



Save the Powerhouse Campaign  
[savethepowerhouse@gmail.com](mailto:savethepowerhouse@gmail.com)

To  
Annika HATHER [annika.hather@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:annika.hather@planning.nsw.gov.au),

*Ultimo, 13 October, 2024*

RE: POWERHOUSE ULTIMO "REVITALISATION" STATE SIGNIFICANT PROJECT  
APPLICATION SSD-67588459

Dear Ms Hather,

We thank you for giving the general public the opportunity to comment on the INSW Response to Submissions.

Your Department received **a further 138 submissions** for the NSW Government's destructive "Powerhouse Ultimo Revitalisation" SSD application.

131 (95%) submissions objected to the project, 3 (2%) of them "commented" and only 4 (3%) of them supported it.

This is in addition to the 125 submissions received for the first EIS exhibition in May (109 opposing, 11 commenting and 5 only supporting) and the 37 "late submissions" (29 supporting, 8 commenting and none supporting) received after the 30<sup>th</sup> of May. These have not been published on the Planning Portal but are referred to in INSW Response to Submissions.

In total, the wholly unpopular project received 300 submissions, 269 (**90%**) objecting, 22 commenting (7%) and only 9 (**3%**) supporting (refer to attached table

<http://tiny.cc/vc6qzz>)

**There were more submissions this time than in May!**

Further, it is to be noted that most submissions classified as "commenting" are in fact "objecting" as shown on the attached <http://tiny.cc/hc6qzz> table.

It is inconceivable that a **FAIR** Assessment of a project so vehemently opposed by the public, the experts and respected institutions such as the National Trust could recommend its approval. **It is time common sense prevailed.**

Thank you for your attention,

Patricia JOHNSON & Jean-Pierre ALEXANDRE  
Co-Convenors

*Save the Powerhouse*

