

ATTACHMENT 1

-----Original Message-----

From: David Kelly [mailto:davek@ballina.nsw.gov.au]

Sent: Monday, 22 January 2007 3:27 PM

To: Peter Williams

Subject: RE: Ballina Gateway Project - Kerr St / River Street Roundabout

Peter,

At this point in time we are here:

1. Council's preferred position is that a monetary contribution would most likely be the selected option. This would be facilitated via a 'developer agreement' which would need to be formulated in due course.
2. Shane Healy will be meeting with council staff this week (hopefully tomorrow) to discuss the modelling that has been undertaken and if the need for further investigation is required.

regards

Dave Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter Williams [mailto:pwilliams@newtondennychapelle.com.au]

Sent: Tuesday, 16 January 2007 11:15 AM

To: David Kelly

Cc: damian chapelle

Subject: Ballina Gateway Project - Kerr St / River Street Roundabout

Dave,

Please find attached part copy of information which was in response to DOP / RTA issue associated with the roundabout at Kerr / River Street.

The issue is that our submission was to offer \$150k contribution towards roads (ultimately via a Developer Agreement with BSC and not the RTA) such that monies maybe spent by BSC on best outcome works in the immediate locality given no contribution plan exists for CBD works (may not necessarily be the roundabout) OR we would widen left turn lane (ie north bound) at the roundabout which would provide modest improvement to the function of the roundabout (and hence mitigate our minor impact).

Does Council has a preferred position at this point - as I know Shane Healy was doing some concept modelling works in the area but I don't know what the outcomes were/are? (ie signals / part signalisation?). Our development footprint has demonstrated that we do not preclude future signalisation (if

ever undertaken given bypass and West Ballina arterial projects).

The RTA would prefer the money be spent on the widening, but it is not necessarily their call as BSC is the Roads Authority but the RTA's concurrence is required for any works proposed on the highway. So, if BSC prefers the contribution/developer agreement process, then no RTA concurrence required.

Your early advice would be appreciated as DOP wishes to know what Council's preference in relation to the alternatives raised in our proposal.

Regards

Peter Williams

Newton Denny Chapelle