at BH11 at 9.0 mbgl.

Arcadis notes that BH06 and BH11 are located in future Lots 7 and 4 respectively, in areas where between 8.0 and 10.0 m of cut is required.

The remaining nine (9) BHs advanced by PSM did not encounter groundwater and were located in the area of future Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 11.

### 3.6.5 Civil Infrastructure Drawings

The Aspect Industrial Estate stage 1 Civil Works Package drawings were initially reviewed to assess proposed cut and fill locations against groundwater depths to determine potential groundwater interference.

Arcadis understands bulk earthworks levels were revised to achieve a balanced cut to fill development, whilst taking into consideration possible future planning modifications. Revised bulk earthworks levels are shown in the following drawings provided to Arcadis by Orion Consulting, on behalf of Mirvac, on 22 March 2022:

- AT&L, *Mirvac-Aspect Industrial Estate-Mamre Road, Kemps Creek Stage 1-Comparison Bulk Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan – Drawing No. 18-596-SKC121*, 16 February 2022.
- AT&L, *Mirvac-Aspect Industrial Estate-Mamre Road, Kemps Creek Stage 1-Bulk Earthworks Contour Plan – Drawing No. 18-596-C1020*, 10 February 2022.
- AT&L, *Mirvac-Aspect Industrial Estate-Mamre Road, Kemps Creek Stage 1-Bulk Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan – Drawing No. 18-596-C1025*, 10 February 2022.

Copies of these drawings showing the revised bulk earthworks levels are provided as **Appendix A**.

A summary review of the design plans indicates proposed cutting beneath existing ground level at the following locations:

- Typical Road Sections Sheet 2: Utility footing excavations to 1200mm
- Typical Sections Sheet 1: Section 3 – cut beneath existing surface.
- Typical Sections Sheet 2: Future Lot 4 – Section 8
- Typical Sections Sheet 3: Future Lot 4 – Section 9
- Typical Sections Sheet 3: Future Lot 5 – Sections 10, 11 and 12
- Typical Sections Sheet 4: Future Lot 7 – Section 13
- Typical Sections Sheet 4: Future Lot 5 – Section 14
- Typical Sections Sheet 4: Future Lot 9 – Section 16
- Bulk Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan – Cut on Lots 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11<sup>1</sup>

The finished level for the proposed future lots ranges from approx. RL 47.80 to 52.60 m AHD.

Table 3-1 below presents the bulk earthworks level at each lot (Bulk Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan) along with the highest groundwater contour level available (Figure 2, Appendix A).

Table 3-1 Bulk Earthworks Level compared to highest recorded groundwater depth (mAHD)

Future Lot	Finish Level (m AHD)	Highest Groundwater Contour Level (m AHD)	Groundwater Interception Risk (<2.0 m)
1	47.80	44.00	No
2	48.20	46.00	No
3	49.00	49.00*	Yes
4**	57.00	56.00	Yes

<sup>1</sup> Arcadis notes that the revised Bulk Earthworks Cut/Fill Plan show an overall Cut/Fill balance of -5,614 m<sup>3</sup> for the development of the Site.

Future Lot	Finish Level (m AHD)	Highest Groundwater Contour Level (m AHD)	Groundwater Interception Risk (<2.0 m)
5	57.00	56.00	Yes
6	56.00	53.00	No
7**	52.60	53.00*	Yes
8	50.00	51.00*	Yes
9	51.60	46.00	No
10	52.30	49.00	No
11	52.60	52.00	Yes

**Notes**

Groundwater levels were obtained from groundwater contouring undertaken as part of the DSI. Groundwater levels area therefore indicative only.

\* Indicates groundwater levels are within 0.2 m of the finish level or above the finish level.

\*\* Indicates groundwater (perched water and/or contiguous aquifer) was encountered during PSM 2021 Additional Geotechnical Investigation within this Future Lot.

The groundwater data set used during this assessment was that from Arcadis (2019) and PSM (2019). It should be noted that these investigations were not comprehensive hydrogeological studies.

## 4 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

A Conceptual Site Model (CSM) describes the potential environmental and human health risks of identified areas of possible soil and groundwater contamination. The CSM outlines the complete and/or potential pathways between the known or potential source(s) and the receptor(s).

Based on the information available for the site from the JBS&G PSI (January 2019) and the Arcadis DSI (October 2019), the following preliminary CSM has been prepared.

### 4.1 Source

Potential sources of contamination at the site and the associated contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) are listed below in Table 3-1.

Table 4-1 Potential On-Site Contaminant Sources

Source	Associated Chemicals	CoPC
Historic and current market gardens and livestock/poultry farming	Pesticides, herbicides	Pesticides, herbicides
Hazardous building materials in historic and current site structures and in the irrigation lines (conduits)	Asbestos containing materials (ACM), lead based paints, electrical components containing Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Asbestos, lead, PCBs
Storage of various materials (such as fuel drums) and consumables in historic and current sheds across the site	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRHs), pesticides, herbicides	TRHs, pesticides, herbicides
Fill materials of unknown origin	Asbestos, ash, slag, construction waste, demolition waste	Heavy metals, TRH, BTEX, PAHs, organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), organophosphate pesticides (OPP), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), phenols and asbestos

#### Note

\* From the results of the groundwater samples collected in October 2019, it was found that some wells exceeded the ANZG (2018) (95% protection for Fresh Water) and the NEPC (2013) (GILs for Fresh Waters) for some metals. These metals were determined to be of background origin and are not considered a potential contaminant source.

### 4.2 Potentially Affected Media

The potentially affected media at the site includes:

- Soil;
- Groundwater; and
- Surface water (in the dams).

### 4.3 Pathways

Pathways or transport mechanisms by which receptors may be exposed to contamination on and off-site include:

- Direct contact with contaminated soil/groundwater;
- Ingestion of dust/abstracted groundwater;
- Inhalation of asbestos fibres; and
- Groundwater flow off-site.

## 4.4 Receptors

Potential receptors to contamination include:

- Demolition/construction workers;
- Future site users;
- Surrounding residents;
- Environmental receptors (Kemps Creek and South Creek); and
- Groundwater use (off-site).

## 4.5 Exposure Assessment

Based on the preliminary CSM discussed in Section 3.1 to Section 3.4, the potential for contamination to be present at the site is considered to be **Moderate**. This level of contamination risk can be minimised or removed if precautionary measures are taken. The potentially complete and incomplete pathways are discussed in more detail in the Table 3-2 below.

Table 4-2 Exposure Assessment

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Exposure Assessment	Pathway completeness
Market gardens and livestock/poultry farming	Direct contact	Demolition/construction workers, future site users	Demolition and construction workers developing the site will come into contact with potentially contaminated soil. Workers in service trenches may also encounter groundwater. Depending on the landscaping of the proposed developed on the site, future site workers may be directly exposed to potentially contaminated soil via open grass areas.	<b>Based on the DSI results presented herein, this pathway is incomplete. An UFP is required during construction works.</b>
		Surrounding residents	Surrounding residents will not come into direct contact with any potentially contaminated soil or groundwater. No groundwater abstraction wells were noted around the site.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>
	Ingestion	Demolition/construction workers, surrounding residents, future site user	Demolition/construction workers and surrounding residents have the potential to be exposed to dust and/or groundwater during the construction phase of the proposed development. Depending on the landscaping of the proposed developed on the site, future site workers may also be directly exposed to potentially contaminated dust via open grass areas.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete and should be managed during construction works with a CEMP and UFP.</b>
Hazardous building materials	Direct contact, inhalation of asbestos fibres	Demolition/construction workers	If any hazardous building materials are present in the currently existing structures, demolition/construction workers may be exposed during demolition works.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete and should be managed through a CEMP during construction works as well as a HAZMAT Assessment.</b>
		Surrounding residents, future site residents	Surrounding residents will not be allowed access onto the site and therefore will not come into contact with any hazardous building materials. Additionally, hazardous materials should be removed from the site before the construction of the proposed boarding home, therefore future residents will not be exposed.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>
	Ingestion	Demolition/construction workers	Demolition/construction workers may be at risk of ingesting hazardous materials during intrusive site construction works.	<b>Based on the DSI results presented herein, this pathway is incomplete.</b>
Surrounding residents, future site residents		These receptors will not come into contact with any hazardous building materials during or after construction.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>	

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Exposure Assessment	Pathway completeness
Storage of various materials (such as fuel drums) and consumables	Direct contact, ingestion	Demolition/ construction workers, future site users	Demolition and construction workers developing the site will come into contact with potentially contaminated soil. Additionally, future site workers may be directly exposed to potentially contaminated soil via open grass areas.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete and should be managed through a CEMP during construction works.</b>
		Surrounding residents	Surrounding residents will not come into direct contact with any potentially contaminated soil or groundwater. No groundwater abstraction wells were noted around the site.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>
Fill materials	Direct contact, ingestion	Demolition/ construction workers, future site users, surrounding residents	Demolition and construction workers developing the site will come into contact with underlying fill during the construction phase. Depending on the landscaping of the proposed developed on the site, future site workers may be directly exposed to potentially contaminated soil via open grass areas. Surrounding residents have the potential to be exposed to dust during the construction phase.	<b>Based on the results presented herein, this pathway is incomplete.</b>
		Demolition/ construction workers	Demolition/construction workers may be exposed to fragments of asbestos in the fill material during demolition works. If the fill is still present and/or exposed on the site after completion of the proposed development, future site workers may also be exposed via open grassed areas.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete and should be managed during construction works through an UFP and a CEMP. HAZMAT assessment prior to demolition is recommended.</b>
	Surrounding residents		Surrounding residents will not come into contact with any fill material during or after construction.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>
Contaminated groundwater	Direct contact	Demolition/ construction workers	Demolition and construction workers developing the site may come into contact with potentially contaminated groundwater during excavation of service trenches and/or during earthworks.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete.</b>
	Ingestion	Future site users, surrounding residents	Future site users and surrounding residents will not come into contact with any groundwater during or after construction, as groundwater is not to be extracted on-site.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>

Source	Pathway	Receptor	Exposure Assessment	Pathway completeness
	Groundwater flow	Environmental receptors (e.g. Kemps Creek and South Creek)	Kemps Creek and South Creek are located down gradient of the site and therefore are potential receptors to contaminated groundwater.	<b>The pathway is potentially complete.</b>
		Off-site groundwater users	No groundwater boreholes were present within a 2000m radius of the site; therefore, it is unlikely that off-site receptors will come into contact with any potentially contaminated groundwater.	<b>The pathway is incomplete.</b>

## 5 LEGISLATION AND POLICY

### 5.1 Legislative Framework

Groundwater in NSW is regulated by DPIE-Water under the *Water Act 1912* (NSW) (Water Act), the *Water Management Act 2000* (NSW) (WMA, 2000) and Water Management (General) Regulation, 2011. The WMA, 2000 is gradually replacing the planning and management frameworks in the Water Act, although some provisions of the Water Act remain in operation. The WMA, 2000 regulates groundwater extraction under the NSW Aquifer Interference Policy (AIP), 2012.

- A water access licence to take water.
- A water supply works approval to construct a work.
- A water use approval to use the water.

The AIP (NSW DPI, 2012 and NoW 2012) explains the process of administering water policy under the WM Act for activities that interfere with the aquifer. In accordance with the AIP an activity that results in the loss of water from the environment, a water access licence (WAL) is required, unless the activities are considered to be of 'minimal impact'.

Under the AIP groundwater inflows are considered as a minimal impact activity in the construction of trenching and costeaning. In addition, very small water takes up to 3 ML/year are also considered minimal impact activities as long as the water volume can be substantiated (Dent, et al., 2015).

The project is located in the *Greater Metropolitan Region Groundwater Source Water Sharing Plan* (the Plan) (NoW 2011) which commenced on 1 July 2011. Within the Plan, the project footprint is subject to the rules of the Sydney Basin Central Groundwater Source which outline the recommended management approaches of surface and groundwater connectivity and protection of water quality.

### 5.2 Assessment Criteria

Groundwater quality is screened against the following guidelines:

- ANZG (2018) Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality - 95% protection for Fresh Water;
- NEPC (2013) Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater – Groundwater Investigation Level for Fresh Waters; and
- NHMRC (2008) Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water – Primary Contact Recreation.

## 6 2019 GROUNDWATER MONITORING EVENT

A summary of the information collected during the groundwater monitoring event conducted by Arcadis in October 2019 is provided in this section.

### 6.1 Groundwater Levels and Flow Direction

Groundwater standing water levels were measured in newly installed wells (monitoring wells MW01 to MW06) constructed across the site (Arcadis, 2019). Groundwater levels measured in October 2019 ranged between 2.52 and 8.31 metres below ground level. Review of this data indicates that the standing water levels are shallowest along the central drainage line and as expected becomes deeper higher in the catchment to the east and west. During the drilling program groundwater was intersected at depths deeper than the measured standing water levels (ranging between 2.3 and 6.8 metres). The difference between the standing water level and water strike indicates the groundwater within the shale is partially confined. Consequently, excavations across the site are likely to intersect groundwater at depths deeper than the measured standing water levels.

Reduced standing water levels ranged from 37.98 and 57.18 mAHD. These groundwater elevations indicate groundwater flow is towards the northwest, in the direction of Kemps Creek. Groundwater contours and flow direction are presented in Figure 2, Appendix A.

Groundwater level observations are summarised in Table 6-1. The monitoring wells are screened within the shale and weathered shale.

Table 6-1 Groundwater Monitoring Well Observations

Well	Date	X (UTM 56 - GDA94)	Y (UTM 56 - GDA94)	Elevation (m TOC-AHD)	Depth to water (m TOC)	DTB (TOC)	Standing Water Level (m AHD)
MW01	16.10.19	6253425	294732.3	42.198	4.220	9.057	37.978
MW02	16.10.19	6253413	295305.1	51.525	3.249	11.795	48.276
MW03	23.10.19	6252758	294943.7	61.429	8.310	11.100	53.119
MW04	16.10.19	6252998	295177.3	51.168	3.636	9.045	47.532
MW05	16.10.19	6253089	295271.7	49.925	2.527	9.458	47.398
MW06	16.10.19	6253158	295551.8	62.123	4.946	11.390	57.177

#### Notes

Top of casing (TOC)  
 Australian Height Datum (AHD)  
 Geocentric Datum of Australia 1994 (GDA94)  
 Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) [Zone 56]

#### 6.1.1 Groundwater Level Fluctuations

Fluctuations in groundwater must also be considered as a rise in groundwater level will increase the risk of groundwater being encountered during the site redevelopment works. It is noted Western Sydney is experiencing drought conditions and consequently groundwater levels would be expected to be lower than usual. No historical groundwater level monitoring is known to have been undertaken at the site.

Groundwater level fluctuations within the Bringelly Shale would be expected to naturally fluctuate between 0.5 and 1 metre. Thus, following prolonged heavy rainfall groundwater levels would be expected to rise. However due to the clayey hard pan nature of the weathered shale soil profile and the low water transmitting properties of the shale groundwater infiltration will be limited, restricting groundwater level rises.

### 6.2 Groundwater Quality

Groundwater extracted during sampling was observed to be of moderate to low turbidity at most locations. One exception was MW06, which displayed very low turbidity.

No sheens or odours were observed in purged groundwater except MW01 which held a biogenic sheen. These sheens are often naturally associated with groundwater derived from shale and rare due to the organic content within the shale, rather than being indicative of hydrocarbon contamination. No wells were purged dry and well recharge was observed to be adequate for peristaltic pump sampling.

## 6.2.1 Physico-Chemical Parameters

Water quality parameters recorded during the groundwater sampling are provided in the following table.

Table 6-2 Groundwater Monitoring Well Field Quality Parameters

Well	pH	Temperature (°C)	Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Redox Potential (mV)*	Comments
MW01	6.921	15.5	14,068	1.22	346.1	Biogenic sheen, no odour
MW02	6.81	17.8	19,646	1.06	328.6	No sheen, no odour
MW03	6.68	21.1	21,256	7.48	364.6	No sheen, no odour
MW04	6.44	19.2	18,636	1.58	347.1	No sheen, no odour
MW05	6.55	19.2	19,783	0.64	357.1	No sheen, no odour
MW06	6.96	20.3	16,288	3.2	324.1	Clear, no sheen, no odour

### Notes

\*199mV has been added to all redox field measurements to convert to standard hydrogen electrode (SHE)

Based on the physico-chemical data collected during groundwater sampling, the following conclusions have been made:

- pH values indicate that the groundwater is neutral;
- Electrical conductivity ranged from 14,068–21,256  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , indicating brackish water;
- Dissolved oxygen ranges from 0.64 to 7.48 mg/L, indicating a low level of dissolved oxygen within the groundwater aquifer.
- Oxygen reduction potential (ORP) ranged between 324.1 mV and 364.6 mV, indicating a moderate to high (positive) ORP, suggesting an oxidative environment.

## 6.2.2 Analytical Results

The groundwater analytical results are provided in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3 Groundwater Exceedance Analytical Results

Analyte	Guideline Value (mg/kg)	Min ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	Max ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ )	Locations Exceeding Adopted Criteria
Cadmium	0.2 (DGVs, GILs)	<0.2	0.3	MW03, MW04
Copper	1.4 (DGVs, GILs)	<1	2	MW03
Zinc	8 (DGVs, GILs)	<5	47	MW02, MW03, MW04, MW06

Exceedances of the adopted groundwater quality criteria (as specified in Section 5.2) were identified for cadmium, copper and zinc. Total recoverable hydrocarbons C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>16</sub> and >C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>16</sub> less naphthalene (F2) reported 70 µg/L, above the Limits of Reporting (LOR) of 50 µg/L. All other analytes (filtered metals, TRHs, BTEX, PAHs, PCBs and OC & OP pesticides) reported less than LOR.

The minor exceedances for dissolved metals is typical of natural background levels and consistent with previous groundwater monitoring from the Bringelly Shale conducted at Badgerys Creek (PPK, 1998).

Summary tables displaying reported analyte concentrations screened against the adopted criteria are provided in Error! Reference source not found.. Laboratory reports are provided in **Appendix C**.

### 6.3 Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity

Rising head slug tests were conducted as part of the DSI (Arcadis, 2019) to measure the hydraulic conductivity of the shale. The tests were conducted in monitoring wells MW01 and MW02, located in the north of the site. The results are presented in **Error! Reference source not found.** and confirm the Bringelly Shale has low water transmitting properties. These low values of hydraulic conductivity are consistent with other measurements within the Bringelly Shale (PPK, 1998).

The slug test methodology, analysis and results are provided in the DSI (Arcadis, 2019).

Table 6-4 Aquifer Hydraulic Conductivity

Well	Hydraulic Conductivity using Bouwer & Rice (m/d)	Hydraulic Conductivity using Hvorslev (m/d)
MW01	2.03 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.60 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>
MW02	7.16 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.02 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>

### 6.4 Expected Volume of Groundwater to be Extracted

With a knowledge of the local hydrogeology (Sections 6.1 and 6.2) and the general building construction plans the volume of groundwater to be extracted can be estimated.

Standing groundwater levels measured at the site in October 2019 are known to range between 2.52 and 8.31 metres below ground level (mbgl). Once details of the buildings design are known, standing water levels beneath the buildings can be estimated with more confidence. During the drilling program groundwater was intersected at depths deeper than the measured standing water levels (ranging between 2.3 and 6.8 metres).

The only other known intrusive works likely to be conducted at the site are the installation of service trenches to install utilities such as stormwater, sewer, electricity, power, gas and telecommunications. Typically, these service trenches are excavated no deeper than two metres.

**Based on the revised bulk earthworks levels, the inferred groundwater contours and the PSM 2021 geotechnical investigation, Lots 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 11 have the potential to encounter groundwater (either perched or contiguous aquifer) during site development (based on final site level and/or presence of service trenches/footings, which may extend up to 2.0 m below final bulk earthworks level).**

In the event that groundwater is encountered the groundwater inflow is dependent upon a number of factors including the depth of the water table intersected, the hydraulic conductivity of the shale, length of the trench/excavation and the duration the excavation is open. Given that the water table is known to be low compared to the base of the trenches and the hydraulic conductivity of the shale is low groundwater inflows would be expected to be low. The length of the trenches is currently unknown but wouldn't be expected to be more than two kilometres. Similarly, the duration that the trench is open is dependent upon the speed of the construction workers but wouldn't be expected to be open for more than 4 weeks.

In the event that groundwater is encountered the extracted groundwater volume would be required to be measured with a flow meter. The groundwater would be collected and directed to a water storage pond where upon on-site reuse options would be considered as outlined in Section 8.1.1.

As outlined in Section 4.1, a WAL will only be required if groundwater is intersected and exceeds the inflow criteria of 3ML/year.

## 7 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The roles and responsibilities regarding the implementation of this GMP on the site is summarised in the table below.

Table 7-1 Roles and Responsibilities

Entity	Role	Responsibility
NSW Department of Natural Resources	Approves the development of the site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide approval for the GMP</li> <li>• Undertake the steps outlined in this GMP</li> </ul>
Mirvac (and Mirvac sub-contractors)	Land developer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developer of the site</li> <li>• Ensure that the requirements outlined within this GMP for the ongoing management of the Site are complied with</li> </ul>
Nominated Environmental Consultant (if required)	Provision of environmental expertise.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carry out groundwater scope of works</li> <li>• Provision of report to Mirvac and Department of Natural Resources</li> </ul>
DPIE Water*	To provide water obstruction licensing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To provide water obstruction licensing if greater than 3ML/year of groundwater is intersected and removed from the site.</li> </ul>

**Note**

\*This entity will only need to undertake their roles and responsibilities if groundwater is encountered at the site in excess of 3ML/year.

## 8 GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT

Based on the outcomes of the DSI (Arcadis, 2019) and Arcadis' understanding of the redevelopment works groundwater has the potential to be intersected for certain lots as outlined in Table 3-1.

In event that groundwater is intersected during Stage 1 of the redevelopment works, the following management measures should be applied.

### 8.1 During Construction

A review of the known redevelopment construction strategy indicates that groundwater has the potential to be encountered based on revised bulk earthworks levels and the construction of service trenches. In this event the following management measures as outlined in Table 8-1 are recommended.

Table 8-1 Management Measures for Intersected Groundwater During Construction

Management Measure	Description
Pump groundwater from the excavated area	Intersected groundwater should be pumped from the excavated service trenches and stored in a discharge basin on-site.
Monitor volume of extracted groundwater	The volume of groundwater extracted should be monitored and recorded to assess if the volume extracted does not exceed the 3 ML/year where a WAL is required. If groundwater volumes are higher than expected and it appears that the 3ML/year criteria may be exceeded a WAL application should be completed and submitted to DPIE Water.
Monitor groundwater quality of the extracted groundwater	To assess if the removed groundwater is suitable for on-site re-use, groundwater quality should be monitored for the following parameters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• pH;</li> <li>• Salinity; and</li> <li>• Metals.</li> </ul> Groundwater will be screened against the adopted guidelines which are outlined in Section 4 of this report. Groundwater treatment may be required before re-using on site to reduce the pH or salinity. The pH is likely to approach neutral due to aeration caused by pumping. Salinity can be lowered by mixing with dam water. Alternatively, the groundwater could be discharged to stormwater or sewer once this infrastructure is installed with appropriate authorisation from Council or Sydney Water respectively.
Monitor groundwater in the existing groundwater wells around the site	If groundwater is intersected during construction works, a round of groundwater level monitoring of the groundwater wells on-site should be triggered to assess any impacts on the water table.

#### 8.1.1 Intersected Groundwater Re-Use

Groundwater re-use options, subject to meeting the adopted groundwater quality guidelines are presented in Table 8-2. These re-use options are consistent with the surface water re-use options as outlined in the Dam Decommissioning Strategy report.

Table 8-2 Intersected Groundwater Re-Use Options

Option	Name	Option Description
1	Dust suppression	The intersected groundwater can be used to spray water across the site for dust suppression during the earthworks and construction phases.
2	On-site irrigation	The groundwater can be circulated around the site for irrigation purposes.
3	Wheel washing	The groundwater can be utilised to spray trucks down before they leave the site to reduce tracking of mud and dirt off-site.
4	Topping up neighbouring dams	The groundwater from the on-site dams can be pumped into off-site neighbouring dams, subject to the dam owner's approval.
5	Discharge to the on-site sediment basin	As a contingency, if there is excess groundwater, an option is to discharge to the on-site sediment basin. The water will have to be flocculated and the water quality monitored. If the water is in accordance with the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh Water Quality 95% species protection (ANZG 2018), then the water can be discharged to South Creek via Kemps Creek.

**Note**

These re-use options are viable only if the groundwater meets the adopted criteria.

## 8.1.2 Intersected Groundwater Treatment or Disposal

If, however, the intersected groundwater does not meet the water quality adopted criteria it must be managed appropriately. Groundwater treatment or disposal options are outlined in Table 8-3.

It should also be noted that groundwater will not be extracted for water management purposes during or after construction.

Table 8-3 Intersected Groundwater Treatment or Disposal Options

	Option	Option Description
1	Treatment (for turbidity)	For excess turbidity issues, the groundwater should be treated by allowing it to settle in the sedimentation pond and then flocculating if the suspended solids do not precipitate out.
2	Treatment (for pH)	If the intersected groundwater has an acidic pH value, lime should be added as a treatment. For alkaline pH aerating the water is likely to reduce the pH.
3	Treatment (for saline groundwater)	If the intersected groundwater is saline, then it can be mixed with onsite surface water from the dams in order to dilute the salinity.
4	Disposal	If treatment options are not suitable, the intersected groundwater (likely to be of low volume) could be tanked offsite for disposal. Alternatively, the groundwater could be detained onsite for discharge to either stormwater or sewer once this infrastructure has been installed on-site and authorisation from Council or Sydney Water respectively is provided.

## 8.1.3 Records

The following records relating to groundwater management and monitoring are to be maintained by Mirvac or their on-site representative:

- Spill or incident reports;
- Groundwater inflows into excavations;
- Intersected groundwater quality;
- Groundwater treatment (if necessary);
- Groundwater disposal (if necessary); and

- Groundwater level monitoring if triggered.

All records are to be maintained in compliance with record keeping requirements as outlined in the CEMP.

## 8.2 Post Construction

Based on revised bulk earthworks levels, the inferred groundwater contours, and the PSM 2021 geotechnical investigation, Lots 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 11 have the potential to encounter groundwater (either perched or contiguous aquifer) during site development (based on final site level and/or presence of service trenches/footings, which may extend up to 2.0 m below final bulk earthworks levels). In light of this, consideration should be given to permanent/ongoing groundwater management approaches including civil engineering (drainage, groundwater management system, etc.) and building and foundation design including subsurface infrastructure.

Consideration should be given to ongoing impacts to the local hydrogeological regime which may need to be managed in accordance with the requirements set out by Water NSW and relevant NSW regulations, including but not limited to *Water Act 1912* (NSW) (Water Act), the *Water Management Act 2000* (NSW) (WMA, 2000) and Water Management (General) Regulation, 2011.

Further assessment of groundwater and/or hydrogeological modelling should be considered to provide a better understanding on likelihood of encountering groundwater and volume of water ingress.

## 9 REFERENCES

Arcadis (2019) *Dam Decommissioning Strategy*.

Arcadis (2019) *Detailed Site Investigation*.

AT&L, *Aspect Industrial Estate Stage 1 Civil Works Package State Significant Development*, 7 February 2020.

Dent B., Russell G. and Green R (2015) *Building Dewatering in the Botany Sands and the Aquifer Interference Policy*. Australian Geomechanics Society Symposium (Sydney Chapter), dated November.

DIPNR (2002) *Map of Salinity Potential in Western Sydney 2002*. Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources.

JBS&G (2019) *Preliminary Site Investigation. Mamre Road, Kemps Creek, NSW*. Prepared for Mirvac Office and Industrial on behalf of Pells Sullivan Meynink, Report No 55607/119599, RevB, dated 30 January.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) (2013) *Guideline on Investigation Levels for Soil and Groundwater*.

National Environment Protection Council (NEPC) (2013) *Guideline on Site Characterisation*.

NHMRC (2008) *Guidelines for Managing Risks in Recreational Water*

NoW. (2011) *Water Sharing Plan, Greater Metropolitan Regional Groundwater Sources Background Document, Sydney*. NSW Office of Water.

NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (2009) *State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009*, amended 11 June 2020

NSW DPI (2012) *NSW Aquifer Interference Policy*. NSW Department of Primary Industries. Publication Number 11445,2012 31pp.

PPK (1998); Groundwater Studies. *Second Sydney Airport Environmental Impact Statement*. Department of Transport and Regional Services, dated December.

PSM (2020), *788-904 Mamre Road, Kemps Creek (Lots 54-58 – DP259135) Results of Geotechnical Investigation*.

PSM (2022), *Mirvac Aspect Industrial Estate – 788-904 Mamre Road, Kemps Creek Results of Additional Geotechnical Investigation – Southern PAD, RW21 and RW22 (Factual Report)*

## **APPENDIX A FIGURES**

10035157 - Aspect Industrial Estate - Groundwater Management Plan



**Legend**

- Site Boundary
- Lot Boundaries

1:4,130 at A3



ARCADIS AUSTRALIA PACIFIC PTY LTD  
 ABN 76 104 485 289  
 Level 16, 580 George St | Sydney NSW 2000  
 P: +61 (0) 2 8907 9000 | F: +61 (0) 2 8907 9001  
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56  
 Date issued: October 24, 2019




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, GS, Intermap,

Figure 1 - Site Overview



10035157 - Aspect Industrial Estate - Groundwater Management Plan




**Legend**

- Groundwater Wells
- Groundwater Contours (m AHD)
- Dams
- Site Boundary
- Lot Boundaries


1:3,100 at A3

ARCADIS AUSTRALIA PACIFIC PTY LTD  
 ABN 76 104 485 289  
 Level 16, 580 George St | Sydney NSW 2000  
 P: +61 (0) 2 8907 9000 | F: +61 (0) 2 8907 9001  
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56  
 Date issued: October 24, 2019




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, GS, Intermap,

Figure 2 - Groundwater Contours



10035157 - Aspect Industrial Estate - Groundwater Management Plan



**Legend**

**Current Sample Locations**

- Type**
-  Monitoring Well
  -  Surface Water Sample
  -  Test Pit

**Previous Sample Locations**


- Type**
-  Borehole
  -  Fragment
  -  Hand Auger
  -  Stockpile
  -  Surface Water
  -  Test Pit

-  Lots
-  Site Boundary

1:4,128 at A3




ARCADIS AUSTRALIA PACIFIC PTY LTD  
 ABN 76 104 485 289  
 Level 16, 580 George St | Sydney NSW 2000  
 P: +61 (0) 2 8907 9000 | F: +61 (0) 2 8907 9001  
 Coordinate System: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 56  
 Date issued: October 2, 2019



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, CS, Intermap

Figure 3 - Previous and Current Sample Locations






LEGEND:	
	EXISTING BOUNDARY
	EXISTING CONTOUR
	PROPOSED BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
	PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR
	PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
	PROPOSED BATTER
	TEMPORARY BATTER 1(V):4(H)
	PERMANENT BATTER 1(V):5(H)
	U.N.O

ALL FINISHED LEVELS SHOWN ARE ±1000mm

Issue	Description	Date
F	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	10-02-22
E	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	03-02-22
D	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	08-10-21
C	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	15-10-20
B	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	12-10-20
A	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	15-05-20



THIS DRAWING CANNOT BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT ORIGINALLY INTENDED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF AT&L



Client	Client
Scales	1:2000
Grid	MGA
Height Datum	AHD
Drawn	PKD
Designed	PKD
Checked	AT
Approved	AM


Project  
**ASPECT INDUSTRIAL ESTATE**  
**MAMRE ROAD,**  
**KEMPS CREEK**  
**STAGE 1**

Title  
**BULK EARTHWORKS**  
**CONTOUR PLAN**

Civil Engineers and Project Managers

Level 7, 153 Walker Street  
 North Sydney NSW 2060  
 ABN 96 130 882 405  
 Tel: 02 9439 1777  
 Fax: 02 9923 1055  
 www.atl.net.au  
 info@atl.net.au

Status	FOR APPROVAL	A1
	NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION	Issue
Project - Drawing No.	18-596-C1020	F



**EARTHWORKS VOLUMES**

A	B	C	D	E = A+B+C+D	F
EXISTING TOPSOIL STRIPPING VOLUME (cu.m) REFER NOTE No.1	EXCAVATION OF EXISTING CREEKS AND DAMS (cu.m) REFER NOTE No.3	NET CUT (cu.m)	NET FILL (cu.m)	BALANCE (cu.m)	APPROXIMATE VOLUME OF SELECT MATERIAL IMPORT FOR RETAINING WALLS
-107,567	-29,947	-998,571	1,130,471	-5,614	28,008

**CUT/FILL DEPTH RANGE LEGEND**

Lower_value	Upper_value	Colour
-10.0	to -8.0	Dark Brown
-8.0	to -7.0	Light Brown
-7.0	to -6.0	Orange
-6.0	to -5.0	Yellow-Green
-5.0	to -4.0	Yellow
-4.0	to -3.0	Light Green
-3.0	to -2.0	Green
-2.0	to -1.0	Light Blue
-1.0	to 0.0	Blue
0.0	to 1.0	Light Cyan
1.0	to 2.0	Cyan
2.0	to 3.0	Light Blue
3.0	to 4.0	Blue
4.0	to 5.0	Light Cyan
5.0	to 6.0	Cyan
6.0	to 7.0	Light Blue
7.0	to 8.0	Blue
8.0	to 10.0	Dark Blue

**EARTHWORKS AREAS**

CUT (ha)	17.8
FILL (ha)	38.0
FARM DAMS (ha)	3.0
TOTAL (ha)	58.8


**LEGEND:**

- EXISTING BOUNDARY
- EXISTING CONTOUR
- PROPOSED BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
- PROPOSED BATTER  
TEMPORARY BATTER 1(V):4(H)  
PERMANENT BATTER 1(V):5(H)  
U.N.O
- EXTENT OF EXCAVATION OF EXISTING CREEKS AND DAMS (REFER NOTE No.3)

**NOTES**

- EXISTING TOPSOIL STRIPPED 150mm SITEWIDE. IT IS ASSUMED THAT ALL TOPSOIL FROM THE SITE WILL BE RE-USED ON SITE AS PER THE SPECIFICATION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. EXCESS TOPSOIL WILL BE EXPORTED AS REQUIRED.
- ALLOWANCE HAS BEEN MADE FOR RETAINING WALL BACKFILL MATERIAL (IMPORT).
- ADDITIONAL EXCAVATION OF EXISTING CREEKS AND DAMS WITHIN SITE AREA TO A DEPTH 1m BELOW EXISTING INVERT AND WIDTH OF EXISTING CREEK/DAM EXTENT. VOLUMES ARE APPROXIMATE. DEPTH OF DAMS HAS BEEN ASSUMED AT 2.5m DEEP. EXCAVATED MATERIAL TO BE FARMED AND USED AS GENERAL FILL WITHIN FILL ZONES 1m BELOW FINAL SURFACE (GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER TO CONFIRM SUITABILITY OF MATERIAL).
- NEGATIVE BALANCE VOLUMES INDICATE EXCESS OF MATERIAL REQUIRED (EXPORT).
- THE VOLUMES DO NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE FOLLOWING -
  - BULKING FACTORS OF REMOVED CUT
  - REMOVAL OF EXISTING BUILDING SLABS AND PAVEMENTS
  - REMOVAL AND/OR REMEDIATION OF ANY EXISTING UNCONTROLLED FILL
  - PROPOSED LANDSCAPING
  - STORMWATER AND UTILITY TRENCHING
  - EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL SWALES AND BASINS
- BUILDING PADS HAVE BEEN GRADED AT MINIMUM 0.5% GRADE
- PROPOSED PAVEMENT BOX OUT HAS BEEN SHOWN AT 700mm DEPTH. PAVEMENT DEPTH TO CONFIRMED DURING DETAILED DESIGN.

Issue	Description	Date
F	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	10-02-22
E	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	03-02-22
D	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	15-10-20
C	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	12-10-20
B	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	22-05-20
A	ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION	15-05-20



THIS DRAWING CANNOT BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT ORIGINALLY INTENDED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF AT&L



Client	Scales	Drawn	Project
	1:2000	PKD	ASPECT INDUSTRIAL ESTATE MAMRE ROAD, KEMPS CREEK STAGE 1
	Grid MGA	Checked PDK	
	Height Datum AHD	Approved AT	

Title	Status	Issue
BULK EARTHWORKS CUT/FILL PLAN	FOR APPROVAL NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION	A1
	18-596-C1025	F

Civil Engineers and Project Managers

Level 7, 153 Walker Street  
North Sydney NSW 2060  
ABN 96 130 882 405  
Tel: 02 9439 1777  
Fax: 02 9923 1055  
www.atl.net.au  
info@atl.net.au



EARTHWORKS VOLUMES		
A	B	C = A+B
NET CUT (cu.m)	NET FILL (cu.m)	BALANCE (cu.m)
-283,907	92,088	-191,918

CUT/FILL DEPTH RANGE LEGEND		
Lower_value	Upper_value	Colour
-10.0	to -8.0	Dark Brown
-8.0	to -7.0	Light Brown
-7.0	to -6.0	Orange
-6.0	to -5.0	Yellow-Green
-5.0	to -4.0	Yellow
-4.0	to -3.0	Light Green
-3.0	to -2.0	Green
-2.0	to -1.0	Light Blue
-1.0	to 0.0	Blue
0.0	to 1.0	Light Cyan
1.0	to 2.0	Cyan
2.0	to 3.0	Light Blue
3.0	to 4.0	Blue
4.0	to 5.0	Light Cyan
5.0	to 6.0	Cyan
6.0	to 7.0	Light Blue
7.0	to 8.0	Blue
8.0	to 10.0	Dark Blue


**LEGEND:**

- EXISTING BOUNDARY (Blue dashed line)
- EXISTING CONTOUR (Grey line with elevation)
- PROPOSED BOUNDARY (Red dashed line)
- PROPOSED RETAINING WALL (Red solid line)
- PROPOSED BATTER: TEMPORARY BATTER 1(V):4(H), PERMANENT BATTER 1(V):5(H), U.N.O. (Black lines)
- EXTENT OF EXCAVATION OF EXISTING CREEKS AND DAMS (REFER NOTE No.3) (Yellow hatched area)

**NOTES**

- VOLUMES ARE CALCULATED FROM ORIGINAL SSSA SURFACE DATING 15-10-20 TO THE UPDATED SSSA SURFACE
- EXISTING TOPSOIL STRIPPED 150mm SIDEWIDE. IT IS ASSUMED THAT ALL TOPSOIL FROM THE SITE WILL BE RE-USED ON SITE AS PER THE SPECIFICATION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. EXCESS TOPSOIL WILL BE EXPORTED AS REQUIRED.
- ALLOWANCE HAS BEEN MADE FOR RETAINING WALL BACKFILL MATERIAL (IMPORT).
- NEGATIVE BALANCE VOLUMES INDICATE EXCESS OF MATERIAL REQUIRED (EXPORT).
- THE VOLUMES DO NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE FOLLOWING:
  - BULKING FACTORS OF REMOVED CUT
  - REMOVAL OF EXISTING BUILDING SLABS AND PAVEMENTS
  - REMOVAL AND/OR REMEDIATION OF ANY EXISTING UNCONTROLLED FILL
  - PROPOSED LANDSCAPING
  - STORMWATER AND UTILITY TRENCHING
  - EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL SWALES AND BASINS
- BUILDING PADS HAVE BEEN GRADED AT MINIMUM 0.5% GRADE
- PROPOSED PAVEMENT BOX OUT HAS BEEN SHOWN AT 700mm DEPTH. PAVEMENT DEPTH TO CONFIRMED DURING DETAILED DESIGN.

Issue	Description	Date
P1	ISSUED FOR INFORMATION	16-02-22



THIS DRAWING CANNOT BE COPIED OR REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT ORIGINALLY INTENDED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF AT&L



Scales	1:2000	Drawn	KR
		Designed	KR
Grid	MGA	Checked	DS
Height Datum	AHD	Approved	AM

Project  
**ASPECT INDUSTRIAL ESTATE  
MAMRE ROAD,  
KEMPS CREEK  
STAGE 1**

Title  
**COMPARISON  
BULK EARTHWORKS  
CUT/FILL PLAN**

Civil Engineers and Project Managers

Level 7, 153 Walker Street  
North Sydney NSW 2060  
ABN 96 130 882 405  
Tel: 02 9439 1777  
Fax: 02 9923 1055  
www.atl.net.au  
info@atl.net.au

Status  
**FOR AINFORMATION  
NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION**

Project - Drawing No.  
**18-596-SKC121**

Issue  
**P1**

## **APPENDIX B ANALYTICAL TABLES**

Summary of Analytical Results -  
Groundwater

	Metals														TPH					TRH								
	Arsenic	Arsenic (Filtered)	Cadmium	Cadmium (Filtered)	Chromium (III+VI)	Chromium (III+VI) (Filtered)	Copper	Copper (Filtered)	Lead	Lead (Filtered)	Mercury	Mercury (Filtered)	Nickel	Nickel (Filtered)	Zinc	Zinc (Filtered)	C6-C9	C10-C14	C15-C28	C29-C36	C10-C36 (Sum of total)	C6-C10	>C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)	C10-C16	>C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)	C16-C34	C34-C40	>C10 - C40 (Sum of total)
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	1	1	0.2	0.2	1	1	1	1	1	0.1	0.1	1	1	5	5	20	50	100	100	100	20	20	50	50	100	100	100	100
ANZG (2018) Freshwater 95% toxicant DGVs			0.2 <sup>#1</sup>	0.2 <sup>#1</sup>			1.4 <sup>#1</sup>	1.4 <sup>#1</sup>	3.4 <sup>#2</sup>	3.4 <sup>#2</sup>	0.6 <sup>#3</sup>	0.06 <sup>#3 #7</sup>	11 <sup>#3</sup>	11 <sup>#3</sup>	8 <sup>#4</sup>	8 <sup>#4</sup>												
NHMRC 2008 Primary Contact Recreation	100 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>	20 <sup>#5</sup>	20 <sup>#5</sup>	500 <sup>#6</sup>	500 <sup>#6</sup>	20000 <sup>#5</sup>	20000 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>	10 <sup>#5</sup>	10 <sup>#5</sup>	200 <sup>#5</sup>	200 <sup>#5</sup>														
NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters			0.2 <sup>#8</sup>	0.2 <sup>#8</sup>			1.4 <sup>#8</sup>	1.4 <sup>#8</sup>	3.4 <sup>#8</sup>	3.4 <sup>#8</sup>	0.06 <sup>#9</sup>	0.06 <sup>#9</sup>	11 <sup>#8</sup>	11 <sup>#8</sup>	8 <sup>#8</sup>	8 <sup>#8</sup>												

Field_ID	Sampled_Date_Time	Arsenic	Arsenic (Filtered)	Cadmium	Cadmium (Filtered)	Chromium (III+VI)	Chromium (III+VI) (Filtered)	Copper	Copper (Filtered)	Lead	Lead (Filtered)	Mercury	Mercury (Filtered)	Nickel	Nickel (Filtered)	Zinc	Zinc (Filtered)	C6-C9	C10-C14	C15-C28	C29-C36	C10-C36 (Sum of total)	C6-C10	>C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)	C10-C16	>C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)	C16-C34	C34-C40	>C10 - C40 (Sum of total)
MW01	16-Oct-19	-	<1	-	<0.2	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	<1	-	<5	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100
MW02	16-Oct-19	-	<1	-	<0.2	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	9	-	10	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100
MW03	23-Oct-19	-	<1	-	0.3	-	<1	-	2	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	4	-	12	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	70	70	<100	<100	<100
MW04	16-Oct-19	-	<1	-	0.3	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	3	-	9	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100
MW05	16-Oct-19	-	<1	-	<0.2	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	<1	-	<5	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100
MW06	16-Oct-19	-	3	-	<0.2	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<0.1	-	2	-	47	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100

Statistical Summary

Number of Results	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Number of Detects	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Minimum Concentration	ND	<1	ND	<0.2	ND	<1	ND	<1	ND	<1	ND	<0.1	ND	<1	ND	<5	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	<50	<50	<100	<100	<100	<100
Minimum Detect	ND	3	ND	0.3	ND	ND	ND	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2	ND	9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	70	70	ND	ND	ND
Maximum Concentration	0	3	0	0.3	0	<1	0	2	0	<1	0	<0.1	0	9	0	47	<20	<50	<100	<100	<100	<20	<20	70	70	<100	<100	<100	
Maximum Detect	ND	3	ND	0.3	ND	ND	ND	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	9	ND	47	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	70	70	ND	ND	ND
Average Concentration		0.92		0.17		0.5		0.75		0.5		0.05		3.2		14	10	25	50	50	50	10	10	33	33	50	50	50	
Median Concentration		0.5		0.1		0.5		0.5		0.5		0.05		2.5		9.5	10	25	50	50	50	10	10	25	25	50	50	50	
Standard Deviation		1		0.1		0		0.61		0		0		3.2		17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	18	0	0	0
Number of Guideline Exceedances	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Guideline Exceedances(Detects Only)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Env Stds Description

NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters:A Apply to typical slightly-moderately disturbed systems  
B From ADWG  
C May not protect key species from chronic toxicity

Env Stds Comments

#1:Very high reliability  
#2:Moderate reliability  
#3:Low reliability  
#4:High reliability  
#5:ADWG 2015 Health  
#6:NHMRC 2008 Risk in Recreational Water  
#7: ANZG (2018) Freshwater 99% toxicant DGVs  
#8:Values calculated using hardness of 30 mg/L CaCO3. Refer ANZECC & ARMCANZ (2000) for site specific hardness guidance  
#9:Chemical for which possible bioaccumulation and secondary poisoning effects should be considered, refer to ANZECC & ARMCANZ (2000) for further guidance.  
#10:Figure may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZECC & ARMCANZ (2000) for further guidance.

Summary of Analytical Results -  
Groundwater

	BTEX						PAH																Phenols	
	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylene (m & p)	Xylene (o)	Xylene Total	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(b,j)fluoranthene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	PAHs (Sum of total)	Phenolics Total
	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
EQL	1	1	1	2	1	3	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	50
ANZG (2018) Freshwater 95% toxicant DGVs	950 <sup>#2</sup>				350 <sup>#3</sup>															16 <sup>#3</sup>				
NHMRC 2008 Primary Contact Recreation	10 <sup>#5</sup>	8000 <sup>#5</sup>	3000 <sup>#5</sup>			6000 <sup>#5</sup>				0.12 <sup>#7</sup>	0.1 <sup>#6</sup>													
NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters	950				350															16				

Field_ID	Sampled_Date_Time	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylene (m & p)	Xylene (o)	Xylene Total	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(b,j)fluoranthene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	PAHs (Sum of total)	Phenolics Total		
MW01	16-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	
MW02	16-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-
MW03	23-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-
MW04	16-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-
MW05	16-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-
MW06	16-Oct-19	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-

Statistical Summary	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Xylene (m & p)	Xylene (o)	Xylene Total	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Benzo(b,j)fluoranthene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	PAHs (Sum of total)	Phenolics Total		
Number of Results	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	0	
Number of Detects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minimum Concentration	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	ND
Minimum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Maximum Concentration	<1	<1	<1	<2	<1	<3	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0
Maximum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Average Concentration	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	1.5	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	
Median Concentration	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	1.5	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	
Standard Deviation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Guideline Exceedances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Guideline Exceedances(Detects Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Env Stds Description**  
 NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters:A Apply to typ  
 B From ADWG  
 C May not protect key species from chronic toxicity

**Env Stds Comments**  
 #1:Very high reliability  
 #2:Moderate reliability  
 #3:Low reliability  
 #4:High reliability  
 #5:ADWG 2015 Health  
 #6:NHMRC 2008 Risk in Recreational Water  
 #7: ANZG (2018) Freshwater 99% toxicant DGVs  
 #8:Values calculated using hardness of 30 mg/L CaCO3.  
 #9:Chemical for which possible bioaccumulation and se  
 #10:Figure may not protect key species from chronic to

Summary of Analytical Results -  
Groundwater

	PCBs								Organochlorine Pesticides																								
	Arochlor 1016	Arochlor 1221	Arochlor 1232	Arochlor 1242	Arochlor 1248	Arochlor 1254	Arochlor 1260	PCBs (Sum of total)	a-BHC	Aldrin	Dieldrin	Aldrin + Dieldrin	b-BHC	chlordane	d-BHC	DDD	4,4-DDE	DDT	DDT+DDE+DDD	Endrin ketone	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II	Endosulfan sulphate	Endrin	Endrin aldehyde	g-BHC (Lindane)	Heptachlor	Heptachlor epoxide	Methoxychlor	Toxaphene	Organochlorine pesticides EPAVic	Other organochlorine pesticides EPAVic	
EQL	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	
ANZG (2018) Freshwater 95% toxicant DGVs	1	1	1	0.6 <sup>#3</sup>	1	0.03 <sup>#2</sup>	1	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.08 <sup>#2</sup>	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.02 <sup>#2</sup>	0.1	0.2 <sup>#2</sup>	0.09 <sup>#2</sup>	0.1	0.1	0.2 <sup>#2</sup>	1	1
NHMRC 2008 Primary Contact Recreation														20 <sup>#5</sup>												100 <sup>#5</sup>	3 <sup>#5</sup>						
NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters				0.3 <sup>#9</sup>		0.01 <sup>#9</sup>								0.03 <sup>#9</sup>											0.01 <sup>#9</sup>	0.2	0.01 <sup>#9</sup>			0.1 <sup>#9</sup>			

Field_ID	Sampled_Date_Time	Arochlor 1016	Arochlor 1221	Arochlor 1232	Arochlor 1242	Arochlor 1248	Arochlor 1254	Arochlor 1260	PCBs (Sum of total)	a-BHC	Aldrin	Dieldrin	Aldrin + Dieldrin	b-BHC	chlordane	d-BHC	DDD	4,4-DDE	DDT	DDT+DDE+DDD	Endrin ketone	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II	Endosulfan sulphate	Endrin	Endrin aldehyde	g-BHC (Lindane)	Heptachlor	Heptachlor epoxide	Methoxychlor	Toxaphene	Organochlorine pesticides EPAVic	Other organochlorine pesticides EPAVic
MW01	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW02	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW03	23-Oct-19	<5	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<10	<1	<1
MW04	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW05	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW06	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statistical Summary																																		
Number of Results	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Number of Detects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minimum Concentration	<5	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<10	<1	<1
Minimum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
Maximum Concentration	<5	<1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<10	<1	<1	
Maximum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
Average Concentration																																		
Median Concentration	2.5	0.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.5	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.5	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	5	0.5	0.5		
Standard Deviation																																		
Number of Guideline Exceedances	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	
Number of Guideline Exceedances(Detects Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

**Env Stds Description**  
 NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters:A Apply to typ  
 B From ADWG  
 C May not protect key species from chronic toxicity

**Env Stds Comments**  
 #1:Very high reliability  
 #2:Moderate reliability  
 #3:Low reliability  
 #4:High reliability  
 #5:ADWG 2015 Health  
 #6:NHMRC 2008 Risk in Recreational Water  
 #7: ANZG (2018) Freshwater 99% toxicant DGVs  
 #8:Values calculated using hardness of 30 mg/L CaCO3.  
 #9:Chemical for which possible bioaccumulation and se  
 #10:Figure may not protect key species from chronic to

Summary of Analytical Results -  
Groundwater



	Organophosphorous Pesticides																											Pesticides	Herbicides	Halogenated Benzenes					
	Azinophos methyl	Bolstar (Sulprofos)	Chlorfenvinphos	Chlorpyrifos	Chlorpyrifos-methyl	Coumaphos	Demeton-O	Demeton-S	Diazinon	Dichlorvos	Dimethoate	Disulfoton	Ethoprop	Ethion	Fensulfthion	Fenitrothion	Fenthion	EPN	Malathion	Merphos	Methyl parathion	Mevinphos (Phosdrin)	Naled (Dibrom)	Monocrotophos	Omethoate	Parathion	Phorate				Pyrazophos	Ronnel	Terbufos	Trichloronate	Tetrachlorinphos
EQL	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
ANZG (2018) Freshwater 95% toxicant DGVs	0.02 <sup>#2</sup>			0.01 <sup>#3</sup>					0.01 <sup>#2</sup>		0.15 <sup>#3</sup>								0.05 <sup>#2</sup>							0.004 <sup>#2</sup>									
NHMRC 2008 Primary Contact Recreation	300 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>	20 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>					40 <sup>#5</sup>	50 <sup>#5</sup>	70 <sup>#5</sup>	40 <sup>#5</sup>	10 <sup>#5</sup>	40 <sup>#5</sup>	100 <sup>#5</sup>	70 <sup>#5</sup>	70 <sup>#5</sup>		700 <sup>#5</sup>		7 <sup>#5</sup>	50 <sup>#5</sup>		20 <sup>#5</sup>	10 <sup>#5</sup>	200 <sup>#5</sup>		200 <sup>#5</sup>		9 <sup>#5</sup>		1000 <sup>#5</sup>	900 <sup>#5</sup>		
NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters				0.01 <sup>#9</sup>					0.01		0.15								0.05							0.004 <sup>#10</sup>									

Field_ID	Sampled_Date_Time	Azinophos methyl	Bolstar (Sulprofos)	Chlorfenvinphos	Chlorpyrifos	Chlorpyrifos-methyl	Coumaphos	Demeton-O	Demeton-S	Diazinon	Dichlorvos	Dimethoate	Disulfoton	Ethoprop	Ethion	Fensulfthion	Fenitrothion	Fenthion	EPN	Malathion	Merphos	Methyl parathion	Mevinphos (Phosdrin)	Naled (Dibrom)	Monocrotophos	Omethoate	Parathion	Phorate	Pyrazophos	Ronnel	Terbufos	Trichloronate	Tetrachlorinphos	Pirimiphos-methyl	Tokuthion	Hexachlorobenzene		
MW01	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW02	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW03	23-Oct-19	<2	<2	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<20	<0.002	<0.1	
MW04	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW05	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MW06	16-Oct-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statistical Summary

Number of Results	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Number of Detects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minimum Concentration	<2	<2	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<20	<0.002	<0.1	
Minimum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Maximum Concentration	<2	<2	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<20	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<20	<0.002	<0.1		
Maximum Detect	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Average Concentration																																						
Median Concentration	1	1	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	0.001	0.05	
Standard Deviation																																						
Number of Guideline Exceedances	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Number of Guideline Exceedances(Detects Only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Env Stds Description

NEPM 2013 Table 1C GILs, Fresh Waters:A Apply to typ  
B From ADWG  
C May not protect key species from chronic toxicity

Env Stds Comments

#1:Very high reliability  
#2:Moderate reliability  
#3:Low reliability  
#4:High reliability  
#5:ADWG 2015 Health  
#6:NHMRC 2008 Risk in Recreational Water  
#7: ANZG (2018) Freshwater 99% toxicant DGVs  
#8:Values calculated using hardness of 30 mg/L CaCO3.  
#9:Chemical for which possible bioaccumulation and se  
#10:Figure may not protect key species from chronic to

## **APPENDIX C LABORATORY REPORTS**

Arcadis Australia  
Lvl 16/580 George Street  
Sydney  
NSW 2000



NATA Accredited  
Accreditation Number 1261  
Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing  
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or  
measurements included in this document are traceable  
to Australian/national standards.

Attention: **Jack Palma**

Report **683212-W**  
Project name **MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK**  
Project ID **10035157**  
Received Date **Oct 17, 2019**

Client Sample ID			MW01 Water	MW02 Water	MW04 Water	MW05 Water
Sample Matrix	LOR	Unit	S19-Oc26968	S19-Oc26969	S19-Oc26970	S19-Oc26971
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference						
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>						
Naphthalene <sup>N02</sup>	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) <sup>N04</sup>	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) <sup>N01</sup>	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
<b>BTEX</b>						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	82	87	89	89
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>						
Acenaphthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Acenaphthylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Chrysene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluorene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001

Client Sample ID			MW01 Water	MW02 Water	MW04 Water	MW05 Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26968	S19-Oc26969	S19-Oc26970	S19-Oc26971
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>						
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Naphthalene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Phenanthrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Total PAH*	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	63	63	68	67
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	75	54	86	60
<b>Heavy Metals</b>						
Arsenic (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Cadmium (filtered)	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	0.0003	< 0.0002
Chromium (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Lead (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mercury (filtered)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	0.009	0.003	< 0.001
Zinc (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	0.010	0.009	< 0.005

Client Sample ID			MW06 Water	DW01 Water	DW02 Water	DW03 Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26972	S19-Oc26973	S19-Oc26974	S19-Oc26975
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>						
Naphthalene <sup>N02</sup>	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) <sup>N04</sup>	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) <sup>N01</sup>	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
<b>BTEX</b>						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	97	94	93	96

Client Sample ID			MW06 Water S19-Oc26972 Oct 16, 2019	DW01 Water S19-Oc26973 Oct 16, 2019	DW02 Water S19-Oc26974 Oct 16, 2019	DW03 Water S19-Oc26975 Oct 16, 2019
Sample Matrix						
Eurofins Sample No.						
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>						
Chlordanes - Total	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
4.4'-DDD	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
4.4'-DDE	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
4.4'-DDT	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
a-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Aldrin	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
b-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
d-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Dieldrin	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan I	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan II	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endosulfan sulphate	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin aldehyde	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Endrin ketone	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Heptachlor	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Hexachlorobenzene	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Methoxychlor	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Toxaphene	0.01	mg/L	-	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibutylchloroendate (surr.)	1	%	-	83	67	54
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	-	58	94	82
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>						
Azinphos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Bolstar	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Chlorfenvinphos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Chlorpyrifos	0.02	mg/L	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Coumaphos	0.02	mg/L	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Demeton-S	0.02	mg/L	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Demeton-O	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Diazinon	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Dichlorvos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Dimethoate	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Disulfoton	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
EPN	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ethion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ethoprop	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ethyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Fenitrothion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Fensulfothion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Fenthion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Malathion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Merphos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002

Client Sample ID			MW06 Water S19-Oc26972 Oct 16, 2019	DW01 Water S19-Oc26973 Oct 16, 2019	DW02 Water S19-Oc26974 Oct 16, 2019	DW03 Water S19-Oc26975 Oct 16, 2019
Sample Matrix						
Eurofins Sample No.						
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>						
Methyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Mevinphos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Monocrotophos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Naled	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Omethoate	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Phorate	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Pirimiphos-methyl	0.02	mg/L	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Pyrazophos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ronnel	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Terbufos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Tetrachlorvinphos	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Tokuthion	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Trichloronate	0.002	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
Triphenylphosphate (surr.)	1	%	-	69	77	81
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>						
Aroclor-1016	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1221	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1232	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1242	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1248	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1254	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Aroclor-1260	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total PCB*	0.001	mg/L	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	-	83	67	54
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	-	58	94	82
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>						
Acenaphthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Acenaphthylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Chrysene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Fluorene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Naphthalene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Phenanthrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Total PAH*	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	78	93	78	66
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	64	90	73	74
<b>Phenolics (total)</b>						
	0.05	mg/L	-	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05

Client Sample ID			MW06 Water	DW01 Water	DW02 Water	DW03 Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26972	S19-Oc26973	S19-Oc26974	S19-Oc26975
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Heavy Metals</b>						
Arsenic (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.002
Cadmium (filtered)	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Chromium (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Lead (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mercury (filtered)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.002	< 0.001	0.002	0.002
Zinc (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	0.047	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005

Client Sample ID			DW04 Water	DW05 Water	QA1 Water	RINSATE Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26976	S19-Oc26977	S19-Oc26978	S19-Oc26979
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>						
Naphthalene <sup>N02</sup>	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	-
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) <sup>N04</sup>	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) <sup>N01</sup>	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	-
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	-
<b>BTEX</b>						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	-
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	95	81	87	-
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>						
Chlordanes - Total	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
4,4'-DDD	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
4,4'-DDE	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
4,4'-DDT	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
a-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Aldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
b-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
d-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Dieldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Endosulfan I	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-

Client Sample ID			DW04 Water S19-Oc26976 Oct 16, 2019	DW05 Water S19-Oc26977 Oct 16, 2019	QA1 Water S19-Oc26978 Oct 16, 2019	RINSATE Water S19-Oc26979 Oct 16, 2019
Sample Matrix						
Eurofins Sample No.						
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>						
Endosulfan II	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Endosulfan sulphate	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Endrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Endrin aldehyde	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Endrin ketone	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Heptachlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Hexachlorobenzene	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Methoxychlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Toxaphene	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	-	-
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Dibutylchloroendate (surr.)	1	%	114	58	-	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	78	82	-	-
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>						
Azinphos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Bolstar	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Chlorfenvinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Chlorpyrifos	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	-	-
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Coumaphos	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	-	-
Demeton-S	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	-	-
Demeton-O	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Diazinon	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Dichlorvos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Dimethoate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Disulfoton	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
EPN	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Ethion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Ethoprop	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Ethyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Fenitrothion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Fensulfothion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Fenthion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Malathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Merphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Methyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Mevinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Monocrotophos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Naled	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Omethoate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Phorate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Pirimiphos-methyl	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	-	-
Pyrazophos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Ronnel	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Terbufos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-

Client Sample ID			DW04 Water	DW05 Water	QA1 Water	RINSATE Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26976	S19-Oc26977	S19-Oc26978	S19-Oc26979
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>						
Tetrachlorvinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Tokuthion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Trichloronate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	-	-
Triphenylphosphate (surr.)	1	%	88	60	-	-
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>						
Aroclor-1016	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1221	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1232	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1242	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1248	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1254	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Aroclor-1260	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Total PCB*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	114	58	-	-
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	78	82	-	-
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>						
Acenaphthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Acenaphthylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Benz(a)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Chrysene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Fluorene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Naphthalene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Phenanthrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
Total PAH*	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	67	66	89	-
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	56	61	70	-
<b>Phenolics (total)</b>						
	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	-	-
<b>Heavy Metals</b>						
Arsenic	0.001	mg/L	-	-	0.004	< 0.001
Arsenic (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.001	0.002	-	-
Cadmium	0.0002	mg/L	-	-	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Cadmium (filtered)	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	-	-
Chromium	0.001	mg/L	-	-	< 0.001	< 0.001
Chromium (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Copper	0.001	mg/L	-	-	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Lead	0.001	mg/L	-	-	< 0.001	< 0.001
Lead (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	-	-
Mercury	0.0001	mg/L	-	-	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

Client Sample ID			DW04 Water	DW05 Water	QA1 Water	RINSATE Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26976	S19-Oc26977	S19-Oc26978	S19-Oc26979
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled						
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
<b>Heavy Metals</b>						
Mercury (filtered)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	-	-
Nickel	0.001	mg/L	-	-	0.004	< 0.001
Nickel (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.001	0.002	-	-
Zinc	0.005	mg/L	-	-	0.032	< 0.005
Zinc (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	-	-

Client Sample ID			R20TS Water	TB Water
Sample Matrix			S19-Oc26980	S19-Oc26981
Eurofins Sample No.			Oct 16, 2019	Oct 16, 2019
Date Sampled				
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit		
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>				
Naphthalene <sup>N02</sup>	0.01	mg/L	88	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	72	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) <sup>N04</sup>	0.02	mg/L	-	< 0.02
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>				
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	71	< 0.02
<b>BTEX</b>				
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	93	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	95	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	91	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	88	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	95	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	90	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	98	87

**Sample History**

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
<b>Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (filtered metals/PAH trace level)</b>			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
BTEX - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	14 Days
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level) - Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water (trace)	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Metals M8 filtered - Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	28 Days
<b>Eurofins   mgt Suite B15</b>			
Organochlorine Pesticides - Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water (USEPA 8270)	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Organophosphorus Pesticides - Method: LTM-ORG-2200 Organophosphorus Pesticides by GC-MS (USEPA 8081)	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Polychlorinated Biphenyls - Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water (USEPA 8082)	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
Phenolics (total) - Method: LTM-INO-4050 Total Phenolics in Waters and solids by CFA	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	7 Days
<b>Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (PAH trace level)</b>			
Metals M8 - Method:	Melbourne	Oct 21, 2019	180 Days

<b>Company Name:</b> Arcadis Australia	<b>Order No.:</b>	<b>Received:</b> Oct 17, 2019 4:33 PM
<b>Address:</b> Lvl 16/580 George Street Sydney NSW 2000	<b>Report #:</b> 683212	<b>Due:</b> Oct 24, 2019
<b>Project Name:</b> MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK	<b>Phone:</b> 02 8907 9000	<b>Priority:</b> 5 Day
<b>Project ID:</b> 10035157	<b>Fax:</b>	<b>Contact Name:</b> Jack Palma

**Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long**

Sample Detail						Asbestos Absence/Presence	HOLD	Phenolics (total)	Metals M7	Metals M8	Eurofins   mgt Suite B15	Moisture Set	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (PAH trace level)	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (filtered metals/PAH trace level)
<b>Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 &amp; 14271</b>							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217</b>						X									
<b>Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794</b>															
<b>Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736</b>															
<b>External Laboratory</b>															
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID										
1	MW01	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26968										X
2	MW02	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26969										X
3	MW04	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26970										X
4	MW05	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26971										X
5	MW06	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26972										X
6	DW01	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26973			X		X					X
7	DW02	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26974			X		X					X
8	DW03	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26975			X		X					X
9	DW04	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26976			X		X					X

<b>Company Name:</b> Arcadis Australia	<b>Order No.:</b>	<b>Received:</b> Oct 17, 2019 4:33 PM
<b>Address:</b> Lvl 16/580 George Street Sydney NSW 2000	<b>Report #:</b> 683212	<b>Due:</b> Oct 24, 2019
<b>Project Name:</b> MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK	<b>Phone:</b> 02 8907 9000	<b>Priority:</b> 5 Day
<b>Project ID:</b> 10035157	<b>Fax:</b>	<b>Contact Name:</b> Jack Palma

**Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long**

Sample Detail						Asbestos Absence/Presence	HOLD	Phenolics (total)	Metals M7	Metals M8	Eurofins   mgt Suite B15	Moisture Set	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (PAH trace level)	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (filtered metals/PAH trace level)
<b>Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 &amp; 14271</b>							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217</b>						X									
<b>Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794</b>															
<b>Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736</b>															
10	DW05	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26977			X			X				X
11	QA1	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26978									X	
12	RINSATE	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26979					X					
13	TS	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26980								X		
14	TB	Oct 16, 2019		Water	S19-Oc26981								X		
15	SO01	Oct 16, 2019		Soil	S19-Oc26982				X		X				
16	SO02	Oct 16, 2019		Soil	S19-Oc26983		X								
17	SO03	Oct 16, 2019		Soil	S19-Oc26984				X		X				
18	SO04	Oct 16, 2019		Soil	S19-Oc26985				X		X				
19	SO05	Oct 16, 2019		Soil	S19-Oc26986		X								
20	ASB01	Oct 16, 2019		Building Materials	S19-Oc26987	X									

<b>Company Name:</b> Arcadis Australia	<b>Order No.:</b>	<b>Received:</b> Oct 17, 2019 4:33 PM
<b>Address:</b> Lvl 16/580 George Street Sydney NSW 2000	<b>Report #:</b> 683212	<b>Due:</b> Oct 24, 2019
<b>Project Name:</b> MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK	<b>Phone:</b> 02 8907 9000	<b>Priority:</b> 5 Day
<b>Project ID:</b> 10035157	<b>Fax:</b>	<b>Contact Name:</b> Jack Palma

**Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long**

Sample Detail					Asbestos Absence/Presence	HOLD	Phenolics (total)	Metals M7	Metals M8	Eurofins   mgt Suite B15	Moisture Set	BTEXN and Volatile TRH	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (PAH trace level)	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (filtered metals/PAH trace level)
<b>Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 &amp; 14271</b>						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<b>Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217</b>					X									
<b>Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794</b>														
<b>Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736</b>														
21	ASB02	Oct 16, 2019		Building Materials	S19-Oc26988	X								
22	ASB03	Oct 16, 2019		Building Materials	S19-Oc26989	X								
23	ASB04	Oct 16, 2019		Building Materials	S19-Oc26990	X								
<b>Test Counts</b>					4	2	5	3	1	5	3	2	1	10

**Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary**
**General**

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

**Holding Times**

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

**\*\*NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

**Units**

**mg/kg:** milligrams per kilogram

**mg/L:** milligrams per litre

**ug/L:** micrograms per litre

**ppm:** Parts per million

**ppb:** Parts per billion

**%:** Percentage

**org/100mL:** Organisms per 100 millilitres

**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units

**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

**Terms**

<b>Dry</b>	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
<b>LOR</b>	Limit of Reporting.
<b>SPIKE</b>	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
<b>RPD</b>	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
<b>LCS</b>	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
<b>CRM</b>	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
<b>Method Blank</b>	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
<b>Surr - Surrogate</b>	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
<b>Duplicate</b>	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
<b>USEPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>APHA</b>	American Public Health Association
<b>TCLP</b>	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
<b>COC</b>	Chain of Custody
<b>SRA</b>	Sample Receipt Advice
<b>QSM</b>	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
<b>CP</b>	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
<b>NCP</b>	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
<b>TEQ</b>	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

**QC - Acceptance Criteria**

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

**QC Data General Comments**

- Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " - " in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

**Quality Control Results**

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>							
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>							
TRH C6-C9	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>BTEX</b>							
Benzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Toluene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
o-Xylene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	mg/L	< 0.003			0.003	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>							
Chlordanes - Total	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
4,4'-DDD	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
4,4'-DDE	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
4,4'-DDT	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
a-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Aldrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
b-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
d-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Dieldrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan I	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan II	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin ketone	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Methoxychlor	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Toxaphene	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>							
Azinphos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Bolstar	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Chlorfenvinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Coumaphos	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Demeton-S	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Demeton-O	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Diazinon	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Dichlorvos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Dimethoate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Disulfoton	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
EPN	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethoprop	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethyl parathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fenitrothion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fensulfothion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fenthion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Malathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Merphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Methyl parathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Mevinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Monocrotophos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Naled	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Omethoate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Phorate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Pirimiphos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Pyrazophos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ronnel	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Terbufos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Tetrachlorvinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Tokuthion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Trichloronate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>							
Aroclor-1016	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Total PCB*	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>							
Acenaphthene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Benzo(b&i)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Chrysene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Fluorene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Phenanthrene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Pyrene	mg/L	< 0.00001			0.00001	Pass	
Total PAH*	mg/L	< 0			0.00001	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
Phenolics (total)	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Heavy Metals</b>							
Arsenic	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Arsenic (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0002			0.0002	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.0002			0.0002	Pass	
Chromium	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Copper	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Copper (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Lead	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Lead (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Mercury (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Nickel	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Zinc	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>							
Naphthalene	%	85			70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	%	98			70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	%	76			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>							
TRH C6-C9	%	95			70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	79			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>BTEX</b>							
Benzene	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	89			70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	82			70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	81			70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	82			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>							
Chlordanes - Total	%	114			70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	%	104			70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	%	105			70-130	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	%	97			70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	%	113			70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	%	94			70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	%	110			70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	%	112			70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Endrin	%	94			70-130	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code	
Endrin aldehyde	%	126			70-130	Pass		
Endrin ketone	%	104			70-130	Pass		
g-BHC (Lindane)	%	124			70-130	Pass		
Heptachlor	%	95			70-130	Pass		
Heptachlor epoxide	%	97			70-130	Pass		
Hexachlorobenzene	%	114			70-130	Pass		
Methoxychlor	%	86			70-130	Pass		
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>								
Diazinon	%	115			70-130	Pass		
Dimethoate	%	80			70-130	Pass		
Ethion	%	110			70-130	Pass		
Fenitrothion	%	103			70-130	Pass		
Methyl parathion	%	102			70-130	Pass		
Mevinphos	%	98			70-130	Pass		
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>								
Aroclor-1260	%	120			70-130	Pass		
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>								
Acenaphthene	%	79			70-130	Pass		
Acenaphthylene	%	77			70-130	Pass		
Anthracene	%	72			70-130	Pass		
Benz(a)anthracene	%	99			70-130	Pass		
Benzo(a)pyrene	%	101			70-130	Pass		
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	%	77			70-130	Pass		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	%	78			70-130	Pass		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	%	89			70-130	Pass		
Chrysene	%	82			70-130	Pass		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	%	93			70-130	Pass		
Fluoranthene	%	77			70-130	Pass		
Fluorene	%	83			70-130	Pass		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	%	72			70-130	Pass		
Naphthalene	%	86			70-130	Pass		
Phenanthrene	%	83			70-130	Pass		
Pyrene	%	82			70-130	Pass		
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>								
Phenolics (total)	%	100			70-130	Pass		
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Heavy Metals</b>								
Arsenic	%	95			80-120	Pass		
Cadmium	%	97			80-120	Pass		
Chromium	%	97			80-120	Pass		
Copper	%	96			80-120	Pass		
Lead	%	95			80-120	Pass		
Mercury	%	95			75-125	Pass		
Nickel	%	95			80-120	Pass		
Zinc	%	97			80-120	Pass		
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1				
TRH >C10-C16	W19-Oc25712	NCP	%	90		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1				

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
TRH C10-C14	W19-Oc25712	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1				
Naphthalene	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	72		70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1				
TRH C6-C9	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>BTEX</b>				Result 1				
Benzene	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Toluene	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	97		70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	90		70-130	Pass	
o-Xylene	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	S19-Oc26969	CP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>				Result 1				
Acenaphthene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	81		70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	77		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	84		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	76		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	87		70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	73		70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	121		70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	73		70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	84		70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	B19-Oc28739	NCP	%	87		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>				Result 1				
4,4'-DDE	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	90		70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	111		70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	75		70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	83		70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	88		70-130	Pass	
Endrin	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	87		70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	82		70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	122		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	71		70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	74		70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	M19-Oc18417	NCP	%	124		70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>				Result 1				
Diazinon	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Dimethoate	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	75		70-130	Pass	

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Ethion	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Fenitrothion	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	108			70-130	Pass	
Methyl parathion	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Mevinphos	B19-Oc28018	NCP	%	103			70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>									
				Result 1					
Phenolics (total)	S19-Oc26973	CP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>									
<b>Heavy Metals</b>				Result 1					
Arsenic (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Copper (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Lead (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Mercury (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	80			70-130	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Duplicate</b>									
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Naphthalene	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	S19-Oc28806	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
<b>Duplicate</b>									
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	S19-Oc28806	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	S19-Oc28806	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	S19-Oc28806	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
<b>Duplicate</b>									
<b>BTEX</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
o-Xylene	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	S19-Oc26968	CP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass	
<b>Duplicate</b>									
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Acenaphthene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Anthracene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Chrysene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluoranthene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluorene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Naphthalene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Phenanthrene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrene	B19-Oc28738	NCP	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<1	30%	Pass	

Duplicate									
Organochlorine Pesticides					Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Chlordanes - Total	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDD	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDE	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
4.4'-DDT	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
a-BHC	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Aldrin	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
b-BHC	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
d-BHC	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Dieldrin	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan I	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan II	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Endrin ketone	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Heptachlor	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Methoxychlor	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Organophosphorus Pesticides					Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Azinphos-methyl	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Bolstar	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Chlorfenvinphos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Coumaphos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Demeton-S	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Demeton-O	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Diazinon	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Dichlorvos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Dimethoate	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Disulfoton	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
EPN	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethoprop	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethyl parathion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Fenitrothion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Fensulfotthion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Fenthion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Malathion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Merphos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Methyl parathion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Mevinphos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Monocrotophos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Naled	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Omethoate	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Phorate	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Pirimiphos-methyl	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrazophos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Ronnel	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Terbufos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
Tetrachlorvinphos	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	

<b>Duplicate</b>								
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Tokuthion	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass
Trichloronate	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass
<b>Duplicate</b>								
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Aroclor-1016	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1221	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1232	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1242	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1248	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1254	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Aroclor-1260	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Total PCB*	M19-Oc24938	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
<b>Duplicate</b>								
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Phenolics (total)	S19-Oc26973	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass
<b>Duplicate</b>								
<b>Heavy Metals</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Arsenic (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	0.002	0.002	1.0	30%	Pass
Cadmium (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	<1	30%	Pass
Chromium (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Copper (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Lead (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Mercury (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass
Nickel (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	0.002	0.002	4.0	30%	Pass
Zinc (filtered)	S19-Oc26975	CP	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005	<1	30%	Pass

**Comments**
**Sample Integrity**

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

**Qualifier Codes/Comments**

Code	Description
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
R20	This sample is a Trip Spike and therefore all results are reported as a percentage

**Authorised By**

Ursula Long	Analytical Services Manager
Emily Rosenberg	Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC)
Harry Bacalis	Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC)
Joseph Edouard	Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)
Julie Kay	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)


**Glenn Jackson**  
**General Manager**

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

\* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

Arcadis Australia  
Lvl 16/580 George Street  
Sydney  
NSW 2000



NATA Accredited  
Accreditation Number 1261  
Site Number 18217

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing  
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or  
measurements included in this document are traceable  
to Australian/national standards.

Attention: **Jack Palma**

Report **684323-W**  
Project name **MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK**  
Project ID **10035157**  
Received Date **Oct 24, 2019**

Client Sample ID			<b>MW03</b>
Sample Matrix			<b>Water</b>
Eurofins Sample No.			<b>S19-Oc37310</b>
Date Sampled			<b>Oct 23, 2019</b>
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>			
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
<b>BTEX</b>			
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	83
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>			
Naphthalene <sup>N02</sup>	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) <sup>N04</sup>	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	0.07
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) <sup>N01</sup>	0.05	mg/L	0.07
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>			
Chlordanes - Total	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
4,4'-DDD	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
4,4'-DDE	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
4,4'-DDT	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
a-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Aldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
b-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
d-BHC	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Dieldrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Endosulfan I	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Endosulfan II	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Endosulfan sulphate	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001

<b>Client Sample ID</b>			<b>MW03</b>
<b>Sample Matrix</b>			<b>Water</b>
<b>Eurofins Sample No.</b>			<b>S19-Oc37310</b>
<b>Date Sampled</b>			<b>Oct 23, 2019</b>
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>			
Endrin	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Endrin aldehyde	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Endrin ketone	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
g-BHC (Lindane)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Heptachlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Hexachlorobenzene	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Methoxychlor	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Toxaphene	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01
Aldrin and Dieldrin (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
DDT + DDE + DDD (Total)*	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Vic EPA IWRG 621 OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Vic EPA IWRG 621 Other OCP (Total)*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Dibutylchlorodate (surr.)	1	%	140
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	135
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>			
Azinphos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Bolstar	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Chlorfenvinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Chlorpyrifos	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Coumaphos	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
Demeton-S	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
Demeton-O	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Diazinon	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Dichlorvos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Dimethoate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Disulfoton	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
EPN	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Ethion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Ethoprop	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Ethyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Fenitrothion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Fensulfothion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Fenthion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Malathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Merphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Methyl parathion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Mevinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Monocrotophos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Naled	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Omethoate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Phorate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Pirimiphos-methyl	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02
Pyrazophos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Ronnel	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Terbufos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Tetrachlorvinphos	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Tokuthion	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002

<b>Client Sample ID</b>			<b>MW03</b>
<b>Sample Matrix</b>			<b>Water</b>
<b>Eurofins Sample No.</b>			<b>S19-Oc37310</b>
<b>Date Sampled</b>			<b>Oct 23, 2019</b>
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit	
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>			
Trichloronate	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002
Triphenylphosphate (surr.)	1	%	20
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>			
Aroclor-1016	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Aroclor-1221	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Aroclor-1232	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Aroclor-1242	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Aroclor-1248	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Aroclor-1254	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Aroclor-1260	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005
Total PCB*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	140
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	135
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>			
Acenaphthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Acenaphthylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Chrysene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Fluoranthene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Fluorene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Naphthalene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Phenanthrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Pyrene	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
Total PAH*	0.00001	mg/L	< 0.00001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	71
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	66
<b>Heavy Metals</b>			
Arsenic (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Cadmium (filtered)	0.0002	mg/L	0.0003
Chromium (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Copper (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.002
Lead (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001
Mercury (filtered)	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001
Nickel (filtered)	0.001	mg/L	0.004
Zinc (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	0.012

**Sample History**

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

<b>Description</b>	<b>Testing Site</b>	<b>Extracted</b>	<b>Holding Time</b>
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	7 Days
<b>BTEX</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	14 Days
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	7 Days
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water (trace)	Melbourne	Oct 25, 2019	7 Days
<b>Metals M8 filtered</b> - Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	28 Days
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	7 Days
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2200 Organophosphorus Pesticides by GC-MS	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	7 Days
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b> - Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water	Sydney	Oct 24, 2019	7 Days

<b>Company Name:</b> Arcadis Australia	<b>Order No.:</b>	<b>Received:</b> Oct 24, 2019 9:26 AM
<b>Address:</b> Lvl 16/580 George Street Sydney NSW 2000	<b>Report #:</b> 684323	<b>Due:</b> Oct 25, 2019
<b>Project Name:</b> MIRVAC - KEMPS CREEK	<b>Phone:</b> 02 8907 9000	<b>Priority:</b> 1 Day
<b>Project ID:</b> 10035157	<b>Fax:</b>	<b>Contact Name:</b> Jack Palma
<b>Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long</b>		

Sample Detail						Eurofins   mgt Suite B15	Eurofins   mgt Suite B7 (filtered metals/PAH trace level)
<b>Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 &amp; 14271</b>							X
<b>Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217</b>						X	X
<b>Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794</b>							
<b>Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736</b>							
<b>External Laboratory</b>							
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID		
1	MW03	Oct 23, 2019		Water	S19-Oc37310	X	X
<b>Test Counts</b>						1	1

**Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary**
**General**

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

**Holding Times**

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

**\*\*NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

**Units**

**mg/kg:** milligrams per kilogram

**mg/L:** milligrams per litre

**ug/L:** micrograms per litre

**ppm:** Parts per million

**ppb:** Parts per billion

**%:** Percentage

**org/100mL:** Organisms per 100 millilitres

**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units

**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

**Terms**

<b>Dry</b>	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
<b>LOR</b>	Limit of Reporting.
<b>SPIKE</b>	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
<b>RPD</b>	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
<b>LCS</b>	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
<b>CRM</b>	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
<b>Method Blank</b>	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
<b>Surr - Surrogate</b>	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
<b>Duplicate</b>	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
<b>USEPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>APHA</b>	American Public Health Association
<b>TCLP</b>	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
<b>COC</b>	Chain of Custody
<b>SRA</b>	Sample Receipt Advice
<b>QSM</b>	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
<b>CP</b>	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
<b>NCP</b>	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
<b>TEQ</b>	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

**QC - Acceptance Criteria**

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

**QC Data General Comments**

- Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " - " in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

**Quality Control Results**

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>							
TRH C6-C9	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>BTEX</b>							
Benzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Toluene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
o-Xylene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	mg/L	< 0.003			0.003	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>							
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>							
Chlordanes - Total	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
4,4'-DDD	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
4,4'-DDE	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
4,4'-DDT	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
a-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Aldrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
b-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
d-BHC	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Dieldrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan I	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan II	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Endrin ketone	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Methoxychlor	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Toxaphene	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>							
Azinphos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Bolstar	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Chlorfenvinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Coumaphos	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Demeton-S	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Demeton-O	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Diazinon	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Dichlorvos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Dimethoate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Disulfoton	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
EPN	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethoprop	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ethyl parathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fenitrothion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fensulfothion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Fenthion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Malathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Merphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Methyl parathion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Mevinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Monocrotophos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Naled	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Omethoate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Phorate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Pirimiphos-methyl	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Pyrazophos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Ronnel	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Terbufos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Tetrachlorvinphos	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Tokuthion	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
Trichloronate	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>							
Aroclor-1016	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
Total PCB*	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (Trace level)</b>							
Total PAH*	mg/L	< 0			0.00001	Pass	
<b>Method Blank</b>							
<b>Heavy Metals</b>							
Arsenic (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.0002			0.0002	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Copper (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Lead (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Mercury (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions</b>							
TRH C6-C9	%	100			70-130	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
TRH C10-C14	%	78			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>BTEX</b>							
Benzene	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	90			70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	89			70-130	Pass	
o-Xylene	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	90			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions</b>							
Naphthalene	%	86			70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	%	102			70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	%	84			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Organochlorine Pesticides</b>							
Chlordanes - Total	%	99			70-130	Pass	
4,4'-DDD	%	103			70-130	Pass	
4,4'-DDE	%	104			70-130	Pass	
4,4'-DDT	%	92			70-130	Pass	
a-BHC	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Aldrin	%	97			70-130	Pass	
b-BHC	%	101			70-130	Pass	
d-BHC	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Dieldrin	%	103			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan I	%	105			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan II	%	102			70-130	Pass	
Endosulfan sulphate	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Endrin	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Endrin aldehyde	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Endrin ketone	%	101			70-130	Pass	
g-BHC (Lindane)	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Heptachlor epoxide	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Hexachlorobenzene	%	83			70-130	Pass	
Methoxychlor	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Toxaphene	%	101			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Organophosphorus Pesticides</b>							
Diazinon	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Dimethoate	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Ethion	%	115			70-130	Pass	
Fenitrothion	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Methyl parathion	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Mevinphos	%	116			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Polychlorinated Biphenyls</b>							
Aroclor-1260	%	86			70-130	Pass	
<b>LCS - % Recovery</b>							
<b>Heavy Metals</b>							
Arsenic (filtered)	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Copper (filtered)	%	100			70-130	Pass	

Test		Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Lead (filtered)		%	100			70-130	Pass	
Mercury (filtered)		%	93			70-130	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)		%	100			70-130	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)		%	97			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Spike - % Recovery</b>								
<b>Heavy Metals</b>				Result 1				
Arsenic (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	116		70-130	Pass	
Cadmium (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	97		70-130	Pass	
Chromium (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	95		70-130	Pass	
Copper (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	86		70-130	Pass	
Lead (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Mercury (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	100		70-130	Pass	
Nickel (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	83		70-130	Pass	
Zinc (filtered)	S19-Oc38762	NCP	%	84		70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
<b>Duplicate</b>								
<b>Heavy Metals</b>				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Arsenic (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Cadmium (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	0.0003	0.0003	13	30%	Pass
Chromium (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Copper (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	0.002	0.002	11	30%	Pass
Lead (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass
Mercury (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass
Nickel (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	0.004	0.004	3.0	30%	Pass
Zinc (filtered)	S19-Oc37310	CP	mg/L	0.012	0.011	13	30%	Pass

**Comments**
**Sample Integrity**

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

**Qualifier Codes/Comments**

Code	Description
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.

**Authorised By**

Ursula Long	Analytical Services Manager
Andrew Sullivan	Senior Analyst-Organic (NSW)
Gabriele Cordero	Senior Analyst-Metal (NSW)
Joseph Edouard	Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)


**Glenn Jackson**
**General Manager**

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

\* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

