Item	Issue	Question to RMS	Roads and Maritime Comments
1	 Overview of Nature and Scale of Development Assessment pathway. TSC approvals regarding vehicle access. Proposed rehabilitation and end use. Proposed access arrangement for expansion area proposal. 	Confirmation that access to / from Tweed Sand Plant onto the Tweed Valley Way / Pacific Motorway Interchange is approved for further investigation.	 The existing access road between the Tweed Valley Way (TVW) and the approved Bay Lobster development traverses RMS owned land being Lot 51 DP 1056966. The use of the existing access was negotiated for construction purposes and was permitted under licence previously obtained by the Bay Lobster developer from Roads and Maritime. The Developer will require owners consent prior to lodgement of any development application proposing vehicular access over RMS owned land and appropriate arrangements will need to be reached to resolve ongoing use of the land. We recommend contacting RMS to further discuss such arrangements and gauge expectations of the property owner. The subject site has frontage to RMS owned land, declared Freeway and controlled access road (CAR); Refer to attached image for road classification. The final access proposal will determine the approval pathway for the development application and developer works. As RMS is Roads Authority for input to approval conditions under S4.42 EPAA1979 and S138 RA1993. Developer works connecting to the Freeway or impacting upon RMS infrastructure will be subject to the terms of a Works Authorisation Deed (WAD), in which case the Developer would be required to enter into the deed and complete all works to practical completion to satisfaction of RMS prior to use of the approved access. The WAD will include decommissioning requirements. See letter from for link to further information on our website.
2	 Assessment of key issues. Discussion of slide presentation enclosed: (1) Describe the traffic circumstances associated with the proposal including available and feasible options to be considered. (2) Identify, describe impacts including cumulative impacts. (3) Stakeholder Consultation proposed with: RMS, TSC and Lobster Farm. 	 Confirmation of reporting required such as TIA and Road Safety Audit (RSA). Confirmation Tweed Valley Way / Pacific Motorway Interchange Options 1 – 4 as presented are approved for further consideration. Confirmation of Stakeholders; 	 Any development application proposing access to the interchange will need to be supported by a TIA and where appropriate may be informed by an independent RSA. RMS will issue a letter outlining expectations of any TIA. RMS provides pre-lodgement advice to assist Developers in preparing development applications. We have no objection to the proposed development connecting to the interchange subject to reaching agreement on an appropriate access arrangement. Further refinement of the access option and supporting information is required to inform any RMS decision. Approval will be subject to merit assessment of any development application submitted to consent authority and RMS will respond in accordance with statutory provisions. The access is related to a use requiring consent. Stakeholders include; DPIE, RMS, Tweed Shire Council, Bay Lobster, Chinderah NB Highway Service Centre, any adjoining property owners, and Transport customers as the end user of any approved access arrangement.

3	 Discussion on TIA Scoping Document as contained in Technical Note 01A submitted for discussion including: Traffic volume survey and location. Trip generation and distribution. Assessment years and Growth Rates. Intersection capacity analysis. Truck Acceleration. (6) Functional layout plans proposed. 	General approval / comment regarding the Scope and Methodology for the scoping document.	 RMS will issue a pre-lodgement advice letter outlining expectations of any TIA. The following comments capture comment provided during the meeting. Traffic surveys should provide a basis for calibration of any modelling. The proposed survey locations may be appropriate, but ultimately the TIA must describe and justify the approach taken for data collection. The most recent update to the Tweed Road Development Strategy (TRDS 2017) was prepared by TSC in consultation with RMS and is considered to be the relevant source reference for forecasting future traffic conditions. As access is proposed to an interchange on a key movement corridor RMS must be satisfied that the development can be integrated safely and efficiently with the interchange function. RMS requests the inclusion of modelling to demonstrate development performance under Hundredth Highest Hour (HHH) volumes to reflect interchange conditions under peak seasonal conditions. The proposed use of SIDRA 8 will be appropriate; models should be calibrated to network conditions. Analysis may demonstrate a comparative assessment of performance under lower demands where it is proposed to condition movements outside of peak seasonal periods. Any TIA should demonstrate development impacts upon opening and at 10 year horizons over life of development, noting the proposed development life is up to 30 years. Trip generation rates should be based on experience of existing and/or comparative operations. Trip distribution should consider potential origin and destinations of trips driven by a range of market demands. It was noted that market conditional distribution of trips generated by the development. Where conditional limitations to trip distribution are not proposed by the development application then the TIA should demonstrate the impacts of haulage campaigns in all directions. Heavy vehicles should enter and leave the interchange in a s
---	--	---	--

Discussion of Access Options	RMS Feedback
	 It is understood under this option that heavy vehicles will be proposed to egress left out. The AUL and CHR deceleration lanes will need to meet Austroads requirements for the posted speed limit, grade, and geometry for the target design vehicle/s.
Option 1	 Modelling will need to demonstrate adequate storage for vehicles turning right into the development under future conditions; demand management under a TMP & DCoC may be required to manage arrivals and mitigate any potential for queuing of right turning vehicles. Vehicles entering TVW need to meet speed differential for through traffic under posted speed limit. Discussion highlighted that this option is likely to have an unacceptable impact on safety and efficiency of the interchange under peak conditions due to entry speed of laden vehicles merging onto TVW.
Option 2	 It is understood under this option that heavy vehicles will be proposed to egress left out. The AUL and CHR deceleration lanes will need to meet Austroads requirements for the posted speed limit, grade, and geometry for the target design vehicle/s. Modelling will need to demonstrate adequate storage for vehicles turning right into the development under future conditions; demand management under a TMP & DCoC may be required to manage arrivals and mitigate any potential for queuing of right turning vehicles. Vehicles entering TVW need to meet speed differential for through traffic under posted speed limit. The CHL acceleration lane necessitates a significant investment in bridge duplication with potential for impacts on the M1 during construction. Discussion highlighted that the level of investment required for this option may not provide a significant improvement in entry speed of laden vehicles merging onto TVW, and that similar to Option 1, this option is also likely to have an unacceptable impact on safety and efficiency of the interchange.
Option 3	 Proposed roundabout treatment necessitates a lower speed environment with subsequent impacts on the efficiency of the interchange and the potential for queuing on the southbound on-ramp and TVW overbridge. This option lowers the speed of all vehicles to accommodate entry speed of trucks. Meeting discussed merit of dual circulating lanes and dedicated turn lanes to accommodate development traffic. Option was not supported by RMS during discussions due to impacts on safety and efficiency of the interchange.
Option 4	 It is understood under this option that heavy vehicles will be proposed to egress left out. The AUL and CHR deceleration lanes will need to meet Austroads requirements for the posted speed limit, grade, and geometry for the target design vehicle/s. Modelling will need to demonstrate adequate storage for vehicles turning right into the development under future conditions; demand management under a TMP & DCoC may be required to manage arrivals and mitigate any potential for queuing of right turning vehicles. Option has merit as enables laden vehicles to achieve a greater entry speed when merging onto TVW. Further design and analysis will be required to demonstrate the option can function acceptably. The weaving between trucks leaving and entering the site will need to be further considered.



Image 1: Property map demonstrating road classifications and declarations

Date captured: 14/10/2019