

RE: Objection to Woodlawn ARC: SSD-21184278

I strongly object to the proposed Veolia 'waste to energy' plant near Tarago NSW.

1. If the emissions are 'so completely safe' then this proposal should be completed in Sydney in an area such as Kemps Creek or somewhere in Western Sydney, which would be more appropriate and ethical given this is where the waste is produced.

2. Rather than burn garbage, which offers a perverse incentive to provide more feedstock (for the burning) all efforts should be focused upon reduction of waste and recycling programs.

3. This proposal is manifestly inconsistent with the principles of Ecologically sustainable development and community values.

4. The proposal is inappropriately worded and is therefore misleading to the community, it should be called 'burning garbage proposal' and frankly the energy 'gained' from burning garbage would be better off conserved -by creating less garbage in the first place let alone the wasted energy used in transporting it hundreds of kilometers from the source.

5. I am concerned about long term and cumulative negative effects upon public health & the environment, which have or are being downplayed in order for this foreign owned company to profit by burning Sydney's garbage.

6. The net benefit from burning garbage 'for electricity' is very minor when weighed up against the cost to the environment and public health and certainly when compared to renewable energy sources electricity produced via this process is manifestly inefficient by comparison to wind and solar.

7. Residents of the local area should not be forced to put up with accepting waste being burnt in an area where it is not produced.

I have made no reportable political donations in the last 2 years.

I acknowledge and accept the Department's disclaimer and declaration