From:

Sent: Thursday, 3 August 2023 2:32 AM

To: 'zoe.marchant@planning.nsw.gov.au' <<u>zoe.marchant@planning.nsw.gov.au></u>
Cc: 'Emma Barnet' <<u>Emma.Barnet@planning.nsw.gov.au></u>

Subject: Weston Aluminium Dross recycling Facility Modification (DA86-04-01-Mod-15 and LEC10397-1995-Mod-14

Hi Zoe

Emma Barnet forward your Email address to lodge my submission as Emma was to be on leave this week.

I would like notify of my objection to this project I will attach a number of photo's to support my objection. I actually have hundreds of photos taken over a number of years and would not be able to attach them but would be willing to send on a memory stick but would not be able to meet the Deadline for submissions. Alternately I would like a meeting with the planning department I will approach Clayton Barr to facilitate this.

The reason I object to this development is that we already are subjected to significant Noise Pollution Always worse late at night and then around 4am to 5am.

Dust pollution from the Excavator working is disgraceful and they work regardless of wind conditions. I have been there taking Video and photos of this and unable to steady myself even when leaning on fence post. It looks to be working on scrap metal god knows what was on it. When one of their employees walked across the paddock to where I was taking Video outside their boundary I questioned him as to why it was so dusty and did they use water as dust suppression. Responded that they were using dust suppression to witch I replied that it obviously was not working and in mining where I had worked that we would have to shut down under the present conditions to he replied that Weston Aluminium id not have that type of condition and they would just keep working and he walked back to the plant. But I did note before he walked over that there was a brief meeting with other people on site where they were pointing to me. The worst thing was that he was able to just walk over to me o fence where he was standing Disgraceful security when you think back to the Major fire they had and at a meeting Held at Kurri Kurri Bowling Club Chris straight out said Children light the fire they because Children had been seen in the paddock at other times I asked were their Cameras and

Why are they using a layout prior to the Fire 2012

I also note the big question mark about it being viable to recycling sounds like just want to get paid to get rid of some other company's waste problem more than recycling.

Photos Attached

Attachment 1 note dust around Excavator corner of Building working with a metal grab and you can see in foreground what I was saying about some fence missing totally inappropriate for this type of facility.

Attachment 2 the excavator is still there working but you are unable to see it through all the Dust. This is just an example of what we have to put up with from Weston Aluminium Attachment 3 Photo of fire taken from my house on the 14/1/2021 Attachment 4 Photo taken from my house on the 1/4/2023

This is just a shall sample of the photo's I have taken.

We also get Smoke Pollution Fowl Smells to the point you actually get a metallic taste in your mouth.

I note in the submission they state a Shredder but then go on and state they have not made a decision on the specific shredder. Typical Weston Aluminium A Blank Chack approach just give us whatever we want approach. How do they project noise when they do not even know what shredder will be used.

They go on about recovering metals after shredding then proceed to use examples of cosmetics, sunglasses, Pharmaceuticals, Medical Devices/Instruments and Hand Bags Etc very little metal in this list (medical/instruments would be main source of metal in the examples listed. I would question if they needed to be shredded for the recovery of their metal content and if the small amount extra that this would be environmentally viable to recover or worse for the environment more greenhouse gas)

They also then state Timber Pallets 5-10% for reuse or off site mulching. Dose not sound like Weston Aluminium are doing anything with the Pallets (they regularly just place them on the footpath for any one to take already so why are they making out they are doing anything with pallets.

Cardboard & Paper 5- 10% to bins for offsite Recycling. In bins for of site recycling. These products should be sent straight to a paper /Cardboard recycling facility and this would be a much more Environmentally acceptable less transport and less handling loading and overall less greenhouse gas.

Metal recovery 10-20% I cant see that much metal in the examples stated but then you would not what they are planning.

After shredding not very much would be able to be reused the Reuse/Repurpose should be explored before it destroyed by Shredding.

The res goes to land fill 60 to 80% as a resident this places a great expense on the local councils as Waste Facilities are a major cost and this is ultimately passed on Residents and their Waste Facility capacity is limited. As waste could be coming from anywhere but the Burden of landfill

falls on our local councils. Very unfair.

Transport as for no more movements this is totally untrue as they state 60-80% will go to land fill compared to only 10-15% Residual Ash from the thermal Treatment so as you can see there will be a substantial increase in truck movements.

With the Thermal Process they are currently use 85-90% goes up the stack for the community to breath taken from land and Environment ruling.

I note the distance they state to residential area but the closed house would be about 350m.

John Crichton 112 Alexandra Street Kurri Kurri 2327