

James McDonough

Subject: RE: Hello James some questions please and I have attached the emails about the other section about Ironstone Mine on Ironstone Mountain I believe is being done underhandedly within the EIS documentation for proposed quarry.

From: Amanda Albury <aaorb@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 December 2021 2:15 PM
To: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>; James McDonough <james.mcdonough@dpie.nsw.gov.au>
Cc: icag.inc@gmail.com
Subject: RE: Hello James some questions please and I have attached the emails about the other section about Ironstone Mine on Ironstone Mountain I believe is being done underhandedly within the EIS documentation for proposed quarry.

Sending again, it went funny, looking like it did not work.

From: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 December 2021 2:07 PM
To: James McDonough <james.mcdonough@dpie.nsw.gov.au>
Cc: aaorb@outlook.com; icag.inc@gmail.com
Subject: Hello James some questions please and I have attached the emails about the other section about Ironstone Mine on Ironstone Mountain I believe is being done underhandedly within the EIS documentation for proposed quarry.
Importance: High

Hi James, Jessie, & Jacob,

RE: Questions please and I have attached the emails about the other section about Ironstone Mine on Ironstone Mountain I believe is being done underhandedly within the EIS documentation for proposed quarry.

People are angry and confused about the extension to end of January and having to notify the Department by Friday to be able to do this. They are saying it should be open to anyone, as people are still finding out about it. End of January I am saying to you all 31st January 2022 to give me a date for the Community. I am also requesting that anyone that lodges an objection regardless of "claiming rights" or as we were advised by Planning Staff from 2007-8 onwards "claiming submitters rights". I need to know please that if objection letters come in as Jamie said, they will still be accepted.

Now I am also advising people to send an email with their name, contact details, they are an objector of SSD...etc. and that they will send further information through to end of January 2022 where I can. I have also been sending you emails of people's names, some have come here to our place directly as they don't have a computer, or on the phone as I am typing them into an email "claiming submitters rights". However there will still be people and community groups that won't know before this date expires this Friday 17th December 2021, and I would like to pick up what Jessie said, that DPIE will still accept letters after this time/I add for clarification please, that people's letters are accepted through to that date in January 2022, regardless of whether they have 'claimed their rights'. Considering this has been dreadful in advising people from the beginning and a document that has so many wrong bits in it, or completely missing, really the whole process of a submission period should be stopped, until they have the correct information contained in their documentation. Also a precise map including aerial maps of the mountain they intend to explode and dig up. I have done this and will get it to you in next email.

So as ICAG Inc. besides “claiming submitters rights” for the ICAG Inc. Committee as I have done already.

I will have to claim submitters rights, for the community groups that are our Associate Groups of ICAG Inc. in Port Stephens etc. I will also have to claim submitters rights for community members that hear about this proposed project after Friday 17th December 2021 and they come from everywhere. They can lodge their letters with us, or please accept these objection letters regardless of whether they came through your process of ‘claiming submitters rights’ or not by Friday 17th Dec. 2021.

I have had to stop work this week, on the proposed quarry, because I have had to go back to illegal bushland clearing behind us for DPIE Newcastle. I have not had time to contact our associate groups in Port Stephens and I know they will be outraged at the thought of this proposed quarry and want to put in submission letters of objection. I have also not finished the flyer for the 360 degree towns and villages around Forest Glen Road/Woodbury’s properties proposed quarries. This is the first weekend that we have not had chainsaws, tractors, and gave my permanently damaged eardrums by this noise exposed now for 6 months is beyond dreadful, as I had nothing wrong with my hearing until being exposed to this from June 2021 to date. We had to collect further photos and film of this bushland clearance.

I need to find the aerial photos I have taken, that are already printed, as I was marking up the mountain they are proposing to destroy and was planning to send to you all in advance before you came up for the meeting 9th Dec, sadly I have misplaced the hard copy for now and could not send to you before the meeting. Their falseness of writing that the bushland is already cleared is wrong in their documentation.

Is there anything I can do, to force them to move the piles of pink rubbish dirt/grit/clay from Deep Creek area near bridge as it washed directly from this pile in the creek contaminating it directly? This is water pollution and should not have happened, and the piles should be removed and that horrible pink grit should not be used on the road at all.

Also what can DPIE do with bad mouthing of me from Tim Mullaney, out to the Community? As well as putting out their false propaganda, that neighbours in my street and else-where, will not hear it or see it (if approved I add for clarity), they should be fined for their falseness. They have also been approaching accommodation businesses in Fords Road, and putting them off any concerns, which is beyond above board.

Below this email I have copied my emails to Kleinfelder and them back to me etc. Now this is MOST alarming, that the company that wrote their report, has been stopped from responding, and refers people back to the “team” of the Woodbury’s companies. I did not do this, however a property owner did, as he took my concerns I had written him. Tim Mullaney wrote back to him saying it was a typing error. I think not. I have copied that paragraph below here. Though have copied the full exchange of emails between me/them.

The proposed quarry if approved will be (via a line on a map, I measured, approx. 1300 metres from our letterbox) being 1.3Km. On their documentation written, 1.5Km from the Bucketts Way. We are 1.5km away from The Bucketts Way. We are 2km from Ironstone Mountain (as per line measurements on a map).

- **NOW page 15 and 16 of the PEA:** On determination of the project application, an application for an EPL - **I forget what this stands for – Environment Protection Licence (not sure, please advise)?** Will be lodged with the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA). As the proposal is subject to an environmental assessment under the EP&A Act, **the EPA will not be required to invite or consider public submissions prior to the licence being approved. If the proposed**

Ironstone Mine is permitted as SSD under the EP&A Act, an EPL for related scheduled activities cannot be refused, with the prescribed EPL conditions consistent to those set out under the SDD approved documentation. What does that actually mean?

<http://www.bonzle.com/c/a?a=p&p=314713> This is the link I found of information, when I searched Ironstone Mine, referenced in the PEA page 15 and 16.

Now the way it reads to me. The Woodbury family of multiples of company names, is seeking approval for (2 separate mining areas). **Please confirm?**

Now it reads to me, the 2 separate mining areas within this documentation **of Kleinfelder PEA dated 16th November 2020, and if they get approval for the quarry, they will automatically get approved for the magnetite mine/other minerals (Group or Class 1) on Ironstone Mountain. Please confirm?**

Now as the Woodbury family already own a portion of Ironstone Mountain and if they bought formerly the 230 ha property that went up for sale many years ago now, **then they have the entire Ironstone Mountain.** I keep asking through various Companies in emails and phone calls, if they own this property, they don't answer me either way (yes or no). **They should disclose this information.** The family was around during the 'proposed Magnetite mine' debacle.

To ALL Government Departments including all the Companies that have been hired by the Woodbury family, please, you must either confirm there are actually two separate mining/quarry areas trying to obtain approval or not?

I believe that this proposed quarry is for more than one mine/quarry area. I believe the companies (of many) are trying to have approved to open cut the area (as per their map), for all the resources under Class 1, gravel and/or Magnetite has not been made clear to the general public. Also WHAT they want to do to the environment and everyone's homes that surround them, in a 360 degrees, as per Kleinfelder map (Figure 4). Quarries/coal mines and people's houses cannot co-exist, regardless of a wrongful category over the area allowing such VAST opposing developments that are clearly NOT in keeping with everything around the Woodbury's properties.

I also believe that this play around between quarries and mining and extractive industries, would also be attempting to deflect royalty payments if it was a "mining company" or a "magnetite rock mine" or just extractive industry/quarries bringing in zero dollars into the state of NSW, yet making the Woodbury's of many company names richer while they destroy the natural environment and everyone's elses properties, homes, peace and tranquillity, health, other businesses including accommodation businesses in the area, and our property a Wildlife Refuge where we have been working to be a Eco Tourism property also with accommodation and both Port Stephens Council and Midcoast Council would be seeking royalties per tonne or however that works out?

Clarification please. As the Woodbury family (operating as 3 separate companies for this proposed quarry) in this area, is actually trying to have both locations approved at the one time, within your documentation (Kleinfelder page 15 and 16 PEA), for both locations, then they would not have had this map with a coloured rectangle going out of 'their footprint proposed quarry/mine', that could also be magnetite as well, and goes directly across Deep Creek, into their property next to a person who sold their property in fright. Hence this person I know, being told repetitively by Tim Mallaney that the quarry will be 500 metres from your western boundary fence that its already been approved and nothing you or the community can do to stop it. ***Please provide a copy of the documentation that shows the approval in this location and by whom?***

This needs further investigation and help from Council please. Council is tight-lipped on **documentation & maps** of the proposed quarry they approved along with the subdivision many years after the fact (as Great Lakes Council even?).

Council approved (what exactly), after the Woodbury's were already selling properties, without Council approval or knowledge?

So please advise, what you think James, as I think the whole scam should be stopped, until the company has rewritten their documentation and removed this entirely.

Below is some of the emails between me and Kleinfelder staff.

Good morning Amanda,

The formal process for handling community enquiries is via the project team, who can be reached on community@deepcreekquarry.com.au.

Please direct your enquiry through that channel.

Regards,



From: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>

Sent: Monday, 15 November 2021 7:36 PM

To: Brendan Grant <BGrant@kleinfelder.com>

Cc: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>

Subject: Attention Brendan Kleinfelder, I have some questions please

External Email

Hello Brendan,

Thank you for writing to me, I had been given to other people's names and have not heard from them, yet you emailed, how did that happen?

I am confused Brendan. I am writing to the company Kleinfelder, who wrote the documentation that I am reading, and I am referring too and I have questions that I am wanting to ask and be answered by Kleinfelder.

I am not contacting the Woodbury family of many companies and email addresses to the Community, because I can't.

Because:

- 1) They are not Kleinfelder.
- 2) They did not write the documentation 1) Scoping Report 2) Preliminary Environmental Assessment 3) SEARS requirements and other documentation.
- 3) I can't ask another company to respond, that did not write the documentation in the first place.
- 4) I can't ask another company owned by the Woodbury family to explain and/or answer questions, about things written by another company such as Kleinfelder and regarding your documentation.

So please explain the above, because I am both confused, puzzled and alarmed at your email response.

Please contact me via email, or phone on (M) 0403 645 521.

Thank you
Amanda Albury

From: Brendan Grant <BGrant@kleinfelder.com>

Sent: Friday, 12 November 2021 5:05 PM

To: saorb@outlook.com

Subject: Alert! proposed quarry within documentation, is masking I believe, 2 separate proposed rock quarries, mines, gravel, even perhaps magnetite

Dear Amanda,

Thank you for your enquiry. Could you kindly direct your enquiries to the project portal on community@deepcreekquarry.com.au, since that is where community issues are being lodged and appropriately addressed by the Ironstone project team.

Have a good day.

Kind regards,



From: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>

Sent: Friday, 12 November 2021 1:57 PM

To: Gilbert Whyte <GWhyte@Kleinfelder.com>; Gilbert Whyte <GWhyte@Kleinfelder.com>; Gayle Joyce <GJoyce@Kleinfelder.com>; Gayle Joyce <GJoyce@Kleinfelder.com>

Cc: Stephen and Amanda Albury <saorb@outlook.com>

Subject: Alert! proposed quarry within documentation, is masking I believe, 2 separate proposed rock quarries, mines, gravel, even perhaps magnetite

Importance: High

External Email

Dear Sirs/Madams,

I phoned Kleinfelder Newcastle office (Friday 12th November 2021), to ask if someone could please call me back and the reason for my phone call. I was given emails for Kleinfelder and left messages as well, no one has called me back as requested and its 1.40pm. I have been reading closely the documentation of the Preliminary EA of the proposed gravel mine/quarry at Limeburners Creek/Allworth, and surrounding areas, will also be impacted catastrophically if approved. I have read a paragraph on page 15 needing clarification please.

I also ask what does EPL mean?

Midcoast Council formerly Great Lakes Council I believe had a lot to do with the facilitation of the Woodbury family in this area and I continue to request a copy of ALL documentation, including the MAP of

the approvals of the subdivision (after the fact) and the quarry we have always heard about was approved with no documentation or map being put forward to the community on request to date. **Could it be Ironstone Mine, on Ironstone Mountain?**

I would like to be advised where I find this map please that was in the Kleinfelder documentation. Please send me the direct link via email, also what page number and document it is in. **I am also wanting please, more information about Ironstone Mine referenced in Kleinfelder documentation on page 15 and page 16 of the PEA.** As I have seen this map, it is out for public viewing, though I can't remember what I was reading or where it is. Your help with this information please, as a Stake holder of a property that will be catastrophically impacted along with everyone else and everything else, if this is approved. I am also the Secretary of our Community Group.

I have seen a map of Kleinfelder, where the footprint of the proposed quarry in and around Deep Creek, a Zoned Protected Creek of Karuah River Port Stephens Marine Park. This map has a coloured rectangle north from the proposed 'SSD footprint', across the last arm of Deep Creek and goes up into the Woodbury's property onto Ironstone Mountain. The document attached refers to Ironstone Mine, which came out when I searched 'Ironstone Mine' within documentation (page 15 and 16 of PEA).

My guess is that the Woodbury family of multiples of company names, is seeking approval for the proposed rock mine/quarry for all resources under Group 1. **I saw, 1 mentioned somewhere, (being either Group or Category) unfortunately I have misplaced the reference in your documentation. Please advise what page number within your documents.**

The proposed quarry if approved will be (via a line on a map, I measured, approx. 1300 metres from our letterbox) being 1.3Km. On their documentation written, 1.5Km from the Bucketts Way. We are 1.5km away from The Bucketts Way. We are 2km from Ironstone Mountain (as per line measurements on a map).

- **NOW page 15 and 16 of the PEA:** On determination of the project application, an application for an EPL - **I forget what this stands for – Environment Protection Licence (not sure, please advise)?** Will be lodged with the NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA). As the proposal is subject to an environmental assessment under the EP&A Act, **the EPA will not be required to invite or consider public submissions prior to the licence being approved. If the proposed Ironstone Mine is permitted as SSD under the EP&A Act, an EPL for related scheduled activities cannot be refused, with the prescribed EPL conditions consistent to those set out under the SSD approved documentation. What does that actually mean?**

<http://www.bonzle.com/c/a?a=p&p=314713> This is the link I found of information, when I searched Ironstone Mine, referenced in the PEA page 15 and 16.

Now the way it reads to me. The Woodbury family of multiples of company names, is seeking approval for (2 separate mining areas). **Please confirm?**

Now it reads to me, the 2 separate mining areas within this documentation **of Kleinfelder PEA dated 16th November 2020, and if they get approval for the quarry, they will automatically get approved for the magnetite mine/other minerals (Group or Class 1) on Ironstone Mountain. Please confirm?**

Now as the Woodbury family already own a portion of Ironstone Mountain and if they bought formerly the 230 ha property that went up for sale many years ago now, **then they have the entire Ironstone Mountain.** I keep asking through various Companies in emails and phone calls, if they own this property,

they don't answer me either way (yes or no). **They should disclose this information.** The family was around during the 'proposed Magnetite mine' debacle.

To ALL Government Departments including all the Companies that have been hired by the Woodbury family, please, you must either confirm there are actually two separate mining/quarry areas trying to obtain approval or not?

I believe that this proposed quarry is for more than one mine/quarry area. I believe the companies (of many) are trying to have approved to open cut the area (as per their map), for all the resources under Class 1, gravel and/or Magnetite has not been made clear to the general public. Also WHAT they want to do to the environment and everyone's homes that surround them, in a 360 degrees, as per Kleinfelder map (Figure 4). Quarries/coal mines and people's houses cannot co-exist, regardless of a wrongful category over the area allowing such VAST opposing developments that are clearly NOT in keeping with everything around the Woodbury's properties.

I also believe that this play around between quarries and mining and extractive industries, would also be attempting to deflect royalty payments if it was a "mining company" or a "magnetite rock mine" or just extractive industry/quarries bringing in zero dollars into the state of NSW, yet making the Woodbury's of many company names richer while they destroy the natural environment and everyone's else's properties, homes, peace and tranquillity, health, other businesses including accommodation businesses in the area, and our property a Wildlife Refuge where we have been working to be a Eco Tourism property also with accommodation and both Port Stephens Council and Midcoast Council would be seeking royalties per tonne or however that works out?

Clarification please, As the Woodbury family (operating as 3 separate companies for this proposed quarry) in this area, is actually trying to have both locations approved at the one time, within your documentation (Kleinfelder page 15 and 16 PEA), for both locations, then they would not have had this map with a coloured rectangle going out of 'their footprint proposed quarry/mine', that could also be magnetite as well, and goes directly across Deep Creek, into their property next to a person who sold their property in fright. Hence this person I know, being told repetitively by Tim Mallaney that the quarry will be 500 metres from your western boundary fence that its already been approved and nothing you or the community can do to stop it. ***Please provide a copy of the documentation that shows the approval in this location and by whom?***

This needs further investigation and help from Council please. Council is tight-lipped on **documentation & maps** of the proposed quarry they approved along with the subdivision many years after the fact (as Great Lakes Council even?).

Council approved (what exactly), after the Woodbury's were already selling properties, without Council approval or knowledge?

I went up and raised my concerns in person at Forster Council office back then and they took copies of the Real Estate documentation, as I and a friend had already been driven around by the Real Estate Agent, a few days before. Council Staff advised that there was NO Development Application that had been approved, to build roads, to subdivide, to put a quarry in (for those roads) or whatsoever. Then its all shrouded in secrecy and deflection, much like the documentation of Kleinfelder, I believe. Yet during the MARA computer session they referenced they had been speaking/working with Council, from I think it was 2014, though I believe it was actually much earlier than this that the Woodbury family under various family company names was in contact with Council and had multiples of DA's in with their neighbour John Murray, moving boundary fence-lines, buildings and a driveway to a house (that is I believe the haul road being built from years ago now, with bushland clearing/old growth trees also happening.

Amanda Albury
151 Forest Glen Road
Limeburners Creek NSW 2324
& Secretary of ICAG Inc.

Okay James, well you have it, of this concern above. Please advise what you all think, because this should NOT be in their documentation at all. Also their disclaimer of use, should also be updated again, as it is still trying to silence the public from accessing their documentation, that we will be doing, until they are rejected entirely, never to come back again. Even then ICAG Inc. archives EIS's of the valley.

Thank you
Amanda Albury
& ICAG Inc. Secretary