

Anka Property Group



GRAHAM BROOKS  
AND ASSOCIATES

ARCHITECTS  
PLANNERS AND  
HERITAGE  
CONSULTANTS

23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield

## Preferred Project Report Statement of Heritage Impact



April 2011

Graham Brooks & Associates Pty Ltd  
Incorporated in NSW  
Architects, Planners & Heritage Consultants  
71 York St, Level 1  
Sydney 2000 Australia  
Tel: (61) 2 9299 8600  
Fax: (61) 2 9299 8711  
Email: [gbamain@gbaheritage.com](mailto:gbamain@gbaheritage.com)  
[www.gbaheritage.com](http://www.gbaheritage.com)  
ABN: 56 073 802 730  
ACN: 073 802 730  
Nominated Architect: Graham Leslie Brooks  
NSW Architects Registration: 3836

# Contents

1.0 Introduction.....	4
1.1 Background .....	4
1.2 Report Objectives .....	4
1.3 Methodology and Structure.....	4
1.4 Site Identification.....	4
1.5 Heritage Management Framework .....	5
1.6 Authorship .....	5
1.7 Report Limitations .....	5
2.0 Site Description .....	6
3.0 Assessment of Heritage Impact .....	8
3.1 Introduction .....	8
3.2 The Proposed Development .....	8
3.3 Applicable Heritage Legislation and Controls.....	9
3.4 Guidelines of the NSW Department of Planning Heritage Branch .....	12
3.5 Established Significance of 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.....	12
3.6 Heritage Impact Analysis .....	14
3.7 Response to Ku-ring-gai Council Submission .....	15
4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	17
5.0 Bibliography.....	18

# Introduction

# 1.0

## 1.1 Background

This Report has been prepared to accompany the Preferred Project Report for a mixed use development, with basement carparking, on the site known as 23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield. Graham Brooks and Associates prepared the *23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield Statement of Heritage Impact* in June 2010 to accompany application MP 08\_0244.

## 1.2 Report Objectives

The main objective of this Statement of Heritage Impact is to analyse the overall Heritage Impact of the proposed development on the established significance of the heritage items in its vicinity. The assessment is made in relation to the provisions of the Environmental Planning Instruments specified in the Director Generals Requirements (DGR) for this project, and the guidelines of the Heritage Branch of the NSW Department of Planning.

## 1.3 Methodology and Structure

This Heritage Impact Statement has been prepared in accordance with guidelines outlined in the *Australia ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, 1999*, known as *The Burra Charter*, and the New South Wales Heritage Office publication, *NSW Heritage Manual*.

*The Burra Charter* provides definitions for terms used in heritage conservation and proposes conservation processes and principles for the conservation of an item. The terminology used, particularly the words *place*, *cultural significance*, *fabric*, and *conservation*, is as defined in Article 1 of *The Burra Charter*. The *NSW Heritage Manual* explains and promotes the standardisation of heritage investigation, assessment and management practices in NSW.

## 1.4 Site Identification

The subject site is located on the eastern side of Lindfield Avenue, approximately 50 metres north of Lindfield Station. It is an irregular parcel of land of approximately 3,099 square metres, with frontages to Lindfield Avenue, Kochia Lane and Havilah Lane. It includes numbers 23 to 37 Lindfield Avenue and is described in the NSW Office of Land and Property Information as comprising the following allotments: Lot A DP 418801, Lot 11 DP 713206, Lots 101, 102 and 103 DP 1067930 and Lot 3 DP 713505.



Figure 1.1  
Location map showing the subject site marked with a red arrow

Source: google maps

## 1.5 Heritage Management Framework

The Department of Planning Director-General's Requirements (DGR) for this project note the following in regard to the Environmental Planning Instruments (EPIs) policies and guidelines that are to be addressed as part of the Environmental Assessment:

*1. Relevant EPI's policies and Guidelines to be Addressed  
Planning provisions applying to the site, including permissibility and the provision of all plans and policies including:*

- *Objects of the EP&A Act*
- *SEPP 53, SEPP 55, SEPP 65, SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007, SEPP (BASIX) 2004*
- *Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance (KPSO)*
- *Ku-ring-gai Council's Draft Town Centres LEP and Draft Town Centres DCP*
- *Ku-ring-gai Sites Report) (Development Controls and Design Guidelines)*
- *Draft North Subregional Strategy*
- *Nature and extent of any non-compliance with relevant environmental planning instruments, plans and guidelines and justification for any non-compliance*

The subject property is not listed as an item of heritage significance in any statutory instrument. However, it is in the vicinity of a row of shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and St Albans Anglican Church at 1-5 Tryon Road that are listed as an item of local heritage significance in Schedule 7 of the *Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance*.

As such the DGR requires the following:

*The EA shall provide a Heritage Impact Assessment detailing and evaluating any impacts that the development would have on the adjoining heritage listed buildings at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.*

## 1.6 Authorship

This Report has been prepared by Graham Brooks and Associates Pty Ltd. Unless otherwise noted all of the photographs and drawings in this report are by Graham Brooks and Associates Pty Ltd.

## 1.7 Report Limitations

This report is limited to the investigation of the European history of the site. Recommendations have been made on the basis of documentary evidence viewed and inspection of the existing fabric.

Archaeological assessment of the subject site is outside the scope of this Report.

# Site Description

# 2.0

The subject site is located on the eastern side of Lindfield Avenue, approximately 50 metres north of Lindfield Station. It is an irregular parcel of land with frontages to Lindfield Avenue, Kochia Lane and Havilah Lane. The current buildings on the site are of one and two storeys, with ground floor retail uses and commercial use on the upper floor.

The built components adjoining the site are two terrace shops, to the north, at 39 and 41 Lindfield Avenue, and a two storey commercial building, fronting Kochia Lane, at the south east corner of the site.

The remainder of the southern boundary adjoins Kochia Lane. On the other side of the lane there is a heritage listed, three storey, mixed use building from the Interwar period, at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, and an above ground carpark which is part of the proposed Lindfield Town Square.

At the rear of the site, on the other side of Havilah Lane, there is a recently completed five storey residential flat building, with a similar building under construction to its north.



Legend:  
 ■ Subject Site  
 ■ Heritage Item  
 ■ Proposed Town Square

Figure 2.1  
 Aerial photograph showing the subject site outlined and shaded in red

Source: NSW Department of Lands 2008



Figure 2.2 (above) Lindfield Avenue, looking north from the station entrance, showing the heritage listed commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue on the right and the subject site in the background



Figure 2.3 (above) Lindfield Avenue, looking south shows the frontage of the current development on the subject site with the heritage listed building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue in the background

Figure 2.4 (below) Havilah Lane, looking north with the subject site on the left and the new development on the right



Figure 2.5 (below) Shows the recent development at the corner of Kochia and Havilah Lanes and the commercial building adjoining the south east corner of the subject site



23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield  
 PPR Heritage Impact Statement  
 April 2011  
 Graham Brooks & Associates Pty Ltd



Figure 2.6  
The commercial building that adjoins the south east corner of the subject site



Figure 2.7  
View north along Chapman Lane, from the corner of Tryon Road, with the rear of the heritage listed building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue on the left and the subject site in the background, at the end of the lane



Figure 2.8  
View to the rear of the heritage listed building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, from the car park



Figure 2.9  
Looking west along Kochia Lane showing the interface between the heritage listed building (on the left) and the subject site



Figure 2.10  
The heritage listed commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, looking north from the corner of Tryon Road



Figure 2.11  
St Albans Anglican Church at the corner of Lindfield Avenue and Tryon Road, north of the subject site

# Assessment of Heritage Impact

# 3.0

## 3.1 Introduction

This Statement of Heritage Impact provides an analysis of the applicable impact assessment criteria of the planning instruments specified in the DGR and the New South Wales Heritage Office publication, *Statements of Heritage Impact*.

## 3.2 The Proposed Development

This applicant seeks approval for a multi-storey, mixed used development as shown in the accompanying plans, and illustrated in Figures 3.1 and 3.2.

The following statements, describing the proposed development, have been sourced from the *SEPP65 Summary compliance Statement* for the project, prepared by PTI Group:

- The design maintains the retail frontage to Lindfield Avenue and extends into Kochia Lane
- The surrounding residential areas as well as the heritage buildings adjacent to the site are mostly constructed from face brickwork, hence the building is clad predominantly in face brickwork to relate to its context
- As well as the brickwork the building utilises areas of rendered and painted concrete as per other new apartment buildings in the area
- The scale of the proposed development has been determined based on the permissible heights for the site, the scale of the surrounding buildings and the expected future scale of the buildings as per the DCP
- The proposal is divided into two buildings to provide an appropriate view from the future town square and to reduce the overall bulk of the development, as well as to provide good amenity to the apartments
- The retail podium is designed to be at a similar scale to the adjacent retail frontages along Lindfield Avenue and the dominant element of the building when viewed from the street

The amended plans for the Preferred Project Report include setting back Levels 5 and above on Building B and amendment to the materials and colours of these levels in response to the submissions received.



Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3  
Photomontages of the proposed development, viewed from the south, north and west in Lindfield Avenue showing its relationship with the adjacent heritage item

Source: PTI Group



23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield  
PPR Heritage Impact Statement  
April 2011  
Graham Brooks & Associates Pty Ltd

### 3.3 Applicable Heritage Legislation and Controls

<p><b>NSW Heritage Act</b></p>	<p>The <i>NSW Heritage Act 1977 (Amended) (the Act)</i> is an Act to conserve the environmental heritage of New South Wales. Under the <i>Heritage Act 1977</i> the disturbance or excavation of land containing or likely to contain relics can only take place when an Excavation Permit has been granted by the Heritage Council. The <i>NSW Heritage Amendment Act 2009</i> defines a “relic” as meaning any deposit, artefact, object or material evidence that:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(a) relates to the settlement of the area that comprises New South Wales, not being Aboriginal settlement, and</i> <i>(b) is of State or local heritage significance.</i></p> <p>All “relics” are protected under the NSW Heritage Act, regardless of whether or not the place is listed as a heritage item on a local, State or national level. For places that are not listed on the State Heritage Register, the disturbance of relics requires an Excavation Permit.</p>
<p><b>State Environmental Planning Policy 53 Metropolitan Residential Development</b></p>	<p>There are no heritage provisions in this State Environmental Planning Policy, applicable to the subject site.</p>
<p><b>State Environmental Planning Policy 55 Remediation of Land</b></p>	<p>There are no heritage provisions in this State Environmental Planning Policy.</p>
<p><b>State Environmental Planning Policy 65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Development</b></p>	<p>There are no heritage provisions in this State Environmental Planning Policy.</p>
<p><b>State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007</b></p>	<p>There are no heritage provisions in this State Environmental Planning Policy that are applicable to the subject proposal.</p>
<p><b>State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004</b></p>	<p>There are no heritage provisions in this State Environmental Planning Policy.</p>
<p><b>Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance (KPSO)</b></p>	<p>The <i>Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance</i> contains the following clause regarding development in the vicinity of heritage items.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Clause 61E</i> <i>The Council shall not grant consent to an application to carry out development on land in the vicinity of a heritage item unless it has made an assessment of the effect the carrying out of that development will have on the heritage significance of the item and its setting.</i></p>

<p><b>Ku-ring-gai Town Centres LEP 2010</b></p>	<p>The commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and St Alban's Church at 1-5 Tryon Road are included as items of local heritage significance in Schedule 5 of this LEP. The following heritage provisions of the LEP are considered applicable to the proposed development:</p> <p><i>5.10 Heritage conservation</i></p> <p><i>(1) Objectives</i>  <i>The objectives of this clause are:</i></p> <p><i>(a) to conserve the environmental heritage of Ku-ring-gai, and</i>  <i>(b) to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas including associated fabric, settings and views, and</i></p> <p><i>(4) Effect on heritage significance</i>  <i>The consent authority must, before granting consent under this clause, consider the effect of the proposed development on the heritage significance of the heritage item or heritage conservation area concerned. This subclause applies regardless of whether a heritage impact statement is prepared under subclause (5) or a heritage conservation management plan is submitted under subclause (6).</i></p> <p><i>(5) Heritage impact assessment</i>  <i>The consent authority may, before granting consent to any development on land:</i></p> <p><i>(a) on which a heritage item is situated, or</i>  <i>(b) within a heritage conservation area, or</i>  <i>(c) within the vicinity of land referred to in paragraph (a) or (b),</i>  <i>require a heritage impact statement to be prepared that assesses the extent to which the carrying out of the proposed development would affect the heritage significance of the heritage item or heritage conservation area concerned.</i></p>
---	---



<p><b>Ku-ring-gai Town Centres DCP 2010</b></p>	<p>This DCP contains the following controls for development in the vicinity of a heritage item.</p> <p><i>9.3 Development in the Vicinity of a Heritage Item Controls</i>  <i>This part applies to development on sites that directly adjoin a heritage item or are within close proximity to a heritage item. This part applies to a situation where the heritage item is not incorporated into new development, as per Part 9.2, of this DCP.</i></p> <p><i>General</i>  <i>1 Development in the vicinity of a Heritage Item is to be sympathetic to the Heritage Item having regard to</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i) form of the building including height, roofline, setbacks and building alignment;</i></li> <li><i>ii) proportions including door and window openings, bays, floor to ceiling heights and coursing levels;</i></li> <li><i>iii) materials and colours;</i></li> <li><i>iv) siting and orientation;</i></li> <li><i>v) setting and context;</i></li> <li><i>vi) streetscape patterns.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>2 An applicant's Statement of Environmental Effects or Heritage Impact Statement must discuss the effect that the proposed development will have on a Heritage Item, including its garden and setting.</i></p> <p><i>3 Significant views to and from Heritage Items are to be protected.</i></p> <p><i>4 Development in the vicinity of a Heritage Item must respect the curtilage and setting of that Item.</i></p> <p><i>5 An application for development in the vicinity of a Heritage Item must demonstrate that the construction process will not result in damage to the Heritage Item or its setting.</i></p> <p><i>Urban / Commercial context</i>  <i>6 New development adjacent to, or in the vicinity of, a Heritage Item within an urban setting must:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i) maintain existing setbacks and relationships to the street and public areas;</i></li> <li><i>ii) keep the street edge;</i></li> <li><i>iii) step down in height to the Heritage Item</i></li> </ul> <p><i>Additionally Part 2E Lindfield Town Centre notes "The heritage listed shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue will be protected as an example of an Inter-War Mediterranean style commercial building".</i></p>
---	---

### 3.4 Guidelines of the NSW Department of Planning Heritage Branch

The subject site is not listed as a heritage item on any statutory list but is in the vicinity of the listed heritage items at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and 1-5 Tryon Avenue. The NSW Heritage Office, now the Heritage Branch of the NSW Department of Planning has published a series of criteria for the assessment of heritage impact. The series of questions to be answered in a Statement of Heritage Impact for New Development Adjacent to a Heritage Item are:

*How is the impact of the new development on the heritage significance of the item or area to be minimised?*

*Why is the new development required to be adjacent to a heritage item?*

*How does the curtilage allowed around the heritage item contribute to the retention of its heritage significance?*

*How does the new development affect views to, and from, the heritage item? What has been done to minimise negative effects?*

*Is the development sited on any known, or potentially significant archaeological deposits? If so, have alternative sites been considered? Why were they rejected?*

*Is the new development sympathetic to the heritage item? In what way (e.g. form, siting, proportions, design)?*

*Will the additions visually dominate the heritage item? How has this been minimised?*

*Will the public, and users of the item, still be able to view and appreciate its significance?*

These questions are addressed in Section 3.6 Heritage Impact Analysis.

### 3.5 Established Heritage Significance of the Items in the Vicinity of the Subject Site

#### 1-21 Lindfield Avenue

The NSW Heritage Inventory has no Statement of Significance for the commercial block at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.

Ku-ring-gai Council prepared the following Statement of Significance for the building as part of their nomination to the NSW Heritage Council to include the building on the State Heritage Register.

*No 1-21 Lindfield Avenue is a highly significant Inter-War Spanish mission styled residential / commercial development with Romanesque styled influences. It demonstrates many distinctive and original features, and as such has a rarity value in Ku-ring-gai, and is likely to have a rarity value beyond Ku-ring-gai.*

*Its rarity value is enhanced by the fact that it is the earliest*



Figure 3.4  
Extract from *Ku-ring-gai Town Centres LEP 2010* Heritage Map 28 showing the subject site marked with a red circle and the heritage items in its vicinity coloured brown; 140 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and 152 St Albans Church

Source: [www.legislation.nsw.gov.au](http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au)

23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield  
PPR Heritage Impact Statement  
April 2011  
Graham Brooks & Associates Pty Ltd

*commercial / residential complex built in Ku-ring-gai, and probably the earliest three-storey complex.*

*Its distinctive architecture provides important facades on all four sides of the building, and provides excellent views from its roof terrace, accessible to flats built in the north and south wings.*

*It holds a dominant position in relation to the federation-era Lindfield Railway Station, and to the earlier two storey commercial/ residential developments to the north, and complements the federation and inter-war styled domestic buildings which characterise Lindfield.*

*The development also provides evidence of the homogeneity of the Lindfield community in the first thirty years of the twentieth century and expresses a robust confidence in building patterns which evolved in the inter-war years.*

It is understood that the NSW Heritage Council determined the building did not meet the criteria for State listing.

### **1-5 Tryon Road**

St Alban's Anglican Church is sited at the corner of Tryon Road and Lindfield Avenue, to the south of the subject site. As shown in Figure 3.5, it is separated from the subject site by the commercial development at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue which occupies the entire street block between Tryon Road and Kochia Lane. Therefore it is not considered to be in the immediate vicinity of the subject site but is in the locality.

The NSW State Heritage Inventory has no information for St Alban's Anglican Church, at 1-5 Tryon Road. It is assumed to have been heritage listed for its architectural and social values.



Figure 3.5  
View looking north along Lindfield Avenue, from south of Tryon Road, shows the subject site is not readily visible from St Alban's Church, seen on the right. The proposed new building in this location will be seen from this location as a background element beyond the commercial development at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue

Source: St Alban's Church website

### 3.6 Heritage Impact Analysis

Question to be answered	Comment
<p><i>How is the impact of the new development on the heritage significance of the item or area to be minimised?</i></p>	<p>The heritage listed shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue (the Ramsay Building), are separated from the subject site by Kochia Lane.</p> <p>The component of the new development that is closest to the Ramsay Building is to be clad predominantly in face brickwork to relate to the adjacent heritage item.</p> <p>St Alban's Anglican Church is separated from the subject site by the width of Tryon Road, the shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and the width of Kochia Lane. The impact of the new development is minimised by the presence of the shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue which provide a physical and visual barrier between the church and the subject site.</p>
<p><i>Why is the new development required to be adjacent to a heritage item?</i></p>	<p>The proposed development is a separately owned parcel of land in the vicinity of the heritage listed block of shops at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue. The desired future character for the Lindfield Town Centre, defined in the <i>Ku-ring-gai DCP (Town Centres) 2010</i>, envisages the heritage item will be retained as an example of an Inter War Mediterranean style commercial building, with the adjacent site to be a higher density mixed use development.</p>
<p><i>How does the curtilage allowed around the heritage item contribute to the retention of its heritage significance?</i></p>	<p>The commercial block at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue is bounded by Lindfield Avenue, Kochia Lane, Chapman Lane and Tryon Road. The separation provided by these roadways allows appreciation of the buildings's architectural presentation and features from all sides.</p> <p>The corner location and landscape setting of St Alban's Anglican Church, at 1-5 Tryon Road, provide a picturesque setting that contributes to its significance.</p>
<p><i>How does the new development affect views to, and from, the heritage item? What has been done to minimise negative effects?</i></p>	<p>The proposed development will limit some views to the northern facade of the upper floor of the Ramsay Building, when travelling along Lindfield Avenue from the north. The proposed new development at 23-37 Lindfield Avenue will also be visible in the background of some views to the commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue. As the architectural presentation of the heritage listed building will not be affected in any way it is considered that the minor impact on views to the building will not have an adverse impact on its established heritage significance.</p> <p>Views to the north from the upper floor of 21 Lindfield Avenue may be restricted by the proposed development. This is considered acceptable from a heritage perspective as this view is not considered to make an integral contribution to the significance of this heritage item.</p> <p>As there is extensive physical and visual separation between the new development and St Alban's Anglican Church views from St Alban's Anglican Church will not be affected.</p>
<p><i>Is the development sited on any known, or potentially significant archaeological deposits? If so, have alternative sites been considered? Why were they rejected?</i></p>	<p>Archaeological assessment is outside the scope of this Report.</p>

<p><i>Is the new development sympathetic to the heritage item? In what way (e.g. form, siting, proportions, design)?</i></p>	<p>The materials selected for the finishes to the proposed new development reflect those of the adjacent heritage item. The contemporary design does not mimic the heritage item or challenge its architectural significance.</p> <p>In response to comments received from Ku-ring-gai Council the amended plans for the Preferred Project Report include setting back Levels 5 and above on Building B and amendment to the materials and colours of these levels to improve the proposals relationship with the commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.</p>
<p><i>Will the additions visually dominate the heritage item? How has this been minimised?</i></p>	<p>The roadway between the subject site and the Ramsay Building (Kochia Lane) provides physical and visual separation between the two sites which will minimise the visual impact of the proposed development.</p> <p>The <i>Ku-ring-gai DCP (Town Centres) 2010</i> requires that new development adjacent to, or in the vicinity of, a heritage item within an urban setting, be stepped down in height to the Heritage Item, and includes an example of new development directly adjoining a heritage item.</p> <p>The Preferred Project Application reduces the height of the proposed building at its south west corner to improve its relationship with the adjacent building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.</p> <p>The heritage listed building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue has sufficient bulk and scale in its own right to ensure it will not be visually dominated by the proposed development.</p> <p>As St Alban's Anglican Church at 1-5 Tryon Avenue is separated from the subject site by the block of commercial development at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and two roadways it will not be visually dominated by the proposed development.</p>
<p><i>Will the public, and users of the item, still be able to view and appreciate its significance?</i></p>	<p>The public, and users, of the Ramsay Building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, and St Alban's Anglican Church at 1-5 Tryon Road, will still be able to view and appreciate their significance.</p>

### 3.7 Response to Ku-ring-gai Council Submission

The following table provides a response to the points raised by Ku-ring-gai Council in their submission to the Department of Planning regarding the proposed development.

<p><i>The site adjoins a heritage item at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield and is in the immediate vicinity of 1-5 Tryon Road.</i></p>	<p>St Alban's Church, at 1-5 Tryon Road, is separated from the subject site by the commercial development at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue which occupies the entire street block between Tryon Road and Kochia Lane. It is not considered to be in the immediate vicinity of the subject site but is in the locality, some distance to the south. It is physically and visually separated from the subject site by the roadways of Tryon Road and Kochia Lane and the three storey commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.</p>
--	---

<p>No assessment is made of the value of the grouping of the two listed heritage items, the Commercial Building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and St Alban's Church which are on a major corner in the Lindfield Avenue streetscape</p>	<p>The proposed development is located a street block to the north of the Tryon Road / Lindfield Avenue corner. It will not impact on the visual relationship between the two heritage listed buildings in Lindfield Avenue, on either side of the Tryon Road corner. They will continue to be distinctive elements in the streetscape with the proposed development as a back drop.</p>
<p>Measures to mitigate impacts of the development on the nearby heritage items</p>	<p>The amended plans for the Preferred Project Report include setting back Levels 5 and above on Building B and amendment to the materials and colours of these levels to improve the proposal's relationship with the commercial building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue.</p>
<p>The recommendation in the report that the heritage building should be photographed before the development commences is meaningless as no work on the heritage item is proposed. However, photographic archival recording of buildings to be demolished should be undertaken so that adequate records of the nature and character of Lindfield can be made before a major change takes place.</p>	<p>The recommendation is that an archival recording of the Ramsay Building, in its context, be made to document its Lindfield Avenue streetscape setting before a major change takes place.</p>
<p><i>Views of the northern facade will be almost eliminated from the surrounding areas but would still be possible from the lane. The significance of this building is that all facades are important as the building is viewed in the "round". Views from rooms along the north facade of the heritage item would be eliminated.</i></p>	<p>There are only limited views of the northern facade available at the current time. The photomontage submitted with this application demonstrates that these views from the north along Lindfield Avenue will be retained with the proposed development.</p> <p>Views from this heritage item is not considered to make an essential contribution to its significance.</p>
<p><i>The use of the heritage item will continue and hopefully [be] enhanced with development of a new Town Square behind it. However, its significance related to its dominant location in relation to Lindfield Avenue and the Railway Station and two storey residential/commercial developments to the north will be lost.</i></p>	<p>The Ramsay Building at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue will continue to be a dominant element in the town when viewed from the Railway Station and its distinctive facade will continue to be highly visible when viewed from the north.</p>

# Conclusions and Recommendations

# 4.0

## 4.1 Conclusions

- The subject site, at 23-37 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield is not listed as an item of heritage significance in any statutory instrument.
- It is located in the vicinity of locally listed heritages item at 1-21 Lindfield Avenue and 1-5 Tryon Road, Lindfield.
- The proposed development will have no adverse impact on the established heritage significance of the adjacent heritage items.

## 4.2 Recommendations

- Graham Brooks and Associates has no hesitation, from a heritage perspective, in recommending the application for approval.
- An archival photographic recording of the Ramsay Building, in its context, documenting the Lindfield Avenue streetscape, should be made prior to commencement of any works.

# Bibliography

# 5.0

## BOOKS and PUBLICATIONS

Apperly R, Irving R, Reynolds P, *A Pictorial Guide to Identifying Australian Architecture Styles and Terms from 1788 to the Present*, NSW, Angus & Robertson, 2002

ICOMOS Australia, *The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Significance (Burra Charter)*, Canberra, Australia ICOMOS, 1999

Ku-ring-gai Council, *Ku-ring-gai Town Centres DCP 2010*, Ku-ring-gai Council, 2010

Ku-ring-gai Council, *Ku-ring-gai Town Centres LEP 2008*, Ku-ring-gai Council, 2010

Ku-ring-gai Council, *Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance*, Ku-ring-gai Council, 2008

Mayne-Wilson W, *Heritage Curtilages*, NSW Heritage Office and the Department of Urban Affairs and Planning, NSW, 1996

NSW Heritage Office and Department of Infrastructure Planning and Natural Resources, *NSW Heritage Manual*, Sydney 2001

NSW Heritage Office, *Interpreting Heritage Places and Items Guidelines*, NSW Heritage Office, 2005

Robert A Moore Pty Ltd, *An Assessment of Heritage Significance of "The Ramsay Buildings", 1-21 Lindfield Avenue, Lindfield*, August 2008

## WEBSITES

[www.legislation.nsw.gov.au](http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au), NSW Government NSW Legislation

[www.heritage.nsw.gov.au](http://www.heritage.nsw.gov.au), State Heritage Inventory