

Gol Gol Battery

Scoping Report

Final May 2024









Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System

Scoping Report

Squadron Energy

E240110 RP#30

May 2024

| Version | Date | Prepared by | Approved by | Comments |
|---------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 29 April 2004 | Mark Ryan / Mark Trudgett | Mark Trudgett | v1 for issue to client |
| 2 | 9 May 2024 | Mark Ryan | Mark Trudgett | Final |

Approved by

Mark Trudgett

Project Director

9 May 2024

Ground floor 20 Chandos Street

St Leonards NSW 2065

PO Box 21

St Leonards NSW 1590

This report has been prepared in accordance with the brief provided by Squadron Energy and has relied upon the information collected at the time and under the conditions specified in the report. All findings, conclusions or recommendations contained in the report are based on the aforementioned circumstances. The report is for the use of Squadron Energy and no responsibility will be taken for its use by other parties. Squadron Energy may, at its discretion, use the report to inform regulators and the public.

© Reproduction of this report for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorised without prior written permission from EMM provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of this report for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without EMM's prior written permission.

Executive Summary

Squadron Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd (Squadron Energy), 'the Applicant', proposes to develop the Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System project (the project) approximately 10 kilometres (km) north east of Mildura in the local government area (LGA) of Wentworth in the Western Murray Region of New South Wales.

The project will consist of up to a 1,500 MW / 12 GWh Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) as well as transmission, ancillary and temporary infrastructure. The BESS will provide both storage as well as firming capacity to the National Electricity Market (NEM) and may assist in grid stability by providing frequency control ancillary services. The battery will allow for the storage and export of energy within the network so that it can be used during times of peak demand.

The project is within the South West Renewable Energy Zone (REZ) and will allow for the storage and export of energy within the network so that it can be used during times of peak demand. Export of energy to the electricity network will be via new substations, to be constructed within the site, and a new transmission line connection to the adjacent existing 220 kilovolt (kV) transmission line.

The project is located within a single freehold land parcel owned by a single landowner. A smaller section of this landholding, the project investigation area, has been investigated for the purpose of locating the BESS project, in the south eastern part of the landholding and adjacent to the Buronga substation, currently under construction.

The project investigation area is approximately 1,500 hectares (ha), although a proposed development corridor of approximately 780 ha is proposed to site the BESS infrastructure. This corridor will be subject to ongoing design refinement and the final development corridor will be presented in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The project is State significant development (SSD) pursuant to Schedule 1 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021 (Planning Systems SEPP), being electricity generating works with an estimated development cost (EDC) of more than \$30 million. Accordingly, approval for the project is required under Part 4, Division 4.7 of the NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act).

This scoping report supports a request to the NSW Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI), for Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the project. This scoping report provides a high-level description of the project, including the site and its surroundings, the environmental planning pathway for approval and identifies key environmental issues potentially associated with the project. This scoping report has been prepared in accordance with State Significant Development Guidelines — Preparing a Scoping Report (DPIE 2022a).

The aspects identified as requiring detailed assessment in the EIS include biodiversity - terrestrial, Aboriginal heritage, amenity – visual, social and access – traffic. Aspects requiring standard assessment include amenity – noise and vibration, historic heritage, land, water, air, hazards and risks, biodiversity – aquatic, economic and built environment.

E240110 | RP#30 | v2 ES.1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ex | Executive Summary | | ES.1 | |
|----|-------------------|----------|---|----|
| 1 | Intro | duction | ı | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Backgro | round | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Project | t objective | 1 |
| | 1.3 | Project | t overview | 1 |
| | 1.4 | The Ap | pplicant | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Purpos | se of this report | 5 |
| | 1.6 | Related | d development | 5 |
| 2 | Strate | egic con | ntext | 6 |
| | 2.1 | Region | nal context | 6 |
| | | 2.1.1 | Towns and population centres | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Project | t investigation area and surrounds | 6 |
| | | 2.2.1 | Renewable energy and other developments | 9 |
| | 2.3 | Plannin | ng framework | 11 |
| | 2.4 | Project | t justification | 14 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Project benefits | 14 |
| | | 2.4.2 | Site suitability | 15 |
| 3 | Proje | ct descr | ription | 16 |
| | 3.1 | Overvi | ew | 16 |
| | 3.2 | Physica | al layout and design | 19 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Battery energy storage system | 19 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Supporting infrastructure | 19 |
| | | 3.2.3 | Site access | 19 |
| | 3.3 | Activiti | ies and uses | 19 |
| | | 3.3.1 | Construction | 19 |
| | | 3.3.2 | Operation | 20 |
| | | 3.3.3 | Decommissioning | 20 |
| | 3.4 | Timing | | 21 |
| | 3.5 | Alterna | atives considered | 21 |
| | | 3.5.1 | Alternative locations | 21 |
| | | 3.5.2 | Alternative project layouts | 21 |
| | | 3.5.3 | Do nothing | 22 |

| 4 | Statu | cutory context | | 23 |
|-----|----------|----------------|---|-----|
| 5 | Enga | gement | t | 28 |
| | 5.1 | Comm | nunity and stakeholder engagement objectives | 28 |
| | 5.2 | Comm | nunity and stakeholder engagement | 28 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Key stakeholder meetings | 28 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Community open day | 29 |
| | | 5.2.3 | Community survey | 30 |
| | | 5.2.4 | Government and regulatory stakeholders | 30 |
| | 5.3 | EIS pha | ase consultation | 30 |
| 6 | Prop | osed as | ssessment of impacts | 33 |
| | 6.1 | Level o | of assessment required in the EIS | 33 |
| | 6.2 | Detaile | ed assessment | 34 |
| | | 6.2.1 | Terrestrial biodiversity | 34 |
| | | 6.2.2 | Aboriginal heritage | 39 |
| | | 6.2.3 | Amenity - Visual impact | 43 |
| | | 6.2.4 | Social | 47 |
| | | 6.2.5 | Traffic and access | 60 |
| | 6.3 | Standa | ard assessment | 63 |
| | | 6.3.1 | Amenity - Noise and vibration | 63 |
| | | 6.3.2 | Historic heritage | 65 |
| | | 6.3.3 | Land | 67 |
| | | 6.3.4 | Water | 70 |
| | | 6.3.5 | Air | 73 |
| | | 6.3.6 | Hazards and risk | 73 |
| | | 6.3.7 | Aquatic biodiversity | 76 |
| | | 6.3.8 | Economic | 76 |
| | | 6.3.9 | Built environment | 76 |
| | 6.4 | Cumula | lative impacts | 77 |
| | 6.5 | Matter | rs requiring no further assessment in the EIS | 77 |
| 7 | Cond | lusion | | 78 |
| Ref | ferenc | es | | 79 |
| Ab | brevia | tions | | 81 |
| Apı | pendio | ces | | |
| Арр | endix / | А | Scoping summary table | A.1 |
| Арр | oendix l | В | Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment | B.1 |
| Арр | oendix (| С | Social impact scoping worksheet | C.1 |

| Appendix D | Cumulative impact scoping | D.1 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Tables | | |
| Table 1.1 | Summary of Applicant details | 4 |
| Table 2.1 | Key features of the development corridor and surrounds | 7 |
| Table 2.2 | Nearby renewable developments and major projects | 9 |
| Table 2.3 | Alignment with key strategic planning frameworks and policy context | 11 |
| Table 3.1 | Indicative project summary | 16 |
| Table 4.1 | Statutory context | 23 |
| Table 5.1 | Stakeholder meetings | 29 |
| Table 5.2 | Engagement tools and methods during preparation of the EIS | 31 |
| Table 6.1 | Level of assessment required in EIS | 33 |
| Table 6.2 | Threatened Ecological Communities – Likelihood of Occurrence | 36 |
| Table 6.3 | Threatened species known to occur in the project locality | 36 |
| Table 6.4 | Potential MNES to be assessed | 38 |
| Table 6.5 | Summary of AHIMS sites in the search area | 40 |
| Table 6.6 | Landscape character units | 44 |
| Table 6.7 | Preliminary viewpoint assessment locations | 45 |
| Table 6.8 | Key project activities and potential change to social environment | 48 |
| Table 6.9 | Project social locality | 49 |
| Table 6.10 | Key relevant social characteristics and trends | 51 |
| Table 6.11 | Preliminary social impact evaluation | 54 |
| Table 6.12 | Operational noise source sound power levels | 64 |
| Table 6.13 | Road traffic noise assessment criteria for residential land uses | 64 |
| Table 6.14 | Heritage register search results | 65 |
| Table 6.15 | Land and soil characteristics | 67 |
| Table 6.16 | Threatened fish species – Likelihood of Occurrence | 76 |
| Figures | | |
| Figure 1.1 | Regional context | 3 |
| Figure 2.1 | Local context | 8 |
| Figure 2.2 | Renewable energy and other developments in the region | 10 |
| Figure 3.1 | Project layout | 18 |
| Figure 6.1 | Plant community types | 35 |
| Figure 6.2 | AHIMS sites | 42 |
| Figure 6.3 | BESS visual receptors | 46 |
| Figure 6.4 | Transport and haulage routes and site access | 61 |
| Figure 6.5 | Listed heritage items | 66 |
| | | |

| Figure 6.6 | Land and soil capability | 68 |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 6.7 | Hydrology | 71 |
| Figure 6.8 | Bushfire prone land | 75 |
| | | |
| Plates | | |
| Plate 6.1 | Arumpo Road near potential southern access (looking north) | 60 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Squadron Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd (Squadron Energy), 'the Applicant', proposes to develop the Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System project (the project) approximately 10 kilometres (km) north east of Mildura in the local government area (LGA) of Wentworth in the Western Murray Region of New South Wales. The proposed project will be developed on freehold land that is predominantly used for agricultural activities.

The project will consist of up to a 1,500 MW / 12 GWh Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) as well as transmission, ancillary and temporary infrastructure. The BESS will provide both storage as well as firming capacity to the National Electricity Market (NEM) and may assist in grid stability by providing frequency control ancillary services. The battery will allow for the storage and export of energy within the network so that it can be used during times of peak demand.

To accommodate the BESS, Squadron Energy have identified two potential sites for the development of the project. Both potential sites are adjacent to the Buronga substation (currently being upgraded), Project Energy Connect 330 kilovolt (kV) transmission line (construction commenced) and adjacent to the existing 220 kV electricity transmission network. The final extents, layout and capacity of the BESS project will be selected based on environmental constraints identification, further landowner engagement, engineering assessments and detailed design of project infrastructure.

1.2 Project objective

The project is within the South West Renewable Energy Zone (South West REZ), which was formally declared by the NSW Minister for Energy under Section 19(1) of the NSW Electricity Infrastructure Investment Act 2020 and published in the NSW Gazette on Friday 4 November 2022, and an updated NSW Gazette related to the access scheme published on 12 April 2024. The NSW Government intends that the South West REZ will have a generation capacity cap of 3.98 GW.

The BESS project will support the development of renewable energy projects in the SW REZ by providing grid firming services which will maintain the electricity grid stability and reliability.

The project is consistent with NSW government energy policy framework for development of electricity infrastructure. It will assist in meeting NSWs energy generation and storage requirements, as well as the NSW and Australian Government emissions reduction targets.

1.3 Project overview

The key components of the project are:


- battery storage with a capacity of up to 1,500 MW with a duration of up to 12 hours
- Inverters
- substations
- operation and maintenance facilities
- hardstands
- internal roads.

The project is located within a single freehold land parcel, Lot 11 DP 1262716, located approximately 10 km north east of Mildura in the Wentworth LGA in the Western Murray Region of New South Wales. The regional context is shown in Figure 1.1.

A small section of this landholding has been investigated for the purpose of locating the BESS project, in the south eastern part of the landholding and directly adjacent to the Buronga substation. This area is termed the project investigation area. Within the project investigation area, two identified BESS development corridors approximately 660 hectares (ha) and 490 ha in size have been proposed and will be subject to ongoing design refinement. The final development corridor will be presented in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The extents of the project investigation area and development corridors and shown in Figure 3.1 and a detailed description of the project is provided in Chapter 3.

The project is being developed through a comprehensive process that incorporates community and stakeholder feedback to maximise positive social, economic and environmental outcomes, while minimising adverse impacts. To date, Squadron Energy has engaged with the landowner, the wider local community, local business, a representative of the Murray Electorate Office (see Chapter 5) and the Wentworth Shire Council. Engagement will continue through the project planning and assessment process.



1.4 The Applicant

Squadron Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd is the applicant for the development and is part of the Squadron Energy and Tattarang group of companies. For ease of reference, the proponent will be referred to as Squadron Energy. Squadron Energy develops and operates energy generation and storage assets in Australia. Squadron Energy is an experienced project developer and asset operator, with the following portfolio of projects in Australia:

- Sapphire Wind Farm operating
- Crudine Ridge Wind Farm operating
- Bango Wind Farm operating
- Murra Warra I and II Wind Farms operating
- Uungula Wind Farm approved and under construction
- Clarke Creek Wind Farm approved and under construction
- Port Kembla Energy Terminal approved and under construction
- Sapphire Solar Farm approved
- Spicers Creek Wind Farm submissions report submitted December 2023
- Jeremiah Wind Farm EIS in preparation.

The NSW portfolio of firming projects currently includes:

- Uungula Battery (part of Uungula Wind Farm) approved and under construction
- Sapphire Battery (part of Sapphire Wind Farm) approved
- Spicers Creek Battery (part of Spicers Creek Wind Farm) submissions report submitted December 2023
- Dubbo Firming Power Station submissions report submitted December 2023.

Currently Squadron Energy generates enough renewable energy to power 1.78 million homes, has avoided 7 million tonnes (t) of emissions, has created over 2,100 direct jobs and provided \$350 million in regional investment.

Squadron Energy continues to use its unique extensive experience in developing projects, from inception through to operations and works closely with local communities to ensure that their projects provide significant community benefits, jobs and investment to the local and regional economy. Applicant details are provided in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Summary of Applicant details

| Requirement | Details |
|----------------|---|
| Applicant name | Squadron Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd |
| Postal address | 171-173 Mounts Bay Road, Perth, WA, Australia, 6000 |

Table 1.1 Summary of Applicant details

| Requirement | Details |
|-------------|----------------|
| ABN | 84 653 587 172 |

1.5 Purpose of this report

The project is State significant development (SSD) pursuant to Schedule 1 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021 (Planning Systems SEPP), being electricity generating works with an estimated development cost (EDC) of more than \$30 million. Accordingly, approval for the project is required under Part 4, Division 4.7 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act).

An SSD application needs to be accompanied by an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), that addresses the requirements of Part 8, Division 5, Section 190 and 192 of the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021* (EP&A Regulation) and the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the project.

This scoping report supports a request to the NSW Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI) for SEARs for the project. The SEARs will identify the matters to be assessed in the EIS and the level of assessment required.

This scoping report provides a high-level description of the project, including the site and its surroundings, the environmental planning pathway for approval and identifies key environmental issues potentially associated with the project.

It has been prepared by EMM Consulting Pty Limited (EMM) on behalf of Squadron Energy in accordance with the following guidelines:

- State significant development guidelines preparing a scoping report: Appendix A to the state significant development guidelines (DPIE 2022a) (Scoping Report Guidelines)
- Social Impact Assessment Guideline (DPE 2023a)
- Undertaking Engagement Guidelines for State Significant Projects (DPIE 2022b) (Engagement Guidelines)
- Cumulative Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects (DPIE 2022c).

The project outlined in this scoping report will be refined during the preparation of the EIS, including in response to the findings of detailed environmental investigations and feedback from community and stakeholder engagement.

1.6 Related development

The only existing or approved development within the project investigation area is Project EnergyConnect, which includes the Buronga substation upgrade, located on Arumpo Road approximately 8 km north of Buronga. The project will ultimately connect to the Buronga substation. The project is located on freehold land, directly adjacent to and surrounding the Buronga substation lot. The final connection proposal would depend on the final capacity of the development and would be detailed in the EIS.

Squadron Energy is also proposing to develop separate Wind Farm (Gol Gol Wind Farm) and Solar Farm (Gol Gol Solar Farm) projects on lands adjacent to and partially overlapping the BESS project investigation area. These projects will be subject to separate SSD assessments. All potential cumulative impacts would be assessed during preparation of the EIS.

2 Strategic context

2.1 Regional context

The project investigation area is within the Wentworth Shire Council Local Government Area (LGA), approximately 700 km west of Sydney and 400 km north-east of Adelaide and 550 km north of Melbourne. The Wentworth Shire Council LGA encompass an area of approximately 26,000 square kilometres (km²) and forms part of the NSW Murray Region (Figure 1.1). The project is located approximately 10 km north east of Mildura and 8 km north of Gol Gol.

The region is one of the most productive farming regions in Australia producing citrus, grapes, almonds, and wine. The Sturt Highway and Silver City Highway, directly south of the project, intersect at Buronga and connects the region to major population centres in NSW, Victoria, and South Australia.

The development corridor accommodates the BESS and is located within the South West REZ and Project EnergyConnect, which includes the construction and operation of a new high voltage (HV) 330 kV interconnector between NSW and South Australia, with an additional 220 kV connection to north west Victoria. The local context of the project, and the landholding in which it is located, is shown in Figure 2.1.

There are also a number of other SSD projects proposed within the South West REZ, the Wentworth LGA and the neighbouring Balranald LGA. Of note, the Malle Solar Farm (SSD-69576706) and Mallee Wind Farm (SSD-53293710), currently both preparing an EIS, are located east of the proposed project. These are detailed further in Section 2.2.1 and Figure 2.2.

2.1.1 Towns and population centres

The project is located approximately 10 km north east from Mildura, a Victorian regional centre with a population of about 34,000. A range of services to the region are in Mildura including an airport, hospital and other health services and a university.

There are also small townships in the vicinity of the project in New South Wales. Gol Gol is approximately 8 km south of the project and has a population of around 1,959. Buronga is approximately 8 km south west of the project and has a population of around 1,252 people. Dareton is approximately 20 km west of the project and has a population of around 456 people. Wentworth is approximately 35 km west of the project and has a population of around 1,577 people. The townships are all located on the Sturt Highway and near the Murray River.

2.2 Project investigation area and surrounds

The BESS project is located on freehold land owned by a single landowner who undertakes agricultural operations within the land. The BESS project investigation area is a smaller subset of the landholding and predominately consists of land used for agricultural purposes, mainly cropping and grazing, with some native vegetation within and surrounding the site.


Site access will be from the Sturt Highway and Silver City Highway via Arumpo Road east of the project (Figure 2.1). Arumpo Road is a sealed road, from which internal access tracks will be established to connect key infrastructure elements to the Silver City Highway.

The area surrounding the development corridor is sparsely populated, with one associated residence located along Arumpo Road near Lake Gol Gol. Outside of the development corridor, the population density increases towards the townships of Dareton and Buronga.

A summary of the key features of the development corridor and surrounds is provided in Table 2.1.

 Table 2.1
 Key features of the development corridor and surrounds

| Aspect | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| LGA | The project is within the Wentworth Shire Council LGA. |
| Land zoning | The development corridor is zoned RU1 Primary Production under the Wentworth <i>Local Environment Plan 2011</i> (Wentworth LEP). |
| Nearby | Nearby townships and populations include: |
| townships | • Gol Gol - approximately 8 km south (population of approximately 1,959 (ABS 2021)) |
| | • Buronga - approximately 8 km south west (population of approximately 1,252 (ABS 2021)) |
| | • Wentworth – approximately 12 km south west (population of approximately 1,577 (ABS 2021)) |
| | • Dareton – approximately 20 km west (population of approximately 456 (ABS 2021)). |
| Landscape | The landscape within the development corridor is mostly flat expanses with gentle rolling hills used for grazing or cropping. Parts of the development corridor have been cleared for agriculture purposes and contains stands of native trees. |
| Land use | Land use within the development corridor includes agricultural operations (livestock grazing as well as discrete areas for cropping). |
| Land ownership | The development corridor is on freehold land, comprising one lot (Lot 11 DP 1262716). The project has secured a land access agreement with the landowner of this lot. |
| Residences | No residential properties are within the project investigation area |
| Nearby natural | Lake Gol Gol |
| features | Gol Gol North Creek |
| | Holland Lake |
| | Murray River |
| | Darling River |
| | Various unnamed waterways |
| Nearby existing | State Roads: Silver City Highway (B79) and Sturt Highway (A20) |
| infrastructure | Local Roads: Arumpo Road, Mourquong Road |
| | Energy infrastructure: 220kV transmission line |



BESS project investigation area

▲ Dwelling associated with the

▲ Dwelling not associated with the

Local context

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 2.1



GDA2020 MGA Zone 54


2.2.1 Renewable energy and other developments

The project is within the South West REZ, which has been identified as a key location in NSW for the delivery of renewable energy and energy storage infrastructure. There are operating, approved and proposed renewable energy developments in the vicinity of the project, as well as other infrastructure and mining projects. These are summarised in Table 2.2 and shown in Figure 2.2.

 Table 2.2
 Nearby renewable developments and major projects

| Project | Development type | Status |
|--|--|--|
| Project EnergyConnect | Electricity transmission | Approved – under construction |
| Buronga Landfill Expansion | Landfill | Operational |
| Mallee Wind Farm | Wind farm | Proposed – EIS in preparation |
| Mallee Solar Farm | Solar farm | Proposed – SEARS application submitted |
| Euston Wind Farm | Wind farm | Proposed – EIS in preparation |
| Koorakee Energy Park | Wind farm, solar farm and Battery Energy Storage System | Proposed – SEARS application submitted |
| Junction Rivers Wind Farm (formerly Burrawong Wind Farm) | Wind farm | Proposed – EIS in preparation |
| Limondale Solar Farm | Solar farm | Operational |
| Sunraysia Solar Farm | Solar farm | Operational |
| Euston Mineral Sands mine | Mineral sands mine | Operational |
| Balranald Mineral Sands mine | Mineral sands mine | Operational |
| Atlas-Campaspe Mineral Sands mine | Mineral sands mine | Operational |

Source: https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects



Renewable energy and other

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 2.2



2.3 Planning framework

An overview of relevant key policies, plans and strategies, and how the project aligns with these, is provided in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 Alignment with key strategic planning frameworks and policy context

| Plan, policy or strategy | Description | Alignment with strategic framework |
|---|---|---|
| International context | | |
| The Paris Agreement | The Paris Agreement is a legally binding international treaty on climate change adopted by 196 parties in 2015. As a signatory to the agreement, the Australian Government has committed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 26–28% on 2005 levels by 2030. | The project will contribute to meeting Australia's commitments under the Paris Agreement by reducing the National Electricity Market's (NEMs) annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. |
| National context | | |
| Climate Change Act 2022 | The Climate Change Act 2022 provides a policy framework for the implementation of Australia's netzero commitments and outlines Australia's net 2030 and 2050 greenhouse gas emission reduction targets under the Paris Agreement. | The project will contribute to meeting Australia's net 2030 and 2050 commitments. |
| Large-scale Renewable Energy Target (Clean Energy Regulator 2021) | The Australian Government Clean Energy Regulator administers the large-scale renewable energy target which incentivises investment in renewable energy power stations such as wind and solar farms. The large-scale renewable energy target of 33,000 GWh of additional renewable electricity generation was met at the end of January 2021 (Clean Energy Regulator 2021). The annual target will remain at 33,000 GWh until the scheme ends in 2030. | It is noted that the annual target has been met and will remain at 33,000 GWh until the scheme ends in 2030. Once operational, the project will contribute towards meeting the large-scale renewable energy target. The BESS will enable the storage of energy to increase market efficiency and permit greater penetration of renewables in the electricity grid. |
| Integrated System Plan 2022 (AEMO 2022) | The Australia Energy Market Operator's Integrated Systems Plan (ISP) 2022 (AEMO 2022)) is an "Actionable roadmap for eastern Australia's power system to optimise consumer benefits through a transition period of great complexity and uncertainty". Renewable energy zones (REZs) are identified in the ISP as "high-quality resource areas where clusters of large-scale renewable energy projects can be developed using economies of scale". The ISP identifies that significant investment in the NEM requiring a nine-fold increase in utility-scale variable renewable energy (VRE) and that "much of this resource will be built in REZs that coordinate network and renewable investment, and foster a more holistic approach to regional employment, economic opportunity and community participation". | The project will contribute to the development of the South West REZ. |

 Table 2.3
 Alignment with key strategic planning frameworks and policy context

| Plan, policy or strategy | Description | Alignment with strategic framework |
|--|---|---|
| Draft Integrated System Plan 2024 | The ISP is published every two years and shows where new transmission, generation and storage is needed across the National Electricity Market (NEM). The Draft Integrated System Plan 2024 (Draft ISP) is currently up for public comment until 16 February 2024 before its finalisation and publication circa June 2024. | The Draft ISP highlights the urgent need for investment in new renewable energy generation, transmission, and storage projects to meet the NEM renewable electricity generation targets and deliver secure, reliable, and affordable energy. As the project is within the South West REZ and connects to the existing electricity supply networks, the BESS strategically aligns with the objectives of the Draft ISP. |
| Australia's Long-Term Emissions Reduction Plan (DCCEEW 2022) | The Australian Government's Long Term Emissions Reduction Plan (Australian Government 2021) is to achieve net zero emissions by 2050. The Plan aims at reaching a net zero economy through a technology-based approach, whilst protecting relevant industries, regions and jobs. It is part of an overarching strategy for emission reduction, based on a technology-led approach which includes a technology investment roadmap and its low emissions technology statements. | The project will contribute to reduced GHG emissions associated with energy storage over its operational life. |
| State context | | |
| Net Zero Plan Stage 1 2020–2030 (DPIE 2020a) | The Net Zero Plan Stage 1 2020–2030 (DPIE 2020a) outlines the NSW Government's plan to grow the economy and create jobs while helping the state to deliver a 35% cut in emissions compared to 2005 levels. | The project contributes to Priority 1 of the Net Zero Plan: "drive uptake of proven emissions reduction technologies that grow the economy, create new jobs or reduce the cost of living." |
| | | The project will fall within the South West REZ. The region has been identified as an ideal location to play a key role in a renewable energy future for NSW due to its good renewable energy resources and opportunity to utilise electricity network infrastructure. |
| | | The project will utilise these benefits to contribute to the NSW Net Zero Plan. |
| The Climate Change (Net Zero Future) Act 2023 | The Climate Change (Net Zero Future) Act 2023 enshrines whole-of-government climate action to delivery net zero by 2050 and legislates the NSW governments approach to addressing climate change. The Act legislates: • guiding principles for action to address climate | As the project is within the South West REZ and connects to the existing electricity supply networks, the project assists in meeting the emissions reduction targets for NSW. |
| | change | |
| | emissions reduction targetsan objective for NSW to be climate resilient | |
| | establishment of an independent Net Zero Commission expert. | |

 Table 2.3
 Alignment with key strategic planning frameworks and policy context

| Plan, policy or strategy | Description | Alignment with strategic framework |
|--|--|---|
| NSW Electricity Infrastructure Investment Roadmap (DPIE 2020b) | The Electricity Infrastructure Roadmap coordinates investment in transmission, generation, storage and firming infrastructure as ageing coal-fired generation plants retire. The roadmap includes actions that will deliver 'whole-of system' benefits. | The project is within the South West REZ and is ideally placed to contribute to the success of the roadmap. |
| | The roadmap sets out a plan to deliver the State's first five REZs in the Central-West Orana, New England, South-West, Hunter-Central Coast, and Illawarra regions. | |
| Draft Energy Policy Framework | The NSW DPHI recently put a <i>Draft Energy Policy Framework</i> (Draft Framework) out for public consultation until 29 January 2024. | The Draft Framework includes updates and additional guidelines that detail how impacts of renewable energy and transmission |
| | The framework is proposed to support faster and more consistent decision making and provide greater certainty for communities and energy industries. | projects will be assessed and managed. Impact assessment and project description will be considered within the EIS at the adoption of the amended guidelines. |
| NSW Electricity Strategy 2019 (DPIE 2019) | The NSW Electricity Strategy is the NSW Government's plan for a reliable, affordable and sustainable electricity future that supports a growing economy. Four of NSWs five remaining coal-fired generators are scheduled to close by 2035, starting with Liddell Power Station in 2023 (DPIE 2019). The strategy outlines a reliable energy system which meets NSWs energy requirements and emission reduction targets. The strategy and its enabling legislation, the Electricity Infrastructure Investment Act 2020, supports the rolling out of REZs and the establishment of the Energy Corporation of NSW (Energy Co) with the objectives of bringing together investors, conducting early planning and maximising benefits to local communities. | The project will contribute to the development of the South West REZ of NSW, will assist in meeting NSW's energy generation and storage requirements, and in meeting the NSW Government's GHG emissions reduction targets. |
| Local and regional context | | |
| Wentworth Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011 | The LEP provides the framework that guides land use and development within the local government area through zoning and development standards. The LEP also sets out objectives for each land use zone. | The project is located on landed zoned RU1 under the Wentworth LEP 2011. The project is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the RU1 zone. |
| Far West Regional Plan 2036 | The Far West Regional Plan 2036 (DPIE 2017) guides land use planning priorities and decision making in the Far West region for the next two decades. The vision identifies the Far West region as a leader for sustainable and cost-effective electricity production, as well as looking to support the agricultural industry and expand the food processing sector. | The plan highlights the objectives of leading renewable energy technology and investment and leveraging new and upgraded infrastructure. The project is aligned with key objectives within the plan as it will take advantage of existing infrastructure to provide electricity storage capacity for new renewable energy projects. |

Table 2.3 Alignment with key strategic planning frameworks and policy context

| Plan, policy or strategy | Description | Alignment with strategic framework |
|--|--|---|
| Wentworth Shire Council Community Strategic Plan 2017 - 2027 | The Wentworth Shire Community Strategic Plan outlines the aspirations and long-term vision of the Wentworth LGA community. Specific focus is placed on growing the economy, delivering infrastructure, protecting the vulnerable, improving health, education, and public services. The community is concerned about a deteriorating economic base, reduced employment opportunities and achieving infrastructure required to support tourism. | The project contributes to the Wentworth Shire Community Strategic Plan 2017 - 2027, by providing the opportunity for employment and other indirect economic benefits to the local community throughout the life of the project. |
| Wentworth Shire Local Strategic Planning Statement | The Wentworth Shire Council Local Strategic Planning Statement sets the land use framework for Wentworth Shire's economic, social and environmental land use needs to 2040. It addresses the planning and development issues of strategic significance to the Council through planning priorities and actions, spatial land use direction and guidance. | The project will contribute towards achieving key planning priorities of the Wentworth Shire Local Strategic Planning Statement including: Economy - Developments in renewable energy projects in the Shire provide opportunities to bolster the economies of Wentworth Shire townships. |

2.4 Project justification

The State's four existing coal fired power stations that currently provide around three quarters of NSW's electricity supply are closing progressively. The development of renewable energy and battery storage projects aligns with State and federal government commitments to both increase renewable energy generation to replace fossil fuels that are currently meeting Australian energy needs and to reduce carbon emissions.

The proposed location of this project is within the South West REZ, one of the five REZs established by the NSW Government to encourage investment in renewable energy developments in these locations. It is expected that the intended battery storage capacity of up to 1,500 MW and provision for up to 8 hours of storage (12 GWh) will contribute to grid stability and reliability and ultimately provision of energy to the NEM.

The project is also long-duration storage and will contribute to achieving the 2 GW of long-duration storage targeted by the NSW Electricity Infrastructure Roadmap by 2030.

2.4.1 Project benefits

The project will contribute to meeting these government objectives and carries additional benefits including:

- job creation during the construction and operational phases
- indirect economic benefits to the local community throughout the life of the project
- support renewable energy generation projects
- contribute to grid stability and reliability
- supports Australia's transition towards clean and renewable sources of energy.

2.4.2 Site suitability

Key considerations for Squadron Energy's selection of the project investigation area are:

- landowner agreeing to host the BESS
- large separation distances to populated areas to minimise visual and amenity impacts
- positioning within the South West REZ
- flat topography and large land area available to position infrastructure and avoid constraints
- open space to allow for hazard mitigation
- proximity directly adjacent to existing transmission lines and the approved Project EnergyConnect infrastructure (including direct and efficient access to the adjacent Buronga substation).

Project EnergyConnect includes the construction and operation of a new high voltage (HV) interconnector between NSW and South Australia, with an additional connection to north west Victoria and a significant upgrade to the existing Buronga substation.

Project EnergyConnect aims to reduce the cost of providing secure and reliable electricity transmission between NSW and South Australia in the near term, while facilitating the longer-term transition of the energy sector across the National Electricity Market (NEM) to low emission energy sources. The positioning of the project adjacent to this important piece of planned energy infrastructure will reduce the need for extensive new transmission infrastructure.

3 Project description

3.1 Overview

The project includes the installation, operation and maintenance and decommissioning (or repowering) of a BESS and associated infrastructure (Figure 3.1). The project will have an installed capacity of up to 1,500 MW and will have provision for up to 8 hours of storage.

The BESS will provide both storage as well as firming capacity to the National Electricity Market (NEM) and assist in grid stability by providing frequency control ancillary services. The battery will allow for the storage and export of energy within the NEM as required. Export of energy to the electricity network will be via new substations, to be constructed within the site, and a new transmission line connection to an existing 220 kV transmission line.

To accommodate the BESS, Squadron Energy have identified two site options for the development of the project, both of which are near the Buronga substation (Figure 3.1). BESS Area 1 is located to the west of the existing 220 kV transmission line and is approximately 657 ha. BESS Area 2 is located to the east of the of the transmission line and is approximately 487 ha. The landscape within the project investigation area is mostly clear and flat expanses used for grazing and cropping.

All the required project infrastructure can be contained within both areas, which have been sized with sufficient flexibility to accommodate design refinement during preparation of the EIS. It is noted that the development corridor being investigated as part of the scoping phase is a conservative area for early assessment purposes and the proposed disturbance area will likely be significantly smaller, subject to further detailed assessments and design.

The final extents, layout and capacity of the BESS project will be selected based on environmental constraints identification, further landowner engagement, engineering assessments and detailed design of project infrastructure. The development corridor is likely to be refined during the EIS phase.


A summary of the project elements is provided in Table 3.1 and detailed in Figure 3.1, with further details provided in Sections 3.2 to 3.4.

Table 3.1 Indicative project summary

| Project element | Details |
|--|---|
| Overview | |
| BESS Area 1 | Approximately 657 ha (subject to selection and further design refinement as the project progresses). |
| BESS Area 2 | Approximately 487 ha (subject to selection and further design refinement as the project progresses). |
| Land tenure | The development corridor accommodates BESS Area 1 and BESS Area 2 and is on freehold land (Lot 11 DP 1262716), owned by a single landowner. |
| BESS elements | |
| Battery storage (including battery modules, power control units and inverters) | Up to 1,500 MW for 8 hours (12 GWh). |
| Substations | Co-location of the substation and operations and maintenance facility within BESS Area 1. |
| | Co-location of the up to two substations and operations and maintenance facilities within BESS Area 2. |

 Table 3.1
 Indicative project summary

| Project element | Details |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Power conversion systems | Power conversion systems (PCS) incorporating inverters to convert Direct Current (DC) to Alternating Current (AC) and to step up voltage. |
| Transmission | Overhead and / or underground transmission lines will be constructed to connect the BESS to the Buronga substation. The route and specifications of the transmission lines will be presented in the EIS. |
| Temporary construction facilities | |
| Construction compound | One location. Approximately 9 ha |
| Batching plant / laydown areas | Two locations. Approximately 9 ha each |
| Internal roads and drainage | Approximately 5 m wide unsealed private roads (excluding batters). |
| Roads | |
| Site access | Access will be primarily from Arumpo Road to the east of the development corridor. Arumpo Road joins with the Silver City Highway to the south, which is the main high-capacity road in the area, adjoining the Sturt Highway at Buronga. |
| Intersection upgrades | Aside from the likely upgrade of project access points from Arumpo Road, it is unlikely that any other intersections will require upgrade as a result of the BESS project. |
| Construction | |
| Construction period | Approximately 12–24 months. |
| Sources | Infrastructure components will be transported by heavy vehicles from ports either within NSW, Victoria, or South Australia. |
| | Construction materials will be sourced regionally and locally where possible. |
| Construction hours | Construction activities will generally be undertaken during standard day time construction hours (i.e. 7.00 am to 6.00 pm Monday to Friday and 8.00 am to 1.00 pm Saturday) with out of hours works required for key activities. |
| Construction workforce | Approximately 150 full-time equivalent (FTE). |
| Workforce accommodation | Nearest towns, including Wentworth, Dareton, Buronga, Gol Gol, Mildura, and others (within approximately 50 km of the site). It is possible that local accommodation will not be sufficient, particularly with cumulative impacts of nearby projects, and an accommodation strategy will be developed as part of EIS. |
| Operations | |
| Operations and maintenance facilities | Centralised control room, incorporating staff amenities and ablutions. |
| Operations hours | 24 hours per day/7 days per week. |
| Operations on-site workforce | Approximately 10-15 FTE. |
| Project lifespan | Approximately 30 years, with options for repowering. |
| | |



Project layout

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 3.1



3.2 Physical layout and design

3.2.1 Battery energy storage system

A BESS is proposed that will have a capacity of up to 1,500 MW and provision for up to 8 hours of storage (12 GWh).

A range of technologies are being considered, including lithium-ion, lead acid, sodium sulphur, sodium or nickel hydride and flow batteries. The final design of the battery storage will depend on the technology selected. The various technology types noted above will be assessed as part of the EIS.

3.2.2 Supporting infrastructure

Temporary facilities will include site offices and compounds, batching plant and laydown area and minor construction access roads. All temporary facility sites will be rehabilitated once they are no longer required.

Supporting infrastructure will be required for operations and will include:

- substations
- an operation and maintenance facility
- hardstands
- internal roads.

Indicative details of construction and operational ancillary facilities are included in Table 3.1 and indicative locations for some permanent ancillary areas are shown in Figure 3.1.

3.2.3 Site access

The development corridor would be accessed from Arumpo Road, from which, multiple site access points are proposed. Access to Arumpo Road would be via Silver City Highway and Sturt Highway, two arterial roads in the vicinity of the project (Figure 3.1). Arumpo Road is sealed and accommodates one lane in each direction of travel.

Internal access tracks will also be established to connect the BESS and other key infrastructure elements back to Arumpo Road and ultimately the Silver City Highway and Sturt Highway.

The transport route to the development corridor will be confirmed through the EIS but is expected to comprise vehicle movements primarily originating from the Silver City Highway and Sturt Highway to the south of the project. Over-size, over-mass (OSOM) vehicles are not likely to be required for the BESS project.

3.3 Activities and uses

3.3.1 Construction

i Overview

Temporary infrastructure required during construction will include site offices and compounds, batching plant and laydown area and minor construction access roads.

Earthworks will be required for the preparation of the construction footprint, including hardstand and access track formation and drainage works. Where required, additional or improved drainage channels, sediment control ponds and dust control measures will be implemented.

Laydown areas, waste handling, fuel and chemical storage areas will be strategically placed to minimise potential environmental impacts during construction.

ii Construction hours and schedule

Construction of the project is expected to fit into standard construction hours (i.e. 7.00 am to 6.00 pm Monday to Friday and 8.00 am to 1.00 pm Saturday). The justification and specifics for out of hours works will be detailed in the EIS.

The construction phase of the project is expected to take approximately 12–24 months.

iii Workforce

A workforce of up to 150 FTE personnel will be required on-site during peak construction. The construction workforce will be sourced from the local area as far as practicable, noting the remote nature of the project and distance to key populated centres. There would likely be the requirement for non-local construction staff due to specialist skill requirements.

Wentworth Shire Council and the local community will be consulted throughout the development and assessment of the project regarding managing potential impacts and opportunities for accommodation of the project's construction workforce.

Accommodation for non-local construction staff is expected to be a key challenge for the project. An accommodation strategy will be presented in the EIS to address workforce accommodation, including the use of available rental, motel and other accommodation in surrounding townships, regional centres and the consideration of alternative options, including temporary facilities onsite and offsite. Potential cumulative impacts on accommodation, public infrastructure, and essential and town services will be considered in the EIS as part of the social and economic impact assessment.

3.3.2 Operation

The operational lifespan of all project elements is expected to be in excess of 25–30 years, depending on the nature of battery technology used and energy market demands.

Key activities during operations will be energy storage, requiring up to 10–15 full-time on-site employees, with project operations to be supported by contractor roles for selected maintenance activities.

Regular maintenance will be required throughout operations, including for internal roads, drainage, fencing and vegetation as well as service, repair, or replacement of components of the BESS and substations.

Light vehicle access will be required throughout operations and occasional heavy vehicle movements may also be required for minor and major maintenance works/campaigns.

3.3.3 Decommissioning

Once the project reaches the end of its operational life, a decision will be made to either decommission or repower battery energy storage, subject to approval requirements. If the project is decommissioned, all aboveground structures built as part of the project will be removed and the site rehabilitated generally to its pre-existing land use, as far as practicable. Exceptions to decommissioning would be any road, power of other infrastructure that the landowner wishes to retain or to avoid environmental impacts. If repowering battery energy storage is proposed, an appropriate stakeholder consultation process will be undertaken, and all necessary approvals will be sought.

3.4 Timing

Squadron Energy is investigating two locations for the BESS in order to achieve the targeted storage capacity, whilst factoring in the initially identified environmental constraints. These options are detailed in Section 3.1. It is possible that the timing of BESS area 1 may precede BESS area 2 to allow for a phased connection to the future Buronga substation, which may be required with construction of this facility still underway.

Squadron Energy is also proposing to develop separate Wind Farm (Gol Gol Wind Farm) and Solar Farm (Gol Gol Solar Farm) projects on land adjacent to and partially overlapping the BESS project investigation area. These projects will be subject to separate SSD applications.

Further details on the timing of both the BESS areas program, and program of the BESS in relation to other nearby projects, will be included in the EIS, however construction timing is aiming to align with the completion of the Buronga substation upgrade.

3.5 Alternatives considered

3.5.1 Alternative locations

Alternatives to the project investigation area were considered as part of the site identification process, including other potential sites in NSW. The primary constraint in considering locations elsewhere in NSW, including outside of the REZs, is the increasing distance from the transmission network – both existing and planned.

Alternatives which are further away from Project EnergyConnect need long transmission lines and easements to connect into the network, which come with additional environmental and social impacts. As such, the selected project investigation area is considered optimal for development of the project with any alternative not considered on par with current options having regard to environmental outcomes.

3.5.2 Alternative project layouts

Environmental and social constraints have, and will continue to be, a key consideration during the selection and refinement of the project layout. The preliminary development corridor and indicative project layout detailed in Figure 3.1 have been the subject of an iterative design process that has been informed by proximity to the Buronga substation, future grid connection points, landowner consultation and preliminary environmental constraints information.

The final layout will be refined as part of the preparation of the EIS and will be informed by the outcomes of the key EIS technical assessments and outcomes of engagement with community and regulatory stakeholders.

As part of further design refinements, the following principles will be adopted:

- minimise vegetation clearing (areas of higher conservation value and/or native vegetation will be strategically avoided, where possible)
- maximise use of previously disturbed land (i.e. land previously modified by agricultural operations, including cleared areas, established access tracks and local roads)
- minimise disturbance (footprints for project infrastructure will be limited to the minimum area required for constructability and operational safeguards and maximum performance)
- protect significant Aboriginal cultural and historic heritage values (through the identification and evaluation of heritage sites as part of the preparation of the Aboriginal cultural and historic heritage assessments)
- a flexible approach to design (responding to identified environmental impacts and constraints)

• effective community engagement for developing enhancement or mitigation measures.

3.5.3 Do nothing

The 'do nothing' scenario would allow for the continued use of the project investigation area for agricultural production; however, it would also forego the project benefits listed in Section 2.4, which include contributions to the development of the South West REZ and supporting Australia's transition towards clean and renewable sources of energy. In addition, the local area and broader region would not realise the economic benefits to local and regional communities provided by direct employment opportunities, benefit sharing opportunities and flowon effects.

4 Statutory context

The key relevant statutory requirements for the project, having regard to the EP&A Act, other NSW and Commonwealth legislation, and environmental planning instruments are summarised in Table 4.1. This table has been set out in accordance with the Scoping Report Guidelines and *State Significant Development - Preparing an Environmental Impact Statement Appendix B to the State Significant Development Guidelines* (DPIE 2022e) (EIS Guidelines), to cover the following:

- power to grant approval (i.e. approval pathway)
- permissibility
- consistent approvals
- Commonwealth approvals
- approvals not required (pursuant to Section 4.41 of the EP&A Act)
- pre-conditions to exercising the power to grant consent
- mandatory matters for consideration.

Detailed consideration of relevant statutory requirements will be provided in the EIS.

Table 4.1 Statutory context

| Approval | Requirement |
|---|--|
| Power to grant approval | |
| EP&A Act and SEPP (Planning Systems) 2021 | Section 4.36(2) of the EP&A Act states that a: |
| | State environmental planning policy may declare any development, or any class or description of development, to be State significant development. |
| | Clause 2.6 of the Planning Systems SEPP states: |
| | (1) Development is declared to be State significant development for the purposes of the Act if: |
| | (a) the development on the land concerned is, by the operation of an environmental planning instrument, not permissible without development consent under Part 4 of the Act, and |
| | (b) the development is specified in Schedule 1 and 2. |
| | Schedule 1, clause 20 of the Planning Systems SEPP defines the following as SSD: |
| | Electricity generating works and heat or co-generation |
| | Development for the purpose of electricity generating works or heat or their co- generation (using any energy source, including gas, coal, biofuel, waste, hydro, wave, solar or wind power) that: |
| | (a) has a capital investment value of more than \$30 million. |
| | The Project is development for the purpose of electricity storage and will have an EDC of more than \$30 million, so it is SSD. |
| | Under Section 4.5 of the EP&A Act the consent authority for SSD is the Minister for Planning. The Minister for Planning has issued a general delegation of the consent authority function for SSD projects to the Independent Planning Commission in instances where more than 50 public objections are received on the application, the applicant has made a reportable political donations disclosure and/or the local Council objects to the project. |

Table 4.1Statutory context

| Approval | Requirement |
|---|--|
| Permissibility | |
| Electricity Infrastructure Investment Act 2020 | The project is within a declared REZ under section 23 of the <i>Electricity Infrastructure Investment Act 2020.</i> |
| Consistent approvals | |
| Overview | Section 4.42 of the EP&A Act outlines that the approvals listed below cannot be refused if necessary for carrying out an approved SSD and are to be consistent with the terms of the development consent for the SSD. |
| An environment protection licence under Part 3 of the NSW <i>Protection</i> of the Environment Operations Act 1997 | Under Section 48 of the POEO Act, an Environment Protection Licence (EPL) from the NSW Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) is required for activities listed in Schedule 1. The Project does not constitute a scheduled activity under Schedule 1 and will not require an EPL. The POEO Act has a number of regulations relating to matters of pollution, waste, air quality and noise. If relevant, these specific sections would be considered as part of the EIS |
| | and technical assessments. |
| An approval under Section 138 of the NSW <i>Roads Act 1993</i> | Under Section 138 or Part 9, Division 3 of the <i>Roads Act 1993</i> , a person must not undertake any works that impact on a road, including connecting a road (whether public or private) to a classified road, without approval of the relevant authority, being either Transport for NSW or local council, depending upon the classification of the road. Local road and/or intersection upgrades may be required as part of the project and approval(s) will be sought from the relevant authority. |
| Commonwealth approvals | |
| Environment Protection and | The EPBC Act aims to protect matters of national environmental significance (MNES). |
| Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) | If an action will, or is likely to, have a significant impact on any MNES, it is deemed to be a 'controlled action' and requires approval from the Commonwealth Environment Minister or the Minister's delegate. |
| | The project will be referred to the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (Commonwealth DCCEEW) under the EPBC Act to determine whether the Project requires formal assessment and approval under the EPBC Act as a controlled action or not. |
| | If the Project is determined to be a controlled action, it is proposed that the Project would be assessed under the bilateral agreement between the NSW and Commonwealth Government. |
| Native Title Act 1993 | The Commonwealth <i>Native Title Act 1993</i> recognises and protects native title rights in Australia. |
| Approvals not required | There are no current native title claims relevant to the project development area. |
| | |
| Overview | Section 4.41 of the EP&A outlines the following approvals, permits etc are not required for an approved SSD if these are adequately assessed in the EIS and consent granted as part of the SSD approval pathway. |
| Fisheries Management Act 1994 | A permit under the <i>Fisheries Management Act 1994</i> to block fish passage or dredge or carry out reclamation work on water land will not be required pursuant to Section 4.41 of the EP&A Act. |
| | The project may require work in water land to facilitate the upgrade of road watercourse crossings and/or to establish new crossings subject to traffic studies. These works will be undertaken in accordance with NSW DPI <i>Policies and Guidelines on Fish-Friendly Waterway Crossings</i> (undated), <i>Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management</i> (DPI 2013). |

Table 4.1Statutory context

| Approval | Requirement |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Heritage Act 1977 | An approval under Part 4, or an excavation permit under Section 139, of <i>the Heritage Act</i> 1977 will not be required pursuant to Section 4.41 of the EP&A Act. Notwithstanding, there are no listed heritage items within the development corridor. |
| National Parks and Wildlife Act 1979 | An Aboriginal heritage impact permit under Section 90 of the <i>National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974</i> will not be required pursuant to Section 4.41 of the EP&A Act. |
| | There is potential for Aboriginal sites to occur within the development corridor. Any Aboriginal heritage sites will be avoided as far as practicable through the design process. |
| Rural Fires Act 1997 | A bushfire safety authority under Section 100B of the <i>Rural Fires Act 1997</i> will not be required pursuant to Section 4.41 of the EP&A Act. |
| | A bushfire assessment will be prepared in accordance with NSW Rural Fire Service (2019) Planning for Bushfire Protection as part of the EIS. |
| Water Management Act 2000 | A water use approval under Section 89, a water management work approval under Section 90 or a controlled activity approval (other than an aquifer interference approval) under Section 91 of the <i>Water Management Act 2000</i> pursuant to section 4.41 of the EP&A Act will not be required pursuant to section 4.41 of the EP&A Act. |
| | Construction work near or within watercourses within the development area will be required. These works will be carried out in accordance with the NSW <i>Guidelines for Controlled Activities</i> . |
| Pre-conditions to exercising the pow | er to grant consent |
| | An EIS will be prepared in accordance with relevant legislative requirements and guidelines. No pre-conditions to exercising the power to grant consent for the project are currently envisaged. Owners consent to the lodgement of the SSDA will be obtained. |
| Mandatory consideration – Consider | ations under EP&A Act and EPA&A Regulation |
| Section 1.3 of the EP&A Act | Relevant objects of the EP&A Act are: |
| | to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources, |
| | to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment, |
| | c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land, |
| | to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats, |
| | e) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage), |
| | f) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment, |
| | g) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment. |
| | The above will be considered in the EIS. |

Table 4.1Statutory context

| Approval | Requirement |
|---|--|
| Section 4.15 of the EP&A Act | Pursuant to Section 4.15 of the EP&A Act, the consent authority must consider the following relevant matters for consideration: |
| | relevant environmental planning instruments for the project including: |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 |
| | other local environmental planning instruments |
| | relevant development control plans |
| | • the likely impacts of that development, including environmental impacts on both the natural and built environments, and social and economic impacts in the locality |
| | the suitability of the site for the development |
| | the public interest. |
| | The above will be considered in the EIS (other than relevant development control plans). |
| Mandatory consideration – Consider | ations under other legislation |
| Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act) | Under the BC Act, biodiversity assessment in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) is required for any SSD project. The project (as SSD) triggers the need to prepare a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) in accordance with the BAM. |
| | A BDAR will be prepared as part of the EIS. |
| NSW Roads Act 1993 (Roads Act) | Relevant to the project, consent under section 138 of the Roads Act may be required for any site access intersection improvements (i.e. to connect the development corridor to the local road network), as well as any works within designated road corridors. |
| | Should the project obtain development consent, approval under the Roads Act cannot be refused and will be consistent with conditions of approval. |
| Local Land Services Act 2013 (LLS Act) | The Code supports landholders undertaking productive and sustainable farming practices, while managing environmental risk. |
| The Land Management (Native Vegetation) Code 2018 (the Code) | The development corridor does not include set aside areas managed under the Code for the purposes of conservation (managed to promote vegetation integrity). |
| Mandatory considerations – Environ | mental planning instruments |
| State Environmental Planning Policy | The EIS will consider the following relevant departmental guidelines: |
| (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 – Section 3.7 | Applying State Environmental Planning Policy No. 33 Hazardous and Offensive Development |
| | Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Papers (HIPAP) No. 3 – Risk Assessment |
| | HIPAP No. 12 – Hazards. |
| State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 – Section 4.6 | The EIS will consider the potential for the project to impact on contaminated land. |
| State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021 | The existing 220kV transmission line traverses the project. As such, the EIS will consider the potential for the project to affect an electricity transmission or distribution network with reference to Clause 2.48 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021. |
| Wentworth Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011 | The EIS will consider the relevant objectives and land uses for RU1 zone as well as other relevant provisions under the Wentworth LEP. |

Table 4.1 Statutory context

Approval Requirement

Mandatory considerations Development control plans

In accordance with Section 2.10 of the Planning Systems SEPP, development control plans do not apply to SSD and are not a relevant consideration for the project.

5 Engagement

5.1 Community and stakeholder engagement objectives

A Stakeholder Engagement Plan for the Gol Gol BESS project has been developed and is available at the project website (https://www.squadronenergy.com/our-projects/gol-gol-battery).

Squadron Energy aims to engage with local community and key stakeholders in a way that is genuine and lasting. The objectives of community and stakeholder engagement during the project's scoping phase, are to:

- identify potentially affected people and groups, who may have interest in or be affected by the project's construction, operation or decommissioning
- establish transparent and accessible mechanisms for engaging with the local community and key stakeholders to build and maintain relationships
- enable early input from stakeholders to the project's scoping and planning activities.

Key stakeholders relevant to this phase include the host landowner and neighbours, broader community including First Nations community members, Wentworth Shire Council, State regulatory agencies and the State Elected Representative for Murray. Preliminary inputs from Squadron Energy's engagement program also informs the scoping phase of the Social Impact Assessment (SIA).

5.2 Community and stakeholder engagement

Squadron Energy has a high-level framework for the delivery of communication and engagement throughout the planning and assessment process for each stage of the project, which is dynamic and evolves based on stakeholder and community feedback. Squadron Energy has commenced and will continue to carry out engagement that is meaningful, proportionate and tailored to the needs of the community, stakeholders, councils and government agencies.

Engagement for the project's scoping phase has included:

- face-to-face meetings and briefings
- phone calls and emails
- community newsletter distribution
- community open day
- a community survey
- project-specific website, email and phone contacts launched.

Further detail and findings from Squadron Energy's engagement activities are reported in the following sections.

5.2.1 Key stakeholder meetings

Table 5.1 provides an overview of the stakeholder meetings undertaken for the project to date, including the stakeholder type, number and timing of meetings.

Table 5.1 Stakeholder meetings

| Stakeholder type | Number of meetings | Timeframe |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Host landowner | 4 | August 2021 – March 2024 |
| Neighbour/s | 4 | August 2021 – March 2024 |
| State MP | 1 | March 2024 |
| Wentworth Shire Council General Manager | 1 | April 2024 |

Findings from community and stakeholder engagement undertaken during the project's scoping phase identified the following key themes:

- general project interest, together with Squadron Energy's proposed Gol Gol Wind Farm and Solar projects
- project workforce and accommodation arrangements
- noise impacts during construction (including traffic) and operations of the project
- community benefit sharing to be explored further during the development of the project's social impact assessment.

From September 2023 to March 2024, Squadron Energy also completed phone calls with local stakeholders including the host landowner, nearby neighbours and local businesses. Issues discussed in these calls include general project update, questions about employment of business opportunities, land use and agreement negotiation.

5.2.2 Community open day

Squadron Energy delivered a Community Open Day at the Midway Centre in Buronga on 20 March 2024, from 2:00 pm to 7:00 pm. The event was promoted via distribution of flyers to PO/letter boxes and an ad in the Sunraysia Daily newspaper, which was subsequently shared to the local community Facebook page.

During this event, 26 visitors participated, comprising neighbours, local businesses, and local residents of the Wentworth LGA and surrounds. Local community members mainly included local residents of Buronga and Gol Gol.

The feedback received during this event was mostly neutral from local residents with general agreement that the energy transition was inevitable and that the selected site was ideal considering alternatives in the region. Local business owners felt mostly positive towards the project with consensus that it would be stimulating for the local economy and job opportunities.

Key matters of interest to and concern raised by the attendees included:

- benefits of renewable energy
- employment or business opportunities
- traffic and access impacts
- changes to visual amenity
- safety and security.

5.2.3 Community survey

A community survey was delivered via SurveyMonkey from 15 March to 2 April 2024, with hard copies also available and promoted at the Community Open Day. The purpose of the survey was to gain an understanding from the broader community on the potential social impacts and benefits of the project in order to help inform project design. The survey comprised 17 questions and included specific questions relating to the project.

A total of 17 responses were received, with the majority of survey respondents comprising of local residents and nearby neighbours residing in Gol Gol and Buronga. Approximately 41% of survey respondents are aged 65 years or older.

Perceptions towards the project varied, with both positive and negative responses received. A few respondents identified the need for more information around the project and battery technology more broadly to be better informed. When rating potential social impacts and benefits associated with the BESS project, the three most highly rated potential benefits were renewable energy generation, climate change management, and employment opportunities. The three most highly rated potential negative social impacts were waste management, bushfire risk, and access to housing or short-term accommodation.

5.2.4 Government and regulatory stakeholders

Squadron Energy met with DPHI in March 2024 to discuss the Gol Gol Energy Projects. During this meeting, the main queries from DPHI pertaining to the battery system included:

- noise impacts to nearby dwellings
- rationale behind having two separate battery sites
- more clarity around site access to each of the sites.

The Department endorsed having multiple options for the BESS, so long as sufficient justification was provided and consultation with the relevant stakeholders is used to inform the final layouts as part of the EIS.

5.3 EIS phase consultation

During the preparation of the EIS, Squadron Energy will continue to consult with relevant local, State and Commonwealth Government authorities, infrastructure and service providers, community groups, First Nations communities, neighbours and affected landowners/leaseholders. Squadron Energy is committed to genuine and consistent engagement with the local community and stakeholders to support the building of strong relationships with stakeholders, foster existing connections, and establishing a socially sustainable project. EIS phase consultation will be undertaken in accordance with *Undertaking Engagement Guidelines for State Significant Projects* (DPIE 2022b).

First Nations stakeholders will be identified and consulted with during the preparation of the EIS in accordance with the *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents* (DECCW, 2010a) and be continuous in nature.

Electricity infrastructure owners, including Transgrid, will be consulted with in relation to activities impacting the transmission network and connection requirements, also planning insights from their local community consultation

Consultation during the development of the EIS will aim to:

proactively inform, consult and involve stakeholders using clear and consistent key messages

- continue to collaborate with key stakeholders to identify potential issues, impacts, opportunities and benefits
- communicate the progress of the project and key findings or outcomes of assessments
- enable stakeholders to have input into the preparation of the EIS, project planning, investigate opportunities for visual treatment and identify opportunities for benefit sharing
- implement response and feedback strategies to address stakeholder concerns and use these to inform the evolution of the project.

A range of tools and methods will be used to communicate and engage with the community and other stakeholders during preparation of the EIS, with examples provided in Table 5.2. Issues raised during engagement will be documented by the project team to inform the project design, environmental assessment and the preparation of the EIS.

Table 5.2 Engagement tools and methods during preparation of the EIS

| Engagement methods | Detail |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Advertising | Advertising in local media to advise of upcoming consultation opportunities and provide project updates. |
| Briefings | Formal letters or meetings with key stakeholders including landowners, MPs, Councillors and council staff to provide updates on the project. |
| Community contact cards | Business-size card provided to specialists and contractors to give to community stakeholders if approached. |
| Door-knocking | Project representatives go door to door to speak with impacted landowners and neighbours and/or provide them with project briefings and information. |
| Drop-in sessions | Time periods when stakeholders can drop in to speak to the project team, view documents and plans and ask questions. |
| Email inbox | A dedicated project inbox info@golgolbattery.com.au for managing community and stakeholder correspondence. |
| Letterbox drops or unaddressed mail | General information about the project delivered by the project team or Australia Post. |
| Letters | Addressed mail containing information, clarification, responses or requests to a particular household, business or individual. |
| Media releases/statements | Proactive or responsive media statements or announcements provided to the media and other key stakeholders to provide updates, address concerns and clarify information. |
| Meetings | One-on-one or small group meetings to discuss project issues and concerns in more detail. |
| Newsletters | Regular project information distributed by email or in hard copy to registered stakeholders. |
| Phone line | A dedicated number for stakeholders to contact Squadron Energy. The number is 1800 095 669. |
| Photography | Photos, composites, concept and artist imagery can help illustrate processes and make technical information more accessible. |
| Presentations | Presentations about the project delivered to a group of interested persons, club or committee on request or by invitation. |
| Surveys | Online or offline surveys to obtain input and feedback on project decision-making. |

Table 5.2 Engagement tools and methods during preparation of the EIS

| Engagement methods | Detail |
|--------------------|---|
| Social media | Online social connection platforms used to share project information and interact with stakeholders. |
| Website | Updates to the existing website including descriptions of the project, company information, frequently asked questions, plans, maps, media releases and contact information. The project website is https://www.squadronenergy.com/our-projects/gol-gol-battery |
| Workshops | A structured method working with groups of stakeholders to identify and suggest solutions for project issues and concerns. |

6 Proposed assessment of impacts

6.1 Level of assessment required in the EIS

A preliminary environmental assessment has been carried out to identify matters requiring further assessment in the EIS and the level of assessment that should be carried out. In accordance with the *Scoping Report Guidelines*, the following factors have been considered in the identification of matters needing further assessment for the project:

- the scale and nature of the likely impact of the project and the sensitivity of the receiving environment
- whether the project is likely to generate cumulative impacts with other relevant future projects in the area
- the ability to avoid, minimise and/or offset project impacts, to the extent known at the scoping phase.

The following sections of this chapter present the identified matters requiring further assessment and the proposed approach to the respective assessments. In addition to the preliminary environmental assessment presented herein, a preliminary technical study has been carried out for terrestrial biodiversity (Appendix B).

These preliminary technical studies have been undertaken to ensure that the values of the project development corridor and surrounds are taken into consideration early in the planning and design of the project. Measures implemented through the scoping phase to avoid and minimise impacts are also described.

Matters have been considered as per the categories identified in the *Scoping Report Guidelines*. A scoping summary table is included in Appendix A and the level of assessment identified for each matter is presented in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Level of assessment required in EIS

| Level of assessment | Aspect |
|---------------------|--|
| Detailed | Biodiversity – Terrestrial flora and fauna, conservation areas |
| | Heritage - Aboriginal |
| | Amenity – Visual |
| | Social |
| | Access (Traffic and Transport) |
| Standard | Amenity – Noise and vibration |
| | Heritage - Historic |
| | Land |
| | Water |
| | Air |
| | Hazards and risk |
| | Biodiversity – Aquatic flora and fauna |
| | Economic |
| | Built environment |

6.2 Detailed assessment

The *Scoping Report Guidelines* state that detailed assessment is required where the project may result in significant impacts on the matter, including cumulative impacts. The matters identified as requiring detailed assessment are described in Sections 6.2.1 to 6.2.5, including details around the existing environment, potential impacts and the proposed assessment approach for the EIS.

6.2.1 Terrestrial biodiversity

A preliminary biodiversity assessment (PBA) (Appendix B) has been undertaken to inform the development of the project. Potential biodiversity constraints and development opportunities have been identified to assist Squadron Energy with preliminary project design, and DPHI in developing biodiversity related SEARs. The PBA has relied upon information from existing spatial data for the site and a desktop review. Detailed field survey will be required to validate this assessment to inform the future Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR).

i Existing environment

The project investigation area is located within the Murray Darling Depression Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) and the South Olary Plain IBRA sub-region. It is situated 7 km north of the Murray River and 27 km east of the Darling River. Two nationally important wetlands, Lake Ranfurly and Kings Billabong Wetlands, are located 10 km south of the project investigation area. Several significant waterbodies, including Lake Gol Gol and Gol Gol Swamp are adjacent to the project investigation area. Mallee Cliffs National Park is located approximately 15 km south-east of the project investigation area.


The surrounding landscape includes large tracts of remnant vegetation, cleared agricultural areas and the township of Mildura, approximately 10 km south west of the project. The project investigation area is predominantly cleared and includes Category 1 and Category 2 land with patches of remnant vegetation and disturbed native vegetation. There are no areas mapped on the NSW Government Biodiversity Values Map occurring within the project investigation area.

a Native vegetation

A total of five native Plant Community Types (PCTs) are predicted to occur in the project investigation area by the NSW State Vegetation Type Map (SVTM). There is also one non-native PCT (PCT 0). The native PCTs within the project investigation area are:

- PCT 15 Black Box open woodland wetland with chenopod understorey mainly on the outer floodplains in south-western NSW (mainly Riverina Bioregion and Murray Darling Depression Bioregion)
- PCT 58 Black Oak Western Rosewood open woodland on deep sandy loams mainly in the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion
- PCT 170 Chenopod sandplain mallee woodland/shrubland of the arid and semi-arid (warm) zones
- PCT 171 Spinifex linear dune mallee mainly of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion
- PCT 254 Black Oak Bladder Saltbush on light clays in the arid zone.

These PCTs are shown in Figure 6.1.



Plant community types

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 6.1



b Threatened ecological communities

Six threatened ecological communities (TECs) listed under the BC or EPBC Act were identified with the potential to occur within the project investigation area. The likelihood of these TECs being present within the site are summarised in Table 6.2. Of these, three are considered with a moderate to high likelihood of occurring in the project investigation area:

- Acacia loderi shrublands
- Acacia melvillei shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression bioregions
- Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion.

Table 6.2 Threatened Ecological Communities – Likelihood of Occurrence

| Threatened Ecological Community | BC Act | EPBC Act | Associated PCTs | Likelihood of occurrence |
|---|--------|----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Acacia loderi shrublands | Е | - | 58, 170 | Moderate |
| Acacia melvillei shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression bioregions | Е | - | 58, 170 | High |
| Artesian Springs Ecological Community in the Great Artesian Basin | CE | - | - | Nil |
| Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | - | E | 170, 171 | High |
| Plains mallee box woodlands of the Murray Darling Depression, Riverina and Naracoorte Coastal Plain Bioregions | - | CE | 170 | Low |
| Allocasuarina luehmannii (Buloke) Woodlands of the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregions | E | Е | - | Low |

E = Endangered, CE = Critically Endangered

c Threatened and migratory species

In total, 104 threatened and migratory species listed under the BC Act or EPBC Act were identified with potential to occur within 10 km of the project investigation area, including 20 plants, 51 birds, 6 fish, 13 mammals, 12 reptiles and 2 frogs.

Of these species, 36 (2 flora and 34 fauna) species are known to occur within or nearby the project investigation area, according to NSW Bionet Atlas database records. These species are listed in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 Threatened species known to occur in the project locality

| Conservation status (BC Act) | Conservation status (EPBC Act) |
|------------------------------|--|
| Endangered | Not listed |
| Endangered | Not listed |
| Vulnerable | Not listed |
| Vulnerable | Not listed |
| Endangered | Not listed |
| Vulnerable | Not listed |
| | Endangered Endangered Vulnerable Vulnerable Endangered |

Table 6.3 Threatened species known to occur in the project locality

| Harrow Wattle (Acacia acanthoclada) Hooded Robin (Melanodryas cucullata cucullata) Gilbert's Whistler (Pachycephala inornata) Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos) Inland Forest Bat (Vespadelus baverstocki) Jeweled Gecko (Strophurus elderi) Vulne | erable erable erable erable | Not listed Not listed Endangered Not listed Vulnerable Not listed |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Hooded Robin (<i>Melanodryas cucullata cucullata</i>) Gilbert's Whistler (<i>Pachycephala inornata</i>) Vulne Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) Inland Forest Bat (<i>Vespadelus baverstocki</i>) Vulne Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>) | erable erable erable erable | Endangered Not listed Vulnerable |
| Gilbert's Whistler (<i>Pachycephala inornata</i>) Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) Inland Forest Bat (<i>Vespadelus baverstocki</i>) Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>) Vulne | erable erable erable | Not listed Vulnerable |
| Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) Inland Forest Bat (<i>Vespadelus baverstocki</i>) Vulne Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>) Vulne | erable erable | Vulnerable |
| Inland Forest Bat (<i>Vespadelus baverstocki</i>) Vulne Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>) Vulne | erable | |
| Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>) Vulne | | Not listed |
| | erable | |
| Little Fagle (Hiergeetus morphnoides) Vulni | | Not listed |
| Little Lagic (Meracetas Morphilolaes) | erable | Not listed |
| Little Pied Bat (Chalinolobus picatus) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (<i>Lophochroa leadbeateri</i>) Vulne | erable | Endangered |
| Mallee Worm Lizard (<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>) Enda | ingered | Not listed |
| Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) Enda | ingered | Vulnerable |
| Marble-faced Delma (<i>Delma australis</i>) Enda | ingered | Not listed |
| Painted Honeyeater (<i>Grantiella picta</i>) Vulne | erable | Vulnerable |
| Pied Honeyeater (Certhionyx variegatus) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Purple-gaped Honeyeater (<i>Lichenostomus cratitius</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Redthroat (<i>Pyrrholaemus brunneus</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Regent Parrot (Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides) Enda | ingered | Vulnerable |
| Scarlet-chested Parrot (Neophema splendida) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Southern Ningaui (<i>Ningaui yvonneae</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| South-eastern long eared bat (Nyctophilus corbeni) Vulne | erable | Vulnerable |
| Southern Scrub-robin (<i>Drymodes brunneopygia</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Southern Whiteface (Aphelocephala leucopsis) Vulne | erable | Vulnerable |
| Spotted Harrier (<i>Circus assimilis</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Square-tailed Kite (<i>Lophoictinia isura</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Varied Sitella (<i>Daphoenositta chrysoptera</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Western Blue-tongued Lizard (<i>Tiliqua occipitalis</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |
| Western Pygmy Possum (<i>Cercartetus concinnus</i>) Enda | ingered | Not listed |
| White-bellied Sea-eagle (Haliaeetus leucogaster) Vulne | erable | Marine |
| Yellow-tailed Plain Slider (<i>Lerista xanthura</i>) Vulne | erable | Not listed |

ii Potential impacts

Impacts on native vegetation, potentially including TECs listed under both the BC Act and EPBC Act, may occur as a result of the project. The construction of project infrastructure, access roads and associated facilities will likely result in the direct loss of some vegetation.

Potential impacts on threatened and migratory species may also occur that would be assessed as part of the EIS and include:

- loss of hollow-bearing and mature trees
- loss of breeding, hunting and foraging habitat
- habitat fragmentation.

a Candidate entities for serious and irreversible impacts

No candidate entity for serious and irreversible impacts (SAII) under the BC Act have been recorded near to the project investigation area, with the closet mapped SAII entity greater than 30 km west of the project. Allocasuarina luehmannii (Buloke) Woodland in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregions is an SAII entity, but as addressed in Table 6.2, is unlikely to occur within the project investigation area.

b Impact on MNES

The potential MNES to be assessed in detail as part of the EIS are detailed in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4 Potential MNES to be assessed

| MNES | Threatened biodiversity |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Threatened ecological communities | Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion |
| Threatened plants | Purple-wood Wattle (<i>Acacia carneorum</i>), A saltbush (<i>Atriplex infrequens</i>), A Spear-grass (<i>Austrostipa metatoris</i>), Mossgiel Daisy (<i>Brachyscome papillosa</i>), A burr-daisy (<i>Calotis moorei</i>), Winged Peppercress (<i>Lepidium monoplocoides</i>), Menindee Nightshade (<i>Solanum karsense</i>), Slender Darling Pea (<i>Swainsona murrayana</i>), Yellow Swainson-pea (<i>Swainsona pyrophila</i>) |
| Threatened birds | Murray Mallee striated grasswren (<i>Amytornis striatus howei</i>), Southern Whiteface (<i>Aphelocephala leucopsis</i>), Australasian Bittern (<i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i>) Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (<i>Calidris acuminata</i>), Curlew Sandpiper (<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>), Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>), Latham's Snipe (<i>Gallinago hardwickii</i>), Painted Honeyeater (<i>Grantiella picta</i>), Swift Parrot (<i>Lathamus discolor</i>), Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>), Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (<i>Lophochroa leadbeateri</i>), Black-eared Miner (<i>Manorina melanotis</i>), Red-lored Whistler (<i>Pachycephala rufogularis</i>), Plains-wanderer (<i>Pedionomus torquatus</i>), Regent Parrot (eastern subspecies) (<i>Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides</i>), Australian Painted Snipe (<i>Rostratula australis</i>), Mallee Emu-wren (<i>Stipiturus mallee</i>), Common Greenshank (<i>Tringa nebularia</i>) |
| Migratory birds | Common Sandpiper (<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>), Fork-tailed Swift (<i>Apus pacificus</i>), Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (<i>Calidris acuminata</i>), Pectoral Sandpiper (<i>Calidris melanotos</i>), Latham's Snipe (<i>Gallinago hardwickii</i>), Yellow Wagtail (<i>Motacilla flava</i>), Common Greenshank (<i>Tringa nebularia</i>) |
| Threatened mammals | South-eastern long eared bat (Nyctophilus corbeni) |
| Threatened amphibians | Sloane's Froglet (<i>Crinia sloanei</i>), Southern Bell Frog (<i>Litoria raniformis</i>) |
| Threatened reptiles | Grey Snake (Hemiaspis damelii) |

Table 6.4 Potential MNES to be assessed

| MNES | Threatened biodiversity |
|-----------------|---|
| Threatened fish | Flathead Galaxias (<i>Galaxias rostratus</i>), Macquarie Perch (<i>Macquaria australasica</i>), Murray Cod (<i>Maccullochella peelii</i>), Macquarie Perch (<i>Macquaria australasica</i>), Murray Hardyhead (<i>Craterocephalus fluviatilis</i>), Silver Perch (<i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i>), Trout Cod (<i>Maccullochella macquariensis</i>) |

iii Assessment approach

As the project will be assessed under Part 4 Division 4.7 of the EP&A Act, an assessment in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method (DPIE, 2020) and the preparation of a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) is required. The following key tasks would be completed during the BDAR:

- field validate and refine the State Vegetation Type Map, and delineate into vegetation zones
- conduct vegetation integrity plots
- conduct field-based threatened species habitat assessment
- generate a list of candidate species for further assessment, and conduct targeted surveys for those candidate 'species credit' species, where a habitat constraint and or suitable microhabitats are present
- conduct targeted surveys (if required) for MNES
- conduct BAM calculations and prepare BDAR for lodgement.

As the project has potential to impact MNES, a referral will be lodged with DCCEEW Commonwealth. The referral would address the MNES outlined above and any other issues deemed relevant by DCCEEW Commonwealth.

6.2.2 Aboriginal heritage

i Existing environment

A desktop review was undertaken of the existing environment in the region that may provide context to the environment within the project investigation area. The landscape is characterised by extensive undulating dune fields and sandplains of brown calcareous soils. The Darling and Murray Rivers are the main hydrological systems in the bioregion. Lakes and lunettes are a major surface feature of the region and are routinely shown to contain past Aboriginal cultural materials.

The project investigation area is located just north of Lake Gol Gol and 16 km east of Fletchers Lake, locations within which significant cultural and ancestral remains have been recovered. The landscape within the project investigation area is predominantly characterized by the Mallee Cliffs Sandplains Mitchell Landscape (Mcs). This undulating sandplain is formed of Quaternary aeolian sands with east-west trending dunes that commonly form into lunettes (Eco Logical Australia 2008; Mitchell 2002).

Much of the project investigation area has been previously cleared, however some isolated pockets of remnant vegetation are present throughout the site. There are no major watercourses located within the project investigation area, with the closest permanent water source being the Murray River, located 7 km south west. Only two small low-lying features occur within the project investigation area.

A search of previously documented cultural materials within the Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) database (7 February 2024; Client Service ID: 862188 and 862190) revealed 192 Aboriginal sites in the region (Figure 6.2). This search area extended beyond the project investigation area to ensure that the types and numbers of the previously identified AHIMS sites were understood, to inform what may be expected to be found within the investigation area and development corridor. The numbers and types of sites identified are detailed in Table 6.5 and Figure 6.2.


The site types revealed in the search align closely with the broader regional study, dominated by stone artefact deposits, burials, middens, grinding stones and hearths, reflective of the domestic use of the surrounding lake systems in the past. There are no registered Aboriginal sites in the BESS project investigation area, however six sites are located within 200 m of the project investigation area (Figure 6.2). These sites (two middens, one culturally modified tree and three isolated artefacts) are located along Arumpo Road.

Table 6.5 Summary of AHIMS sites in the search area

| Site type | Number of sites |
|--|-----------------|
| Artefact | 76 |
| Isolated artefact | 19 |
| Isolated hearth artefact | 1 |
| Low density artefact scatter (<20 artefacts) | 1 |
| Medium density artefact scatter (>20 artefacts) | 1 |
| Undefined artefact site | 51 |
| Burial | 25 |
| Burial with hearth, midden and undefined artefact site | 1 |
| Burial with hearth and undefined artefact site | 1 |
| Burial with midden | 2 |
| Burial with midden and undefined artefact site | 1 |
| Burial with undefined artefact site | 2 |
| Culturally modified tree | 56 |
| Earth mound | 1 |
| Hearth | 14 |
| Heart with low density artefact scatter | 1 |
| Hearth with midden | 2 |
| Hearth with undefined artefact site | 3 |
| Midden | 14 |
| Midden with undefined artefact site | 3 |
| Quarry | 1 |
| Potential archaeological deposit (PAD) | 1 |
| Destroyed | 4 |

Table 6.5 Summary of AHIMS sites in the search area

| Site type | Number of sites |
|------------|-----------------|
| Not a site | 2 |
| Restricted | 1 |
| Total | 192 |



ii Potential impacts

The project is situated within a known Aboriginal cultural landscape within which Aboriginal archaeological sites have been previously recorded. Available data suggests that such cultural materials are present near the project investigation area and/or found in close proximity.

Construction of the project has the potential to impact known and currently unidentified Aboriginal heritage sites through ground disturbance for the construction all project elements including BESS infrastructure and ancillary facilities.

Squadron Energy will seek to avoid impacts to Aboriginal heritage sites wherever possible. Due to the nature of BESS infrastructure, impacts to Aboriginal heritage sites can often be avoided with careful consideration of project design post archaeological investigations (e.g. predictive modelling and survey).

iii Assessment approach

The development corridor requires further investigation to characterise and assess potential cultural materials and provide suitable management and mitigation as part of the EIS. This includes more detailed field survey, test excavation, and consultation with the local Aboriginal community.

As such, in accordance with Heritage NSW guidelines, further assessment would comprise of an Aboriginal cultural heritage assessment (ACHA). The ACHA will be prepared with general consideration to the following guidelines:

- Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW (OEH, 2011)
- Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (DECCW, 2010a)
- Code of Practice for the Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in NSW (DECCW, 2010b).

6.2.3 Amenity - Visual impact

A preliminary visual impact assessment (PVIA) has been undertaken to identify locations around the BESS components for the project that have the potential for visual impacts.

Currently there are no Commonwealth, NSW or local government planning policies, guidelines or standards directly applicable to guide the visual assessment of a BESS project. However, adopting a best practice approach for the project, this PVIA has been prepared with reference to the requirements and procedures outlined in the following guidelines:

- Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Third Edition (2013) (the GLVIA), prepared by the Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment
- Guideline for landscape character and visual impact assessment (2020) Centre for Urban Design Transport for NSW
- Large Scale Solar Energy Guidelines for State Significant Development (DPIE, 2022) (Solar Guideline).

The approach taken by the BESS PVIA is most similar to that required by the Solar Guidelines, which evaluates the surrounding residences, roads, rails and other publicly accessible places to identify locations that will be visually impacted by the project.

i Existing environment

a Landscape character

The landscape within and surrounding the project can be described as low rolling terrain. Land within the BESS investigation area is mostly cleared of native vegetation and used for grazing and cropping. Native woodland is visible surrounding the study area. The PVIA identified four landscape character categories that will be refined and characterised in greater detail in the EIS stage of the project. The categories identified are detailed Table 6.6.

Table 6.6 Landscape character units

| LCU | Name | Description |
|-------|---|---|
| LCU01 | Grazing and Native Vegetation Paddocks | Expansive, open land parcels primarily used for livestock grazing. Comprises open plains with sparse or absent tree coverage, dominated by Chenopod Mallee and Shrubland. Common land uses include grazing, dryland cropping, and both modified and irrigated pastures. |
| LCU02 | Dry Lakes and Swamps | Riverine vegetation along boundaries. |
| | | Significant features include Gol Gol Swamp, Gol Gol Creek, Lake Holland, Lake Fletcher, Lake Gol Gol. |
| | | Human influence evident through urban development along riverbanks. |
| | | Varying tree density observed across different areas, with native vegetation altered due to urbanisation. |
| | | Lakes or depressions are generally shallow with clay floors and remain dry through most of the year. |
| | | Predominant vegetation consists of Red Gum trees. |
| LCU03 | Agricultural Pastures | Extensive land modified for dryland cropping and irrigated agriculture. |
| | | Vegetation primarily exists in patches, serving as windbreaks or screening. |
| | | Large areas adapted for pastoral farming and irrigated agriculture. This LCU is commonly observed within and surrounding the project. |
| LCU04 | Mallee Woodlands and Shrublands | • Semi-arid landscapes within and around the project. Dominated by low, multi-stemmed eucalyptus (Mallee) that rarely grow taller than 6 m. |

b Sensitive receivers and viewpoints

Viewpoints provide a representation of the likely changes a project will have on the landscape from a specific location. Viewpoint selection has been based on the Solar Guidelines, which identifies three types of viewpoints:

- Roads and rail viewpoints locations along roads and rail lines that have views into the project. The Solar Guideline limits these viewpoints to within 2.5 km of the development.
- Public viewpoints locations that are publicly accessible (parks, trails, shopping areas) and offer views into the project. These views are limited to a 4 km distance from the development.
- Private viewpoints locations that are not accessible to the public (mainly residences) and have views into the project. These views are limited to a 4 km distance from the development.

The selection of the viewpoints is based on the locations of residences and public areas. This was overlaid with the viewshed mapping to determine which locations had the potential for visual impacts from the project. Viewpoints selected satisfy both criteria; falling within the affected Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI) and characterised as a private or public viewpoint.


Table 6.7 lists the viewpoints selected for the private and public (road) receivers for this assessment and the rational for the selection. Figure 6.3 illustrates where the viewpoints are located.

 Table 6.7
 Preliminary viewpoint assessment locations

| Viewpoint reference | Viewpoint type | Location | Distance to BESS compound (m) | Rationale for selection |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| ARU012 | Rural dwelling | Gol Gol Lake 664 Arumpo Road, Wentworth 2648 | 135 | Associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| ARU011 | Rural dwelling | 319 Arumpo Road, Wentworth 2648 | 3,224 | Non-associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| DRO001 | Rural dwelling | 82 Drovers Drive, Gol Gol 2738 | 3,979 | Non- residence associated with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| DRO002 | Rural dwelling | 76 Drovers Drive, Gol Gol 2738 | 3,972 | Non-associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| DRO003 | Rural dwelling | 70 Drovers Drive, Gol Gol 2738 | 3,948 | Non-associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| DRO004 | Rural dwelling | 46 Drovers Drive, Gol Gol 2738 | 3,965 | Non-associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| DRO005 | Rural dwelling | 16b Drovers Drive, Mallee 2738 | 3,821 | Non-associated residence with potential view within 4 km of the project. |
| PU-01 | Local Road | Arumpo Road, Buronga | 50 | Representative views west, along the eastern boundary of the site. Within 2.5 km of the Project. |

ii Potential impacts

The PVIA identifies locations surrounding the project from which the relevant project infrastructure can be seen and that may have the potential for visual impacts. The PVIA identified seven (7) private receptors (including one (1) associated dwelling, and six (6) non-associated dwellings) and one (1) public (road) receptor in the community and landscape surrounding the project as needing further assessment as part of the EIS. The locations are shown on Figure 6.3.



BESS visual receptors and viewpoints

> Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 6.3



iii Assessment approach

A landscape and visual impact assessment (LVIA) will be prepared for the EIS that addresses impacts from the establishment of the BESS project elements and will include:

- landscape character assessment
- visual magnitude and sensitivity assessment
- preparation of visual study inputs, including consulting the community on aspects of the study and providing an overview of landscape values as identified by the community
- zone of visual influence figures, including further detailed assessment from areas identified as having potential visibility in the PVIA
- viewpoint analysis including detailed assessment and rating of key viewpoints within the visual catchment
- a cumulative visual impact assessment of the project and neighbouring renewable energy developments
- performance objectives and mitigation measures to reduce visual impacts.

6.2.4 Social

A social impact scoping and initial assessment has been undertaken to inform this scoping report, developed in accordance with the *Social Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects* (the 'SIA Guideline') (DPE, 2023a) and the *Technical Supplement: Social Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects* (the 'SIA Technical Supplement') (DPE, 2023b).

The initial phase of the SIA process (Phase 1 social impact scoping and initial assessment) is an exercise to determine the main issues of concern and the interested and affected parties for a particular planned intervention. The objective is to ensure that proportionate depth and scope is given to potentially significant social impacts of the project.

Key tasks of the scoping phase include defining the project's social locality, initial analysis of key social characteristics and trends within the project's social locality, and preliminary identification and evaluation of social impacts through completion of the SIA Scoping worksheet (DPE, 2023a). The SIA scoping worksheet is included in Appendix C.

i Social locality

Determination of the project's social locality was informed by consideration of the scale and nature of key project activities, the regional and local development context, the characteristics of communities likely to be affected, and how both positive and negative impacts may be reasonably perceived or experienced by different stakeholders (DPE, 2023a).

In relation to the local and regional development context, the project is within the Wentworth Local Government Area and South West REZ, where multiple energy projects are currently proposed. Nearby proposed developments are detailed in Section 2.2.

a Project activities

The nature of key Project activities, as known at the time of social impact scoping, informs the identification and evaluation of potential changes to the social environment, the stakeholders affected and the geographic extent of these changes. These are outlined in Table 6.8.

Table 6.8 Key project activities and potential change to social environment

| Key project activity | Potential change to social environment | Stakeholder affected | Geographic extent of social change |
|---|--|--|---|
| Generation of employment opportunities during construction and operations | Increase in supply and demand for skilled and unskilled labour | Local and regional communitiesLocal and regional businessesLocal government | Local area Nearby townships Regional area |
| | Increase in supply and demand for housing and short-term accommodation | Accommodation providers Local communities Vulnerable groups Local government Traditional Owners and Aboriginal communities | Local area Nearby townships Regional area |
| Generation of business risks and opportunities during construction | Increase in supply and demand for goods and services. | Local and regional businessesLocal economic and industry groups | Nearby townships Regional area |
| | Increase in trade and revenue for local businesses | Local and regional businessesTraditional Owners and Aboriginal businesses | Local area Nearby townships Regional area |
| Construction activities including earthworks for laydown areas, minor construction access roads | Changes to visual amenity, lifestyle and environmental values | Local communitiesTraditional Owners and Aboriginal communities | Local area |
| and associated vegetation clearing | Changes to amenity due to noise, vibration and dust generation | Landholder and surrounding neighbours | Local area |
| | Increase in road usage and changes to traffic conditions and road safety | Local and regional communitiesLocal governmentEmergency service providers | Local area Regional area Nearby townships |
| | Changes to sites and landscape of cultural or historical heritage | Local communitiesTraditional Owners and Aboriginal communities | Local area |
| Operation of project | Increase awareness and education of renewable energy | Local and regional communities | Local area Regional area Nearby townships |

b Project social locality

The social locality applicable to the review of social impacts and benefits associated with the project is described in Table 6.9.

Definition of the project's social locality was based on the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS), a social geography developed by the ABS to reflect the location of people and communities and used for data collection and analysis (ABS, 2023).

Table 6.9 Project social locality

| Social locality | Geographic area | ASGS statistical area code | Relevance to proposed Project | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Local area | Wentworth | SAL 14242 | The project is located entirely within Wentworth SAL (excluding Ellerslie SAL and Pomona SAL) which encompasses a large land area. | |
| | | | Residents immediately surrounding the proposed project may experience direct project associated impacts, such as those relating to amenity and traffic, during the construction phase. | |
| Key townships | Buronga and Gol Gol townships | UCL 112013 Mildura-Buronga (Buronga Part) | Buronga and Gol Gol are the nearest townships to the project, located approximately 8 km to the south. | |
| | Mildura regional city | UCL 212003 Mildura-Buronga (Mildura Part) | Mildura is the nearest regional city to the project, located approximately 10 km to the south west of the project in Victoria. Mildura is an important service centre for communities and industries along the NSW and Victorian border. | |
| | | | Buronga, Gol Gol, and Mildura are the most likely centres where labour, services, accommodation may be sourced and utilised by the project. | |
| Sub-regional | Wentworth (NSW) | LGA 18200 | The project is located within the LGA of Wentworth. | |
| | Mildura (VIC) | LGA 24780 | Mildura LGA borders Wentworth LGA and hosts Mild regional city. | |
| | | | There may be broader, indirect impacts experienced by people in the region, including those associated with workforce demand, accommodation, traffic, and land use management. | |
| South West REZ | Wentworth | LGA 18200 | The project is located within the South West REZ which | |
| | Balranald | LGA 10300 | spans across the seven LGAs of Wentworth, Balranald, Murray River, Hay, Edward River, Carrathool and | |
| | Murray River | LGA 15520 | Murrumbidgee. | |
| | Hay | LGA 13850 | | |
| | Edward River | LGA 12730 | _ | |
| | Carrathool | LGA 11600 | | |
| | Murrumbidgee | LGA 15560 | | |
| Area of reference | New South Wales | STE 1 | The State is used as a comparator to distinguish key trends and characteristics within the study areas. | |

Notes: Suburbs and Localities (SAL); Urban Centre and Localities (UCL); Local Government Area (LGA)

ii Existing environment

Community profiles are provided below for each of the local areas and for each of the key townships. The profiles are based on qualitative and quantitative analysis of key social trends and characteristics of each community, including comparison of localised population, dwelling and labour force characteristics benchmarked to the South West REZ as a whole.

a Local area

Wentworth

Wentworth is a rural locality within Wentworth LGA that borders the state of Victoria to the south. Land use across Wentworth locality predominantly comprises irrigated agriculture operations, pastoral areas, semi-arid plains and residential. The small border town of Wentworth, located at the junction of the Darling and Muray Rivers, is the main population centre within the locality. Silver City Highway is the main road connecting Wentworth residents to the other towns in NSW and the regional city of Mildura across the Murray River in Victoria.

There were 1,577 residents in Wentworth (locality) at the 2021 Census of which 82.8% of the population resided in the township of Wentworth (ABS, 2021). Over the five-year period to 2021, the population in Wentworth grew by 9.7%, from 1,437 residents to 1,577 residents. Relative to the South West REZ, the population of Wentworth is typically older with a smaller proportion of children (residents aged 14 years or younger). The median age of Wentworth residents is 56 years. The community is also made up of a higher proportion of lone person households and a higher rate of residents identifying as Indigenous, compared to the average for the South West REZ.

Within Wentworth, there is a marginally lower rate of home ownership and a higher proportion of social housing dwellings compared to the South West REZ (ABS, 2021). Dwelling occupancy within the locality aligned with that for the South West REZ, with around 84.0% of private dwellings in Wentworth occupied at the 2021 Census.

Wentworth recorded a high proportion of residents with core activity limitations, with around 11.0% of residents requiring assistance in their day to day lives in one or more core activity areas (self-care, mobility and communication) compared with the 5.8% recorded for the South West REZ (ABS, 2021). The locality also recorded a higher proportion of residents experiencing two or more long-term health conditions relative to the South West REZ (18.5% compared to 11.2%).

Health care and agriculture are the key industries in Wentworth with 17.3% of working residents employed in the health care and social assistance industry and 13.7% employed in the agriculture, forestry and fishing industry. Crops produced in the locality include citrus, grapes, stone fruits, and vegetables. At the 2021 Census, Wentworth recorded a lower labour force participation rate (40.9%) and a higher rate of unemployment (5.2%) compared to the South West REZ at 56.5% and 3.4% respectively (ABS, 2021).

b Key townships

Buronga and Gol Gol townships

The townships of Buronga and Gol Gol have a combined population of 2,400 residents at the 2021 Census and covers a land area of approximately 5.9 km^2 . The townships combined experienced a significant population growth by 11.4% over the five-year period to 2021, from a population of 2,154 residents in 2016.

The two adjacent townships area approximately 4 km by road along the Sturt Highway. Buronga township is located at the intersection of the Silver City Highway and Sturt Highway and connected to the regional city of Mildura via the George Chaffey Bridge. The township operates largely as a satellite suburb of Mildura due to its close proximity (approximately 6 km by road) and has been experiencing a boom in housing development. Similar to Buronga, Gol Gol has also experienced rapid development in recent years and is progressively acting as a suburb of Mildura due to its proximity.

The two townships are serviced by a small but fast-growing retail area (in Buronga) with services including a post office, petrol stations, food outlets, market place and short-term accommodation. Social infrastructure available across the two townships are limited to emergency services (police and rural fire), early child facility, community centre, sporting oval, and two primary schools.

Relative to the South West REZ, the townships combined recorded a higher labour force participation rate as well as a higher rate of unemployment at the 2021 Census. Key employment industries include health care and social assistance, education and training, and retail trade.

Mildura regional city

Mildura is a regional city in north-west Victoria located directly south of Buronga township across the Murray River. The city's central business district is located a short distance from the banks of the Murray River and provides a wide range of services, including large retail stores and a hospital, to residents of Mildura as well as the neighbouring townships of Buronga, Gol Gol, and Wentworth in NSW. The regional city is well connected to public transport options with daily train services to and from Melbourne and Mildura airport receiving regular weekly flights to and from Melbourne, Sydney and the Gold Coast.

Mildura recorded a population of 35,652 at the 2021 Census and a median age of 39 years. The regional city experienced a population growth by 6.6% over the five-year period to 2021, from a population of 33,444 residents. Relative to the South West REZ, Mildura city is characterised by a higher proportion of children and youth; a lower proportion of residents who identify as Indigenous; a higher proportion of lone person households; a higher proportion of rented and social housing dwellings; a lower rate of labour force participation; and a higher rate of unemployment (ABS, 2021). Key industries of employment include health care and social assistance; retail trade; and education and training.

c Key social trends and characteristics

Table 6.10 provides a summary of the key social trends and characteristics within the sub-regional areas (Wentworth LGA and Mildura LGA) and the South West REZ. Social trends and characteristics within these geographic areas are identified and analysed across broad social themes.

Table 6.10 Key relevant social characteristics and trends

| Social themes | Social characteristics and trends |
|---|--|
| Population | • In 2021, the sub-regional area recorded a population of 64,425 residents of which 84.4% were based in Mildura LGA. The population of the sub-regional area increased by 6.2% over the five-year period to 2021, with Wentworth LGA experiencing the highest population growth by 9.7%. The population of the South West REZ was smaller in contrast with 40,068 residents in 2021) and a lower population growth by 2.4% over the five-year period. |
| | The sub-regional area population exhibited comparable age distribution to that of the State with a higher proportion of residents aged 24 year or young (children and youth). In comparison, the South West REZ recorded a higher proportion of residents aged 65 year or over, which accounted for almost a quarter of the resident population. |
| | Both the sub-regional area and the South West REZ have a higher proportion of residents identifying as Indigenous compared to NSW as a whole. The traditional custodians of land in the regional area include the Latji Latji people (Mildura) and the Barkinji people (Wentworth). Within the South West REZ, traditional custodians of the land neighbouring the regional area include the Mutthi Mutthi, Dadi Dadi, and Kureinji peoples. |
| Housing and short- term accommodation | The sub-regional area exhibits high levels of dwelling occupancy (90.1%) aligning with the figure for the broader State. Dwelling occupancy levels in the South West REZ were lower in comparison at 84.6% in 2021. Unoccupied dwellings include vacant houses, holidays homes, and dwellings due for demolition or repair. |
| | Higher levels of home ownership are recorded within the South West REZ (70.2%) relative to the sub-regional area (66.2%) and NSW more broadly (64.0%). A high proportion of other dwelling tenure type was also recorded in the REZ (4.9% compared NSW's 1.9%), which includes dwellings occupied under a life tenure scheme and dwellings occupied rent free. |
| | • At the 2021 Census, the median rent for Wentworth and Mildura LGA were \$200 and \$265 per week respectively. The median rent for NSW more broadly was \$420 per week. |

Table 6.10 Key relevant social characteristics and trends

| Social themes | Social characteristics and trends |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | Across the sub-regional area, a larger range of commercially operated short-term accommodation options are available in the more densely populated centres along the Murray River including Wentworth, Dareton, Buronga and Gol Gol in Wentworth LGA as well as Mildura, Merbein, Red Cliffs, Irympie and Nichols Point in Mildura LGA. |
| Social infrastructure and services | The Silver City Highway and Sturt highway are the main transport links in Wentworth LGA, with the former also providing access across the Murray River into Mildura LGA via the Abbotsford Bridge and George Chaffey Bridge. |
| | • Public transport in Mildura LGA include bus and train services while there are no public transport services in Wentworth LGA. |
| | The sub-regional area is serviced by Wentworth Health Service and Mildura Base Hospital. Other social infrastructure across the sub-regional area include primary and secondary schools, TAFE campuses, libraries, parks and recreation facilities, as well as a range of community and social support services (predominantly concentrated in Mildura regional city). |
| Labour force | • The sub-regional area is characterised by a labour force participation rate comparable to the South West REZ (56.8% and 56.5% respectively) and a higher rate of unemployment at 5.1% (1,517 people) in 2021. The rate of unemployment for the sub-regional area is comparable to that for NSW at 4.9%. |
| | • Indigenous and youth unemployment within the sub-regional area were also high (15.6% and 10.3%) compared to rate for the South West REZ (11.1% and 7.3%) and NSW as a whole (9.8% and 9.8%). |
| | Key industries of employment in the sub-regional area consist of health care and social assistance; agriculture, forestry and fishing; and retail trade. For the South West REZ, key employing industries include agriculture, forestry and fishing; health care and social assistance; and construction. |
| Local business and industry | Key industries in Mildura LGA include agriculture, transport and logistics, food and beverage manufacturing, retail, health and community services. Renewable energy generation, aquaculture, and mineral sands mining and recycling are emerging industries in the LGA. |
| | • Fruit and vegetable production are critical industries to Mildura with more than 80% of Victoria's grapes and much of the state's citrus fruit being produced in the region (Mildura Rural City Council, 2024). |
| | • Key industries in Wentworth LGA predominantly comprises of horticulture and dryland farming as well as tourism. |
| Community values | Local and regional elements valued by the community of Wentworth include quiet country living and semi- rural environment; proximity to Mildura and access to services; strong sense of community; the environment and river; unique landscapes and attractions; parks and open space; and good public facilities. |
| | Key features in Wentworth LGA include Willandra Lakes World Heritage Area and Mungo National Park (internationally significant for its cultural, archaeological and natural landscape features); confluence of the Murray and Darling Rivers; and the Australia Inland Botanic Gardens. |
| | Local and regional elements valued by the community of Mildura include access to natural bushland, river and parks; protection of the natural ecosystem; the rural lifestyle and city benefits; access to a range of public facilities and infrastructure; local small businesses; and the agriculture and horticulture industries (Mildura Rural City Council, 2021). |
| Vulnerability | According to the 2021 Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), Wentworth LGA recorded an Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD) quintile ranking of 3 while Mildura LGA recorded a ranking of 2. The ranking indicates relatively greater disadvantage experienced in Mildura compared to Wentworth. |
| | • The sub-regional area recorded higher proportions of potentially vulnerable groups relative to the South West REZ including residents aged 24 years or younger (children and youth), unemployed, and requiring assistance with a core activity (i.e. self-care, mobility, and communication). |
| | Both the sub-regional area and South West REZ recorded a higher proportion of households earning less than \$650 per week (19.3% and 19.9% respectively) compared to NSW (15.3%). |
| | Mental health, asthma, and arthritis are the three most prevalent long-term health conditions reported within both the sub-regional area and South West REZ. |

iii Preliminary social impact identification and evaluation

This section presents the preliminary identification and evaluation of social impacts associated with the project. The purpose of this preliminary evaluation is to determine the level which these impacts need to be assessed by the subsequent SIA. The initial identification and evaluation of social impacts was facilitated through completion of the SIA scoping worksheet which is provided as Appendix C.

a Process of impact identification and evaluation

The identification of potential social impacts and benefits was an iterative process informed by:

- the review of project activities and the social effects they could generate
- analysis of existing baseline socio-economic conditions across the social locality.

Preliminary identification and evaluation of social impacts was further guided through application of the SIA scoping worksheet provided as part of the SIA Guideline. As defined in the SIA Guideline 2023, the level of assessment for each social impact are:

- Detailed assessment: the project may result in significant social impacts, including cumulative impacts
- Standard assessment: the project is unlikely to result in significant social impacts, including cumulative impacts
- Minor assessment: the project may result in minor social impacts
- Not relevant: the project will have no social impacts, or the social impacts of the project will be so small that they do not warrant consideration.

To determine the level of assessment for each social impact, a preliminary impact significance evaluation is undertaken by determining the likelihood and magnitude of the potential impact. The significance levels of an impact are low, medium, high, and very high, with each impact significance rating applied to a level of assessment:

- Impacts assigned a significance rating of High or Very High require a detailed assessment.
- Impacts assigned a significance rating of Medium require a standard assessment.
- Impacts assigned a significance rating of Low require a minor assessment.

The significance ratings identified are based on preliminary investigation and current understanding of the potential social impacts, prior to any mitigation measures being applied. The impact significance ratings will be revised in the Phase 2 SIA that forms part of EIS.

A summary of outcomes of the SIA scoping worksheet (Appendix C) is provided in Table 6.11, including preliminary measures which serve to mitigate potential project impacts and enhance potential benefits.

 Table 6.11
 Preliminary social impact evaluation

| Social impact | Impact category | | Affected stakeholder group | Preliminary impact significance | | | Potential mitigation measures | Phase 2 |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | | | Likelihood | Magnitude | Impact significance | | assessment level |
| Negative impacts | | | | | | | | |
| Changes to visual amenity which may result in reduce rural lifestyle values | SurroundingWay of lifeCommunity | Construction Operation | Local communities | Likely (B) | Minor (2) | Medium (B2) | Visual impact assessmentOngoing community and stakeholder engagement. | Detailed |
| Potential amenity impacts (i.e., noise, dust, vibration) to sensitive receivers proximal to project during construction | Health and wellbeingWay of life | Construction | Local residentsLandholdersSurrounding neighbours | Possible (C) | Minimal (1) | Low (C1) | Develop air quality and acoustic management measures. Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities. | Detailed |
| Perceived increase in health and safety risks due to BESS associated hazards including potential for electric shock, fires, flash burns, explosion, and exposure to hazardous chemicals | Health and wellbeing | Operation | Local residentsLandholdersSurrounding neighbours | Unlikely (D) | Major (4) | Medium (D4) | Community engagement and education to improve understanding of BESS associated hazards and risks | Detailed |
| Changes or disruptions to road and traffic conditions resulting in reduced access/connectivity on local road network and increased frustration and stress for existing road users | AccessWay of life | Construction | Local and regional residents Local government | Likely (B) | Moderate (3) | High (B3) | Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities including road closures and diversions. Development of a Traffic Management Plan | Detailed |

 Table 6.11
 Preliminary social impact evaluation

| Social impact | Impact category | Project | Affected stakeholder | Preliminary impact significance | | | Potential mitigation measures | Phase 2 |
|---|---|--------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | phase | group | Likelihood | Magnitude | Impact significance | _ | assessment level |
| Perceived deterioration of public safety due to additional construction traffic on local and regional roads | Health and wellbeingAccess | Construction | Local and regional residents Local government Emergency service providers | Possible (C) | Moderate (3) | Medium (C3) | Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities including road closures and diversions. Development of a Traffic Management Plan | Detailed |
| Potential biodiversity impacts from project construction activities, including land clearing | Surroundings | Construction | Local communitiesLandholdersSurrounding neighbours | Possible (C) | Minor (2) | Medium (C2) | Refinement to project design and layout to avoid/further minimise known habitats based on feedback from early engagement with local landholders and detailed biodiversity surveys. | Standard |
| Potential disturbance or changes to sites or landscapes of tangible and intangible cultural heritage significance | • Culture | Construction | Traditional Owners and Aboriginal communities Local and regional communities | Possible (C) | Moderate (3) | Medium (C3) | Ongoing meaningful engagement with relevant stakeholders including Traditional Owners and the broader Aboriginal community. Aboriginal heritage assessment and development of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan | Detailed |

 Table 6.11
 Preliminary social impact evaluation

| Social impact | Impact category | Project | Affected stakeholder group | Preliminary impact significance | | e | Potential mitigation measures | Phase 2 |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | phase | | Likelihood | Magnitude | Impact significance | | assessment level |
| Increased competition for labour and reduced availability of skilled labour for local employers. | • Livelihoods | Construction | Local and regional businesses Local and regional economic and industry groups | Likely (B) | Major (4) | High (B4) | Engage with relevant stakeholder to understand local and regional skills gaps and development opportunities. Provision of skills development and training initiatives by the project. | Detailed |
| Increase in demand for local housing (rentals) and short-term accommodation | AccessWay of life | Construction | Local residentsLocal government | Likely (B) | Major (4) | High (B4) | Development of a Workforce Accommodation Strategy | Detailed |
| Potential increase in demand and strain on local/regional services and infrastructure due temporary population increase attributed to project workforce | AccessWay of life | Construction | Local communitiesKey townshipsService providers | Possible (C) | Moderate (3) | Medium (C3) | Advanced notification to local service providers on timing of construction activities and anticipated workforce ramp up. Development of a community benefit plan for the project including initiatives to supports community infrastructure and service | Detailed |
| Disruption to existing agricultural operations and land use | Way of lifeLivelihoods | Construction Operations | • Landholders | Almost certain (A) | Minor (2) | Medium (A2) | Landholder agreements to reasonably compensate for disruptions to existing operations/land use | Standard |

 Table 6.11
 Preliminary social impact evaluation

| Social impact | Impact category | Project phase | Affected stakeholder group | Preliminary impact significance | | Potential mitigation measures | Phase 2 | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | | | Likelihood | Magnitude | Impact significance | | assessment level |
| Positive impacts | | | | | | | · | |
| Socio-economic benefits associated with project employment, training, and procurement opportunities. | • Livelihoods | Construction | Local communities Regional communities Traditional Owners and Aboriginal communities Local and regional economic and industry groups | Possible (B) | Moderate (3) | Medium (C3) | Engage with local employment and training service providers to establish local capacity and maximise opportunities for local skills development and employment. Development of an Aboriginal Participation Plan to maximise income and training opportunities for those in the local Aboriginal community. Commitment to use local contractors and supplier | Detailed |
| | | | | | | | where feasible. | |
| Increase in trade and revenue for local businesses in key townships due to patronage/expenditure by the project workforce. | • Livelihoods | Construction | Local and regional businesses | Possible (B) | Minor (3) | Medium (B2) | Advanced notification to local service providers on timing of construction activities and anticipated workforce ramp up. | Detailed |
| Improved/enhanced local/regional social outcomes due to project's community investment initiatives. | • Community | Construction Operations | Local and regional communities Social infrastructure and community service providers | Possible (B) | Minor (3) | Medium (B2) | Development of a community benefit plan for the project including establishment of a community investment initiative/program | Detailed |

 Table 6.11
 Preliminary social impact evaluation

| Social impact | Impact category | | Affected stakeholder group | Preliminary impact significance | | | Potential mitigation measures | Phase 2 |
|--|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | | | Likelihood | Magnitude | Impact significance | | assessment level |
| Contribute to intergenerational equity through provision of infrastructure that enables the transition to renewable energy generation. | Community Way of life | Operations | Local and regional communities | Likely (B) | Minor (2) | Medium (B2) | Employment strategies to build workforce skills needed to support renewable energy projects | Detailed |

b Summary

The SIA scoping process and completion of the SIA Scoping worksheet (Appendix C) identified 16 potential social impacts (both positive and negative), of which:

- 14 requires a detailed level of assessment
- 2 requires a standard level of assessment
- No impacts require a minor level of assessment.

Key predicted negative social impacts of the project include:

- changes to local visual amenity
- amenity impacts during construction (noise, vibration, dust)
- changes/disruptions to local and regional traffic conditions (access, connectivity, traffic volumes)
- increase in demand for local housing, short-term accommodation, and services
- disruption to existing agricultural operations and land use
- perceptions of increased fire risk and associated safety concerns.

The key predicted positive social impacts/benefits of the project include:

- generation of construction employment, training, and procurement opportunities
- improved/enhanced social outcomes from the project supporting community initiatives
- contribution towards the NSW transition towards renewable energy generation.

iv Assessment approach

The purpose of this SIA Scoping chapter was to inform the SEARs and the scale and scope of the SIA which is to be prepared as part of the EIS. The SIA will be developed in accordance with the requirements outlined in the SIA Guideline 2023 (DPE, 2023a) and SIA Technical Supplement 2023 (DPE, 2023b) and involve the following key activities:

- preparing a comprehensive and targeted social baseline that builds on the profiles provide in the scoping report
- identification of, and consultation with, affected communities and stakeholders including vulnerable groups
- a comprehensive assessment and evaluation of social impacts and benefits against existing baseline conditions
- development of project enhancement and mitigation measures
- consideration/assessment of cumulative impacts in the context of projects within the region.

Development of the SIA will be informed by SIA-specific engagement activities, together with input drawn from the outcomes of the project's broader community and stakeholder engagement program. All engagement activities informing project planning will be approached in an integrated way to ensure consistency of information provision, and to manage consultation fatigue.

6.2.5 Traffic and access

i Existing environment


The BESS investigation area is adjacent to Arumpo Road, which is generally a one lane each way sealed Regional Road under the care and control of Wentworth Shire Council (Plate 6.1). The speed limit in Arumpo Road is restricted to 80 km/h.

The BESS development corridor would be accessed from Arumpo Road, from which, multiple site access points are proposed. Access to Arumpo Road would be via Silver City Highway and Sturt Highway, two arterial roads in the vicinity of the project which are under the care and control of Transport for NSW (TfNSW). The locations of the potential site access points (to be finalised during the EIS phase) and the surrounding road network, is shown on Figure 6.4.



Source: Google Maps 2024

Plate 6.1 Arumpo Road near potential southern access (looking north)



ii Potential impacts

The project will generate traffic during construction related to the movement of construction workers and the delivery of materials, plant and equipment. Construction traffic generation has the potential to impact on intersection performance and traffic volume capacity on the surrounding network and along key transport routes.

Proposed new access points from the public road network, likely Arumpo Road, will be required for project construction access. From these access points, internal access tracks will also be established to connect the project components and other infrastructure areas to the public road network. All internal access tracks will be unsealed. The internal tracks will serve both as access for servicing and maintaining project infrastructure as well as fire trails.

Ongoing road maintenance requirements and any potential need for localised upgrades to mitigate traffic impacts during construction will also need to be considered. Should upgrades be identified, these will be detailed in the EIS. There is unlikely to be any Over Size Over Mass (OSOM) delivery as part of the BESS development, however this will be assessed further and if required, details included in the EIS.

Operational traffic generation will be minimal with some daily light vehicle movements and heavy vehicle deliveries only as required.

iii Assessment approach

Engagement with TfNSW and Wentworth Shire Council will be required to identify any existing road safety concerns and ensure any potential deficiencies are clearly understood and assessed.

A traffic impact assessment (TIA) will be carried out to investigate potential impacts associated with the project. The traffic impact assessment will include:

- projections of traffic volumes (both light and heavy vehicles) and transport routes during construction and operation
- assessment of the potential traffic impacts of the project on road network function, including intersection performance, site access arrangements, and road safety, including school bus routes and cyclist safety
- assessment of the capacity and condition of the existing road network to accommodate the type and volume of traffic generated by the project during construction and operation, with any potential cumulative impacts from other projects in the area being considered
- provide details of measures to manage potential impacts, including a schedule of required road upgrades, road maintenance contributions, and other traffic control measures, developed in consultation with the relevant road authority.

The assessment of traffic and access impacts will be prepared using the following guidelines, policies and design requirements:

- Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RTA 2002)
- Austroads Guides to Road Design (various publications)
- Austroads Guides to Traffic Management (various publications)
- Australian Standard AS 2890 Parts 1 and 2
- Australian Code for Dangerous Goods Transport.

6.3 Standard assessment

6.3.1 Amenity - Noise and vibration

i Existing environment

The project is situated in a location that is rural in nature with limited human activity, mostly dominated by natural sounds. In terms of the definitions of the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI) it would be considered rural and could expect minimum L_{A90} background noise thresholds of 35 dB during the day and 30 dB during the evening and night.

The Buronga substation is currently being constructed adjacent to the project investigation area, that may currently be a source of noise emissions. Construction of the substation is expected to be completed prior to construction of the Gol Gol BESS project and construction would not impact background noise levels at that time, although its operation may contribute to minor background noise.

Considering the likely L_{A90} thresholds, the monitoring of existing ambient background noise is likely not to be warranted for the Gol Gol BESS project.

There are a number of potentially noise sensitive receivers surrounding the proposed site. The nearest receiver is approximately 150 m from the proposed BESS infrastructure boundary, however this receiver is associated with the development. The nearest non-associated receiver is approximately 3.2 km from the proposed BESS infrastructure boundary, all other sensitive receivers are further from the site. Under the NPfI, noise from a development of industry is assessed at the most sensitive noise location at or within 30 m of a dwelling. It is assumed that if noise goals are met at these closest locations, they will also be met at other sensitive locations further away.

ii Assessment criteria and potential impacts

a Construction noise

Construction noise is assessed under the NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline (ICNG). The ICNG typical recommends that construction activities be restricted to 'standard' daytime hours of 7.00 am to 6.00 pm Monday to Friday, and 8.00 am to 1.00 pm on Saturdays. Under standard construction hours, noise is to be limited, where feasible and reasonable to a level not more than 10 dB above the background noise level, which is L_{Aeq} 45 dB for this project. The ICNG provides an extensive list of standard mitigation measures and practices to be adopted for construction sites.

Based on the distance from nearest non-associated receivers, it is unlikely that any construction works occurring on Saturday afternoon, Sundays or public holidays would cause audible noise impacts to sensitive receivers, so if this flexibility is required, it would be assessed in the EIS.

b Operational noise

Operational noise from solar farms and BESS are assessed under the procedures and guidelines of the NPfl. In the application of the NPfl in development of project noise trigger levels (PNTL) for the project, we would anticipate that the project would be subject to baseline noise criteria of $L_{Aeq,15min}$ 40 dB during the day and $L_{Aeq,15min}$ 35 dB during the evening and night.

A typical scaled schedule of plant and equipment anticipated for the project, considering a 1,500 MW BESS capacity, is detailed in Table 6.12. The anticipated noise sources in Table 6.12 and baseline NPfl noise criteria enable determination of the likely minimum offset distances for noise sources.

Table 6.12 Operational noise source sound power levels

| Noise source | L _{Aeq} sound power per unit, dB ¹ | Total L _{Aeq} sound power, dB |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| BESS | | |
| Battery cubicles (x3360) | 81 | 116 |
| Inverters (x480) | 91 | 118 |
| MV transformers (x240) | 76 | 95 |
| HV transformer ² (x6) | 93 | 106 |
| Total | | 120 |

^{1.} The combined noise levels will be subject to final quantity, configuration and layout of equipment as well as any noise attenuating measures.

As part of this preliminary assessment, a simple noise model of the project was developed using the ISO9613.2 algorithm in proprietary noise modelling software SoundPlan version 8.2. The ISO9613.2 algorithm predicts noise levels assuming meteorological conditions of light source-to-receiver winds or moderate temperature inversions, hence while not assessing a worst-case scenario, is representative of typical operational noise impacts.

Predicted noise levels were below 30 dB $L_{Aea,15min}$ at all non-associated sensitive receivers.

The single associated receiver was predicted to be 42 dB $L_{Aeq,15min}$ under ISO9613.2 conditions. Given this resident's association with the project, noise limits are unlikely to be enforced here as negotiated agreements will be in place. Operational noise at all other noise sensitive receivers is highly-likely to be within NPfI noise limits.

c Road traffic noise

Road traffic noise for the project would be assessed under the NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP). Subject to proposed routes the assessment would need to consider receiver locations and nearest road category. Baseline noise criteria for the project is summarised in Table 6.13.

Table 6.13 Road traffic noise assessment criteria for residential land uses

| Road category | Type of project/development | Assessment criteria – dB | |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | Day (7 am to 10 pm) | Night (10 pm to 7 am) |
| Local roads | Existing residences affected by additional traffic on existing freeway/arterial/sub-arterial roads generated by land use developments. | L _{Aeq,1hr} 55 (external) | L _{Aeq,1hr} 50 (external) |

Road traffic noise from construction and operation of the project is unlikely to result in any significant noise impacts that would constrain the project.

iii Assessment approach

As part of the EIS submission, a detailed noise and vibration impact assessment (NVIA) would be undertaken in accordance with NSW *Interim Construction Noise Guideline* (ICNG), NSW *Noise Policy for Industry* (NPfI) and NSW *Road Noise Policy* (RNP).

^{2. 5} dB penalty for low frequency noise

Based on the currently available project information, there is unlikely to be any significant noise impacts from the proposed BESS facility.

6.3.2 Historic heritage

i Existing environment

The project lies within the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion, which covers 19,717,651 ha over New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia (NPWS, 2003, DEECCW, 2020). The region has been subject to land clearing to make way for pastoral activities, but substantial tracts of remnant vegetation remain.


Statutory registers were reviewed including the World Heritage List (WHL), National Heritage List (NHL), the Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL), the State Heritage Register (SHR), the Section 170 Registers (s170) and Schedule 5 of the *Wentworth Local Environmental Plan 2011*. Non-statutory registers reviewed as a part of this assessment include the Register of the National Estate (RNE) and Travelling Stock Reserves (TSRs).

No listed heritage items are identified in the project investigation area of National, State or local historical heritage significance or any items on non-statutory registers. There is one item (Gol Gol Well) recorded adjacent to the southern boundary of the BESS investigation area that is of medium to low value on the Travelling Stock Reserves State Classification Map (Figure 6.5).

Heritage items in the vicinity of the project investigation area are outlined in Table 6.14 and Figure 6.5.

Table 6.14 Heritage register search results

| Jurisdiction | Heritage Register | Within BESS investigation area | Within 5 km of BESS investigation area |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Federal | World Heritage List (WHL) | Nil | Nil |
| | Commonwealth Heritage List (CHL) | Nil | Nil |
| | National Heritage List (NHL) | Nil | Nil |
| State | State Heritage Register (SHR) | Nil | Nil |
| Local | Wentworth Local Environmental Plan 2011 | Nil | Nil |
| Government agency list of heritage assets | S170 Section 170 of the Heritage Act | Nil | Nil |
| Non-statutory | Register of the National Estate (RNE) | Nil | Nil |
| | National Trust of Australia (NT) | Nil | Nil |
| | Travelling Stock Reserves (TSRs) | Nil | R86545 SWP1035-Gol Gol Well, broken into three sections, one of which is adjacent to the southern boundary of the BESS investigation, north of Arumpo Road. The other two sections are south of Arumpo Road. |



ii Potential impacts

Construction works will include leveling, excavation, and ground disturbance activities, which has the potential to impact archaeological resources. In addition, the construction of facilities, roads, and installation of associated services will also create sub-surface disturbance, increasing the probability of disturbing archaeological resources. The project will not impact listed heritage items of National, State or local historical heritage significance.

Impacts to the adjacent TSR items will be avoided and the project will seek to avoid impacts to all other historic heritage sites wherever possible. Any impacts and mitigation and management measures will be identified in the EIS.

iii Assessment approach

It is considered likely the project development corridor may contain archaeological resources related to farming activities, including stock yards, tanks, troughs, huts and associated infrastructure. The proposed project development corridor is therefore an area of moderate to low archaeological potential and there is risk for the project to expose or impact archaeological resources or relics.

As such, the impact to historic heritage will be assessed in the EIS, with regard to the NSW Heritage Manual, to gain further understanding of historical heritage assessment of built, archaeological and landscape values.

The EIS will also consider the wider region to determine the potential for historical finds to be present in the project investigation area and if so, assess the potential significance of the finds and provide recommendations for the appropriate management of any finds.


6.3.3 Land

i Existing environment

The land within the project investigation area is zoned RU1 Primary Production under the Wentworth LEP. The development corridor is largely disturbed and currently used for agricultural purposes. Regional land and soil mapping data has been reviewed with a focus on the project investigation area. Land and soil capability mapping across the project investigation area is described in Table 6.15 and shown on Figure 6.6.

Table 6.15 Land and soil characteristics

| Great soil group | Australian soil classification (ASC) | Inherent soil fertility | Land soil capability (LSC) class |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Solonized Brown Soils | Calcarosols | Moderately low | 5 |



Soils are consistent across the Australian soil classification (ASC) and land soil capability (LSC) associations, and the entire project investigation area is mapped as LSC Class 5 (severe limitations). There are no Class 1–4 soils identified in the project investigation area.

The project investigation area is not mapped as Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land (BSAL). There are no acid sulfate soils (ASS) or potential acid sulphate soils mapped within the project investigation area.

A search of the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) contaminated land public record was undertaken in April 2024 for contaminated sites within the Wentworth LGA. No recorded sites were returned.

ii Potential impacts

Soil disturbance during construction may result in:

- topsoil and subsoil impacts (e.g. degradation and loss of topsoil, compaction of soil through vehicle
 movement and poor reinstatement and soil inversion or mixing resulting in changes in constraints such as
 salinity and sodicity within the soil profile)
- disturbance and form changes affecting natural surface drainage
- erosion and sedimentation, particularly during clearance and soil exposure activities resulting in:
 - on-site impacts (such as erosion of constructed landforms)
 - off-site impacts (such as eutrophication of downstream waters)
- increased dust generation.

These impacts could result in reduction of soil quality that could be deleterious to agricultural productivity and land use after rehabilitation, if not suitably managed or mitigated. Decreasing soil quality or volumes during construction could result in limitations to rehabilitation from loss or degradation of soil materials that are needed to reinstate the soils in a suitable condition. Any negative impact to soil characteristics presents a risk of harm to the land and soil capability and productivity of the development footprint post-rehabilitation.

iii Assessment approach

A land, soil and erosion (LSE) assessment will be prepared as part of the EIS and will include:


- a description of the biophysical environment (including soil landscapes and LSC class)
- an erosion hazard analysis
- an impact assessment of the project on soil types, LSC class and agricultural productivity
- recommendations for site decommissioning and rehabilitation to restore disturbed land back to agriculture.

6.3.4 Water

i Existing environment

The project is located within the Murray Darling Basin South. The Murray River flows from east to west in direction, approximately 7 km south of the southern project investigation area. Two nationally important wetlands, Lake Ranfurly and Kings Billabong Wetlands, are located approximately 10 km south of the project.

Several significant waterbodies, including Lake Gol Gol and Gol Gol Swamp are adjacent to the project investigation area, however within the project investigation area, there are no mapped waterways or waterbodies. The project investigation area is also not within the 1% annual exceedance probability (AEP) flood level. See Figure 6.7 for mapped hydrological features in and around the project investigation area.



Hydrology

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 6.7



GDA2020 MGA Zone 54

ii Potential impacts

The construction of the project has the potential to result in the following impacts to water resources in the absence of suitable controls:

- ground disturbance during bulk earthworks and other site activities could lead to exposure of soils and potential erosion and mobilisation of sediment into receiving watercourses
- contamination of surface waters or groundwater as a result of accidental spillage of materials such as fuel, lubricants, herbicides and other chemicals used to support construction activities
- partial blockage or redirection of floodwaters and downstream impacts as a result of poorly considered construction activities
- demand for water during construction.

Operation has the potential to result in the following impacts to water resources in the absence of suitable controls:

- demand for water for land management purposes
- potential ongoing erosion of soils and mobilisation of sediment into receiving watercourses
- contamination of surface water or groundwater as a result of accidental spillage of materials such as fuel, lubricants, herbicides and other chemicals used to support site activities, or through poor site and vegetation management practices
- partial blockage or redirection of floodwaters and downstream impacts as a result of poorly considered permanent facilities.

Specific design considerations and mitigation measures may be recommended to minimise potential impacts within and along drainage lines. Roads and services that require watercourse crossings will be designed and constructed in accordance with relevant regulations and best practice design and construction methods.

The project is not likely to impact groundwater during construction, operation, or decommissioning due to the limited amount of subsurface disturbance activities required during the installation and decommissioning of project infrastructure.

iii Assessment approach

A water resources assessment will be prepared as part of the EIS that will include a review of the existing water environment, an assessment of potential impacts on water resources and a description of any proposed mitigation and management measures. The water resources assessment will include:

- complete site characterisation including mapping to effectively characterise surface water features, groundwater features and relevant water users
- the likely impacts of the project (including flooding) on surface water and groundwater resources, drainage channels, wetlands, riparian land, farm dams, groundwater dependent ecosystems and acid sulfate soils, related infrastructure, adjacent licensed water users and basic landholder rights, and measures proposed to monitor, reduce and mitigate these impacts
- a quantitative review and assessment of the likely risks and impacts of the project on flooding and floodplain areas using available flood data and mapping

- a review of the relevant regulatory requirements (e.g. Water Sharing Plans) of relevance to the catchment and groundwater sources in which the project is located
- consideration of water requirements and supply arrangements for construction and operation
- erosion and sediment control measures that will be implemented to mitigate any impacts in accordance with *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils & Construction* (Landcom 2004).

6.3.5 Air

i Existing environment

Land use within the project investigation area and surrounds is primarily agricultural, which is likely to influence local and regional air quality. Existing sources of air pollution within a local setting are limited and typically comprise dust and vehicle and machinery exhaust emissions associated with agricultural production and local roads. Wood smoke from bushfires and rural residences can also be a source of particulates.

The Buronga substation is also currently being constructed adjacent to the project investigation area, that may currently be a source of dust emissions in the locality. Construction of the substation is expected to be complete prior to construction of the Gol Gol BESS project and would not impact background air pollution levels at that time.

ii Potential impacts

The project is not anticipated to generate significant air quality impacts during construction or operation. Dust may be generated during construction due to an increase in exposed areas following site preparation works and from construction traffic movements on unsealed roads. This dust generation is expected to be localised, unlikely to have significant impacts at nearby receivers, and able to be mitigated through implementation of standard management measures.

No significant dust generation is expected during operations given exposed areas will have been rehabilitated. Minor levels of dust may be generated during decommissioning as a result of structures being removed, areas being temporarily exposed, and rehabilitation works. This will only occur for a short duration before rehabilitation of exposed areas has been established.

iii Assessment approach

A quantitative air quality assessment with dispersion modelling is not considered warranted given risk of air quality impacts is low and will not extend beyond the construction phase of the project.

Impacts to neighbouring sensitive receptors (human and ecological) from construction dust emissions (including the potential for cumulative emissions due to the possible concurrent construction of the project with the Mallee Solar Farm and Mallee Wind Farm, will be assessed using a qualitative impact assessment approach.

6.3.6 Hazards and risk

i Preliminary hazard analysis (PHA)

A preliminary risk screening will be completed in accordance with State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 (Resilience and Hazards SEPP). A PHA will also be prepared in accordance with *Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No. 6 Hazard Analysis* (DoP 2011a) and *Multi-level Risk Assessment* (DoP 2011b). The PHA will consider all recent standards and codes and verify separation distances to on-site and off-site receptors to prevent fire propagation and compliance with *Hazardous Industry Advisory Paper No. 4 Risk Criteria for Land Use Safety Planning* (DoP 2011c).

The PHA will address the fire risks associated with the BESS and supporting infrastructure and demonstrate that the proposed BESS capacity will be able to fit within the land area designated for the BESS considering separation distances between:

- BESS sub-units (i.e. racks, modules, enclosures, etc.) ensuring that a fire from a sub-unit does not propagate to neighbouring sub-units
- the BESS and other on-site or off-site receptors, ensuring fire safety.

Exposure to electromagnetic fields will also be assessed against the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (1998) *Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-varying Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields*.

ii Bushfire

The project investigation area is mapped predominantly as Vegetation Category 1 and 2 bushfire prone land, with some small pockets of excluded land. Vegetation Category 2 is considered to be a lower bushfire risk than Category 1 and Category 3 land, but higher than excluded land. Mapping of bushfire prone land is illustrated in Figure 6.8.

The project has the potential to be exposed to bushfire risk from grasslands and areas of dense vegetation within and adjacent to the project. There is also a risk of a fire starting within the project and spreading to adjacent land.

A bushfire hazard assessment will be prepared to identify potential hazards and risks associated with bushfires and to demonstrate compliance with *Planning for Bush Fire Protection* (RFS 2019).

iii Unexploded ordnance risk


A review of the Department of Defence UXO Mapping Application identified an area 2 km to the west of the project investigation area as being mapped as having 'substantial potential' for the presence of unexploded ordnance (UXO) devices.

Despite much of the local area having been continuously grazed and cropped since the 1940s without known instance, due to adjacent properties historical use as both RAAF air to ground or air to air ranges during World War II, further investigations into UXO potential will be undertaken and detailed in the EIS.

iv Waste

The Project will produce various waste streams during construction, operation and decommissioning stages. All waste produced by the project will be classified, handled and managed in accordance with the Waste Classification Guidelines – Part 1 Classifying Waste (NSW EPA, 2014). Priority will be given to reusing materials on site or recycling if reusing is not possible.

Suitable reuse and/or waste disposal facilities will be identified in the EIS.



Bushfire prone land

Gol Gol BESS Scoping Report Figure 6.8



6.3.7 Aquatic biodiversity

There are no key fish habitats (KFHs) or threatened aquatic species predicted by the Fisheries NSW Spatial Data Portal to occur within the project investigation area. The PMST predicted six threatened fish species may occur within 10 km of the project investigation area (Table 6.16). Of these species, two have a low potential to occur in the project investigation area.

Table 6.16 Threatened fish species – Likelihood of Occurrence

| Threatened fish species | FM Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of occurrence |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Flathead Galaxias (Galaxias rostratus) | Critically Endangered | Critically Endangered | Low |
| Murray Hardhead (Caterocephals fluviatilis) | Critically Endangered | Endangered | Low |
| Murray Cod (<i>Maccullochella peelii</i>) | Not listed | Vulnerable | Nil |
| Silver Perch (Bidyanus bidyanus) | Vulnerable | Critically Endangered | Nil |
| Macquarie Perch (<i>Macquaria australasica</i>) | Endangered | Endangered | Nil |
| Trout Cod (Maccullochella macquariensis) | Endangered | Endangered | Nil |

Potential fish habitat within the project investigation area is limited to a small, ephemeral first order drainage line, where flooding events may provide temporary habitat for threatened fish species.

An aquatic habitat assessment for fish species listed under the *Fisheries Management Act* 1994 and EPBC Act is unlikely to be required as part of the EIS and any potential impacts to aquatic ecosystems will focus on land water quality impacts arising from the project.

6.3.8 Economic

Economic considerations span all project phases and will be most significant during the construction phase. It is expected the project will result in an increase in local and regional expenditure for the provision of goods and services and increase local workforce demand. The project is likely to generate up to 150 FTE jobs during the construction period. Economic benefits during operation would be considered with regard to overall benefit to the regional economy by contributing to renewable energy reliability and the growth of renewable energy in the South West REZ.

The EIS will include a local effects analysis that translates the effects estimated at the State level to the impacts on the communities located in the local and sub-regional area. The analysis will consider effects relating to local employment, non-labour project expenditure and social impacts on the local community. The findings will be used to inform consultation with the local community and support the development of mitigation opportunities for any adverse impacts.

6.3.9 Built environment

An assessment of impact on the built environment, including leased and private properties, public land and public infrastructure will be undertaken in the EIS. This will include those areas described in Section 2.2 and will include assessment as part of various technical studies including terrestrial biodiversity, social, visual and traffic.

6.4 Cumulative impacts

Cumulative impacts have been scoped and would be assessed in the EIS in accordance with the *Cumulative Impact Assessment Guidelines for State Significant Projects*, the CIA Guideline (DPIE 2022c).

The project has the potential to generate cumulative impacts and benefits in conjunction with surrounding energy developments and major projects, including future projects in planning, during both construction and operation. Of note are the Mallee Solar Farm and Mallee Wind Farm, SSD projects in the assessment phase, that are located east of the project investigation area.

Squadron Energy is also proposing to develop separate Wind Farm (Gol Gol Wind Farm) and Solar Farm (Gol Gol Solar Farm) projects within the same overall landholding and adjacent to and partially overlapping the BESS project investigation area. These projects will be subject to separate SSD assessments. All potential cumulative impacts would be assessed during preparation of the EIS.

The scoping summary table (Appendix A) outlines the matters for which a cumulative impacts assessment (CIA) would be undertaken, and a CIA scoping table against major projects on the planning portal is provided in Appendix D.

6.5 Matters requiring no further assessment in the EIS

Based on the scoping assessment, the following matters are not considered to require any further assessment in the EIS:

- Access Rail facilities, port and airport facilities
- Amenity Odour
- Hazards and risks Coastal hazards, dam safety and land movement.

7 Conclusion

Squadron Energy proposes to develop the Gol Gol BESS, approximately 10 km north east of Mildura in the Wentworth LGA in the Western Murray Region of New South Wales. The project will consist of up to a 1,500 MW / 12 GWh Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) as well as transmission, ancillary and temporary infrastructure.

The BESS will provide both storage as well as firming capacity to the National Electricity Market and may assist in grid stability by providing frequency control ancillary services. The battery will allow for the storage and export of energy within the network so that it can be used during times of peak demand.

The project investigation area is highly suitable for the BESS project, with the key selection factors for the site including:

- landowner agreeing to host the BESS
- large separation distances to populated areas to minimise visual and amenity impacts
- positioning within the South West REZ
- flat topography and large land area available to position infrastructure and avoid constraints
- open space to allow for hazard mitigation
- proximity directly adjacent to existing transmission lines and the approved Project EnergyConnect infrastructure, including the Buronga substation.

This scoping report has been prepared to assist with the development of SEARs for the project, which will guide the preparation of the EIS. The following key environmental aspects have been identified in Chapter 6 as requiring detailed assessment within the EIS:

- biodiversity terrestrial
- heritage Aboriginal
- amenity visual
- social
- access traffic.

The project as outlined in this scoping report will be refined during the preparation of the EIS, including in response to the findings of detailed environmental investigations and feedback from community and stakeholder engagement. The EIS will be prepared in accordance with the SEARs issued by DPHI.

References

ABS (Australian Bureau of Statistics) 2021, Census of Population and Housing: Quickstats.

AEMO (Australian Energy Market Operator) 2022, 2022 Integrated System Plan.

DECC (Department of Environment and Conservation) 2006, Assessing vibration: a technical guideline.

DECC (Department of Environment and Climate Change) 2009, Interim Construction Noise Guideline.

DECCW (Department of Environment and Climate Change and Water) 2010a, Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents.

DECCW (Department of Environment and Climate Change and Water) 2010b, Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales.

DECCW (Department of Environment and Climate Change and Water) 2011, Road Noise Policy.

DoP (Department of Planning) 2011a, Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No. 6 – Guideline for Hazard Analysis.

DoP (Department of Planning) 2011b, Assessment Guideline – Multi-level Risk Assessment.

DoP (Department of Planning) 2011c, Hazardous Industry Advisory Paper No. 4 Risk Criteria for Land Use Safety Planning.

DPE (Department of Planning and Environment) 2023a Social Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects.

DPE (Department of Planning and Environment) 2023b Technical supplement: Social Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects (the 'SIA Technical Supplement).

DPI (Department of Primary Industry) 2013, Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2020a, The Net Zero Plan Stage 1 2020-2030.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2020b, NSW Electricity Infrastructure Roadmap, Building an Energy Superpower Detailed Report.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2020c, Biodiversity Assessment Method.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2020d, Surveying Threatened Plants and their Habitats: NSW Survey Guide for the Biodiversity Assessment Method.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2020e, NSW Survey Guide for Threatened Frogs.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2022a, State significant development guidelines - preparing a scoping report: Appendix A to the state significant development guidelines.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2022b Undertaking Engagement Guidelines for State Significant Project.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2022c, Cumulative Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2022d, Draft Large-Scale Solar Energy Guideline.

DPIE (Department of Planning, Industry and Environment) 2022e, State significant development guidelines – preparing an environmental impact statement.

EPA (Environment Protection Authority) 2017, Noise Policy for Industry.

ICOMOS (Australia) (2013) The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance. Burwood, Victoria, Victoria: Australia ICOMOS.

ICNIRP (International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection) 1998, Guidelines for limiting exposure to Time-varying Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields.

Landcom 2004, Managing urban stormwater: soils and construction, Volume 1, 4th Edition March 2004 Government of NSW.

Mitchell PB (unpublished) NSW ecosystems study: background and methodology. Unpublished report to the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, Hurstville.

NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service (2003) The Bioregions of New South Wales - their biodiversity, conservation and history. Hurstville NSW: NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service. Available at: https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/nature/bioregionscontentsintro.pdf.

RFS (Rural Fire Service) 2019, Planning for Bushfire Protection.

RTA (Roads and Traffic Authority) 2002, Guide to Traffic Generating Developments Version 2.2.

Abbreviations

| ABS | Australian Bureau of Statistics |
|---|--|
| АСНА | Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment |
| AEMO | Australia Energy Market Operator |
| AHIMS | Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System |
| ASC | Australian soil classification |
| BAM | Biodiversity Assessment Method |
| BC Act | NSW Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 |
| BDAR | Biodiversity Development Assessment Report |
| BESS | battery energy storage system |
| BSAL | Biophysical Strategic Agricultural Land |
| CEEC | critically endangered ecological community |
| DPE | Department of Planning and Environment |
| DPHI | Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (formerly DPE) |
| EEC | endangered ecological community |
| EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EMM | EMM Consulting Pty Limited |
| | |
| EPA | NSW Environment Protection Authority |
| EPA EPL | NSW Environment Protection Authority environment protection licence |
| | |
| EPL | environment protection licence |
| EPL EP&A Act | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP IBRA | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP IBRA ICNG | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline kilometre |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP IBRA ICNG km | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline kilometre square kilometre |
| EPL EP&A Act EPBC Act GHG GW ha HV HIPAP IBRA ICNG km km² | environment protection licence NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 greenhouse gas gigawatt hectares High voltage Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline kilometre square kilometre kilovolt |

| LEP | Local Environmental Plan |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| LGA | Local Government Area |
| LSC | land and soil capability |
| LVIA | landscape and visual impact assessment |
| MNES | Matters of national environmental significance |
| MW | Megawatt |
| NEM | National Electricity Market |
| NSW | New South Wales |
| OSOM | over-size, over-mass |
| PCT | plant community type |
| PCU | power conversion unit |
| PMST | Commonwealth Protected Matters Search Tool |
| | |
| POEO Act | NSW Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 |
| POEO Act | NSW Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 photovoltaic |
| | |
| PV | photovoltaic |
| PV PVIA | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment |
| PV PVIA RAPs | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party |
| PV PVIA RAPs REZ | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone |
| PV PVIA RAPS REZ SEARS | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements |
| PV PVIA RAPS REZ SEARS SEPP | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements State environmental planning policy |
| PV PVIA RAPS REZ SEARS SEPP | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements State environmental planning policy Social impact assessment |
| PV PVIA RAPS REZ SEARS SEPP SIA SLR | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements State environmental planning policy Social impact assessment soil and land resource |
| PVIA RAPS REZ SEARS SEPP SIA SLR SSD | photovoltaic Preliminary visual impact assessment Registered Aboriginal Party Renewable Energy Zone Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements State environmental planning policy Social impact assessment soil and land resource State Significant Development |

Appendix A

Scoping summary table



A.1 Scoping summary table

| Level of assessment | Matter | Cumulative impact assessment | Engagement | Relevant policies and guidelines | Scoping report reference |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------------|
| Detailed | Terrestrial Biodiversity | Yes | Specific | Biodiversity Assessment Method (DPIE 2020). Threatened Biodiversity Survey and Assessment: Guidelines for Developments and Activities (DEC 2004). Surveying Threatened Plants and their Habitats: NSW Survey Guide for the Biodiversity Assessment Method (DPIE 2020). Species Credit Threatened Bats and their Habitats (OEH 2018). NSW Survey Guide for Threatened Frogs (DPIE 2020). Commonwealth EPBC 1.1 Significant Impact Guidelines – Matters of National Environmental Significance (Commonwealth of Australia, 2013). Commonwealth Department of the Environment – Survey Guidelines for Nationally Threatened Species (various). | Section 6.2.1 |
| | Aboriginal heritage | Yes | Specific | Guide to Investigating, Assessing and Reporting on Aboriginal Cultural Heritage in NSW (OEH 2011). Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Consultation Requirements for Proponents (DECCW 2010). Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW 2010). | Section 6.2.2 |
| | Visual | Yes | Specific | Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (United Kingdom Landscape Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment 2013). Guidance Note for Landscape and Visual Assessment (Australian Institute of Landscape Architects 2018). Draft Large-Scale Solar Energy Guideline DPIE (DPE 2022). Large Scale Solar Energy Guideline; Technical Supplement for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (DPE 2022). | Section 6.2.3 |
| | Social | Yes | Specific | Social Impact Assessment Guideline for State Significant Projects (DPE 2023). | Section 6.2.4 |
| | Traffic and access | Yes | Specific | Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (RTA 2002). Austroads Guides to Road Design (various publications). Austroads Guides to Traffic Management (various publications). Australian Standard AS 2890 Parts 1 and 2. Australian Code for Dangerous Goods Transport. | Section 6.2.5 |

| Level of assessment | Matter | Cumulative impact assessment | Engagement | Relevant policies and guidelines | Scoping report reference |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| Standard | Noise and | Yes | Specific | NSW Interim Construction Noise Guideline (DECC 2009). | Section 6.3.1 |
| | vibration | | | NSW Noise Policy for Industry (EPA 2017). | |
| | | | | NSW Road Noise Policy (DECCW 2011). | |
| | | | | Assessing Vibration: A Technical Guideline (DECC 2006). | |
| | Historic heritage | No | General | • The principal articles of The Burra Charter – The Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance (ICOMOS 2013). | Section 6.3.2 |
| | | | | Statements of Heritage Impact (Heritage Office 1996). | |
| | | | | Investigating Heritage Significance Draft Guideline (Heritage Office 2004). | |
| | | | | Assessing Heritage Significance (Heritage Office 2001). | |
| | | | | Assessing Significance for Historical Archaeological Sites and 'Relics' (Heritage Branch Department of Planning 2009). | |
| | Land | No | General | Land Use Conflict Risk Assessment Guideline (DPI 2011). | Section 6.3.3 |
| | | | | Best Practice Erosion and Sediment Control (IECA 2008) | |
| | | | | Developments adjacent to National Parks and Wildlife Service lands (DPIE, 2020). | |
| | Water | No | General | Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Volume 1 (Landcom 2004). | Section 6.3.4 |
| | | | | Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Volume 2 (DECC 2008). | |
| | | | | • Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC/ARMCANZ 2000). | |
| | | | | Guidelines for Instream Works on Waterfront Land (NOW 2012). | |
| | | | | Guidelines for Riparian Corridors on Waterfront Land (NOW 2012). | |
| | | | | Guidelines for Watercourse Crossings on Waterfront Land (NOW 2012). | |
| | Air quality | No | General | Approved Methods and Guidelines for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South Wales (DECC, 2005). | Section 6.3.5 |
| | Hazards and | No | General | • Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper No. 6 – Guideline for Hazard Analysis (DoP, 2011). | Section 6.3.6 |
| | risk | | | Multi-Level Risk Assessment (DoP, 2011). | |
| | | | | Hazardous and Offensive Development Application Guidelines: Applying SEPP 33 (DoP, 2011). | |
| | | | | • Guidelines for limiting exposure to Time-varying Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields (ICNIRP 1998). | |
| | | | | Planning for Bushfire Protection (RFS, 2019). | |
| | | | | Waste Classification Guidelines (EPA, 2014). | |

| Level of assessment | Matter | Cumulative impact assessment | Engagement | Relevant policies and guidelines | Scoping report reference |
|---------------------|---------|------------------------------|------------|--|--------------------------|
| | Aquatic | No | General | Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management (DPI 2013). | Section 6.3.7 |

Appendix B

Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment





Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System

Prepared for Squadron Energy

May 2024

Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System

Squadron Energy

E240110 RP31

May 2024

| 1 19 April 2024 Maya Potapowicz Mark Trudgett Issued to client 2 9 May 2024 Maya Potapowicz Mark Trudgett Final | Version | Date | Prepared by | Reviewed by | Comments |
|---|---------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| 2 9 May 2024 Maya Potapowicz Mark Trudgett Final | 1 | 19 April 2024 | Maya Potapowicz | Mark Trudgett | Issued to client |
| | 2 | 9 May 2024 | Maya Potapowicz | Mark Trudgett | Final |

Approved by

Maya Potapowicz

4/2/

Associate 9 May 2024

Suite 2.04 Level 2 15 London Circuit Canberra City ACT 2601

This report has been prepared in accordance with the brief provided by Squadron Energy and, in its preparation, EMM has relied upon the information collected at the times and under the conditions specified in this report. All findings, conclusions or recommendations contained in this report are based on those aforementioned circumstances. The contents of this report are private and confidential. This report is only for Squadron Energy's use in accordance with its agreement with EMM and is not to be relied on by or made available to any other party without EMM's prior written consent. Except as permitted by the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth) and only to the extent incapable of exclusion, any other use (including use or reproduction of this report for resale or other commercial purposes) is prohibited without EMM's prior written consent. Except where expressly agreed to by EMM in writing, and to the extent permitted by law, EMM will have no liability (and assumes no duty of care) to any person in relation to this document, other than to Squadron Energy (and subject to the terms of EMM's agreement with Squadron Energy).

© EMM Consulting Pty Ltd, Ground Floor Suite 01, 20 Chandos Street, St Leonards NSW 2065. [2024]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1 | Introd | luction | 1 |
|------|---------|--|-----|
| 2 | Site co | ontext | 2 |
| 3 | Deskt | op review | 4 |
| | 3.1 | Documents and datasets reviewed | 4 |
| | 3.2 | Limitations | 4 |
| 4 | Prelin | ninary land categories and biodiversity constraints | 5 |
| | 4.1 | Preliminary land category assessment | 5 |
| | 4.2 | Preliminary biodiversity assessment - overview | 5 |
| | 4.3 | Native vegetation | 5 |
| | 4.4 | Threatened ecological communities | 8 |
| | 4.5 | Threatened and migratory species | 8 |
| | 4.6 | Candidate entities for serious and irreversible impacts | 10 |
| | 4.7 | Key fish habitat and aquatic species | 10 |
| 5 | Poten | tial impacts | 13 |
| | 5.1 | Potential impacts to TECs | 13 |
| | 5.2 | Potential impacts to threatened species | 13 |
| | 5.3 | Potential impacts to candidate entities for SAII | 14 |
| | 5.4 | Summary of potential impacts on MNES | 14 |
| 6 | Asses | sment requirements | 16 |
| Ref | erence | s | 17 |
| | | | |
| App | endice | es established to the second of the second o | |
| Арр | endix A | Database search results | A.1 |
| Арр | endix B | Likelihood of threatened MNES occurrence | B.1 |
| | | | |
| Tab | | | |
| | e 4.1 | Assessment of draft land categories | 5 |
| | e 4.2 | PCTs predicted in the project investigation area | 6 |
| Tabl | e 4.3 | Threatened Ecological Communities – Likelihood of Occurrence | 8 |
| | e 4.4 | Threatened species known to occur in the project locality | 9 |
| | e 4.5 | Threatened fish species – Likelihood of Occurrence | 10 |
| Tabl | e 5.1 | Potential TEC impacts | 13 |

| Table 5.2 | Potential threatened species impacts | 13 |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----|
| Table 5.3 | Potential MNES impacts | 14 |
| Figures | | |
| Figure 2.1 | Regional setting | 3 |
| Figure 4.1 | Potential biodiversity constraints | 7 |
| Figure 4.2 | Strahler stream order | 12 |

1 Introduction

Squadron Renewable Energy Developments Pty Ltd (Squadron Energy), 'the Applicant', proposes to develop the Gol Gol Battery Energy Storage System project (the project) approximately 10 kilometres (km) north of Mildura in the local government area (LGA) of Wentworth in the Western Murray Region of New South Wales. The proposed project will be developed on predominantly freehold land that is used for agricultural activities.

The project will consist of up to a 1,500 MW / 12GWh Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) as well as transmission, ancillary and temporary infrastructure. The BESS will provide both storage as well as firming capacity to the National Electricity Market (NEM) and may assist in grid stability by providing frequency control ancillary services. The battery will allow for the storage and export of energy within the network so that it can be used during times of peak demand.

To accommodate the BESS, Squadron Energy have identified two potential sites for the development of the project. Both potential sites are adjacent to the Buronga Substation (currently being upgraded), Project Energy Connect 330 kV transmission line (construction commenced) and adjacent to the existing 220 kilovolt (kV) electricity transmission network. The final extents, layout and capacity of the BESS project will be selected based on environmental constraints identification, further landowner engagement, engineering assessments and detailed design of project infrastructure.

This preliminary biodiversity assessment has been prepared to support the scoping report to request Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs). The project will be assessed under Part 4 Division 4.7 of the *Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act), and therefore will require assessment in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method (DPIE, 2020) and the preparation of a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) will be required. This report has also been prepared to provide supporting documentation for the referral to the Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW Commonwealth).

Potential biodiversity constraints and development opportunities have been identified to assist Squadron Energy with preliminary project design and avoiding known impacts to date, and the NSW Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure (DPHI) in developing biodiversity-related SEARs.


2 Site context

The BESS project is located on predominantly freehold land owned by a single landowner who undertakes agricultural operations within the land. A smaller section of this landholding has been investigated for the purpose of locating the BESS project, in the south eastern part of the landholding and adjacent to the Buronga substation. This area is termed the project investigation area.

Within the project investigation area two identified BESS development corridors approximately 660 hectares (ha) and 490 ha in size have been proposed and will be subject to ongoing design refinement. The final development corridor will be presented in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The extents of the freehold land parcel, BESS project investigation area and development corridors are shown in Figure 2.1.

The project investigation area is located within the Murray Darling Depression Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) and the South Olary Plain IBRA sub-region. It is situated 7 km north of the Murray River and 27 km east of the Darling River. Two nationally important wetlands, Lake Ranfurly and Kings Billabong Wetlands, are located 10 km south of the project investigation area, just south of the Murray River. Several significant waterbodies, including Lake Gol Gol and Gol Gol Swamp are adjacent to the project investigation area. Mallee Cliffs National Park is located approximately 15 km south-east of the project investigation area. The surrounding landscape includes large tracts of remnant vegetation, cleared agricultural areas and the township of Mildura.

The project investigation area is predominantly previously cleared and includes Category 1 and Category 2 land with patches of remnant vegetation and disturbed native vegetation. There are no areas mapped on the NSW Government Biodiversity Values Map occurring within the project investigation area.



3 Desktop review

3.1 Documents and datasets reviewed

A desktop review of the project investigation area was undertaken to identify ecological values, areas of biodiversity constraint and development opportunities. The following information was reviewed for this preliminary biodiversity assessment:

- Commonwealth Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) for Matters of National Environmental Significance
 (MNES) protected under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)
 (https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/epbc/protected-matters-search-tool) (search undertaken in
 March 2024 and results presented in Appendix A)
 - threatened species records within 10 km of the project investigation area, via the Atlas of NSW Wildlife (https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/atlasapp/) data tool (results are presented in Appendix A) and the Fisheries NSW Spatial Data Portal (https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/fishing/fisheries-research/spatial-data-portal)
- NSW State Vegetation Type Map (SVTM) (Department of Planning and Environment, 2022) and key fish habitat datasets (https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/fishing/fisheries-research/spatial-data-portal)
- Bionet Vegetation Classification, to derive a list of threatened species associated with PCTs predicted by the State Vegetation Type Map (https://vegetation.bionet.nsw.gov.au/LoginPR.aspx?ReturnUrl=%2f)
- previous ecological reports relevant to the area (WSP, 2022; WSP, 2020)
- interpretation of aerial photo imagery
- NSW Government Biodiversity Values Map (Department of Planning and Environment, 2023).

3.2 Limitations

This assessment has relied upon information and existing spatial data for the project investigation area and should be considered preliminary in nature. Detailed field survey will be required to validate this assessment to inform the future BDAR.

Some of the key limitations of the information consulted in this assessment include:

- reliance on the NSW State Vegetation Map (SVTM) This vegetation mapping project has been generated
 on a broad scale and therefore can contain inaccuracies when assessed at the project investigation area
 scale. Field surveys will be required to validate the actual vegetation communities present within the
 project investigation area
- use of the NSW SVTM to identify candidate threatened species and ecological communities Revised vegetation mapping of the project investigation area through field survey may identify additional candidate threatened species and communities requiring consideration.

4 Preliminary land categories and biodiversity constraints

4.1 Preliminary land category assessment

The project investigation area contains two separate land sub-categories under the Native Vegetation Regulatory Map. These subcategories, their regulatory effect and what the areas contain are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Assessment of draft land categories

| Category | Policy reference | Regulatory effect | What it contains |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Category 1 - exempt | Section 60H of the LLS Act | Land that has been cleared (including significantly disturbed or modified) as at 1 January 1990 or lawfully cleared between 1 January 1990 and 25 August 2017. This is land where clearing native vegetation in rural areas does not require approval under the LLS Act, and does not need to comply with provisions relating to 'allowable activities' or any Land Management Code made under the LLS Act. Section 60H of the LLS Act Native vegetation regulatory map method statement 8 Category/overlay Regulatory effect. Other legislation and regulation may still apply on this land, e.g. development consent may be required under a Local Environmental Plan or a State Environmental Planning Policy. | Cleared land, as shown on the NSW State Vegetation Type Map |
| Category 2 – regulated land | Section 60I(2)(I) of the LLS Act LLS Act - Schedule 5A Land Management Code | Land where native vegetation clearing in rural areas requires approval under the LLS Act unless the clearing complies with the provisions relating to allowable activities or any Land Management Code made under the LLS Act. | Land containing habitat for threatened species and communities, as shown on NSW State Vegetation Type Map (and PCT species associations), BV Map and Bionet records |

Category 1 land is generally consistent with the area shown as PCT 0 (non-native) on Figure 4.1, while the remainder of lands represent Category 2 regulatory land.

4.2 Preliminary biodiversity assessment - overview

A preliminary assessment of biodiversity constraints has been conducted based on the results of the desktop review outlined in Section 3.1. Potential biodiversity constraints are discussed below in relation to native vegetation, threatened ecological communities (TEC) and threatened species.


4.3 Native vegetation

A total of five native Plant Community Types (PCTs) are predicted to occur in the project investigation area by the NSW SVTM. These include a range of wetland, open woodland, shrubland and mallee communities. There is also one non-native PCT (PCT 0).

PCTs mapped within project investigation area are shown in Table 4.2 and Figure 4.1.

 Table 4.2
 PCTs predicted in the project investigation area

| Plant community ID | Plant community name | Predicted in project investigation area? |
|--------------------|--|--|
| 0 | Not classified – Non-native vegetation | Yes |
| 15 | Black Box open woodland wetland with chenopod understorey mainly on the outer floodplains in south-western NSW (mainly Riverina Bioregion and Murray Darling Depression Bioregion) | Yes |
| 58 | Black Oak - Western Rosewood open woodland on deep sandy loams mainly in the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | Yes |
| 170 | Chenopod sandplain mallee woodland/shrubland of the arid and semi-arid (warm) zones | Yes |
| 171 | Spinifex linear dune mallee mainly of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | Yes |
| 254 | Black Oak - Bladder Saltbush on light clays in the arid zone | Yes |



4.4 Threatened ecological communities

Six threatened ecological communities (TECs) listed under the *NSW Biodiversity Conservation Act 2015* (BC Act) or EPBC Act were identified with the potential to occur within the project investigation area. The likelihood of these TECs being present within the project investigation area is summarised in Table 4.3. Of these, three are considered with a moderate to high likelihood of occurring in the project investigation area:

- Acacia loderi shrublands
- Acacia melvillei shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression bioregions
- Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion.

Table 4.3 Threatened Ecological Communities – Likelihood of Occurrence

| Threatened Ecological Community | | EPBC Act | Associated PCTs | Likelihood of occurrence in project investigation area | | |
|--|----|-------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Acacia loderi shrublands | Е | - | 58, 170 | Moderate . This community is known to occur in the South Olary Plain IBRA Subregion and is associated with two PCTs that occur within the project investigation area. | | |
| Acacia melvillei shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression bioregions | E | - | 58, 170 | High . This community is known to occur in the South Olary Plain IBRA Subregion and is recorded directly south of the project investigation area. | | |
| Artesian Springs Ecological Community in the Great Artesian Basin | CE | - | - | Nil . This TEC is restricted to the Great Artesian Basin region in north-western NSW, and therefore does not occur within the project investigation area. | | |
| Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | - | E | 170, 171 | High . This TEC is associated with mallee habitats mapped within the project investigation area. | | |
| Plains mallee box woodlands of the Murray Darling Depression, Riverina and Naracoorte Coastal Plain Bioregions | - | CE | 170 | Low . This TEC primarily occurs in a region south of the project investigation area. Similarly, surveys for the nearby Euston Wind Farm found areas of PCT 170 did not meet diagnostic criteria for the TEC, and therefore it is unlikely to occur in the project investigation area. | | |
| Allocasuarina luehmannii (Buloke) Woodlands of the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregions | E | E | - | Low. The community is known from the South Olary Plain IBRA in which the project is located but no associated PCTs are predicted to occur within the project investigation area. | | |

E = Endangered, CE = Critically Endangered

4.5 Threatened and migratory species

In total, 104 threatened and migratory species listed under the BC Act or EPBC Act were identified with potential to occur with 10 km of the project investigation area, including 20 plants, 51 birds, 6 fish, 13 mammals, 12 reptiles and 2 frogs.

Of these species, 36 (2 flora and 34 fauna) species are known to occur on or nearby the project investigation area, according to NSW Bionet Atlas database records. These species are listed in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Threatened species known to occur in the project locality

| Species | Conservation status (BC Act) | Conservation status (EPBC Act) | Class of credit |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Bardick (Echiopsis curta) | Endangered | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Bitter Quandong (Santalum murrayanum) | Endangered | Not listed | Species |
| Black Falcon (Falco subniger) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Black-breasted Buzzard (Hamirostra melanosternon) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Species/ecosystem |
| Bolam's Mouse (<i>Pseudomys bolami</i>) | Endangered | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Chestnut Quail-thrush (Cinclosoma castanotum) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Crowned Gecko (Lucasium stenodactylum) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Species |
| Harrow Wattle (Acacia acanthoclada) | Endangered | Not listed | Species |
| Hooded Robin (Melanodryas cucullata cucullata) | Vulnerable | Endangered | Ecosystem |
| Gilbert's Whistler (Pachycephala inornata) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | Ecosystem |
| Inland Forest Bat (Vespadelus baverstocki) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Jeweled Gecko (Strophurus elderi) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Little Eagle (Hieraeetus morphnoides) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Species/ecosystem |
| Little Pied Bat (<i>Chalinolobus picatus</i>) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (Lophochroa leadbeateri) | Vulnerable | Endangered | Species/ecosystem |
| Mallee Worm Lizard (<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>) | Endangered | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>) | Endangered | Vulnerable | Ecosystem |
| Marble-faced Delma (<i>Delma australis</i>) | Endangered | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Painted Honeyeater (Grantiella picta) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | Ecosystem |
| Pied Honeyeater (Certhionyx variegatus) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Purple-gaped Honeyeater (Lichenostomus cratitius) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Redthroat (Pyrrholaemus brunneus) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Regent Parrot (Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides) | Endangered | Vulnerable | Species/ecosystem |
| Scarlet-chested Parrot (Neophema splendida) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Southern Ningaui (Ningaui yvonneae) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| South-eastern long eared bat (Nyctophilus corbeni) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | Ecosystem |
| Southern Scrub-robin (<i>Drymodes brunneopygia</i>) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Southern Whiteface (Aphelocephala leucopsis) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | Not yet determined |
| Spotted Harrier (Circus assimilis) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Square-tailed Kite (<i>Lophoictinia isura</i>) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Species/ecosystem |

Table 4.4 Threatened species known to occur in the project locality

| Species | Conservation status (BC Act) | Conservation status (EPBC Act) | Class of credit |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Varied Sitella (Daphoenositta chrysoptera) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Western Blue-tongued Lizard (Tiliqua occipitalis) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| Western Pygmy Possum (Cercartetus concinnus) | Endangered | Not listed | Ecosystem |
| White-bellied Sea-eagle (Haliaeetus leucogaster) | Vulnerable | Marine | Species/ecosystem |
| Yellow-tailed Plain Slider (<i>Lerista xanthura</i>) | Vulnerable | Not listed | Ecosystem |

4.6 Candidate entities for serious and irreversible impacts

No candidate entity for serious and irreversible impacts (SAII) under the BC Act have been recorded within the locality, with the nearest mapped SAII species or communities shown on Figure 2.1. *Allocasuarina luehmannii* (Buloke) Woodland in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregions is an SAII entity, but as addressed in Table 4.3, is unlikely to occur within the project investigation area.

4.7 Key fish habitat and aquatic species


There are no key fish habitats or threatened aquatic species predicted by the Fisheries NSW Spatial Data Portal (https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/fishing/fisheries-research/spatial-data-portal) to occur within and in proximity to the project investigation area.

The PMST predicted six threatened fish species may occur within 10 km of the project investigation area (Table 4.5). Of these species, two have a low potential to occur in the project investigation area.

Table 4.5 Threatened fish species – Likelihood of Occurrence

| Threatened fish species | FM Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of occurrence |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Flathead Galaxias (<i>Galaxias</i> rostratus) | Critically Endangered | Critically Endangered | Low. Occurs in slow-flowing lowland rivers and wetlands, which are ephemeral in the project investigation area. |
| Murray Hardhead (Caterocephals fluviatilis) | Critically Endangered | Endangered | Low. Occurs in lowland reaches of the Murray River and tributaries. |
| Murray Cod (<i>Maccullochella</i> peelii) | Not listed | Vulnerable | Nil. May occur given the species wide distribution and habitat requirements, however, would require deep pools >5 m and snags to occur which are not found within the project investigation area. |
| Silver Perch (Bidyanus bidyanus) | Vulnerable | Critically Endangered | Nil. The species requires fast-flowing upland streams which do not occur in the project investigation area. |
| Macquarie Perch (Macquaria australasica) | Endangered | Endangered | Nil. This is an upland species, while the project is in a lowland area. |
| Trout Cod (Maccullochella macquariensis) | Endangered | Endangered | Nil. The species requires fast-flowing streams with rocky and gravel bottoms which do not occur in the project investigation area. |

Potential fish habitat within the project investigation area is limited to a small, ephemeral first order drainage line (Figure 4.2). Flooding events may provide temporary habitat for threatened fish species within the project investigation area.



Strahler stream order

Gol Gol BESS Preliminary Biodiversity Assessment Figure 4.2



5 Potential impacts

5.1 Potential impacts to TECs

Potential impacts on TECs likely to be present in the project investigation area are outlined in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Potential TEC impacts

| TEC | Associated PCTs | Potential impacts |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Acacia loderi shrublands | 58, 170 | Permanent removal, loss of habitat, fragmentation |
| Acacia melvillei shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression bioregions | 58, 170 | Permanent removal, loss of habitat, fragmentation |
| Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | 170, 171 | Permanent removal, loss of habitat, fragmentation, turbine strike |

5.2 Potential impacts to threatened species

The preliminary likelihood of occurrence for threatened species was assessed. Potential impacts on threatened taxa likely to occur in the project investigation area are outlined in Table 5.2.

 Table 5.2
 Potential threatened species impacts

| Threatened taxa | Threatened species | Potential impacts |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Hollow-dependent birds | Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (Lophochroa leadbeateri), Regent Parrot (Polytelis antopeplus monarchoides), Scarlet-chested Parrot (Neophema splendida) | Loss of hollow-bearing trees, loss of breeding and foraging habitat |
| Threatened birds | Chestnut Quail-thrush (<i>Cinclosoma castanotum</i>), Hooded Robin (<i>Melanodryas cucullata cucullata</i>), Gilbert's Whistler (<i>Pachycephala inornata</i>), Malleefowl (<i>Leipoa ocellata</i>), Painted Honeyeater (<i>Grantiella picta</i>), Pied Honeyeater (<i>Certhionyx variegatus</i>), Purple-gaped Honeyeater (<i>Lichenostomus cratitius</i>), Redthroat (<i>Pyrrholaemus brunneus</i>), Southern Scrub-robin (<i>Drymodes brunneopygia</i>), Southern Whiteface (<i>Aphelocephala leucopsis</i>), Varied Sitella (<i>Daphoenositta chrysoptera</i>) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on woodland, shrubland and mallee vegetation. |
| Threatened ecological communities | Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion; Acacia loderi shrublands, Acacia melvillei Shrublands in the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregion | Potential direct and indirect impacts on PCTs 58, 170 and 171 |
| Threatened mammals | Bolam's Mouse (<i>Pseudomys bolami</i>), Southern Ningaui (<i>Ningaui yvonneae</i>), Western Pygmy Possum (<i>Cercartetus concinnus</i>) | Habitat loss and fragmentation |
| Threatened plants | Bitter Quandong (<i>Santalum murrayanum</i>) and Harrow Wattle (<i>Acacia acanthoclada</i>) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on specimens of this species through vegetation clearing or long term disturbance such as dust from vehicles. |

 Table 5.2
 Potential threatened species impacts

| Threatened taxa | Threatened species | Potential impacts |
|----------------------|---|---|
| Threatened microbats | Corben's Long-eared Bat (<i>Nyctophilus corbeni</i>), Little Pied Bat (<i>Chalinolobus picatus</i>), Inland Forest Bat (<i>Vespadelus baverstocki</i>), South-eastern long eared bat (<i>Nyctophilus corbeni</i>) | Loss of hollow-bearing trees, loss of breeding and foraging habitat |
| Threatened raptors | Black Falcon (Falco subniger), Black-breasted Buzzard (Hamirostra melanosternon), Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos), Little Eagle (Hiteraeetus morphnoides), Spotted Harrier (Circus assimilis), Square-tailed Kite (Lophoictinia isura), White-bellied Sea-eagle (Hieraeetus mophnoides) | Loss of mature trees, loss of breeding and hunting habitat |
| Threatened reptiles | Bardick (<i>Echiopsis curta</i>), Crowned Gecko (<i>Lucasium stenodactylum</i>), Jeweled Gecko (<i>Strophurus elderi</i>), Mallee Worm Lizard (<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>), Marble-faced Delma (<i>Delma australis</i>), Western Blue-tongued Lizard (<i>Tiliqua occipitalis</i>) and Yellow-tailed Plain Slider (<i>Lerista xanthura</i>) | Habitat loss and fragmentation |

5.3 Potential impacts to candidate entities for SAII

As detailed in Section 4.6, no SAII entities are considered likely to occur within the project investigation area and therefore impacts are considered unlikely.

5.4 Summary of potential impacts on MNES

If present in the development corridor, the project has potential to result in the following impacts on MNES (Table 5.3).

Table 5.3 Potential MNES impacts

| MNES | Threatened biodiversity | Potential impacts |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Threatened ecological communities | Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | Potential impacts on mallee-dependent bird species in PCTs 170 and 171. |
| Threatened plants | Purple-wood Wattle (Acacia carneorum), A saltbush (Atriplex infrequens), A Spear-grass (Austrostipa metatoris), Mossgiel Daisy (Brachyscome papillosa), A burr-daisy (Calotis moorei), Winged Peppercress (Lepidium monoplocoides), Menindee Nightshade (Solanum karsense), Slender Darling Pea (Swainsona murrayana), Yellow Swainson-pea (Swainsona pyrophila) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on woodland, wetland, shrubland and mallee vegetation. |

Table 5.3Potential MNES impacts

| MNES | Threatened biodiversity | Potential impacts |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| Threatened birds | Murray Mallee striated grasswren (Amytornis striatus howei), Southern Whiteface (Aphelocephala leucopsis), Australasian Bittern (Botaurus poiciloptilus) Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (Calidris acuminata), Curlew Sandpiper (Calidris ferruginea), Grey Falcon (Falco hypoleucos), Latham's Snipe (Gallinago hardwickii), Painted Honeyeater (Grantiella picta), Swift Parrot (Lathamus discolor), Malleefowl (Leipoa ocellata), Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (Lophochroa leadbeateri), Black-eared Miner (Manorina melanotis), Red-lored Whistler (Pachycephala rufogularis), Plains-wanderer (Pedionomus torquatus), Regent Parrot (eastern subspecies) (Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides), Australian Painted Snipe (Rostratula australis), Mallee Emu-wren (Stipiturus mallee), Common Greenshank (Tringa nebularia) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on woodland, wetland, shrubland and mallee vegetation. |
| Migratory birds | Common Sandpiper (<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>), Fork-tailed Swift (<i>Apus pacificus</i>), Sharp-tailed Sandpiper (<i>Calidris acuminata</i>), Pectoral Sandpiper (<i>Calidris melanotos</i>), Latham's Snipe (<i>Gallinago hardwickii</i>), Yellow Wagtail (<i>Motacilla flava</i>), Common Greenshank (<i>Tringa nebularia</i>) | Potential indirect and direct impacts to mallee and woodland habitat and indirect impacts to limited wetland habitat within project investigation area. |
| Threatened mammals | South-eastern long eared bat (Nyctophilus corbeni) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on woodland, shrubland and mallee vegetation. |
| Threatened amphibians | Sloane's Froglet (<i>Crinia sloanei</i>), Southern Bell Frog (<i>Litoria raniformis</i>) | Potential direct and indirect impacts on limited habitat within project investigation area. |
| Threatened reptiles | Grey Snake (Hemiaspis damelii) | Potential direct impacts on limited habitat within project investigation area. |
| Threatened fish | Flathead Galaxias (<i>Galaxias rostratus</i>), Macquarie Perch (<i>Macquaria australasica</i>), Murray Cod (<i>Maccullochella peelii</i>), Macquarie Perch (<i>Macquaria australasica</i>), Murray Hardyhead (<i>Craterocephalus fluviatilis</i>), Silver Perch (<i>Bidyanus bidyanus</i>), Trout Cod (<i>Maccullochella macquariensis</i>) | Potential direct and indirect impacts to limited habitat within project investigation area. |

6 Assessment requirements

As the project will be assessed under Part 4 Division 4.7 of the EP&A Act, an assessment in accordance with the Biodiversity Assessment Method (DPIE, 2020) and the preparation of a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) is required. The following key tasks would be completed during the BDAR:

- validate and refine the State Vegetation Type Map, and delineate into vegetation zones
- conduct vegetation integrity plots
- revise Bionet threatened species search radius to 20 km, to identify any additional threatened species from surrounding conservation reserves
- conduct field-based threatened species habitat assessment
- generate a list of candidate species for further assessment, and conduct targeted surveys for those candidate 'species credit' species, where a habitat constraint and or suitable microhabitats are present
- conduct targeted surveys (if required) for MNES
- conduct BAM calculations and prepare BDAR for lodgement.

An aquatic habitat assessment for fish species listed under the FM Act and EPBC Act (Section 4.7) and classification of waterways in the project investigation area for fish passage may be required.

As the project has potential to impact MNES, a referral will be lodged with DCCEEW Commonwealth. The referral would address the MNES outlined in Section 5.4 and any other issues deemed relevant by DCCEEW Commonwealth. As the project will likely use the bilateral assessment, SEARs would be issued by DPHI with supplementary environmental assessment requirements provided by DCCEEW Commonwealth, if required.

References

Department of Planning and Environment. (2022). NSW State Vegetation Type Map.

Department of Planning and Environment. (2023). Biodiversity Values Map.

DPIE. (2020). Biodiversity Assessment Method. Sydney: Department of Planning, Industry and Environment.

WSP. (2020). *EnergyConnect (NSW – Western Section) - Technical paper 1 – Biodiversity development assessment report.* Newcastle: TransGrid.

WSP. (2022). EnergyConnect (NSW - Eastern Section) Technical Paper 1 - Biodiversity Development Assessment Report. Sydney: Transgrid.

Appendix A Database search results



Data from the BioNet Atlas website, which holds records from a number of custodians. The data are only indicative and cannot be considered a comprehensive inventory, and may contain errors and omissions. Species listed under the Sensitive Species Data Policy may have their locations denatured (^ rounded to 0.1°C; ^^ rounded to 0.01°C. Copyright the State of NSW through the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment. Search criteria: Public Report of all Valid Records of Threatened (listed on BC Act 2016)

"Commonwealth listed "Protected "CAMBA listed or ROKAMBA listed Entities in selected area [North: -34.04 West: 142.18 East: 142.31 South: -34.14] returned a total of 498 records of 157 species.


Report generated on 21/03/2024 10:21 AM

| Kingdom | Class | Family | Species Code | Scientific Name | Exotic | Common Name | NSW status | Comm. status | Records | Info |
|----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|------|
| Animalia | Amphibia | Myobatrachidae | 3131 | Crinia parinsignifera | | Eastern Sign-bearing Froglet | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Limnodynastidae | 3058 | Limnodynastes dumerilii | | Eastern Banjo Frog | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Limnodynastidae | 3059 | Limnodynastes fletcheri | | Long-thumbed Frog | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Limnodynastidae | 3063 | Limnodynastes tasmaniensis | | Spotted Grass Frog | Р | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Limnodynastidae | 3086 | Neobatrachus sudellae | | Sudell's Frog | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Hylidae | 3204 | Litoria peronii | | Peron's Tree Frog | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Amphibia | Hylidae | 3207 | Litoria raniformis | | Southern Bell Frog | E1,P | V | 1 | i |
| Animalia | Reptilia | Scincidae | 2583 | Tiliqua rugosa | | Shingle-back | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Casuariidae | 0001 | Dromaius novaehollandiae | | Emu | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0210 | Anas castanea | | Chestnut Teal | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0211 | Anas gracilis | | Grey Teal | Р | | 17 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0212 | Anas rhynchotis | | Australasian Shoveler | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0208 | Anas superciliosa | | Pacific Black Duck | Р | | 12 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0215 | Aythya australis | | Hardhead | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0217 | Biziura lobata | | Musk Duck | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0202 | Chenonetta jubata | | Australian Wood Duck | Р | | 8 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0203 | Cygnus atratus | | Black Swan | Р | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0213 | Malacorhynchus membranaceus | | Pink-eared Duck | Р | | 3 | _ |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0214 | Stictonetta naevosa | | Freckled Duck | V,P | | 1 | i |
| Animalia | Aves | Anatidae | 0207 | Tadorna tadornoides | | Australian Shelduck | Р | | 14 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Podicipedidae | 0060 | Podiceps cristatus | | Great Crested Grebe | P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Podicipedidae | 0062 | Poliocephalus poliocephalus | | Hoary-headed Grebe | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Podicipedidae | 0061 | Tachybaptus novaehollandiae | | Australasian Grebe | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Columbidae | 0043 | Ocyphaps lophotes | | Crested Pigeon | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Columbidae | 0034 | Phaps chalcoptera | | Common Bronzewing | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Podargidae | 0313 | Podargus strigoides | | Tawny Frogmouth | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Aegothelidae | 0317 | Aegotheles cristatus | | Australian Owlet-nightjar | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Anhingidae | 8731 | Anhinga novaehollandiae | | Australasian Darter | P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Phalacrocoracida e | 0100 | Microcarbo melanoleucos | | Little Pied Cormorant | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Phalacrocoracida e | 0096 | Phalacrocorax carbo | | Great Cormorant | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Phalacrocoracida e | 0097 | Phalacrocorax sulcirostris | | Little Black Cormorant | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Phalacrocoracida e | 0099 | Phalacrocorax varius | | Pied Cormorant | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pelecanidae | 0106 | Pelecanus conspicillatus | | Australian Pelican | Р | | 10 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0186 | Ardea intermedia | | Intermediate Egret | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0189 | Ardea pacifica | | White-necked Heron | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0977 | Bubulcus ibis | | Cattle Egret | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 8712 | Casmerodius modesta | | Eastern Great Egret | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0185 | Egretta garzetta | | Little Egret | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0188 | Egretta novaehollandiae | | White-faced Heron | Р | | 8 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 8703 | lxobrychus dubius | | Australian Little Bittern | Р | | 18 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Ardeidae | 0192 | Nycticorax caledonicus | | Nankeen Night Heron | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Threskiornithidae | 0182 | Platalea flavipes | | Yellow-billed Spoonbill | Р | | 7 | |

| Animalia | Aves | Threskiornithidae | 0181 | Platalea regia | Royal Spoonbill | Р | | 1 | |
|----------|----------|-------------------|------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----|---|
| Animalia | Aves | Threskiornithidae | 0178 | Plegadis falcinellus | Glossy Ibis | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Threskiornithidae | 0179 | Threskiornis moluccus | Australian White Ibis | Р | | 14 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Threskiornithidae | 0180 | Threskiornis spinicollis | Straw-necked Ibis | Р | | 10 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0222 | Accipiter cirrocephalus | Collared Sparrowhawk | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0221 | Accipiter fasciatus | Brown Goshawk | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0224 | Aquila audax | Wedge-tailed Eagle | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0219 | Circus approximans | Swamp Harrier | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0218 | Circus assimilis | Spotted Harrier | V,P | | 1 | i |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0226 | Haliaeetus leucogaster | White-bellied Sea-Eagle | V,P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0228 | Haliastur sphenurus | Whistling Kite | Р | | 9 | _ |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0225 | Hieraaetus morphnoides | Little Eagle | V,P | | 1 | i |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0230 | ^^Lophoictinia isura | Square-tailed Kite | V,P,3 | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Accipitridae | 0229 | Milvus migrans | Black Kite | Р | | 4 | _ |
| Animalia | Aves | Falconidae | 0240 | Falco cenchroides | Nankeen Kestrel | P | | 9 | |
| | | | | cenchroides | | | | | |
| Animalia | Aves | Falconidae | 0235 | Falco longipennis | Australian Hobby | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Falconidae | 0237 | Falco peregrinus | Peregrine Falcon | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0059 | Fulica atra | Eurasian Coot | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0056 | Gallinula tenebrosa | Dusky Moorhen | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0046 | Hypotaenidia philippensis | Buff-banded Rail | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0058 | Porphyrio porphyrio | Purple Swamphen | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0049 | Porzana fluminea | Australian Spotted Crake | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0050 | Porzana pusilla | Baillon's Crake | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rallidae | 0055 | Tribonyx ventralis | Black-tailed Native-hen | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Recurvirostridae | 0146 | Himantopus himantopus | Black-winged Stilt | Р | | 7 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Recurvirostridae | 0148 | Recurvirostra novaehollandiae | Red-necked Avocet | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Charadriidae | 0144 | Elseyornis melanops | Black-fronted Dotterel | Р | | 7 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Charadriidae | 0132 | Erythrogonys cinctus | Red-kneed Dotterel | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Charadriidae | 0133 | Vanellus miles | Masked Lapwing | Р | | 9 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rostratulidae | 0170 | Rostratula australis | Australian Painted Snipe | E1,P | Е | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Scolopacidae | 0163 | Calidris acuminata | Sharp-tailed Sandpiper | Р | C,J,K | 1 | 1 |
| Animalia | Aves | Scolopacidae | 0161 | Calidris ferruginea | Curlew Sandpiper | E1,P | CE,C,J,K | 1 | • |
| Animalia | Aves | Scolopacidae | 0158 | Tringa nebularia | Common Greenshank | Р | C,J,K | 5 | 1 |
| Animalia | Aves | Scolopacidae | 0159 | Tringa stagnatilis | Marsh Sandpiper | Р | C,J,K | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Laridae | 0110 | Chlidonias hybrida | Whiskered Tern | P | O,J,K | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Laridae | 0110 | Chroicocephalus | Silver Gull | P | | 9 | |
| A i 1! | A | Lavida | 0444 | novaehollandiae | Out hills of Town | D | 0 | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Laridae | 0111 | Gelochelidon nilotica | Gull-billed Tern | P | С | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Laridae | 0112 | Hydroprogne caspia | Caspian Tern | Р | J | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Cacatuidae | 0272 | Cacatua tenuirostris | Long-billed Corella | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Cacatuidae | 0273 | Eolophus roseicapilla | Galah | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Cacatuidae | 0274 | Nymphicus hollandicus | Cockatiel | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Psittacidae | 0294 | Barnardius zonarius | Australian Ringneck | Р | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Psittacidae | 0291 | Barnardius zonarius barnardi | [Mallee Ringneck] | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Psittacidae | 0297 | Northiella haematogaster | Blue Bonnet | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Psittacidae | 0295 | Psephotus haematonotus | Red-rumped Parrot | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Psittacidae | 0296 | Psephotus varius | Mulga Parrot | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Cuculidae | 0342 | Chalcites basalis | Horsfield's Bronze-Cuckoo | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Strigidae | 9922 | Ninox novaeseelandiae | Southern Boobook | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Tytonidae | 9923 | Tyto javanica | Eastern Barn Owl | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Alcedinidae | 0322 | Dacelo novaeguineae | Laughing Kookaburra | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Alcedinidae | 0325 | Todiramphus pyrrhopygius | Red-backed Kingfisher | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Alcedinidae | 0326 | Todiramphus sanctus | Sacred Kingfisher | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meropidae | 0329 | Merops ornatus | Rainbow Bee-eater | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Climacteridae | 8127 | Climacteris picumnus | Brown Treecreeper (eastern | V,P | V | 1 | • |
| | | | | victoriae | subspecies) | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Animalia | Aves | Maluridae | 0535 | Malurus leucopterus | White-winged Fairy-wren | Р | | 3 | |
|----------|----------|-----------------|------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|----|---|
| Animalia | Aves | Maluridae | 0533 | Malurus splendens | Splendid Fairy-wren | P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0476 | Acanthiza apicalis | Inland Thornbill | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0476 | Acanthiza chrysorrhoa | Yellow-rumped Thornbill | P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0484 | Acanthiza reguloides | Buff-rumped Thornbill | P | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0484 | Acanthiza uropygialis | Chestnut-rumped Thornbill | P | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0466 | Aphelocephala leucopsis | Southern Whiteface | V,P | ٧ | _ | • |
| Animalia | Aves | Acanthizidae | 0465 | Smicrornis brevirostris | Weebill | v,r P | V | 8 | i |
| | | Pardalotidae | 0566 | | [Yellow-rumped Pardalote] | P | | | |
| Animalia | Aves | Faruatottuae | 0300 | Pardalotus punctatus xanthopyge | [Tellow-Tulliped Falualole] | r | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pardalotidae | 0976 | Pardalotus striatus | Striated Pardalote | Р | | 8 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0640 | Acanthagenys rufogularis | Spiny-cheeked Honeyeater | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0638 | Anthochaera carunculata | Red Wattlebird | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0608 | Gavicalis virescens | Singing Honeyeater | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0635 | Manorina flavigula | Yellow-throated Miner | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0634 | Manorina melanocephala | Noisy Miner | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0583 | Melithreptus brevirostris | Brown-headed Honeyeater | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0617 | Nesoptilotis leucotis | White-eared Honeyeater | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0585 | Plectorhyncha lanceolata | Striped Honeyeater | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0622 | Ptilotula ornata | Yellow-plumed Honeyeater | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0625 | Ptilotula penicillata | White-plumed Honeyeater | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Meliphagidae | 0594 | Purnella albifrons | White-fronted Honeyeater | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pomatostomidae | 0446 | Pomatostomus ruficeps | Chestnut-crowned Babbler | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pomatostomidae | 0445 | Pomatostomus | White-browed Babbler | Р | | 2 | |
| | | | | superciliosus | | | | | |
| Animalia | Aves | Campephagidae | 0424 | Coracina novaehollandiae | Black-faced Cuckoo-shrike | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Campephagidae | 0430 | Lalage sueurii | White-winged Triller | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Oreoicidae | 0419 | Oreoica gutturalis | Crested Bellbird | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pachycephalidae | 0408 | Colluricincla harmonica | Grey Shrike-thrush | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Pachycephalidae | 0401 | Pachycephala rufiventris | Rufous Whistler | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0544 | Artamus personatus | Masked Woodswallow | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0545 | Artamus superciliosus | White-browed Woodswallow | Р | | 5 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0700 | Cracticus nigrogularis | Pied Butcherbird | Р | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0702 | Cracticus torquatus | Grey Butcherbird | Р | | 6 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0705 | Gymnorhina tibicen | Australian Magpie | Р | | 7 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Artamidae | 0697 | Strepera versicolor | Grey Currawong | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Rhipiduridae | 0364 | Rhipidura leucophrys | Willie Wagtail | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Corvidae | 0930 | Corvus coronoides | Australian Raven | Р | | 11 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Corvidae | 0954 | Corvus mellori | Little Raven | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Corvidae | 9067 | Corvus sp. | Unidentified Corvid | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Monarchidae | 0415 | Grallina cyanoleuca | Magpie-lark | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Monarchidae | 9955 | Myiagra inquieta | Restless Flycatcher | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Corcoracidae | 0693 | Corcorax melanorhamphos | White-winged Chough | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Corcoracidae | 0675 | Struthidea cinerea | Apostlebird | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Petroicidae | 0441 | Drymodes brunneopygia | Southern Scrub-robin | V,P | | 1 | i |
| Animalia | Aves | Petroicidae | 0377 | Microeca fascinans | Jacky Winter | Р | | 1 | _ |
| Animalia | Aves | Petroicidae | 0381 | Petroica goodenovii | Red-capped Robin | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Acrocephalidae | 0524 | Acrocephalus australis | Australian Reed-Warbler | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Locustellidae | 0509 | Cincloramphus mathewsi | Rufous Songlark | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Locustellidae | 0522 | Poodytes gramineus | Little Grassbird | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Hirundinidae | 0358 | Cheramoeca leucosterna | White-backed Swallow | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Hirundinidae | 0357 | Hirundo neoxena | Welcome Swallow | Р | | 2 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Hirundinidae | 0359 | Petrochelidon nigricans | Tree Martin | Р | | 3 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Dicaeidae | 0564 | Dicaeum hirundinaceum | Mistletoebird | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Aves | Motacillidae | 0647 | Anthus novaeseelandiae | Australian Pipit | Р | | 1 | |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Dasyuridae | 1008 | Dasyurus maculatus | Spotted-tailed Quoll | V,P | E | 1 | • |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Dasyuridae | 1061 | Sminthopsis murina | Common Dunnart | P | | 1 | 1 |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Macropodidae | 1263 | Macropus fuliginosus | Western Grey Kangaroo | Р | | 4 | |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Molossidae | 1324 | Austronomus australis | White-striped Freetail-bat | Р | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Animalia | Mammalia | Molossidae | 1946 | Ozimops petersi | | Р | 1 |
|----------|----------|------------------|------|----------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| Animalia | Mammalia | Vespertilionidae | 1349 | Chalinolobus gouldii | Gould's Wattled Bat | Р | 1 |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Vespertilionidae | 1362 | Scotorepens greyii | Little Broad-nosed Bat | Р | 1 |
| Animalia | Mammalia | Vespertilionidae | 1379 | Vespadelus vulturnus | Little Forest Bat | Р | 1 |



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected. Please see the caveat for interpretation of information provided here.

Report created: 21-Mar-2024

Summary

Details

Matters of NES
Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act
Extra Information

Caveat

Acknowledgements

Summary

Matters of National Environment Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the <u>Administrative Guidelines on Significance</u>.

| World Heritage Properties: | None |
|--|------|
| National Heritage Places: | None |
| Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar | 3 |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | None |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | 2 |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 36 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 8 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/parks-heritage/heritage

A <u>permit</u> may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| Commonwealth Lands: | 2 |
|---|------|
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | None |
| Listed Marine Species: | 15 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | None |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | None |
| Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles: | None |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have

| State and Territory Reserves: | 3 |
|---|------|
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | 1 |
| EPBC Act Referrals: | 9 |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine): | None |
| Biologically Important Areas: | None |
| Bioregional Assessments: | None |
| Geological and Bioregional Assessments: | None |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

| Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Wetlands) | | [Resource Information] |
|--|---|--------------------------|
| Ramsar Site Name | Proximity | Buffer Status |
| Banrock station wetland complex | 150 - 200km upstream from Ramsar site | In feature area |
| Riverland | 100 - 150km upstream from Ramsar site | In feature area |
| The coorong, and lakes alexandrina and albert wetland | 200 - 300km upstream from Ramsar site | In feature area |

Listed Threatened Ecological Communities

[Resource Information]

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Status of Vulnerable, Disallowed and Ineligible are not MNES under the EPBC Act.

| Community Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Buloke Woodlands of the Riverina and Murray-Darling Depression Bioregions | Endangered | Community may occu within area | urIn feature area |
| Mallee Bird Community of the Murray Darling Depression Bioregion | Endangered | Community likely to occur within area | In feature area |

Listed Threatened Species

[Resource Information]

Status of Conservation Dependent and Extinct are not MNES under the EPBC Act. Number is the current name ID.

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|---|---------------------|---|-----------------|
| BIRD | | | |
| Amytornis striatus howei | | | |
| Murray Mallee Striated Grasswren, Striated Grasswren (sandplain) [91648] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Aphelocephala leucopsis Southern Whiteface [529] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------|
| Botaurus poiciloptilus Australasian Bittern [1001] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Gallinago hardwickii Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe [863] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Grantiella picta Painted Honeyeater [470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| <u>Lathamus discolor</u> Swift Parrot [744] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| <u>Leipoa ocellata</u> Malleefowl [934] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Lophochroa leadbeateri leadbeateri Major Mitchell's Cockatoo (eastern), Eastern Major Mitchell's Cockatoo, Pink Cockatoo (eastern) [82926] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Manorina melanotis Black-eared Miner [449] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Melanodryas cucullata cucullata South-eastern Hooded Robin, Hooded Robin (south-eastern) [67093] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|--|-----------------------|--|---------------------|
| Neophema chrysostoma Blue-winged Parrot [726] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Pedionomus torquatus Plains-wanderer [906] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides Regent Parrot (eastern) [59612] | Vulnerable | Breeding likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Stagonopleura guttata Diamond Firetail [59398] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Stipiturus mallee Mallee Emu-wren [59459] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In buffer area only |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| FISH | | | |
| Bidyanus bidyanus Silver Perch, Bidyan [76155] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In buffer area only |
| Craterocephalus fluviatilis Murray Hardyhead [56791] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Galaxias rostratus Flathead Galaxias, Beaked Minnow, Flat-headed Galaxias, Flat-headed Jollytail, Flat-headed Minnow [84745] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Maccullochella macquariensis Trout Cod [26171] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In buffer area only |

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|---|---------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Maccullochella peelii Murray Cod [66633] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Macquaria australasica Macquarie Perch [66632] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In buffer area only |
| FROG <u>Litoria raniformis</u> Southern Bell Frog,, Growling Grass Frog, Green and Golden Frog, Warty Swamp Frog, Golden Bell Frog [1828] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| MAMMAL | | | |
| Nyctophilus corbeni Corben's Long-eared Bat, South-eastern Long-eared Bat [83395] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Phascolarctos cinereus (combined popul | ations of Old. NSW and th | ne ACT) | |
| Koala (combined populations of Queensland, New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory) [85104] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| PLANT | | | |
| Lepidium monoplocoides | | | |
| Winged Pepper-cress [9190] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Myriophyllum porcatum | | | |
| Ridged Water-milfoil [19919] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In buffer area only |
| Pterostylis xerophila Desert Greenhood [7997] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In buffer area only |
| Solanum karsense Menindee Nightshade [7776] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Swainsona murrayana Slender Darling-pea, Slender Swainson, Murray Swainson-pea [6765] | Vulnerable | Species or species | In buffer area only |

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|---|--|--|----------------------|
| Swainsona pyrophila Yellow Swainson-pea [56344] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| REPTILE | | | |
| Hemiaspis damelii Grey Snake [1179] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Listed Migratory Species | | [Res | source Information 1 |
| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
| Migratory Marine Birds | ······································ | | |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |
| Migratory Terrestrial Species | | | |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos | | | |
| Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris acuminata | | | |
| Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris ferruginea | | | |
| Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris melanotos | | | |
| Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Gallinago hardwickii | | | |
| Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe [863] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Tringa nebularia | | | |
| Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | In feature area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Lands [Resource Information]

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

| Commonwealth Land Name | State | Buffer Status |
|---|-----------|---------------------|
| Communications, Information Technology and the Arts - Telstra Corporation | n Limited | |
| Commonwealth Land - Australian Telecommunications Corporation [16073] |] NSW | In buffer area only |

| Defence | | |
|--|-----|---------------------|
| Defence - KAIRIVU BARRACKS - MILDURA [20998] | VIC | In buffer area only |

| Listed Marine Species | | [Res | source Information |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
| Bird | | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area | In feature area |
| Apus pacificus | | | |
| Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Bubulcus ibis as Ardea ibis | | | |
| Cattle Egret [66521] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Calidris acuminata | | | |
| Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Calidris ferruginea | | | |
| Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Calidris melanotos | | | |
| Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |

| Scientific Name | Threatened Category | Presence Text | Buffer Status |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Chalcites osculans as Chrysococcyx osc Black-eared Cuckoo [83425] | <u>culans</u> | Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Gallinago hardwickii Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe [863] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | In feature area |
| Lathamus discolor Swift Parrot [744] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Neophema chrysostoma Blue-winged Parrot [726] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Rostratula australis as Rostratula bengh Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | alensis (sensu lato) Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area | In feature area |

Extra Information

| State and Territory Reserves | | | [Resource Information] |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Protected Area Name | Reserve Type | State | Buffer Status |
| Kings Billabong Park | Conservation Park | VIC | In buffer area only |
| River Murray Reserve | Natural Features Reserve | VIC | In buffer area only |
| Southern Mallee | NRS Addition - Gazettal in Progress | NSW | In buffer area only |

| Nationally Important Wetlands | | [Resource Information] |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Wetland Name | State | Buffer Status |
| Kings Billabong Wetlands | VIC | In buffer area only |

| EPBC Act Referrals | | | [Resou | rce Information] |
|--|------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Title of referral | Reference | Referral Outcome | Assessment Status | Buffer Status |
| EnergyConnect NSW - Eastern Section | 2020/8766 | | Post-Approval | In feature area |
| Mallee Wind Farm | 2023/09500 | | Assessment | In buffer area only |
| Controlled action | | | | |
| Electricity Transmission Line | 2001/380 | Controlled Action | Completed | In feature area |
| EnergyConnect NSW - Western Section | 2020/8673 | Controlled Action | Post-Approval | In feature area |
| Great Darling Anabranch - pipeline construction and environmental water flow ma | 2004/1319 | Controlled Action | Post-Approval | In feature area |
| Not controlled action | | | | |
| Conversion of the North Western Victoria rail system from broad gauge to standar | 2002/657 | Not Controlled Action | Completed | In buffer area only |
| Improving rabbit biocontrol: releasing another strain of RHDV, sthrn two thirds of Australia | 2015/7522 | Not Controlled Action | Completed | In feature area |
| INDIGO Central Submarine Telecommunications Cable | 2017/8127 | Not Controlled Action | Completed | In feature area |
| Not controlled action (particular manne | er) | | | |
| INDIGO Marine Cable Route Survey (INDIGO) | 2017/7996 | Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner) | Post-Approval | In feature area |

Caveat

1 PURPOSE

This report is designed to assist in identifying the location of matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and other matters protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) which may be relevant in determining obligations and requirements under the EPBC Act.

The report contains the mapped locations of:

- World and National Heritage properties;
- Wetlands of International and National Importance;
- Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves;
- distribution of listed threatened, migratory and marine species;
- listed threatened ecological communities; and
- other information that may be useful as an indicator of potential habitat value.

2 DISCLAIMER

This report is not intended to be exhaustive and should only be relied upon as a general guide as mapped data is not available for all species or ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act (see below). Persons seeking to use the information contained in this report to inform the referral of a proposed action under the EPBC Act should consider the limitations noted below and whether additional information is required to determine the existence and location of MNES and other protected matters.

Where data are available to inform the mapping of protected species, the presence type (e.g. known, likely or may occur) that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. It is the responsibility of any person using or relying on the information in this report to ensure that it is suitable for the circumstances of any proposed use. The Commonwealth cannot accept responsibility for the consequences of any use of the report or any part thereof. To the maximum extent allowed under governing law, the Commonwealth will not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance

3 DATA SOURCES

Threatened ecological communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are generated based on information contained in recovery plans, State vegetation maps and remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been discerned through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, distributions are inferred from either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc.) together with point locations and described habitat; or modelled (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using

Where little information is available for a species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc.).

In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More detailed distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions

4 LIMITATIONS

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in this report:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered vagrants;
- some recently listed species and ecological communities;
- some listed migratory and listed marine species, which are not listed as threatened species; and
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in Australia in small numbers.

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- listed migratory and/or listed marine seabirds, which are not listed as threatened, have only been mapped for recorded
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

The breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Refer to the metadata for the feature group (using the Resource Information link) for the currency of the information.

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- -Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
- -Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
- -Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
- -Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
- -Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
- -Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
- -Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
- -Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
- -Birdlife Australia
- -Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
- -Australian National Wildlife Collection
- -Natural history museums of Australia
- -Museum Victoria
- -Australian Museum
- -South Australian Museum
- -Queensland Museum
- -Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
- -Queensland Herbarium
- -National Herbarium of NSW
- -Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
- -Tasmanian Herbarium
- -State Herbarium of South Australia
- -Northern Territory Herbarium
- -Western Australian Herbarium
- -Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
- -University of New England
- -Ocean Biogeographic Information System
- -Australian Government, Department of Defence
- Forestry Corporation, NSW
- -Geoscience Australia
- -CSIRO
- -Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
- -eBird Australia
- -Australian Government Australian Antarctic Data Centre
- -Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
- -Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
- -Australian Institute of Marine Science
- -Reef Life Survey Australia
- -American Museum of Natural History
- -Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
- -Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
- -Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the **Contact us** page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

GPO Box 3090

Canberra ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111

Appendix B
Likelihood of threatened MNES occurrence



| Common name | Scientific name | BC Act Status ¹ | EPBC Act Status1 | SAII Entity | Likelihood of occurrence |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Amphibians | | · | | | |
| Southern Bell Frog | Litoria raniformis | E | V | No | Moderate |
| Birds | | | | | |
| Murray Mallee striated grasswren | Amytornis striatus howei | Е | Е | No | Moderate |
| Southern Whiteface | Aphelocephala leucopsis | V | V | No | High |
| Australasian Bittern | Botaurus poiciloptilus | Е | Е | No | Moderate |
| Sharp-tailed Sandpiper | Calidris acuminata | - | V, Mi | No | Low |
| Common Sandpiper | Actitis hypoleucos | | Mi | No | Low |
| Curlew Sandpiper | Calidris ferruginea | Е | CE, Mi | Yes | Low |
| Fork-tailed Swift | Apus pacificus | | Mi | No | Moderate |
| Pectoral Sandpiper | Calidris melanotos | | Mi | No | Low |
| Yellow Wagtail | Motacilla flava | | Mi | No | Moderate |
| Grey Falcon | Falco hypoleucos | V | V | No | High |
| Latham's Snipe | Gallinago hardwickii | - | V, Mi | No | Low |
| Painted Honeyeater | Grantiella picta | V | V | No | High |
| Swift Parrot | Lathamus discolor | E | CE | No | Moderate |
| Malleefowl | Leipoa ocellata | E | V | No | High |
| Major Mitchell's Cockatoo | Lophochroa leadbeateri | V | E | No | High |
| Black-eared Miner | Manorina melanotis | CE | Е | No | Low |
| Red-lored Whistler | Pachycephala rufogularis | CE | V | No | Moderate |
| Plains-wanderer | Pedionomus torquatus | Е | CE | No | Moderate |
| Regent Parrot (eastern subspecies) | Polytelis anthopeplus monarchoides | E | V | No | High |
| Australian Painted Snipe | Rostratula australis | E | E | No | Low |
| Mallee Emu-wren | Stipiturus mallee | - | E | No | Low |
| Common Greenshank | Tringa nebularia | - | E, Mi | No | Low |
| Mammals | | | | | |
| South-eastern long eared bat | Nyctophilus corbeni | V | V | No | High |
| Reptiles | | | | | |
| Grey Snake | Hemiaspis damelii | E | E | No | Low |

E240110 | RP31 | v2 B.1

| Common name | Scientific name | BC Act Status ¹ | EPBC Act Status1 | SAII Entity | Likelihood of occurrence |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Plants | | | | | |
| Purple-wood Wattle | Acacia carneorum | V | V | Yes | Moderate |
| A saltbush | Atriplex infrequens | V | V | No | Moderate |
| A spear-grass | Austrostipa metatoris | V | V | | Moderate |
| Mossgiel Daisy | Brachyscome papillosa | V | V | No | Moderate |
| A burr-daisy | Calotis moorei | E | Е | Yes | High |
| Winged Peppercress | Lepidium monoplocoides | Е | Е | No | High |
| Menindee Nightshade | Solanum karsense | V | V | No | High |
| Slender Darling Pea | Swainsona murrayana | V | V | No | Moderate |
| Yellow Swainson-pea | Swainsona pyrophila | V | V | No | High |

Notes: CE = Critically endangered; E = Endangered; V = Vulnerable; Mi = Migratory.

Australia

SYDNEY

Ground floor, 20 Chandos Street St Leonards NSW 2065 T 02 9493 9500

NEWCASTLE

Level 3, 175 Scott Street Newcastle NSW 2300 T 02 4907 4800

BRISBANE

Level 1, 87 Wickham Terrace Spring Hill QLD 4000 T 07 3648 1200

CANBERRA

Level 2, Suite 2.04 15 London Circuit Canberra City ACT 2601

ADELAIDE

Level 4, 74 Pirie Street Adelaide SA 5000 T 08 8232 2253

MELBOURNE

188 Normanby Road Southbank VIC 3006

PERTH

Level 9, Suite 9.02 109 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6831

Canada

TORONTO

2345 Yonge Street, Suite 300 Toronto ON M4P 2E5

VANCOUVER

60 W 6th Ave Suite 200 Vancouver BC V5Y 1K1





Appendix C

Social impact scoping worksheet



| | Social Impact Asse | essment (SIA) Worksheet | Date: April 2024 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| PROJECT ACTIVITIES | CATEGORIES OF SOCIAL IMPACTS | POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON PEOPLE | ASSESSMENT LEVEL FOR EACH IMPACT | | | | SIA METHODS | PROJECT REFINEMENT | MITIGATION / ENHANCEMENT MEASURES |
| Which project activity / activities could produce social impacts? | what social impact categories could be affected by the project activities | concerns/aspirations have people expressed about the impact? Summarise how each relevant stakeholder group might experience the impact. | Level of assessment for each social impact | What methods and o | lata sources will be used to in Primary Data - Consultation | vestigate this impact? Primary Data - Research | What methods will be used to investigate this impact? | Has the project been refined in response to preliminary impact evaluation or stakeholder feedback? | What mitigation / enhancement measures are being considered? |
| Free Text | Categories in SIA guideline | Free text | Detailed, Standard, Minor, Nothing further on this impact | Free Text | | | Free Text | Yes No | Free Text |
| Construction and operation of project | Surroundings Way of life Community | Changes to visual amenity which may result in reduce rural lifestyle values | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | Visual impact assessment Ongoing community and stakeholder engagement. |
| Project construction activities | Health and wellbeing Way of life | Potential amenity impacts (i.e., noise, dust, vibration) to sensitive receivers proximal to project site during construction | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | Develop air quality and acoustic management measures. Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities. |
| Construction and operation of project | Health and wellbeing Surrounding | Perceived increase in health and safety risks due to BESS associated hazards including potential for electric shock, fires, flash burns, explosion, and exposure to hazardous chemicals | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | *Community engagement and education to improve understanding of BESS associated hazards and risks |
| Project construction activities | Access Way of life | Changes or disruptions to road and traffic conditions resulting in reduced access/connectivity on local road network and increased frustration and stress for existing road users. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities including road closures and diversions. Development of a Traffic Management Plan |
| Project construction activities | Health and wellbeing Access | Perceived deterioration of public safety due to additional construction traffic on local and regional roads | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | Advanced notification to local residents on timing of construction activities including road closures and diversions. Development of a Traffic Management Plan |
| Project construction activities | Surroundings | Potential biodiversity impacts from project construction activities, including land clearing | Standard assessment of the impact | Required | Targeted consultation | Potentially targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | Refinement to project design and layout to avoid/further minimise known habitats based on feedback from early engagement with local landholders and detailed biodiversity surveys. |
| Construction and operation of project | Culture | Potential disturbance or changes to sites or landscapes of tangible and intangible cultural heritage significance | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | Ongoing meaningful engagement with relevant stakeholders including Traditional Owners and the broader Aboriginal community. Aboriginal heritage assessment and development of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Plan |
| Project construction activities | Livelihoods | Increased competition for labour and reduced availability of skilled labour for local employers. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | Engage with relevant stakeholder to understand local and regional skills gaps and development opportunities. •Provision of skills development and training initiatives by the project. |
| Influx of construction workers to region | Access Way of life | Increase in demand for local housing (rentals) and short-term accommodation | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | •Development of a Workforce Accommodation Strategy |
| Influx of construction workers to region | Access Way of life | Potential increase in demand and strain on local/regional services and infrastructure due temporary population increase attributed to project workforce. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | Advanced notification to local service providers on timing of construction activities and anticipated workforce ramp up. Development of a community benefit plan for the project including initiatives to supports community infrastructure and service provision |
| Construction and operation of project | Surroundings Way of life Livelihoods | Disruption to existing agricultural operations and land use | Standard assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Qualitative | Uknown | •Landholder agreements to reasonably compensate for disruptions to existing operations/land use |
| Project construction activities | Livelihoods | Socio-economic benefits associated with project employment, training, and procurement opportunities. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | Pengage with local employment and training service providers to establish local capacity and maximise opportunities for local skills development and employment. Development of an Aboriginal Participation Plan to maximise income and training opportunities for those in the local Aboriginal community. Commitment to use local contractors and supplier where feasible. |
| Influx of construction workers to region | Livelihoods | Increase in trade and revenue for local businesses in key townships due to patronage/expenditure by the project workforce. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | •Advanced notification to local service providers on timing of construction activities and anticipated workforce ramp up. |
| Construction and operation of project | Community | Improved/enhanced local/regional social outcomes due to project's community investment initiatives. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | Development of a community benefit plan for the project including establishment of a community investment initiative/program |
| Operations of project | Community Way of life | Contribute to intergenerational equity through provision of infrastructure that enables the transition to renewable energy generation. | Detailed assessment of the impact | Required | Broad consultation | Targeted research | Quantitative and qualitative | Uknown | •Employment strategies to build workforce skills needed to support renewable energy projects |

Appendix D

Cumulative impact scoping



D.1 Cumulative impact scoping

| Key | |
|---------------------|---|
| Detailed assessment | The project may result in significant impacts on the matter, including cumulative impacts. Detailed assessment is characterised by: 1. Potential overlap in impacts between a future project and the proposed project. 2. Potential for significant cumulative impacts as a result of the overlap, requiring detailed technical studies to assess the impacts. 3. Sufficient data is available on the future project to allow a detailed assessment of cumulative impacts with the proposed project for the relevant matter. |
| Standard assessment | 4. Uncertainties exist with respect to data, mitigation, assessment methods and criteria The project is unlikely to result in significant impacts on the matter, including cumulative impacts. Standard assessments are characterised by: |
| | Impacts are well understood. Impacts are relatively easy to predict using standard methods. Impacts are capable of being mitigated to comply with relevant standards or performance measures. |
| N/A | 4. The assessment is unlikely to involve any significant uncertainties or require any detailed cumulative impact assessment. No potential overlap in impacts between a future project and the proposed project that would warrant any consideration in the cumulative impact assessment. |

Table D.1 Cumulative impact scoping table

| Relevant project | Approximate distance | Project status | Terrestrial biodiversity | Aboriginal heritage | Amenity – visual | Amenity - noise | Social and economic | Traffic and access |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Project EnergyConnect | Adjacent | Approved – under construction | Impacting similar PCTs (<50 km) | Overlap in impact areas | Local visual catchment | No construction timing overlap | No construction timing overlap | No construction timing overlap |
| Mallee Solar Farm | 2 km south east | Proposed – EIS in preparation | Impacting similar PCTs (<50 km) | Local context | Local visual catchment | Possible construction overlap | Construction workforce | Possible construction overlap |
| Mallee Wind Farm | 10 km east | Proposed – EIS in preparation | Impacting similar PCTs (<50 km) | Local context | Local visual catchment | Possible construction overlap | Construction workforce | Possible construction overlap |
| Buronga Landfill Expansion | 5 km south | Operational | Impacting similar PCTs (<50 km) | Local context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | No construction timing overlap | No construction timing overlap |
| Euston Mineral Sands mine | 40 km south east | Proposed – EIS in preparation | Impacting similar PCTs (<50 km) | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | Construction workforce | Sufficient separation |
| Euston Wind Farm | 55 km south east | Proposed – EIS in preparation | Impacting similar PCTs (>50 km) | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | Construction workforce | Sufficient separation |
| Koorakee Energy Park | 60 km south east | Proposed – awaiting SEARs | Impacting similar PCTs (>50 km) | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | Construction workforce | Sufficient separation |
| Limondale Solar Farm | 135 km south east | Operational | Impacts completed | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | No construction timing overlap | Sufficient separation |
| Sunraysia Solar Farm | 135 km south east | Operational | Impacts completed | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | No construction timing overlap | Sufficient separation |
| Junction Rivers Wind Farm | 140 km south east | Proposed – EIS in preparation | Impacting similar PCTs (>50 km) | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation |
| Balranald Mineral Sands mine | 105 km east | Approved | Impacting similar PCTs (>50 km) | Regional context | Sufficient separation | Sufficient separation | No construction timing overlap | Sufficient separation |

Australia

SYDNEY

Ground floor 20 Chandos Street St Leonards NSW 2065 T 02 9493 9500

NEWCASTLE

Level 3 175 Scott Street Newcastle NSW 2300 T 02 4907 4800

BRISBANE

Level 1 87 Wickham Terrace Spring Hill QLD 4000 T 07 3648 1200

CANBERRA

Suite 2.04 Level 2 15 London Circuit Canberra City ACT 2601

ADELAIDE

Level 4 74 Pirie Street Adelaide SA 5000 T 08 8232 2253

MELBOURNE

Suite 8.03 Level 8 454 Collins Street Melbourne VIC 3000 T 03 9993 1900

PERTH

Suite 9.02 Level 9 109 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000 T 08 6430 4800

Canada

TORONTO

2345 Younge Street Suite 300 Toronto ON M4P 2E5 T 647 467 1605

VANCOUVER

60 W 6th Ave Vancouver BC V5Y 1K1 T 604 999 8297



