

NSW Department of Planning and Environment
Attention: Amy Robertson
Ref: MP 10_0044 MOD 2

Dear Amy

I am writing to object to the modification request for the Residential Aged Care Facility Project at Sir Moses Montefiore Jewish Home Randwick.

There are two parts to my objection

1. Notification and ability to object. We received a notice from you in late February dated 17th February 2017 stating objections close on Tuesday 7 March 2017. On looking to object on line the website actually had a different date for closing of 15 February 2017.

The date of the notice was actually after the closing date of the submissions regarding the modifications so did not allow for any objections. This process needs to be restarted with a more reasonable time for viewings of the modifications and objections to be lodged.

2. Objections to the proposed modifications -

Objection to additional Level comprising plant room and also conversion of Level 5. Under current Randwick council regulations buildings in our area are not permitted over 3 levels however MACC if permitted would greatly exceed this and irrevocably alter our local neighbourhood and set a precedent for other developments.

Reduction of car spaces and removal of temporary car park - I object to this as this would greatly increase traffic and reduce parking availability in our streets which are already busy enough with bus traffic and park use as well as staff and visitor parking from the current MACC facility.

One of the reasons given for the original approval was the availability of onsite parking. With the reduction and removal of parking proposed in this modification the impact to the local area needs a completely new assessment. As a resident I do not wish to have parking restrictions imposed in our street due to a development which does not even meet Council regulations. Already our street is badly impacted during the day due to the existing facility which is highlighted by the comparative ease of parking here in evening hours.

The way this process has been run has been inadequate and if approved would need to be taken public for rigorous media and public scrutiny into the competency and legitimacy of the whole process so far and questions as to why approvals have been allowed.

I firmly object to this modification proposal as it will damage the local neighbourhood , create dangerous precedents for future developments in the area and severely effect the value of properties in the surrounding area.

I look forward to your reply on this submission

Kind Regards

Andrew Blades

