

7

**From:** Kenso Precinct [kensoprecinct@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, 15 November 2010 9:11 PM  
**To:** General Manager  
**Cc:** Irene Vasil; GERALD LORE; paul.angela@optusnet.com.au; Sumati Ahuja  
**Subject:** comments from a review of the Spectator Precinct submission made by the AJC.

## **Kensington - West Kingsford Precinct Committee**

**email: [kensoprecinct@gmail.com](mailto:kensoprecinct@gmail.com)**

15/11/10

General Manager  
Randwick City Council  
30 Frances Street  
Randwick NSW 2031

Attention: Mr Frank Ko

Dear Sir,

On behalf of the Kensington – West Kingsford Precinct Committee, please find following comments from a review of the Spectator Precinct submission made by the AJC.

In summary, the purpose of the activity is to build utilisation of the site and to increase its patronage beyond the traditional racing calendar days ie in "big picture terms" - 365 day a year usage.

This development is but one piece of a bigger development puzzle which will have major impact on the amenity of residents in the surrounding Randwick Council area and not just restricted to our local Precinct ie.

AJC

Health Precinct (POW Hospital)

Education Precinct (UNSW)

We request that decision of this development application be deferred pending consideration and approval by the state government giving a binding commitment to rail infrastructure and funding set aside \$ for \$ equivalent of the grant given to the AJC(\$150M), for community road infrastructure funding.

We would like to see stronger consideration of the impact on the surrounding residential areas and the words "REAL BENEFITS TO RESIDENTS" being more strongly featured in these proposals. We would like to see:

- 1) The AJC along with the Health & Education Precinct stakeholders become the large and highly influential voice for transport & infrastructure initiatives to service these hubs (eg light rail).
- 2) The AJC proposal linking in with Randwick Council PAMP initiatives, so that the State plan/vision is not in discord with such important initiatives.
- 3) Local road traffic management initiatives to manage the increased non residents' movements on the surrounding roads (traffic speeds, increased traffic, residents' egress/ingress into our local areas).
- 4) How to integrate the site **within the existing residential surrounding area** and not just as stated in the proposal: "Better integrate the site with the immediately surrounding area including connections to the University and Hospital both physically and in terms of usage."

We are keen to see greater representation of residents' issues in this whole process and to even have a representative presence on the development project group.

Community consultation needs to be "real" and not just a "ticked box" in a consultation strategy (Table 6.16 Consultation of the submission)

We look forward to being actively involved in this major initiative.

Yours faithfully

Chairperson on behalf of the Kensington Precinct Committee

[Click here to report this email as spam.](#)