

Suite 2B 14 Glen Street, Eastwood, NSW 2122 Phone 61-2-9874-8644 Fax 61-2-9874-8904 E-mail : <u>Nigel.Holmes@holmair.com.au</u> ACN 003-741-035 ABN 79-003-741-035

14 January 2009

Mr Andrew Gee ABB

By email

Cc Philip Paton: Cardno

Re: Response to objections to Joe White Maltings proposed Malting Plant at Minto

Dear Andrew,

This letter provides a response to the technical reports prepared on behalf of Lipa Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Lipa) in support of an objection to the proposed Joe White Malting Plant (JWM) at Minto. The reports were prepared by Mr Alex Jochelson of Pollution Control Consultancy and Design and Dr Richard Oppenheim, Principal of Dr Richard C Oppenheim. They relate to potential air quality impacts of the proposed plant on the Lipa plant which is adjacent to the JWM site.

REPORT BY ALEX JOCHELSON

The report prepared by Mr Jochelson addresses the need for an odour assessment and the assessment of the impacts of nitrogen oxides.

A detailed odour assessment according to the *Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in New South (DECC, 2005)* was not prepared as this was not one of the specific Director General's requirements. Furthermore DECC did not specify a quantitative assessment.

While it is the case that the JWM plant does have odour emissions, they are not of an inherently objectionable nature. According to Mr Jochelson's report, LIPA staff work three 8-hour shifts therefore there would be no possibility of anyone at the LIPA plant being exposed 24-hours a day to odours from the JWM plant.

Modelling of oxides of nitrogen was originally carried for both a 50 m and 20 metre grid spacing. Building wakes were included in the modelling. An output file is attached with the details. The maximum predicted concentration of total NO_x was slightly less than 300 μ g/m³ for both grid spacings.

We have also carried out a modelling runs with a 10 m spacing as suggested by Mr Jochelson and the maximum concentration is $310 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$. Model runs were also carried out for heights above ground level of 3 and 6 metres and the maximum predicted concentrations were 301 and 310 $\mu\text{g/m}^3$

JWM - MINTO PLANT - HOLMES AIR RESPONSE TO LIPA OBJECTION TO DOP - 14 JAN 09.DOC

respectively. Therefore the maximum predicted concentrations of NO_x at the Lipa site were captured in the original modelling.

The impacts of JWM NO_x emission are very localised and would not have any substantial regional effects. Background levels will be variable but the most significant contributor to NO_x in Sydney is roadway traffic rather than industrial sources and there is no reason to believe that local levels would be substantially different from other DECC monitoring sites in Sydney. The DECC Campbelltown site was in fact operated by Pilkington, the major point source in the area referred to by Mr Jochelson and is therefore likely to capture the impacts of this source.

Mr Jochelson is correct in assuming that the exit velocity is not correct. The modelled exit velocity was 26.9 m/s and the exhaust air temperature was 27° C. There were typographic errors in Table 8 of our report.

REPORT BY RICHARD OPPENHEIM

The report by Dr Oppenheim discusses the potential for contamination of Lipa products with odorous material in JWM plant emissions. The report provides detailed information about the senses of taste and smell and the potential consequences of odour from JWM emissions being adsorbed or absorbed by any of the material used in the production of the therapeutic goods.

As Dr Oppenheim noted, contamination is theoretically possible but the extent of the contamination (if any) and its consequences in terms of acceptability for Lipa product users, is very difficult to quantify at this stage. However given the quality of the odour and the fact that the odour concentrations in the emissions are likely to be relatively low, in my view the risk of unacceptable contamination is also likely to be low.

The Lipa plant is in an industrial location with other emission sources, next to a railway which carries diesel-powered goods trains. The existing plant is therefore potentially already affected by odour from other sources.

Nevertheless JWM may wish to carry out an odour assessment based on emissions from the JWM plant in Perth to further satisfy concerns. This would require odour emission measurements to be made and dispersion modelling to be undertaken. This would provide additional quantitative information about the risks of contamination. Whilst this additional work will take some time to complete it could be a proponent commitment so as not to delay approval of the application.

Yours faithfully, Holmes Air Sciences

Herry Holme

Kerry Holmes PhD Environmental Chemist

1

Minto Nox

Concentration or deposition Emission rate units Concentration units Units conversion factor Constant background concentration Terrain effects Smooth stability class changes? Other stability class adjustments ("urban modes") Ignore building wake effects? Decay coefficient (unless overridden by met. file) Anemometer height Roughness height at the wind vane site Use the convective PDF algorithm?	Concentration grams/second microgram/m3 1.00E+06 0.00E+00 None No 0.000 10 m 0.300 m No
DISPERSION CURVES Horizontal dispersion curves for sources <100m high Vertical dispersion curves for sources <100m high Horizontal dispersion curves for sources >100m high Vertical dispersion curves for sources >100m high Enhance horizontal plume spreads for buoyancy? Enhance vertical plume spreads for buoyancy? Adjust horizontal P-G formulae for roughness height? Adjust vertical P-G formulae for roughness height? Roughness height Adjustment for wind directional shear	

PLUME RISE OPTIONS

Gradual plume rise?YesStack-tip downwash included?YesBuilding downwash algorithm:PRIME method.Entrainment coeff. for neutral & stable lapse rates0.60,0.60Partial penetration of elevated inversions?NoDisregard temp. gradients in the hourly met. file?No

and in the absence of boundary-layer potential temperature gradients given by the hourly met. file, a value from the following table (in K/m) is used:

Wind Speed	Stability Class							
Category	A	В	C	D	Е	F		
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		
2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		
3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		
4	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		
5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		
б	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.035		

WIND SPEED CATEGORIES Boundaries between categories (in m/s) are: 1.54, 3.09, 5.14, 8.23, 10.80

WIND PROFILE EXPONENTS: "Irwin Urban" values (unless overridden by met. file)

AVERAGING TIMES 1 hour average over all hours Minto Nox

SOURCE CHARACTERISTICS

STACK SOURCE: 1

X(m) Y(m) Ground Elev 300591 6231793 Om	. Sta	ck He 24m	5		eter 5 60m	-	rature 27C	-	eed 9m/s			
Effective bu	ilding	dime	nsion	s (in	metre	es) _						
Flow direction	10°	20°	30°	40°	50°	60°	70°	80°	90°	100°	110°	120°
Effective building width	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31	31	35	38
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	30	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41
Along-flow distance from stack	-14	-13	-10	-7	-4	-1	2	5	8	8	5	2
Across-flow distance from stac	c –25	-24	-23	-20	-18	-14	-11	-7	-3	1	5	10
Flow direction	130°	140°	150°	160°	170°	180°	190°	200°	210°	220°	230°	240°
Effective building width	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	42	42	42	39	36	31	31	35	38	40	41	41
Along-flow distance from stack	-1	-4	-7	-9	-11	-13	-16	-23	-29	-33	-37	-40
Across-flow distance from stac	s 13	16	19	22	24	25	25	24	22	20	18	14
Flow direction	250°	260°	270°	280°	290°	300°	310°	320°	330°	340°	350°	360°
Effective building width	39	36	31	31	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31
Along-flow distance from stack			-41						-35	-31	-25	-18
Across-flow distance from stac	c 11	7	3	-1	-5	-9	-13	-16	-20	-22	-24	-25

(Constant) emission rate = 8.30E-01 grams/second No gravitational settling or scavenging.

STACK SOURCE: 3

X(m) Y(m) Ground Elev.	Sta	ck He:	ight	Diame	eter	Temper	rature	e Spe	eed			
300591 6231784 Om		24m		0.0	50m	1	27C	26.9	9m/s			
Effective bui	lding	dimer	nsions	3 (in	metre	es)						
Flow direction	10°	20°	30°	40°	50°	60°	70°	80°	90°	100°	110°	120°
Effective building width	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31	31	35	38
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	30	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41
Along-flow distance from stack	-б	-4	-2	-1	2	3	5	7	8	б	2	-2
Across-flow distance from stack	-23	-21	-18	-15	-11	-7	-2	2	7	10	14	17
Flow direction	130°	140°	150°	160°	170°	180°	190°	200°	210°	220°	230°	240°
Effective building width	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	42	42	42	39	36	31	31	35	38	40	41	41
Along-flow distance from stack	-7	-10	-14	-18	-20	-22	-25	-31	-36	-40	-43	-45
Across-flow distance from stack	20	22	24	25	25	25	23	21	18	15	11	7
Flow direction	250°	260°	270°	280°	290°	300°	310°	320°	330°	340°	350°	360°
Effective building width	39	36	31	31	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33
Effective building height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length	40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31
Along-flow distance from stack	-45	-44	-41	-40	-40	-38	-36	-32	-28	-22	-16	-9
Across-flow distance from stack	2	-2	-7	-10	-14	-17	-20	-22	-24	-25	-25	-25

(Constant) emission rate = 8.30E-01 grams/second No gravitational settling or scavenging.

1

STACK SOURCE: 2

X(m) 300591	Y(m) 6231804	Ground Elev. Om	Sta	ck Hei 24m	ight		eter 5 50m	-	rature 27C	-	eed 9m/s			
		Effective buil	lding	dime	nsions	s (in	metre	es)						
Flow dir	ection		10°	20°	30°	40°	50°	600	700	80°	90°	100°	110°	120°
Effectiv	e building	g width	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31	31	35	38
Effectiv	e building	g height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-fl	ow buildir	ng length	30	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41
Along-fl	ow distand	ce from stack	-25	-23	-20	-16	-11	-7	-2	3	8	10	9	8
Across-f	low distar	nce from stack	-26	-28	-28	-27	-26	-24	-21	-17	-14	-10	-5	0
Flow dir	ection		130°	140°	150°	160°	170°	180°	190°	200°	210°	220°	230°	240°
Effectiv	e building	g width	41	42	41	40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42
Effectiv	e building	g height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-fl	ow buildir	ng length	42	42	42	39	36	31	31	35	38	40	41	41
Along-fl	ow distand	ce from stack	7	5	3	2	0	-2	-б	-12	-19	-25	-30	-35
Across-flow distance from stack			5	9	14	18	22	25	26	28	28	28	26	24
Flow dir	ection		250°	260°	270°	280°	290°	300°	310°	320°	330°	340°	350°	360°
Effectiv	e building	g width	39	36	31	31	35	38	41	42	41	40	37	33
Effective building height		g height	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Along-flow building length			40	37	33	34	38	41	42	43	42	40	36	31
Along-flow distance from stack			-38	-40	-41	-43	-47	-48	-49	-48	-45	-41	-36	-29
Across-f	low distar	nce from stack	21	18	14	10	5	1	-5	-9	-14	-18	-22	-25

(Constant) emission rate = 8.30E-01 grams/second No gravitational settling or scavenging.

1

Minto Nox

RECEPTOR LOCATIONS

The Carte	sian recep	otor grid h	has the fol	llowing x-v	values (or	eastings):
299600.m	299620.m	299640.m	299660.m	299680.m	299700.m	299720.m
299740.m	299760.m	299780.m	299800.m	299820.m	299840.m	299860.m
299880.m	299900.m	299920.m	299940.m	299960.m	299980.m	300000.m
300020.m	300040.m	300060.m	300080.m	300100.m	300120.m	300140.m
300160.m	300180.m	300200.m	300220.m	300240.m	300260.m	300280.m
300300.m	300320.m	300340.m	300360.m	300380.m	300400.m	300420.m
300440.m	300460.m	300480.m	300500.m	300520.m	300540.m	300560.m
300580.m	300600.m	300620.m	300640.m	300660.m	300680.m	300700.m
300720.m	300740.m	300760.m	300780.m	300800.m	300820.m	300840.m
300860.m	300880.m	300900.m	300920.m	300940.m	300960.m	300980.m
301000.m	301020.m	301040.m	301060.m	301080.m	301100.m	301120.m
301140.m	301160.m	301180.m	301200.m	301220.m	301240.m	301260.m
301280.m	301300.m	301320.m	301340.m	301360.m	301380.m	301400.m
301420.m	301440.m	301460.m	301480.m	301500.m	301520.m	301540.m
301560.m	301580.m	301600.m				
and these	y-values	(or northi	ings):			
6230800.m	6230820.m	6230840.m	6230860.m	6230880.m	6230900.m	6230920.m
6230940.m	6230960.m	6230980.m	6231000.m	6231020.m	6231040.m	6231060.m
6231080.m	6231100.m	6231120.m	6231140.m	6231160.m	6231180.m	6231200.m
6231220.m	6231240.m	6231260.m	6231280.m	6231300.m	6231320.m	6231340.m
6231360.m	6231380.m	6231400.m	6231420.m	6231440.m	6231460.m	6231480.m
6231500.m	6231520.m	6231540.m	6231560.m	6231580.m	6231600.m	6231620.m

6231500.m 6231520.m 6231540.m 6231560.m 6231580.m 6231600.m 6231620.m 6231640.m 6231660.m 6231680.m 6231700.m 6231720.m 6231740.m 6231760.m 6231780.m 6231800.m 6231820.m 6231840.m 6231860.m 6231880.m 6231900.m 6231920.m 6231940.m 6231960.m 6231980.m 6232000.m 6232020.m 6232040.m 6232060.m 6232080.m 6232100.m 6232120.m 6232140.m 6232160.m 6232180.m 6232200.m 6232220.m 6232240.m 6232260.m 6232280.m 6232300.m 6232230.m 6232340.m 6232360.m 6232380.m 6232400.m 6232420.m 6232440.m 6232440.m 6232480.m 6232500.m 6232520.m 6232540.m 6232560.m 6232580.m 623260.m METEOROLOGICAL DATA : Campbelltown 1989

Peak values for the 100 worst cases (in microgram/m3)

1

T		Peak V	Averaging time	= 1 hour	ases (in	microgram/n
Ra	nk	Value	Time Recorded	Co	ordinates	
			hour,date		notes pola	ar)
	1	2.94E+02	17,04/04/89	(300260,	6232040,	0.0)
	2	2.90E+02	24,23/02/89	(300400,		0.0)
	3	2.77E+02	17,13/06/89	(300280,		0.0)
	4	2.75E+02	24,14/12/89	(300360,		0.0)
	5	2.67E+02	24,23/11/89	(300340,		0.0)
	6	2.59E+02	20,28/10/89	(300460,		0.0)
	7	2.55E+02	18,13/05/89			0.0)
	8	2.55E+02	24,21/12/89	(300280,		0.0) 0.0)
	9 10	2.43E+02 2.43E+02	12,10/12/89 22,15/11/89	(300640, (300480,		0.0)
	11	2.41E+02	23,24/01/89	(300300,		0.0)
	12	2.41E+02	12,10/01/89	(300640,		0.0)
	13	2.41E+02	17,06/05/89	(300940,		0.0)
	14	2.41E+02	14,22/08/89	(300640,		0.0)
	15	2.41E+02	13,22/08/89	(300640,		0.0)
	16	2.40E+02	22,07/01/89	(300880,	6232120,	0.0)
	17	2.37E+02	07,02/02/89	(300920,	6232100,	0.0)
	18	2.37E+02	11,15/10/89	(300640,	6231760,	0.0)
	19	2.36E+02	02,06/11/89	(300300,	6232080,	0.0)
	20	2.36E+02	13,08/09/89	(300640,	6231740,	0.0)
	21	2.36E+02	21,23/11/89	(300940,		0.0)
	22	2.35E+02	23,30/06/89	(300400,		0.0)
	23	2.34E+02	13,21/04/89	(300640,		0.0)
	24	2.34E+02	05,15/03/89	(300400,		0.0)
	25 26	2.34E+02	23,05/11/89 11,11/04/89	(300400,		0.0) 0.0)
	26 27	2.34E+02 2.34E+02	13,08/10/89	(300640, (300640,		0.0)
	28	2.33E+02	15,11/07/89	(300640,		0.0)
	29	2.33E+02	15,17/07/89	(300640,		0.0)
	30	2.33E+02	24,04/11/89	(300360,		0.0)
	31	2.33E+02	16,03/05/89	(300760,		0.0)
	32	2.32E+02	14,10/01/89	(300640,		0.0)
	33	2.32E+02	20,01/04/89	(300840,	6232140,	0.0)
	34	2.31E+02	23,10/11/89	(300220,	6231700,	0.0)
	35	2.31E+02	12,10/05/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	36	2.31E+02	10,11/04/89	(300640,		0.0)
	37	2.30E+02	10,05/03/89	(300640,		0.0)
	38	2.30E+02	12,28/05/89	(300640,		0.0)
	39	2.30E+02	21,21/03/89	(300920,		0.0)
	40 41	2.29E+02 2.29E+02	07,23/01/89 13,10/12/89	(300640, (300640,		0.0) 0.0)
	42	2.29E+02	09,26/12/89	(300640,		0.0)
	43	2.29E+02	23,14/02/89			0.0)
	44	2.29E+02	14,10/12/89	(300640,		0.0)
	45	2.28E+02	05,13/01/89	(300880,		0.0)
	46	2.28E+02	12,14/05/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	47	2.28E+02	15,11/06/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	48	2.28E+02	21,17/01/89	(300240,	6231620,	0.0)
	49	2.28E+02	13,03/08/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	50	2.28E+02	14,08/08/89	(300640,	6231760,	0.0)
	51	2.28E+02	09,30/09/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	52	2.27E+02	23,20/12/89	(300800,	6231520,	0.0)
	53 54	2.27E+02	11,04/08/89	(300640, (300640,	6231820, 6231820,	0.0)
	54 55	2.27E+02 2.27E+02	06,23/01/89 11,31/05/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0) 0.0)
	56	2.26E+02	13,16/02/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
	57	2.26E+02	12,16/12/89	(300640,	6231740,	0.0)
	58	2.26E+02	06,02/02/89	(300960,	6232060,	0.0)
	59	2.26E+02	02,10/03/89	(300860,	6232140,	0.0)
	60	2.25E+02	06,01/04/89	(300900,	6232100,	0.0)
	61	2.25E+02	05,12/11/89	(300900,	6232100,	0.0)
	62	2.25E+02	11,05/03/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	63	2.25E+02	10,12/05/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
	64	2.25E+02	01,25/11/89	(300400,	6232160,	0.0)
	65	2.24E+02	15,11/04/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)

66	2.24E+02	14,05/07/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
67	2.24E+02	12,05/03/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
68	2.24E+02	13,10/01/89	(300640,	6231760,	0.0)
69	2.24E+02	21,15/10/89	(300260,	6232020,	0.0)
70	2.24E+02	13,14/10/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
71	2.24E+02	12,24/07/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
72	2.24E+02	06,15/02/89	(300940,	6232040,	0.0)
73	2.24E+02	04,10/01/89	(300840,	6232040,	0.0)
74	2.24E+02	13,05/07/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
75	2.24E+02	20,17/07/89	(300840,	6232120,	0.0)
76	2.23E+02	14,08/10/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
77	2.23E+02	12,08/04/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
78	2.23E+02	19,18/06/89	(300800,	6232040,	0.0)
79	2.23E+02	04,02/02/89	(300980,	6232020,	0.0)
80	2.23E+02	15,08/08/89	(300640,	6231740,	0.0)
81	2.23E+02	11,04/07/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
82	2.23E+02	21,06/04/89	(300300,	6232080,	0.0)
83	2.23E+02	08,26/12/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
84	2.23E+02	09,26/03/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
85	2.22E+02	09,18/12/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
86	2.22E+02	10,20/08/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
87	2.22E+02	23,25/02/89	(300260,	6231560,	0.0)
88	2.22E+02	21,18/05/89	(300820,	6232080,	0.0)
89	2.22E+02	22,18/04/89	(300940,	6232040,	0.0)
90	2.22E+02	23,19/09/89	(300840,	6232140,	0.0)
91	2.22E+02	06,12/02/89	(300420,	6232180,	0.0)
92	2.21E+02	07,11/09/89	(300280,	6232060,	0.0)
93	2.21E+02	16,15/11/89	(300640,	6231740,	0.0)
94	2.21E+02	08,16/02/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
95	2.21E+02	11,13/09/89	(300640,	6231760,	0.0)
96	2.21E+02	05,26/11/89	(300860,	6232140,	0.0)
97	2.21E+02	10,22/11/89	(300640,	6231800,	0.0)
98	2.20E+02	09,22/11/89	(300660,	6231820,	0.0)
99	2.20E+02	06,17/12/89	(300640,	6231820,	0.0)
100	2.20E+02	22,15/10/89	(300780,	6232040,	0.0)