



East Guyong
Quarry NSW

GUYONG QUARRY – DETAILED RESPONSE TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION SUBMISSIONS

Document Purpose

Hanson Construction Materials Pty Ltd has prepared this document in response to the request from the Director of Major Development Assessment of the Department of Planning dated 2 December 2009. The request was for the proponent to respond to all issues raised in submissions, and in addition the Department required:

- Further assessment of the potential asbestos/health, non-aboriginal heritage and lighting impacts of the project; and
- Further justification for proposed 24-hour operations on the site.

Assessment of the potential asbestos/health

Rangott Mineral Exploration (RME) was commissioned by Hanson to conduct a thorough assessment of the site with regard to naturally occurring asbestos on the site. A copy of this report located in Appendix A of this document.

Assessment of non-Aboriginal heritage

A non-Aboriginal Heritage assessment has been carried out by Archaeological Surveys & Reports Pty Ltd (ASR). A copy of this report located in Appendix B of this document.

Assessment of lighting impacts and justification for proposed 24-hour operations on the site

The environmental assessment conducted on the project indicates that there is no significant impact above any regulatory criteria from quarrying activities regardless of the hours of operation. Drilling and blasting will be performed during daylight hours only. In particular the proponent agrees to restrict blasting on the premises to take place only between 9am and 3pm Monday to Friday and 9am to 1pm Saturday.

The proponent is willing to commit to operations occurring during daylight hours (these times obviously change between summer and winter). The proponent proposes not to operate the quarry on Sundays or Public Holidays, with the exception of emergency maintenance work. Accordingly there will be no impacts caused by operational lighting as this will be restricted to lighting for security purposes only.

GUYONG QUARRY – DETAILED RESPONSE TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION SUBMISSIONS

Table of Contents

Submission by Heather McNair and Dave Mansfield, 15 November 2009	1
1. Presence of naturally occurring asbestos	1
2. Air Quality	3
i. Critical observation were omitted	3
ii. Inappropriate use of Orange rather than Bathurst Meteorological data.....	3
iii. Inadequate background dust measurement	5
iv. Flawed dust deposition averages	6
v. No variable or intermittent emissions modelled	7
vi. No information regarding the impact of dust on crops and pastures.....	7
vii. Is TAPM valid for this model?.....	8
3. Noise	8
i. Inaccurate background noise measurements.....	8
ii. Wind measurements not taken from the noise source and topography disregarded	9
iii. Reversing alarms omitted from noise modelling	9
iv. Airblast exceeds maximum human comfort levels on the highway.....	9
v. Effects of ground vibration on surrounding historic buildings not addresses	9
4. Omission of an affected Dwelling	10
5. Misleading information about hours of operation and lighting	13
6. Inadequacies in the Traffic Report	13
i. Highway closures.....	13
ii. Restricted Traffic Flows.....	13
iii. School buses and school children	14
iv. Heavy vehicles.....	14
v. Dangerous Intersection	15
7. Failure to provide a non-Aboriginal Heritage Report	15
i. The quarry overlooks a site of National Historical Significance	15
ii. Guyong was one of the earliest settlements west of the mountains.....	16
8. Hanson has failed to consult with the community and its operations will have negative impacts on Guyong and the broader community	16
i. Hanson did not inform residents about their EA submission	16
ii. Hanson did not establish effects on property values	17
iii. Hanson has not addressed its effect on the profitability of surrounding business 17	
iv. Effects on the broader community	17
9. Hanson has failed to prove need for the project	18
Submitted by NSW Government Office of Water (NOW) 12 November, 2009	19
Groundwater Assessment and Licensing	19
Conflicting Statements	19
Recommended Conditions of Approval.....	19
Submitted by NSW Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA) November, 2009	21
Submitted by Stephanie Bestwick and Simon Warner, 22 November, 2009	22
1. The presence of Naturally Occurring asbestos.....	22
2. Increase traffic noise by truck from the quarry.....	24
3. We believe dust will be more of a problem to nearby residences than Hanson’s report indicates.....	24
Submitted by Nancy Gordon (Gordon Family) “Bethune”, 22 November, 2009	25
Submission by Mrs Janis Elizabeth Pritchard, 20 November, 2009	26
Submission by E Hope Gordon, J Bruce and Sally Jane Gordon, 23 November, 2009	30
Consultation	30
Hours of Operation.....	30
Dust	30
Why was Bethune not included in the air quality assessment?	30

GUYONG QUARRY – DETAILED RESPONSE TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION SUBMISSIONS

Why was meteorological data from Bathurst use?.....	31
Dust modelling shows changes in background dust levels at Fairview.....	32
Groundwater.....	32
Cost/benefit Analysis	33
Need for a quarry.....	34
Submission by Doug Harris of “Fairview”, 19 November, 2009	35
Public consultation process	35
Hours of operation.....	35
Submission by Dorothy McNair, 3 December 2009	39
Submission by RJ, MG, GJ Munro, 2 November 2009	40
1. Our property (100 acres) adjoins proposed development our the western boundary, our residence being within a mile of proposed quarry	40
2. Blasting will in the long term affect the house due to vibration	40
3. Sharp devaluation of the property value as no one would be desirous (<i>sic</i>) of living next door to an active quarry	40
4. Livestock will be effected by blasting as well as dust being blown onto pasture ..	41
5. Continuous beeping of reverse trucks supposedly one every 15 minutes for a full day on a weekly basis i.e. noise pollution	41
6. Blasting and excavating will completely change escarpment and entire area ...	42
7. Aged eucalyptus will unfortunately be destroyed and are irreplaceable.....	43
8. To date we received no direct advice from Hansons that assessment was complete	43
9. Vegetation area is habitat to a larger variety of birds in particular wedge-tailed eagles, various hawk species, the rare fire-tailed finches also crimson rosellas all to be affected by blasting and lossed (<i>sic</i>) to the area	44
10. Kangaroos and wallabies frequent the area and all fauna will be lost.....	44
11. Influx of heavy vehicles on a highway already under stress.....	44
Submission by NSW Department of Industry and Investment	46
Fisheries Issues.....	46
Mineral Resources Issues.....	46
Submission by Sally and Tony Gordon of Hartley, Cadira and Godolphin, 19 November 2009	52
Summary of Objections	52
1. Cost/benefit analysis to existing neighbours and the need for gravel.....	55
2. Heritage	56
“Gold washing cradle used in the Ophir goldfields”.....	56
Source:Powerhouse Museum	57
3. Asbestos Concern	57
4. Inadequate water supply and diversion runoff	59
5. Visual Effects (<i>sic</i>)	59
6. Noise effects (<i>sic</i>)	60
7. Dust effects (<i>sic</i>).....	61
8. Vibration.....	61
9. Property values	62
Submission by NSW Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (DECCW), 11 November 2009	63
Environmental Protection Licence	63
Noise	63
Blasting Limits.....	63
Hours of Construction.....	63
Hours of operation.....	64
Air Quality	64
Water Quality	64
Waste Management.....	65
Biodiversity Matters.....	66
Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	67

GUYONG QUARRY – DETAILED RESPONSE TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION SUBMISSIONS

Submission by Lynette McPhee Apps, 19 November 2009	68
The report does not mention that within 2km there are 7 Bluestone buildings all built over 130 years ago.....	68
Submission by Cabonne Council, 23 November 2009	69
Naturally Occurring Asbestos	69
Air Quality and meteorologic information	71
Tourist Maze	74
European Heritage.....	75
Blasting and road closures.....	76
Traffic and heavy vehicles.....	77
Visual Impacts.....	77
Submission by RE and CM Hindmarsh, 1 November 2009	79
Building entitlement on Lot599 DP748276.....	79
Property values	80
State Environmental Planning Policy No. 33 Hazardous and Offensive	81
Reversing alarms.....	81
Livestock will be effected by blasting.....	81
Dust contaminating the pasture.....	81
Constant stream of traffic	82
Threatened bird species.....	82
APPENDIX A - Report on the occurrence and distribution of asbestos minerals at the planned Lyndon quarry site	83
APPENDIX B – The European heritage assessment of the site of the proposed East Guyong quarry	103
APPENDIX C - GEOLOGY AND RESERVES, EAST GUYONG, NSW	130

GUYONG QUARRY – DETAILED RESPONSE TO PUBLIC EXHIBITION SUBMISSIONS

This page intentionally left blank.