


**Goodman Fielder Food  
Manufacturing Facility -  
Erskine Park**  
Acoustic Investigation

Hansen Yuncken

April 2008

Reference: 0080003RP2

[www.erm.com](http://www.erm.com)

Approved by:	<i>Nahah Ishac</i>
Position:	<i>Project Manager</i>
Signed:	
Date:	<i>10 April 2008</i>

*Environmental Resources Management Australia Pty Ltd Quality System*

This report was prepared in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract between Environmental Resources Management Australia Pty Ltd ABN 12 002 773 248 (ERM) and the Client. To the best of our knowledge, the proposal presented herein accurately reflects the Client's intentions when the report was printed. However, the application of conditions of approval or impacts of unanticipated future events could modify the outcomes described in this document. In preparing the report, ERM used data, surveys, analyses, designs, plans and other information provided by the individuals and organisations referenced herein. While checks were undertaken to ensure that such materials were the correct and current versions of the materials provided, except as otherwise stated, ERM did not independently verify the accuracy or completeness of these information sources

Hansen Yuncken

Goodman Fielder Food  
Manufacturing Facility -  
Erskine Park  
*Acoustic Investigation*

April 2008

Reference: 0080003RP2

## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1	ACOUSTIC GLOSSARY	2
1.2	KEY NOISE ISSUES	5
<b>2</b>	<b>EXISTING NOISE ENVIRONMENT</b>	
2.1	LONG TERM UNATTENDED NOISE MONITORING	7
2.2	SHORT TERM ATTENDED MONITORING	10
2.3	PREVAILING WEATHER CONDITIONS	11
2.3.1	PREVAILING WINDS	11
<b>3</b>	<b>NOISE CRITERIA</b>	
3.1	OPERATIONAL NOISE	13
3.1.1	INDUSTRIAL NOISE CRITERIA	13
3.1.2	RESIDENTIAL INTRUSIVENESS	13
3.1.3	AMENITY	13
3.1.4	SLEEP DISTURBANCE	14
3.2	CONSTRUCTION NOISE CRITERIA	15
3.2.1	LEVEL RESTRICTION	15
3.2.2	TIME RESTRICTION	16
3.3	ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE	17
<b>4</b>	<b>PREDICTED NOISE IMPACT</b>	
4.1	OPERATIONAL NOISE	18
4.2	CUMULATIVE INDUSTRIAL NOISE	20
4.3	CONSTRUCTION NOISE	21
4.3.1	EARTHWORKS NOISE	21
4.3.2	BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	21
4.4	ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE	22
<b>5</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS AND MITIGATION</b>	
5.1	CONSTRUCTION	24
5.2	OPERATIONS	24
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1	DETAILS OF LONG TERM MONITORING LOCATIONS	8
TABLE 2.2	SUMMARY OF MEASURED BACKGROUND AND AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS	10
TABLE 2.3	COMPARISON OF NOISE LEVELS AT DIFFERENT LOCATIONS	10
TABLE 3.1	INTRUSIVENESS NOISE CRITERIA	13
TABLE 3.2	NOISE AMENITY TARGETS FOR SPECIFIC LAND USES	14
TABLE 3.3	PROJECT SPECIFIC NOISE CRITERIA	14
TABLE 3.4	PROJECT SPECIFIC SLEEP DISTURBANCE NOISE CRITERIA	15
TABLE 3.5	DAY TIME CONSTRUCTION NOISE CRITERIA	16
TABLE 4.1	MODELLED SOUND POWER LEVELS (SWL) OF OUTSIDE PLANT MEASURED AT MASCOT SITE	19
TABLE 4.2	OPERATIONAL NOISE PREDICTIONS	20
TABLE 4.3	REPRESENTATIVE EQUIPMENT SOUND POWER LEVELS	21
TABLE 4.4	PREDICTED CONSTRUCTION NOISE LEVELS AT WORST AFFECTED RESIDENTIAL RECEIVERS	21
TABLE 4.5	PREDICTED ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE CONTRIBUTION DURING OPERATIONAL PHASE - LENORE DRIVE	22
TABLE 4.6	PREDICTED ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE CONTRIBUTION DURING CONSTRUCTION PHASE	22
TABLE A.1	LOCATION 1 - 1 PISCES PLACE, ERSKINE PARK	A1
TABLE A.2	LOCATION 2- EMMAUS VILLAGE, BAKERS LANE, ERSKINE PARK	A1

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1	SITE & NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	9
------------	-----------------------------------	---

## ANNEXES

ANNEX A	LONG TERM MONITORING DATA
ANNEX B	HORSLEY PARK VECTOR WIND ROSES

## **INTRODUCTION**

This noise impact assessment has been prepared to accompany the Lenore Drive Erskine Park Project Application and Concept Plan at Lenore Drive, Erskine Park. This assessment addresses development and operation of Site Area E within the Development Plan.

The overall project site has an area of approximately 38 ha and is located in the CSR Limited's land holdings adjacent to Lenore Drive within the land area addressed as 2 - 60 Lenore Drive and is a part of the Erskine Park Employment Area.

Construction work on Site Area E (53,910 square metres) of the plan will include earthworks and the construction and operation of the food manufacturing facilities which are to include goods receipt and dispatch, production, offices, warehousing, R&D facilities, amenities, bulk oil tank farm, LPG pilot plant, tanker washing bays, weigh bridges, trade waste facility and car park (for 125). The site is located within the Penrith Local Government Area.

Site Area E is bound by Lockwood Road to the north and Templar Road to the west, the overall project site is bound by a Sydney Water pipeline to the south and by an approved industrial site to the east.

Surrounding residences are located to the north within the residential area of Erskine Park, approximately 890m from the proposed production facility and 830m from the closest earthworks area. Approximately 820m south of Site Area E, beyond the water supply pipeline, is the Emmaus Retirement Village 'future' residences. Further south on Bakers Lane are the Emmaus Catholic College, Trinity Catholic Primary School and Mamre Christian College. Other existing residential receivers are composed of a few isolated properties located north of and fronting Lenore Drive, approximately 250 metres from the site.

Environmental noise levels are commonly expressed in dB(A). The A-weighting scale follows the average human hearing response and enables comparison of the intensity of noise with different frequency characteristics. Noise from environmental sources such as vehicles often varies with time. For this reason, noise emission from such sources is often described in terms of statistical noise descriptors. The following descriptors are commonly used to assess noise:

- dB(A) Noise level measurement units are decibels (dB). The "A" weighting indicates that a filter has been applied to the measured results to mimic the human response to noise;
- $L_{\max}$  - The absolute maximum noise level in a noise sample;
- $L_{10}$  - The noise level which is exceeded for 10 per cent of the time and is approximately the average of the maximum noise levels;
- $L_{90}$  - The noise level exceeded for 90 per cent of the time and is approximately the average of the minimum noise levels. The  $L_{90}$  level is often referred to as the "background" noise level and is commonly used as a basis for determining noise criteria for assessment purposes;
- $L_{\text{eq}}$  - This level represents the "equivalent" or average noise energy during a measurement period. The  $L_{\text{eq}}$  (24 hour) noise descriptor simply refers to the  $L_{\text{eq}}$  noise level calculated over a 24 hour period. Indeed, any of the above noise descriptors may be defined in this way, with an accompanying time period (e.g.  $L_{10}$  (20 minute)) as required;
- Sound Power Level  $L_w$  or SWL - This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The Sound Power of a source is a fundamental property of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment; and
- $L_p$  - Sound Pressure Level - The level of sound pressure, expressed in decibels, as measured by a standard sound level meter with a microphone. This differs from  $L_w$  in that this is the received sound as opposed to the sound 'intensity'.

The following concepts offer qualitative guidance in respect of the average response to changes in noise levels:

- differences in noise level of less than approximately 2 dB are generally imperceptible in practice;
- differences in noise level of around 5 dB are considered to be significant;

- a difference in noise level of around 10 dB is generally perceived to be a doubling (or halving) of the loudness of the noise.

The NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA) seeks to regulate noise from industrial noise sources through its Industrial Noise Policy (INP).

The INP includes a method for prescribing noise criteria for the purpose of assessing potential noise impacts from a source. This methodology is prescribed in terms of the measured "assessment background levels" and "rating background levels" of noise:

- ABL - The Assessment Background Level is the lowest tenth percentile value of the  $L_{90}$  levels measured for each day/evening/night assessment period respectively; and
- RBL - The Rating Background Level is defined as the overall single value representative background level for each of the day, evening and night periods respectively. The RBL is calculated as the median value of the corresponding ABL's (e.g. for each night period of the monitoring cycle). RBL's account for temporal variation of background noise and are used in determining the intrusiveness criterion for industrial noise.

For industrial noise there are three assessment periods - Day/Evening/Night. **Day** is the time period from 7:00 am to 6:00 pm (Monday to Saturday) or 8:00 am to 6:00 pm on Sundays and public holidays. **Evening** is the time period from 6:00 pm to 10:00 pm. **Night** is the time period from 10:00 pm to 7:00 am (Monday to Saturday) or 10:00 pm to 8:00 am on Sundays and public holidays.

The Environmental Protection Authority's (EPA's) definition of an **Industrial Noise Source** is one that generally does not move from place to place, for example, a stationary source. Except where other more specific noise control guidelines apply (for example, construction activities, road or rail traffic, emergency diesel generators etc.) all industrial noise sources that are scheduled under the *Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997* are considered to be industrial sources. In general, these include:

- rotating machinery;
- impacting mechanical sources;
- other mechanical equipment and machinery such as conveyors;
- mobile sources confined to a particular location, such as draglines and haul trucks.

Facilities, usually comprising many sources of sound including:

- industrial premises;
- extractive industries;

- commercial premises;
- -warehousing facilities; and
- -maintenance and repair facilities. (In this case, the industrial noise source is understood to encompass all the activities taking place within the property boundary of the facility).

For road traffic noise there are two assessment periods - Day and Night. **Day** is the time period from 7:00 am to 10:00 pm. Daytime noise descriptors often carry the 15hr identifier - e.g.  $L_{eq,15hr}$ . **Night** is the time period from 10:00 pm to 7:00 am. Night time noise descriptors often carry the 9hr identifier - e.g.  $L_{eq,9hr}$ .

## 1.2

### *KEY NOISE ISSUES*

The main potential noise issues with respect to the proposal are broadly as follows:

- Noise from earthworks. We understand that earthworks for the subject site have been completed.
- Noise associated with construction of the food manufacturing and warehousing facilities;
- Noise associated with operation of the facilities;
- Noise associated with the increased traffic to/from the site during construction and operation; and
- Cumulative noise from all existing and proposed industrial operations as part of the larger development scheme (i.e. Concept Plan).

The acoustic study focussed on the key issues and included noise measurement, derivation of suitable criteria in accordance with NSW Government's Industrial Noise Policy (INP) and recommendations for suitable mitigation such that residential properties are not adversely impacted. The assessment will also extend to traffic noise impact on residences in accordance with the Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise (ECRTN).

A key element in assessing environmental noise impact from industry is to quantify the ambient and background noise, including any existing industrial noise where relevant. From ERM's observations, the dominant noise sources at existing residential areas are related to construction activities and earthworks as well as traffic on Erskine Park Road and Mamre Road.

The existing acoustic environment was measured by means of both short-term attended and long-term unattended noise monitoring. Long term unattended noise monitoring was conducted in order to establish the level of ambient noise at residences surrounding the site. This was supplemented by attended noise monitoring to quantify the existing industrial and road traffic noise at potentially affected receivers.

Existing operating industry in the region is limited to a landfill west of the site. Limited observations during break in construction activities indicate that the landfill operations are not audible above road traffic noise at residential locations.

## 2.1

### **LONG TERM UNATTENDED NOISE MONITORING**

During our site visit the noise from the on going construction activities in the area was audible at the Lenore Drive residences, which are expected to be potentially affected by future operations on site. Since construction noise does not represent the usual background noise levels present at these locations, long term monitoring could not be performed at these locations. In accordance with the guidance in the INP, noise logging was conducted at the nearest representative unaffected location.

The long term noise monitoring was conducted at two locations from 1 to 15 July 2005 as described in *Table 2.1* and shown in *Figure 2.1*.


It should be noted that the monitoring was conducted during school holidays. These were therefore compared to data measured during non-holiday periods in similar locations, which were part of studies for adjacent developments. Particularly the approved Coles Myer Distribution facility immediately east of the site.

For Lenore Drive, the resulting RBL values are consistent with that derived for neighbouring developments. The night RBL values are identical, whilst the day and evening RBL's are 1 dB lower (more conservative) in this study.

For Erskine Park residences, RBL values for the evening and night periods are at least 1 dB lower (more conservative) in this study, whilst the daytime RBL is the same or lower than that for St Clair residences used in other studies.

**Table 2.1**      *Details of Long Term Monitoring Locations*

<b>ID</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Approximate Position with respect to the site</b>	<b>Approximate Position with respect to Lenore Drive</b>
Location 1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	1200m North	950m North
Location 2	Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	820m South	1250m South



- Legend**
- Site Boundary
  - Spot Check
  - Loggers

Client:	hansen yuncken	
Project:	Goodman Fielder Erskine Park	
Drawing No:	0080003s_01_R0	
Date:	12/03/2008	Drawing size: A4
Drawn by:	GC	Reviewed by: -
Source:	UBD	
Scale:	Refer to Scale Bar	



**Figure 2.1**

**Site and Noise Monitoring Locations**

Environmental Resources Management Australia Pty Ltd  
 Building C, 33 Saunders St, Pyrmont, NSW 2009  
 Telephone +61 2 8584 8888



The Rating Background noise Levels (RBL) and ambient noise levels derived from long term noise monitoring at the two locations are summarised in *Table 2.2*. *Annex A* contains daily noise data and charts for each location. The measurement data was analysed in accordance with the INP, using weather data from the Bureau of Meteorology's Horsley Park station.

**Table 2.2** *Summary of Measured Background and Ambient Noise Levels*

<sup>1</sup> Location	Rating Background Level			Ambient ( $L_{eq}$ ) Noise Level		
	Day dB(A)	Evening dB(A)	Night dB(A)	Day dB(A)	Evening dB(A)	Night dB(A)
1. Pisces Place, Erskine Park	36	36	32	52	45	42
2. Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	38	37	33	54	42	44

1. Levels shown are weather excluded.

## 2.2

### SHORT TERM ATTENDED MONITORING

In order to identify the current noise climate in the area, observations were made near the residences at Lenore Drive in the absence of any construction activity. These observations were supplemented by attended noise measurements to compare the background levels at Lenore Drive, with the long term data. The dominant noise source at Lenore Drive, in the absence of construction noise was observed to be road traffic noise from Erskine Park Road, Mamre Road and the M4 Motorway.

The attended 15 minute noise measurements at Lenore Drive are correlated with the unattended data in *Table 2.3*.

**Table 2.3** *Comparison of Noise Levels at different locations*

Date and Time	Location ID	Location	Noise Monitoring Type	$L_{90}$ Levels, dB(A)
01/07/2005 17:15-17:30	L1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	Unattended long term logging	47
	L2	Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	Unattended long term Logging	42
	S1	Lenore Drive (Intersection of Lenore Drive and Ore Lane)	Attended 15 minute measurement	43
14/07/2005 23:30-23:45	L1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	Unattended long term logging	33
	L2	Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	Unattended long term Logging	34
	S1	Lenore Drive (Intersection of Lenore Drive and Ore Lane)	Attended 15 minute measurement	32

Based on the above measurements, it is considered appropriate to adopt the data for 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park as representative of Lenore Drive. The representative RBL values at Lenore Drive are therefore 36 dB(A), 36 dB(A) and 32 dB(A) for the day, evening and night respectively.

## 2.3 *PREVAILING WEATHER CONDITIONS*

The site's operational criteria are derived from the NSW Government Industrial Noise Policy (INP) which prescribes goals that are assessable under prevailing weather conditions.

The efficiency of noise propagation over long distances can be significantly affected by the weather conditions. Of most interest are source to receiver winds and the presence of temperature inversions as both these conditions can enhance received noise levels. To account for these phenomena the EPA in their INP specify weather analysis procedures to determine the prevalent weather conditions that enhance noise propagation with a view to determining whether they can be described as a feature of the project area.

In this study one year's half-hourly weather data from the Bureau of Meteorology's weather station at Horsley Park was analysed. This was done in accordance with the procedures defined in the INP, and as otherwise advised by the EPA.

### 2.3.1 *Prevailing Winds*

The INP recommends consideration of wind effects if they are a "feature" of the area.

The INP defines "feature" as the presence of source-to-receiver wind speed (measured at 10 m above ground level) of 3 m/s or less, occurring for 30% of the time in any assessment period and season.

This is further clarified by defining source-to-receiver wind direction as being the directional component of wind. The INP states that where wind is identified to be feature of the area then assessment of noise impacts should consider the highest wind speed below 3 m/s, which is considered to prevail for at least 30% of the time.

A thorough review of the vector components of the half-hourly wind data described above was undertaken. The INP assessable wind directions are graphically demonstrated in *Annex B*, where the Wind Rose arm approaches or exceeds the 30% threshold as indicated by the rose.

It is demonstrated that assessable source-to-receiver winds do not occur during day and evening assessment periods but do occur during the night time assessment period. Hence, winds are considered a feature of the area only during the night time.

## Temperature Inversions

The INP states that the assessment of the impact of temperature inversions be confined to the night time noise assessment period where temperature inversions occur. Appendix F of the Industrial Noise Policy (INP) states (with respect to the percentage occurrence of stability class F during winter nights):

*"It is recommended that locations that are approaching a 30 percent occurrence level as well as those locations that either equal or exceed the 30 percent level be considered when assessing the effects of temperature inversions on noise levels."*

No information on the prevalence of temperature inversions for the region has been obtained. For the purposes of this assessment, it will be conservatively assumed that a temperature inversion of 3°C/100m occurs on more than 30% of winter nights, in accordance with the guidance in the INP.

### 3 NOISE CRITERIA

#### 3.1 OPERATIONAL NOISE

##### 3.1.1 Industrial Noise Criteria

The NSW Government's INP stipulates guidelines for assessment of noise from the operation of industrial facilities.

Assessment criteria for residences potentially affected by noise from industries should achieve the following objectives:

1. protection of the community from excessive intrusive noise; and
2. preservation of the amenity for specific land uses.

Both criteria need to be met.

##### 3.1.2 Residential Intrusiveness

The intrusiveness criterion requires that the  $L_{Aeq,15min}$  noise levels from the newly-introduced source during each of the day, evening and night time periods do not exceed the existing rating background noise levels (RBL) by more than 5dB at the most affected noise sensitive location. *Table 3.1* shows the derived project specific noise criteria.

*Table 3.1 Intrusiveness Noise Criteria*

Receiver No	Location	Criterion, $L_{eq,15minute}$ dB(A)		
		Day	Evening	Night
1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	41	41	37
2	Emmaus Village, Baker Drive	43	42	38
3	Residence on Lenore Drive	41	41	37

1. Criteria are based on the Existing Background Noise levels presented in *Table 4.2*.

##### 3.1.3 Amenity

The INP stipulates acceptable and maximum noise levels from all industry consistent with maintaining amenity for specific land uses. The acceptable target noise levels are presented in *Table 3.2* for each assessment period and for appropriate surrounding land uses. In this case the 'suburban' category has been applied to determine the target noise levels at the existing residences. Also presented are goals for school classrooms that apply to the teaching facilities on Bakers Lane, Erskine Park.

**Table 3.2** *Noise Amenity Targets for Specific Land Uses*

Residential Land use	Target acceptable amenity industrial noise levels, dB(A) $L_{eq}$ period		
	Day	Evening	Night
Suburban	55	45	40
Urban	60	50	45
School classroom - internal	35 - 40 Noisiest 1-hour when in use		

1. These target levels apply to the total noise attributable to all industrial sites (as scheduled in the Protection of Environment (Operations) Act).

In this case, given that the existing industrial noise does not approach the targets, the suggested amenity levels have been applied unmodified. However, consideration to possible future developments has been given as described in the cumulative noise section later. Both the amenity and intrusiveness criteria apply to the project. *Table 3.3* summarises the applicable criteria.

**Table 3.3** *Project Specific Noise Criteria*

		RBL, dB(A)	Intrusiveness, dB(A) $L_{eq,15min}$	Amenity (Suburban), dB(A) $L_{eq}$ period	Project Specific Level, dB(A)
<b>Location 1</b>	Day	36	41	55	41
	Evening	36	41	45	41
	Night	32	37	40	37
<b>Location 2</b>	Day	38	43	55	43
	Evening	37	42	45	42
	Night	33	38	40	38
<b>Location 3</b>	Day	36	41	55	41
	Evening	36	41	45	41
	Night	32	37	40	37

### 3.1.4 *Sleep Disturbance*

The INP criteria are appropriate for assessing noise from continuous and intermittent sources, such as engine noise from mobile plant and general processing plant and equipment. However, transient noise sources such as reversing alarms also require assessment.

Given the transient nature of these events, the  $L_{eq}$  noise level from such sources would not be representative since the noise in question may not be present for much of the time. Hence, the above criteria are not appropriate for this type of noise.

The most important effect of these transient noises would be the possibility of disturbing the sleep of nearby residents. The EPA's Environmental Noise Control Manual (ENCM) indicates that to prevent sleep disturbance, the  $L_{1,1min}$  noise level from an intrusive source should not exceed the background noise level by more than 15 dB. More recent advice from the EPA has confirmed that the  $L_{max}$  descriptor is to be used in lieu of the  $L_{1,1min}$ . On this basis, the maximum noise level from any operational event should not exceed the levels shown in *Table 3.4*.

**Table 3.4** *Project Specific Sleep Disturbance Noise Criteria*

Receiver No	Location	$L_{max}$ Criteria, dB(A)
1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	47
2	Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	48
3	Lenore Drive	47

1. Criteria are based on the Existing Background Noise levels presented in *Table 2.2*.
2. Sleep disturbance criteria apply during the night assessment period only.
3. Criteria are assessable at the façade of the most affected sleeping area.

However, this criterion does not take account of more recent research on the effects on sleep of road traffic noise. The EPA's Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise (ECRTN) policy indicates that maximum noise levels below 50 - 55dB(A) inside residences from road traffic sources are unlikely to cause awakening reactions. If bedroom windows are partly open, this corresponds to an external maximum noise level of approximately **60 - 65 dB(A)** outside a residence.

In our experience, adopting the former more stringent criterion would be desirable in the first instance, and if exceedances are predicted, consideration should be given to the potential number of such events and the more recent research above.

## 3.2 CONSTRUCTION NOISE CRITERIA

### 3.2.1 Level Restriction

Construction noise is explicitly excluded from the EPA's INP, and the assessment criteria for construction noise set out in the Environmental Noise Control Manual ENCM (EPA, 1994) will be used. These are expressed in terms of the  $L_{10}$  level of noise from the construction site. The criteria depend on the existing background noise level at the assessment location and apply to residential premises only.

The EPA's criteria for noise from construction sites are assessed at residential properties:

- for construction periods of four weeks and under, the L<sub>10</sub> noise level due to the construction site should not exceed the existing L<sub>90</sub> background noise level by more than 20 dB;
- for construction periods of between four and 26 weeks, the L<sub>10</sub> noise level due to the construction site should not exceed the existing L<sub>90</sub> background noise level by more than 10 dB; and

It is generally understood that for construction periods greater than 26 weeks, the L<sub>10</sub> noise level due to the construction site should not exceed the existing L<sub>90</sub> background noise level by more than 5 dB, which is comparable to the operational intrusiveness noise criteria.

The assessment will focus on the earthworks, construction of the warehouse and associated concrete works. The total construction period is expected to be less than 6 months. Based on the rating background noise levels determined in *Table 2.2*, *Table 3.5* details the construction noise criteria for the proposal.

**Table 3.5** *Day time Construction Noise Criteria*

Receiver No	Location	Construction noise criteria, L <sub>10,15minute</sub> dB(A)
1	1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park	46
2	Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park	48
3	Lenore Drive	46

1. Criteria are based on the Existing Background Noise levels presented in *Table 2.2*.

### 3.2.2 *Time Restriction*

ENCM also specifies time limits for construction activities where construction noise is audible at residential premises, and are outlined below:

- Monday to Friday, 7:00 am to 6:00 pm;
- Saturday, 8:00 am to 1:00 pm, otherwise 7:00 am to 1:00 pm if inaudible at residential premises; and
- no construction on Sundays or public holidays.

The proposed construction hours will be 7am to 6pm Monday to Friday and 7am to 1pm Saturdays. Where construction that will be audible at residential locations outside these hours is necessary, a separate permit will be required specific to those activities. It is often the case that if construction noise is inaudible at residences, then works can occur outside these times.

The potential impacts of traffic noise resulting from both the construction and operational related traffic on public roads are assessed against criteria defined in the NSW *Government's Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise* (ECRTN).

Site related traffic will use routes that are currently relatively heavily trafficked and part of the broader road network. Within closer proximity to the site, residences that could be affected by site related traffic are the few isolated existing properties of Lenore Drive.

The upgrade of Lenore Drive to a four lane (dual carriageway) industrial standard collector road is already completed up to Site E. This road is to cater for the future expansion of industrial land uses (including this proposal) and therefore will increasingly carry a higher volume of traffic with a relatively large proportion of heavy vehicles. Further, it is understood that Development Applications for similar developments that will use Lenore Drive are being considered or approved. Site related traffic and associated noise will therefore be similar to these developments, once operational.

Section 2.2 of the NSW *Government's Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise* (ECRTN) states that some industries are, by necessity, in locations that are often not served by arterial roads. Heavy vehicles must be able to get to their bases of operation, and this may mean travelling on local roads. To this end, the concept of 'principal haulage routes' has been endorsed by the then Department of Urban Affairs and Planning's North Coast Extractive Industries Standing Committee. Where local authorities identify a 'principal haulage route', the noise criteria for the route should be at least as high as those for collector roads, recognising the intent that they carry a different level and mix of traffic to local roads. Thus, although Lenore Drive can currently be classified as a local road, it can be identified as a 'principal haulage route' for the proposed developments. Further, on final completion of the approved upgrade of Lenore Drive, it will operate as a collector road in any case. The noise criteria for Lenore Drive can then be set as **60 dB(A)  $L_{eq,1hr}$**  daytime and **55 dB(A)  $L_{eq,1hr}$**  night time.

As noted earlier, the key noise issues associated with the proposed development include operational noise, construction noise and noise associated with expected increase in road traffic. These issues have been addressed based on worst case scenario predictions and past experience with similar developments, supplemented by noise measurements made at Goodman Fielders Mascot facility..

**4.1****OPERATIONAL NOISE**

The following assessment is based on Concept Plan drawing GP-LE-MP-022 revision A, dated 26 January 2008 and Development Application Site Plan drawing GF-TE-DA-001, dated 25 March 2008, as provided by Hansen Yuncken. These drawings depict the subject property as Site Area E. It is understood that the proposed Food Manufacturing, storage and warehouse facilities will be used 24 hours a day and 7 days per week. Site traffic access will be via four driveways off Templar Road, two for truck movements and two for parking and office access. The truck entrance and exit are to be 200m and 40m south of Lockwood Road, respectively. The car park driveway is located 130m south of Lockwood Road.

In general all exposed (not enclosed) noise producing activities, including pallet handling, truck movements, roof mounted HVAC, pumps, compressors and boiler operation will contribute to the noise environment. In particular, on-site trucking activities are expected to be one of the dominant noise sources. To that end, site plans depict two (2) southern and two (2) northern loading docks, southern and northern weigh stations, a southern washing bay and an eastern boundary road allowing unloaded trucks access to the northern exit.

It is understood that currently the Mascot site loads at the maximum rate of 4 trucks per hour and delivery and loading operations occur for 16 hours a day. Deliveries are presumed to occur at the same rate. Noise predictions included the assumption that loading docks will be in use simultaneously during the day and night. Trucks will drive into these docks and forklifts will be used to load/unload them from beneath awning structures. The representative sound power level associated with a truck pass by is 90 dB(A)  $L_{eq,15min}$ . Truck movements were considered to occur simultaneously at four positions. These positions were where the truck driveways meet the boundary and at the north eastern and south eastern corners on the perimeter road, in accordance with a worst case 15 minute period. It will be assumed that only one of each of the loading and delivery docks will be in operation during the night. The sound power level associated with a reversing alarm is 110 dB(A)  $L_{max}$  and was used for sleep disturbance predictions.

Of acoustic significance is the fact that loading areas and activities located in the north of the site are generally shielded from receptors south of the site by intervening buildings. Conversely, exposed activities in the south of the site are generally shielded to receptors in the north. ERM also understands that there exists the potential for future developments that will include similar large buildings to the north, south, east and west of the site. These structures once built will offer considerable acoustic shielding from site operations to the surrounding area.

Noise modelling used in this report has been based on ERM data files from similar operations and the results from a noise survey of the Goodman Fielders food manufacturing plant at Mascot. Sound power levels (SWL) of related outside plant based on the Goodman Fielders food manufacturing facilities survey at Mascot are shown in *Table 4.1*.

**Table 4.1** *Modelled Sound Power Levels (SWL) of outside plant measured at Mascot site*

Description	SWL
BBQ source cooling unit	98
Mayonnaise Salad and Coleslaw (MSC) line 7.6kW compressor	*103
Bulk vinegar storage, blending and transfer facility (overall)	103
Fork lifts (2) loading truck (truck switched off)	99
Clean In Place (CIP) facility	100
5MW Centre Fire Boiler	**99

1. Noise levels are rounded to nearest decibel
2. \* New site expecting to use 2 x 7.6 kW compressors, assumed to run 50% of the time
3. \*\* Based on 13MW Mascot Boiler SWL of 105dB(A); the new 5MW boiler is assumed to produce half the noise energy and to run 50% of the time

Noise emission levels from on-site trucking and combined plant were used to predict received noise at residences. HVAC systems for the new site have not yet been specified and so a level of  $L_{eq} 85 \text{ dB(A)}$   $L_{eq,15\text{min}}$  per unit has been used, based on a representative refrigeration fan unit. The results of noise predictions are summarised in *Table 4.2*.

**Table 4.2 Operational Noise Predictions**

Receptor	Predicted Noise Level, dB(A)			Criteria, $L_{eq,15minute}$ , dB(A)			Sleep Criterion, $L_{1,1minute}$ dB(A)
	Day & Evening (Calm)	Night		Day	Evening	Night	
		Calm	Adverse Weather				
1. Erskine Park Residences	28 $L_{eq,15min}$	27 $L_{eq,15min}$ 39 $L_{1,1min}$	32 $L_{eq,15min}$ 44 $L_{1,1min}$	41	41	37	47
2. Emmaus Retirement Village	35 $L_{eq,15min}$	35 $L_{eq,15min}$ 38 $L_{1,1min}$	37 $L_{eq,15min}$ 40 $L_{1,1min}$	43	42	38	48
3. Lenore Drive Residences	39 $L_{eq,15min}$	36 $L_{eq,15min}$ <b>51<math>L_{1,1min}</math></b>	<b>40<math>L_{eq,15min}</math></b> <b>54<math>L_{1,1min}</math></b>	41	41	37	47

- The resulting noise from adverse weather conditions at night is the higher of a temperature inversion of 3°C/100m or assessable wind speed (derived as per the INP).
- Bold** text indicates predicted exceedance of criteria.

The results in *Table 4.2* demonstrate that daytime and night time noise from the site, with loading and delivery docks operational as described earlier, will be within noise goals at most receiver locations during calm weather conditions. The exception is Lenore Drive residences where it is possible that a peak noise event could cause sleep disturbance during the night. During adverse weather conditions at night, noise levels are predicted to be above the DECC non-mandatory criteria at the Lenora Lane residences. To that end, with future development of the neighbouring sites to the north, providing added shielding through similar warehouse type buildings, noise from the premises will be reduced to within the criteria.

It is understood that the Lenore Drive residential properties are zoned industrial use. It is therefore probable that these residential properties are developed for industrial land use.

#### 4.2 CUMULATIVE INDUSTRIAL NOISE

As mentioned earlier, there are approved adjacent industrial developments that potentially could affect the same residential receivers assessed as part of this study. If we assume an equal distribution of noise criteria from say one other industrial site adjacent to and hence having similar impacts as the subject proposal, a 3dB reduction in the Amenity noise criteria would apply. This implies a modified suburban residential amenity goal for the subject site of 52dB(A)  $L_{eq,11hr}$ , 42dB(A)  $L_{eq,4hr}$  and 37dB(A)  $L_{eq,9hr}$  for the day, evening and night time periods respectively.

This generally does not alter the project specific noise targets derived earlier. It should be noted that operations at sites further away from the subject site will result in different received noise levels at given receiver locations. Further, the  $L_{eq,period}$  noise level from the site will be significantly reduced from the above predicted  $L_{eq,15minute}$ . A detailed cumulative noise impact assessment should be undertaken once details of proposed operations at neighbouring sites are clarified.

### 4.3 CONSTRUCTION NOISE

#### 4.3.1 Earthworks Noise

We understand that earthworks are completed except for some minor finishing work for the subject site.

#### 4.3.2 Building Construction

Noise from proposed on site building works were predicted for the surrounding residential locations. Simultaneous operation of 6 trucks and 2 cranes were used to represent typical activities.

Representative sound power levels associated with these equipment used in noise modelling are summarised in *Table 4.3*.

**Table 4.3 Representative Equipment Sound Power Levels**

Equipment	$L_{10,15min}$ Sound Power Level, dB(A)
Road Trucks	103
Crane	115

A worst case scenario assuming the simultaneous operation of all aforementioned construction equipment was used for the analysis. *Table 4.4* lists the predicted noise levels at the potentially worst affected residential receivers due to construction activities.

**Table 4.4 Predicted Construction Noise Levels at Worst Affected Residential Receivers**

Receiver	Predicted $L_{10,15min}$ Noise Level, dB(A)	$L_{10,15min}$ Criteria, dB(A)
1. Erskine Park	45	46
2. Emmaus Village	48	48
3. Lenore Drive	56	46

Table 4.4 demonstrates that the noisiest of construction works will be within relevant EPA goals at most residential locations. The exception is a predicted exceedance at the closest residences of Lenore Drive. It should be noted that these calculations represent the likely noisiest 15 minute period on any one day and are not necessarily representative of daily noise from construction.

#### 4.4 ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE

The potential for noise impacts associated with the expected increase in traffic on Lenore Drive was investigated. The number of vehicles associated with site operation on Lenore Drive is based on overall data provided by the proponent of typically 66 trucks per day.

Table 4.5 and Table 4.6 list the peak traffic conditions and the associated site related contribution from predicted traffic noise levels at the worst affected residence on Lenore Drive (assumed to be 30m from the roadway) for the Operational and Construction phases respectively.

**Table 4.5** *Predicted Road Traffic Noise Contribution during Operational Phase - Lenore Drive*

Period		Number of Vehicles (Peak Hour)		Noise Level L <sub>eq,1hr</sub> dB(A)	Criteria L <sub>eq,1hr</sub> dB(A)
		In	Out	(Peak Hour)	
Day (7am - 10pm)	Time	7 Trucks, 125 Cars	7 Trucks	61	60
Night (10pm - 7am)	Time	7 Trucks	7 Trucks, 125 Cars	61	55

**Table 4.6** *Predicted Road Traffic Noise Contribution during Construction Phase*

Period		Vehicles (Typical Hour)		Noise Level, dB(A) (Typical Hour)	Criteria L <sub>eq,1hr</sub> dB(A)
		In	Out		
Day (7am - 10pm)	Time	10 Trucks	10 Trucks	53	60

The results demonstrate that daytime traffic noise impacts will be marginally above relevant criteria for operationally related traffic. An exceedance is predicted for potential night time traffic operations. However, as discussed earlier, similar traffic noise levels are expected to exist in future due to traffic from other industrial land use developments at adjacent sites. It is understood that these adjacent operations have been approved. The subject proposal will result in similar traffic noise exposure. Further, Lenore Drive residences occupy industrial zoned properties.

Whilst the night time operational traffic noise predictions highlight an exceedance of the average hourly criterion, a related expected impact for Lenore Drive residences is sleep disturbance.

The criterion for sleep disturbance is 60 dB(A)  $L_{\max}$  outside the most exposed façade (refer to Section 5.1.4 ECRTN).

However, the subject proposal will not be dissimilar to future Lenore Drive traffic, related to already approved adjacent developments. To that end, the purpose of the approved Lenore Drive upgrade is primarily to service such developments. Further, the Lenore Drive upgrade DA is likely to have highlighted such traffic noise impacts on the existing isolated Lenore Drive residences. It is also unclear whether this road upgrade will necessitate that affected residential properties are redeveloped into non-noise sensitive land use. It is understood, that an agreement (formal or otherwise) or similar measures are in place between industrial property holders or developers and owners of these few isolated residential properties.

### 5.1 CONSTRUCTION

While there is the potential for construction noise to exceed the recommended criterion (without mitigation) at the potentially closest residences, there are several mitigation measures that may be employed to reduce noise impacts. These include:

- Scheduling construction activities such that the concurrent operation of plant is limited;
- Preparation of a construction noise management plan (to be included in the project Environmental Management Plan) prior to construction to ensure that all employees understand and take responsibility for noise control at site;
- Properly maintaining plant to ensure rated noise emission levels are not exceeded;
- Undertaking construction activities guided by *AS2436-1981 "Guide to Noise Control on Construction, Maintenance and Demolition Sites"*;
- Providing a contact telephone number via which the public may seek information or make a complaint. A log of complaints should be maintained and actioned by the site superintendent in a responsive manner.

It is understood that the few isolated Lenore Drive residential properties are zoned industrial use. It is therefore probable that these residential properties are developed for industrial land use, which would absolve noise impacts.

### 5.2 OPERATIONS

Operational noise predictions indicate that sensitive receivers will not be exposed to noise above relevant criteria, once all planned developments are constructed (including those north of the site). There are mitigation measures that may be employed to further reduce noise impacts. These include:

- Scheduling truck movements and loading dock operations such that concurrent operation of vehicles is minimised. This would include limiting onsite vehicle idling while loading; and

- Preparation of an operational noise management plan (to be included in the project Environmental Management Plan) prior to operation to ensure that all employees understand and take responsibility for noise control at site.

It is understood that the few isolated Lenore Drive residential properties are zoned industrial use. It is therefore probable that these residential properties are developed for industrial land use, which would absolve noise impacts.

## CONCLUSION

ERM has completed a noise impact survey and assessment of the proposed construction and operation of a warehouse facility at Lenore Drive, Erskine Park. The facility is expected to comply with the relevant operational noise criteria at sensitive residential locations, once all planned developments are constructed (including those south of the site understood to be in the planning phase).

If residences along Lenore Drive are to remain, the noise assessment indicates potential impacts from future night time trucking movements associated with the proposal and similar adjacent developments. It is understood however, that an agreement (formal or otherwise) or similar measures are in place between industrial property holders or developers and owners of these few isolated residential properties. To that end, it is probable that these residences are unlikely to remain following the significant upgrade to Lenore Drive. The upgrade is needed to accommodate expected traffic, composing a relatively significant proportion of heavy vehicles. It is therefore probable that these residential properties are developed for industrial land use, which would absolve noise impacts.

Annex A

## Long Term Monitoring Data

**Table A.1** *Location 1 – 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park*



Date	ABL Day	ABL Evening	ABL Night	Leq 11hr Day	Leq 4hr Evening	Leq 9hr Night
Friday, 01-07-05	0	41.5	32.5	0	47.5	41.8
Saturday, 02-07-05	33.5	30.5	28	49	44	38.3
Sunday, 03-07-05	33.5	31.5	28.5	50	41.6	40
Monday, 04-07-05	34.5	40.5	31.5	52.3	45.2	41.6
Tuesday, 05-07-05	36	42	31.5	49.1	46.5	43.2
Wednesday, 06-07-05	36.5	35.5	34	48.3	43.7	44.9
Thursday, 07-07-05	41	32	27.5	57.9	43.5	42.6
Friday, 08-07-05	36	36	33	52.5	44.3	41.9
Saturday, 09-07-05	0	35	0	0	42	0
Sunday, 10-07-05	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday, 11-07-05	0	32.5	32.5	0	41.6	40.7
Tuesday, 12-07-05	37	43.5	0	50.9	47.4	0
Wednesday, 13-07-05	0	39	32	0	44.5	43
Thursday, 14-07-05	35.5	34	32	50.4	43.9	43.2
Friday, 15-07-05	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Summary Values</b>	36	35.5	32	52.3	44.7	42.2

1. '0' indicates periods with too few valid samples due to weather or logger operation.  
2. Leq24hr encompasses the period 7am to 7am


**Table A.2** *Location 2- Emmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park*

Date	ABL Day	ABL Evening	ABL Night	Leq 11hr Day	Leq 4hr Evening	Leq 9hr Night
Friday, 01-07-05	0	37.5	33.5	0	41.1	41.6
Saturday, 02-07-05	37.5	36.5	33	52.3	41.5	41.1
Sunday, 03-07-05	36.5	35.5	33	52	40.9	43.3
Monday, 04-07-05	37	33.5	32.5	50.5	40.3	44.2
Tuesday, 05-07-05	39.5	37	34	60.2	40.3	44.5
Wednesday, 06-07-05	37.5	35	34.5	49.6	42.2	44.6
Thursday, 07-07-05	41	39	32	50.8	45.4	44.6
Friday, 08-07-05	37	35.5	34	50.1	41.2	40.7
Saturday, 09-07-05	0	36.5	0	0	41.6	0
Sunday, 10-07-05	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monday, 11-07-05	0	35	32.5	0	41.1	45.6
Tuesday, 12-07-05	39	38.5	0	51	43	0
Wednesday, 13-07-05	0	35	32.5	0	42.4	43.9
Thursday, 14-07-05	40.5	36.5	32.5	50.5	43.1	43.1
Friday, 15-07-05	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Summary Values</b>	37.5	36.5	33	53.5	42.1	43.6


1. '0' indicates periods with too few valid samples due to weather or logger operation.  
2. Leq24hr encompasses the period 7am to 7am




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Sunday, 03-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Monday, 04-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Tuesday, 05-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Wednesday, 06-07-05





Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Thursday, 07-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Friday, 08-07-05







Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Monday, 11-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Tuesday, 12-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Wednesday, 13-07-05





Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Thursday, 14-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 1 Pisces Place, Erskine Park  
 Friday, 15-07-05







Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Sunday, 03-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Monday, 04-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Tuesday, 05-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Wednesday, 06-07-05





Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Thursday, 07-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Friday, 08-07-05








Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Monday, 11-07-05




Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Tuesday, 12-07-05





Measured Ambient Noise Levels  
 Enmaus Village, Bakers Lane, Erskine Park  
 Friday, 15-07-05





Annex B

## Horsley Park Vector Wind Roses

Day




Summer

Spring



Winter

Autumn




Data Source: Horsley Park AWS (BoM)  
Data Range: 30 min, 01-07-04 to 14-07-05


The segments of each arm represent the six valid wind speed classes, with increasing windspeed from the centre outwards. The length of each arm represents the vector components (for each direction) of wind speeds 3m/s or below as a proportion of the total time for the period . The circle represents the 30% occurrence threshold.

# Evening


## Summer





## Spring



## Winter




## Autumn




# Night


Summer



Spring



Winter



Autumn

