

**From:** [DPE PS Industry Assessments Mailbox](#)  
**To:** [Sheelagh Laguna](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Eastern Creek REP Throughput Increase SSD-11606719  
**Date:** Thursday, 11 August 2022 8:37:34 AM

---

Kind regards,

Patrick Copas  
Senior Environmental Assessment Officer  
Industry Assessments  
Department of Planning and Environment

T (02) 9274 6273 E [patrick.copas@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:patrick.copas@planning.nsw.gov.au)

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, 10 August 2022 10:02 PM  
To: DPE PS Industry Assessments Mailbox <[Industry.Assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:Industry.Assessments@planning.nsw.gov.au)>; DPE CSE Information Planning Mailbox <[information@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:information@planning.nsw.gov.au)>  
Subject: Eastern Creek REP Throughput Increase SSD-11606719

Eastern Creek REP Throughput Increase SSD-11606719

[REDACTED]

I object to the increased throughput because this company has a history of causing disruption and distress to the people who live nearby the waste facility. A whole new proposal should be put into action, instead of continued modification to a project ( mod. 8) of a State Significant Development that was first approved in more than ten years ago.

A waste facility should never have been approved so close to an existing community in the first place, and now despite a history of exposing the public to Hydrogen sulphide (H2S) poisoning, fines for earlier breaches of environmental and health regulations, and a pending court case brought by the NSW EPA for allowing the H2S to escape into the atmosphere, this company is requesting a throughput increase of nearly another million tonnes. This proposal should not be up for consideration given the above noted circumstances.

Reasons for objection:

Impacts on volumes going to landfill are underestimated. Understatement of negative impacts including odour issues. Failure to account for climate change. The execution of the Community Engagement Strategy was too rushed and inadequate. Noise and Air quality assessments are inadequate. Lack of basic corporate information in the application.

We ask that this application is assessed in the real world in which this facility operates. I object to the follow proposal.

Kind Regards, [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone