Letter



Date: Tuesday, 8 February 2022

Willowtree Planning

Suite 1, Level 10, 56 Berry Street North Sydney, NSW 2060

FAO: Cameron Gray

Project Name:	SSD 25725029 – Ardex Warehouse and Manufacturing Facility
Reference:	21.1137.FL2V1
Status:	Final

Further to your email dated 22 December 2021 and associated comments received on the Air Quality Impact Assessment (AQIA) for the proposed Ardex Warehouse and Manufacturing Facility located at Kemps Creek, prepared by Northstar (21.1137.FR1V3, 5 November 2021), please find overleaf our response to relevant Department and Agency comments relating to air quality. It is noted that comments have also been received from Penrith City Council, although no comments requiring a response in relation to air quality were received.

A response to the proposed conditions of consent, as provided by NSW EPA is also presented.

We trust the responses close out these issues without further need to undertake any additional analysis. If you require any further information or clarification, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at your convenience.

For and on behalf of

Northstar Air Quality Pty Ltd

Martin Doyle Director

Reviewed by: LS

abn: 52 609 741 728 www.northstarairquality.com

Department /	Comment	Response
Agency		
Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE)	24. The Department requires the AQIA to be revised to include a map identifying the sensitive receptors used in the assessment. Details of the property addresses are also required.	Figure 7 provided in the AQIA (ref 21.1137.FR1V3) provides a uniform grid map of the modelled receptors. As the exact location of sensitive receptors at off-site locations was unknown at the time of the assessment, a conservative approach has been adopted which provides predicted impacts at off-site locations on a uniform grid. For the purpose of the assessment, all of the individual receptor points shown on Figure 7 were considered as 'sensitive receptors' within the assessment. As the exact locations of these are unknown, no property addresses are available.
	25. The Department notes the AQIA considers cumulative air quality impacts. The Department requires the Applicant to confirm whether the Kemps Creek Data Centre (SSD- 1010198) is included in the AQIA. The AQIA is to be updated as required.	Section 4.4 of the AQIA provides specific discussion of the Kemps Creek Data Centre (SSD-1010198). As stated in Section 4.4 of the AQIA: An EIS is currently being prepared for SSD 10101987 (Kemps Creek Data Centre), which is located immediately to the south of the Proposal site, and within the Kemps Creek Warehouse, Logistics and Industrial Facilities Hub. No detailed AQIA to support that SSD is available on the NSW Government Major Projects website at the time of writing, and no specific commentary can be provided regarding the potential for cumulative impacts with the Proposal. However, in broad terms, emissions of air pollutants associated with the operation of a data centre development are likely to be sporadic, and related to the requirement for emergency power generation. As indicated in the scoping report, <i>It is noted that the generators are for standby emergency backup</i> <i>power only and would be used only when required; thereby, the</i> <i>potential air quality impacts associated with the operational phase</i> <i>would be considerably low</i> . Emissions of nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂) are likely to be the limiting factor for a data centre development, rather than impacts associated with particulate matter, and the potential for cumulative impacts to occur with the Proposal is low, given that emissions of NO ₂ from the Proposal would be limited to vehicles. Based on this, no further update of the AQIA is required.

Department / Agency	Comment	Response
	26. The Department notes	It is assumed this comment refers to the recommendation for a
	the AQIA recommends an	Construction Air Quality Management Plan to be implemented
	Air Quality Management	and adhered during construction of the Proposal as outlined in
	Plan be prepared for the	Section 2.3.1 of the AQIA.
	proposal without the	As outlined in this section of the report, construction of all roads
	detailed analysis to support	and buildings has previously been approved as part of SSD 9522.
	this position. The	Development of a Construction Air Quality Management Plan
	Department requests	(CAQMP) was recommended based on a construction dust risk
	further analysis in the	assessment which was performed as part of this SSD 9522
	assessment of air quality	submission. Detailed analysis, including the risk assessment
impacts.		approach and outcomes have been undertaken as part of this,
		with a range of management and mitigation measures identified
		as a result, with detail of these measures to be included within the
		recommended CAQMP.
		Based on the above and construction risk assessment provided
		within the previously approved SSD submission for construction of
		the roads and buildings, further analysis is not considered to be
		required.
NSW	2a. odour emissions likely	As outlined in Section 2.2.2 of the AQIA, none of the chemicals
Environment	to be generated during the	proposed for use in liquids manufacturing have been identified as
Protection	mixing of	being particularly odorous. Nonetheless, the volumes of
Authority	chemicals/solvents have	chemicals stored on the site would not necessitate external
(EPA)	not been adequately	storage, therefore potential air quality issues associated with
	addressed. Gaseous	odour would be contained. Additionally, the proposed
	pollutants and odour from	recommended operational condition put forward by the EPA for
	liquid manufacturing have	the site which stipulates the applicant must not cause or permit
	been identified as potential	the emission of any offensive odour from the premises would
	emissions affecting air	ensure odour impacts do not extend beyond the site boundary.
	quality, however this has	Based on the above, further assessment of potential odour
	not been explored further.	impacts affecting air quality is not considered to be required.

Department /	Comment	Response
Agency		
	Background concentrations	As previously identified, the nearest sensitive receptors subject to
	of TSP has been estimated	assessment are presented in Figure 7 of the AQIA. The
	due to the lack of available	assessment has therefore appropriately considered the impact of
	representative date from	TSP at all off-site locations.
	the nearest weather	
	stations. The report	
	concludes that there are no	
	additional impacts at the	
	nearest sensitive receptors	
	off-site, located two	
	kilometres from the subject	
	site but does not consider	
	the impact of TSP on	
	neighbouring businesses	
	within the industrial	
	precinct.	
	The cumulative impacts on	Noted. No further comment required.
	sensitive receivers from	
	operations occurring across	
	the broader industrial	
	precinct cannot be	
	adequately assessed due to	
	the potential industrial	
	operations being largely	
	unknown.	

Department /	Comment	Response
Agency		
	The AQIA conservatively	In accordance with the Plan of Management developed for the
	estimates the particulate	Proposal, raw products will be stored within the silo's or within the
	emissions (the primary	mixing tanks (depending on the product) as part of the
	source of emissions for this	manufacturing process, which are all contained within the
	proposal) from the powder	warehouse building. These processes would all be controlled in
	and liquid manufacturing	accordance with the emission control techniques outlined in
	operations, however it is	Section 2.2.3 of the AQIA.
	not clear whether parts of	In addition, all loading and filling operations (such as transfer of
	the loading and filling	raw materials into small silos) would be conducted entirely
	operations are conducted	indoors within the warehouse.
	entirely within the	
	warehouse (e.g. the	
	transferring of 100 kg bags	
	of powdered raw material	
	into small silos using a	
	hoist) or whether any raw	
	materials are stored outside	
	the warehouse.	

NSW EPA has also provided recommended conditions of consent for the Proposal. A response to those proposed conditions is presented below.

Recommended Condition	Response
The applicant must take all reasonable steps to minimise	Agreed. This would be managed through a
dust generation during construction activities.	Construction Air Quality Management Plan, as
	proposed in the AQIA.
The applicant must not cause or permit the emission of	Agreed – this condition will ensure no offensive odours
any offensive odour from the premises.	are emitted beyond the site boundary.
The applicant must implement the reasonable and	Agreed
feasible operational control measures described under	
points 2.2.3 of the AQIA.	
The applicant must maintain and operate	Agreed
environmentally critical infrastructure in a proper and	
efficient manner, including all dust collectors and filters,	
in accordance with point 7.1 of the AQIA.	
The applicant must not undertake any loading or filling	Agreed
activities unless environmentally critical infrastructure,	
such as dust collectors and filters, are functioning	
appropriately in accordance with manufacturer's	
specifications and performance guarantees.	
The applicant must undertake post-commissioning air	Further clarification required regarding this proposed
quality monitoring targeting TSP, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ within	condition. We suggest that this condition should relate
12-months of commencing operations.	specifically to points of emission rather than general
	ambient monitoring.