Director – Energy Assessments, Development Assessment, Department of Planning and Environment, 4 Parramatta Square, 12 Darcy Street, Parramatta NSW 2150

Dear Sir/Madam,

## SUBMISSION IN RESPONSE TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT OF THE HUMELINK PROJECT

I hereby submit this response to the HumeLink Environmental Impact Statement report **SSI-36656827** 

I object to the HumeLink proposal on a number of grounds, as follows:

## <u>Visability</u>

My properties are:-

Lot 298 DP 757226 and

- Lot 1 DP 828918 (this property joins the above (directly to the right) but is not shown in yellow on map attached.
- These properties range from 340m ASL to 410m ASL. The existing line runs between 400 m to 600 m (as per attachment H page 5) and I can see at least 6 of the existing towers from my house yard (which is 2.146m from these lines and therefore not on your maps or considered effected. I consider that I will be highly affected. (I have included pictures that depict the existing towers/lines).
- From these properties I can see from Green Hills Forestry to the Ellerslie Ranges and will definitely be adversely effected by the proposed 85M towers, both aesthetically and probably financially due to decrease in Land Values.

Not to mention the negative effect where paralleling existing lines.

I am a firm believer that our entire properties are our homes, it does not and should not just include our dwelling. As soon as we step out of said dwelling, our liveability and workability are impacted across the entire span of our properties.

## Fire fighting hazard

Fighting fires under and or nearby the lines is most dangerous for our community of volunteer rural fire fighters.

## Biodiversity

- The vast removal of timbered area on either side of the proposed lines will detrimentally effect the habitat of many of the native animals in the area.
- Many, many more "viewpoints" along the route are needed to assess the visual and landscape character impacts of the HumeLink project particularly near towns but also farming properties.
- Placing the proposed lines below ground would be the most beneficial outcome to all concerned.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Peel















