

## Gabrielle Allan

---

**From:** sysadmin@interlated.com.au on behalf of Gardens of Stone <sysadmin@interlated.com.au>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 11 October 2023 9:30 AM  
**To:** Gabrielle Allan  
**Cc:** jrobens@interlated.com.au; keith.muir6@bigpond.com  
**Subject:** Angus Place mod 8 and West Coal Services mod 5 submission

I have made a reportable political donation:  
No

I would like my name withheld: No

Catriona Goodwin  
[ccgoodwin@gmail.com](mailto:ccgoodwin@gmail.com)  
[webform\_submission:values:gos\_dpom\_address]

I am objecting to the proposal:  
Yes

NSW planning Dept  
To Whom it may Concern,  
I am disappointed that so little time has been given to respond to such an important decision. It seems like you just want to rubberstamp these approvals by opening submissions on a long weekend during school holidays. These modifications are not minor and should be assessed as part of the whole Angus Place West project. The wetlands have already been damaged by the significant drop in groundwater from Angus Place Mine modification 5. This risks the health and existence of the plants and creatures that rely on the wetlands for shelter, food and breeding. I don't understand why coal mining is given priority over the environment. Australia is such an old, fragile Continent. Our groundwater is a very precious resource that ought to be valued and protected. Water is life; for nothing can live without it. It boggles the mind, that in this day and age, with all the technology we have available, and the knowledge of the deleterious effects of the chemicals in the contaminated mine water, that it is proposed to dump this water into Wangcol Creek. Wangcol Creek flows into the Cocks river, which is a key part of Sydney's water catchment. I can't understand why anyone would think it is a good idea to pollute the water millions rely on. I can only assume, that this would save the Mine operators money, and public health is not a consideration.

Centennial Coal has a poor record of complying with its licence conditions. How can it be trusted when it has already caused so much permanent damage? How can you rely on its predictions of environmental impacts?

Looking at Centennial's proven record of non-compliance and environmental damage, it makes me wonder why they are even allowed to continue operations, much less expand and take more groundwater, cause more environmental damage and increased risk to Sydney's drinking water.

