

## Hillview Hard Rock Quarry Project SSD-70557215

## Objection

I am concerned by this proposal, the reasoning behind it and lack of forward thinking. The proposal is biased, contradictory, heavy on the positives and light on the negatives and what is not being said, at this stage, is also cause for concern.

The plan for complementary outcomes to grow a healthy, sustainable and thriving place for everyone is not backed up by the proposal. It aims to strengthen infrastructure services to attract visitors and provide local resources to local business. How is not clear. Quarrying is not a tourist drawcard that traffic congestion would not negate and it is more likely that existing services would be overburdened.

The proposal aims to create positive outcomes whilst offering no consideration to any negative outcomes. This is not a balanced view.

Jobs for locals but skilled workers brought in (ratio not mentioned), competing with locals and increasing pressure on housing stock, infrastructure, and recreation. Medical services already struggle with overdemand yet council see fit to sell the one piece of land suitable to upgrade this facility (i.e. the council owned block of land in Memorial Avenue). No forward thinking there. What are the local resources being provided to local businesses?

The biodiversity claim that potential for impact be negated by siting of development. The development site will be dictated by many considerations, a lessening of any impact is not guaranteed. The site contains gullies, creeks, and, as stated, a self draining void. Inevitably water run off will end up in the water table and river. The development area of the site, some 48 hectares, could hardly be considered "virtually no overburden". The claim Carbon emissions will be limited gives no indication or comparison of what they might, or would be. Rocks will not be washed. Is there any likelihood this might change in the future and if so be considered now?

A decelerating lane is suggested when turning right into Maytoms Lane if coming from the north. No pull off lane is suggested if turning left if coming from the south, and no suggestion of a need for any upgrades to the route, not even passing places.

The Bucketts Way has recently undergone extensive time consuming upgrades to bridges and drainage. It was not widened. In fact the drainage channels have limited the capacity for any form of pull off area or hard shoulder.

The Quarry project proposes 126 truck movements in each direction over an 11 hour period, 252 truck movements. The Deep Creek project, already approved, proposes to transport one third again of truck movements. Another 83 truck movements. A total of 335 truck movements during the 11 hours. 30 per hour, one every 2 minutes. No suggestion of any upgrade to the route.

Extensive use by heavy vehicle traffic will impact the durability of the road as well as the safety

of all road users. It is hard to imagine how difficult this would become should another section of road need resurfacing.

Traffic along the route has increased substantially in the past 5 years and will continue to do so. This should be of the greatest consideration. Not after a catastrophe has occurred.

Much of the impact of this project is still not assessed, awaiting findings from appropriate specialised Government bodies. Comment is invited but information withheld.