

## **School Infrastructure NSW**

### **New Primary School in Mulgoa Rise**

## **Flood Impact Assessment**

20-306 /22 October 2021 / Schematic Design Submission

# Contents

Contents.....	2
Document control .....	3
1.0 Executive Summary .....	4
2.0 Introduction.....	5
3.0 Existing Conditions.....	6
4.0 Proposed Development.....	7
4.0 Flood Behaviour.....	8
4.1 Flood Investigation .....	8
4.2 Riverine Flooding .....	8
4.3 Overland Flow Flooding.....	8
5.0 Flood management and impacts .....	11
5.1 Minimum Floor Levels .....	11
5.2 Proposed raised thresholds / blisters .....	12
5.3 Flood Response .....	12
Appendix A Overland Flow Flood Study .....	13
Appendix B Council Flood Advice .....	14
Appendix C Survey Data.....	15

# Document control

Rev	Date	Revision details	Approved	Verified	Prepared
A	06.05.21	SSDA Submission	KEC	JC	AP
B	10.08.21	Draft SSDA Submission			AP
C	12.08.21	SSDA Submission			AP
D	27.08.21	Schematic Design Submission		JC	AP
E	06.09.21	Revised Report			AP
F	09.09.21	Executive Summary Added			AP
G	28.09.21	Revised Report			JC
H	22.10.21	Revised Section 4.3 and App. B. App. C Added.			AP

Copyright 2021 © Woolacotts Consulting Engineers | Do not use, copy, or reproduce wholly or in part without written permission

# 1.0 Executive Summary

A new primary school is proposed at Mulgoa Rise / Glenmore Park. The site is currently undeveloped. The proposed works includes a facility which can accommodate in the order of 1000 students.

The Site is bounded to the north by Deerubbin Drive, to the south by Forestwood Drive, to the west by Darug Avenue and to the east by the existing Mulgoa Rise Sports Fields and on-grade carpark. The site is located within a parent subdivision, consisting of predominately low-density residential dwellings.

Woolacotts engaged GRC Hydro to undertake two-dimensional overland flow flood modelling of The Site and surrounding area using TUFLOW analysis software. The results of the two-dimensional flood modelling indicated that Forestwood Drive, Darug Avenue and Deerubbin Drive have insufficient channel capacity to fully contain the 1% AEP flood event leading to overland flow flooding travelling through The Site and adjacent properties.

Overland flow flooding in The Site occurs in the north-western corner and along the eastern boundary. This flooding is shallow (less than 300mm) and has a hazard classification of H1, which is the lowest level of hazard and is generally safe for people, vehicles, and buildings.

The proposed works adjacent the north-west corner of The Site, results in a very minor localised increase of approximately 0.01m to 0.1m in the flood level at the Deerubbin Drive / Darug Avenue intersection. However, this increase in flood depth does not impact private property or increase the flood risk

The proposed car park in the north-eastern corner results in a very minor localised increase in flood level of approximately 0.01m to 0.1m. The carpark also very slightly redistributes the overland flow path on the western side resulting in a narrow newly flooded area. However, because the flood levels are very shallow (<0.3m), low hazard (H1) and located away from any buildings, there is no increase in flood risk.

Overall, the proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on the existing 1% AEP flood behaviour, which consists of shallow overland flow paths of low hazard

## 2.0 Introduction

The proposed primary school at Mulgoa Rise / Glenmore Park is a new school on a brownfield site, the site is a former quarry that has been filled to the current surface levels.

The new primary school in Mulgoa Rise /Glenmore Park is to be designed and built to significantly improve educational outcomes and address the capacity shortfall across the area for an approximate 414 students initially, with the potential expansion to 1000 as demand grows.

Refer Figure 1 below for the proposed site plan.



*Figure 1 Site Plan*

The purpose of this report is to detail the following:

- Flood risk on-site taking into account the effects of climate change, sea level rise and an increase in rainfall intensity.
- Assess the impacts of the development, including any changes to flood risk onsite or off-site, and propose design solutions to mitigate flood risk where required.
- Two-dimensional flood modelling

## 3.0 Existing Conditions

The proposed primary school site ("The Site") is a brownfield site. The subject site is bounded to the north by Deerubbin Drive, to the south by Forestwood Drive, to the west by Darug Avenue and to the east by the existing Mulgoa Rise Sports Fields and on-grade carpark. The site is located within a parent subdivision, consisting of predominately low-density residential dwellings. The subject site is shown in Figure 2 below:



*Figure 2 New Primary School in Mulgoa Rise Site – Aerial Image. Source: Sixmaps (2021)*

The total existing site area is approximately 3 hectares based on the surveyed site boundaries. The site grades gently from a high point in the south-west corner, to a low point in the north east corner. An existing grassed batter (approximately 1V:10H) is located along the southern site boundary. Remaining gradients within the site, from the toe of the batter to the north west corner of the site, varies between 1% to 4%.

## 4.0 Proposed Development

The new primary school in Mulgoa Rise /Glenmore Park is to be designed and built to significantly improve educational outcomes and address the capacity shortfall across the area for an approximate 414 students initially, with the potential expansion to 1000 as demand grows.

This proposal will facilitate a Core 21 school with 18 learning spaces (also known as Home bases) + 2 support classes, with the selected core facilities at Core 35, for the Hall, Library, Staff facilities and Admin.

The current proposal includes the following buildings:

Building A	Administration and Library
Buildings B2	Home bases learning
Building B3.S	Home bases learning and Support Unit Hub
Building C	Hall and ancillary facilities

## 4.0 Flood Behaviour

### 4.1 Flood Investigation

Preliminary investigations have indicated that The Site is potentially affected by two sources of flooding: riverine flooding from the Nepean River (including its tributaries) and local overland flow flooding.

Riverine flooding occurs when heavy rainfall causes the water levels in a river to rise and escape the main channel. Local overland flow flooding is run-off that travels over the land during heavy rainfall events, affected by urban features such as stormwater infrastructure, roads, fences, walls and other structures.

### 4.2 Riverine Flooding

Correspondence with Penrith City Council has revealed that The Site is not flood affected by riverine flooding by the 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) design storm event. Refer to Appendix B – Council Flood Advice for further information.

### 4.3 Overland Flow Flooding

Preliminary site investigations indicated that a large external catchment area was directed towards The Site. Due to the sizeable frontage of The Site, in combination with the limited channel capacity of the surrounding roadways, the investigations indicated that there was potential for overland flow flooding to be directed through The Site.


Based on the above investigations, Woolacotts engaged GRC Hydro to undertake two-dimensional overland flow flood modelling of the proposed development using TufLOW analysis software. A summary of the results of this modelling is provided below:

- The TufLOW model was based on a combination of LiDAR and site survey data including the surrounding streets road profile. Refer to Appendix C for survey data used.
- The TufLOW modelling has shown that The Site is subjected to overland flow flooding during the 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) storm event and Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) event. Refer Figures 3 and 4 below.
- During the 1% AEP storm event, existing overland flow flooding occurs in the north-western corner and eastern portion of The Site. This flooding is shallow (less than 300mm) and has a hazard classification of H1, which is the lowest level of hazard and is generally safe for people, vehicles, and buildings.
- The 1% AEP rainfall intensity was increased by 10% (in accordance with ARR2019) to account for climate change. Refer to Section 3.2 in Appendix A for further clarification. This resulted in an increase of peak water levels by 0.01 m. Based on this assessment it is concluded that the climate change scenario does not have any significant effect on flood risk at the site.



- The 1% AEP flood level impact that compared the changes in flood levels between existing and the proposed conditions is shown in Figure 5 below.
- With reference to Figure 5, the impacted areas from the proposed development include the north-western corner and the south-eastern corner of The Site. The impacts are summarised below:
  - The proposed works adjacent the north-west corner of The Site, results in a 0.01m to 0.1m increase in the flood level at the Deerubbin Drive / Darug Avenue intersection. However, this increase in flood depth does not impact private property or increase the flood risk
  - The proposed car park in the north-eastern corner results in a very minor localised increase in flood level of approximately 0.01m to 0.1m. The carpark also very slightly redistributes the overland flow path on the western side resulting in a newly flooded area. However, because the flood levels are very shallow (<0.3m), low hazard (H1) and located away from any buildings, there is no increase in flood risk.
- Overall, the proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on the existing 1% AEP flood behaviour, which consists of shallow overland flow paths of H1 hazard

Refer to Appendix A for *the Overland Flow Flood Study* by GRC Hydro dated 1<sup>st</sup> September 2021 for further information on overland flow flooding.



**Figure 3 – 1% Pre-developed flood mapping (extract from *Overland Flow Flood Study*)**



Figure 4 – PMF Pre-developed flood mapping (extract from *Overland Flow Flood Study*)




Figure 5 – 1% Post-developed flood mapping (extract from *Overland Flow Flood Study*)

## 5.0 Flood management and impacts

### 5.1 Minimum Floor Levels

According to Council requirements, the Finished Floor Levels (FFL) must be located 500mm above the 1% AEP flood level.

The overland flow flooding along Darug Avenue and Deerubbin Drive governs the FFL of Buildings (1% AEP flood level plus 500mm freeboard).

For Deerubbin Drive, the flood contours (along the northern site boundary) vary from 61.0m to 59.5m AHD. This results in a minimum FFL of 61.5m AHD for Building A, 60.9m AHD for Building B2, 60.4m AHD for Building B3, and 60.0m AHD for Building C. This is summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1 - Minimum Floor Level Information				
Building	A	B2	B3.S	C
Design flood level (1% AEP)	61.0m AHD*	60.4m AHD	59.9m AHD	59.5m AHD
Freeboard	0.5m	0.5m	0.5m	0.5m
Flood Planning Level (FPL)	61.5m AHD (1% AEP + 0.5m Freeboard)	60.9m AHD (1% AEP + 0.5m Freeboard)	60.4m AHD (1% AEP + 0.5m Freeboard)	60.0m AHD (1% AEP + 0.5m Freeboard)

\*Note: The 1% AEP Design Flood Level for Building A shown in Table 1 above, is along Deerubbin Drive. For Darug Avenue, the flood contours (along the western site boundary) vary from 64.5m to 61.0m AHD. To maintain an FFL of 61.5m AHD for Building A, a diversion wall is required along the northern half of the western boundary, along with a diversion embankment south of Building. Refer to the *Civil Engineering Schematic Design Report* by Woolacotts, Revision B, dated 10<sup>th</sup> August 2021 for further information.

## 5.2 Proposed raised thresholds / blisters

The proposed development will include a pedestrian crossings on Darug Avenue and Forestwood Drive adjacent the north-western corner of The Site.

The provision of raised thresholds / blisters along Darug Avenue, Deerubbin Drive and Forestwood Drive will result in additional depth of flooding entering The Site and neighbouring properties and will impact the required building FFLs.

Refer to the *Civil Engineering SSDA Report* by Woolacotts, Revision E, dated 6<sup>th</sup> September 2021 for further information.

## 5.3 Flood Response

The two main responses to a flood emergency include evacuation or Shelter in Place. Evacuation involves moving to an area that is outside the reach of floodwaters, while Shelter in Place refers to staying within the building until floodwaters have receded and it is safe to leave.

The appropriate flood response is typically provided in a Flood Emergency Response Plan. A Flood Emergency Response Plan will be undertaken by the project team prior to the completion of construction.

.

## **Appendix A**

# **Overland Flow Flood Study**



# MULGOA RISE PUBLIC SCHOOL OVERLAND FLOW FLOOD STUDY



## Mulgoa Rise Public School Overland Flow Flood Study

Project Number: 210009  
Client: Woolacotts Consulting Engineers  
Client Contact: Justin Chirillo  
Report Author: Felix Taaffe and Christine Chang  
Date: 1 September 2021  
Verified By: Stephen Gray

Date	Version	Description
23 April 2021	1	Draft
29 April 2021	2	Final
1 Sept 2021	3	Revised Design

Filepath: j:\210009\Admin\210009\_Mulgoa\_Rise\_Public\_School\_Flood\_Study\_v02.docx

GRC Hydro

Level 9, 233 Castlereagh Street

Sydney, NSW 2000

Tel: +61 432 477 036

Email: [info@grchydro.com.au](mailto:info@grchydro.com.au)

This document is produced by GRC Hydro solely for the benefit and use by the client in accordance with the terms of the engagement. GRC Hydro does not and shall not assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever to any third party arising out of any use or reliance by any third party on the content of this document.

## Contents

1.	Introduction.....	1
2.	Proposed Development.....	1
2.1	Site Description .....	1
2.2	Proposed Development.....	1
2.3	SEARs SSDA Requirements.....	1
3.	Flooding Assessment .....	2
3.1	Model Setup.....	2
3.1.1	Hydrologic Model .....	2
3.1.2	Hydraulic Model .....	3
3.2	Existing Flood Behaviour .....	4
3.3	Assessment of Proposed Development .....	6
4.	CONCLUSIONS .....	7

## Figures

Figure 1: Study Area and DEM

Figure 2: Hydraulic Model Inputs

Figure 3: Flood Depths and Levels – 1% AEP

Figure 4: Flood Velocity – 1% AEP

Figure 5: Flood Hazard – 1% AEP

Figure 6: Flood Depths and Levels – PMF

Figure 7: Flood Velocity – PMF

Figure 8: Flood Hazard – PMF

Figure 9: Flood Depths and Levels (Proposed Case) – 1% AEP

Figure 10: Flood Impact- 1% AEP



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An overland flow flood study has been undertaken for the proposed Mulgoa Rise Public School. Small sections of the subject site are subject to shallow overland flow flooding, which passes through the north-west corner and east portion of the site.

The site's upstream catchment consists of residential blocks to the south. A hydraulic model has been developed based on TUFLOW software as well as a DRAINS hydrologic model. Flood characteristics for 1% AEP and PMF events have been assessed, as well as a climate change scenario. Peak flood depths, levels and velocities and hazard has been mapped for the site. In most flood events, the large majority of overland flow is contained in the kerb-gutter system and poses minimal risk to the site. 1% AEP flooding has H1 hazard and is not sensitive to increased rainfall intensity due to climate change. In the PMF there is shallow sheet flow across the site, also of H1 hazard.

The proposed development will raise and re-grade a portion of the site and place a car park on the east side of the site. The design includes a small wall at the north-west corner of the site to block shallow overland flow entering the site. The development will not significantly impact on existing flooding, with only a localised increase in two areas that does not correspond to any increase in flood risk. Based on this assessment the development is considered suitable for the site and in accordance with the SEARs requirements.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

This report has been prepared by GRC Hydro Pty Ltd on behalf of Woolacotts Consulting Engineers. Development of a grassed area in a new residential area of Mulgoa is proposed. The development is for the construction of Mulgoa Rise Public School and will include school buildings in the north-west corner of the site, a large open space and a car park on the east side of the site. This report assesses flooding at the site in accordance with the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs). The subject site can be affected by both riverine flooding from the Nepean River and local overland flow flooding. The current assessment only addresses overland flow flooding.

## **2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

### **2.1 Site Description**

The subject site is located in Mulgoa, NSW around 6 km south of Penrith. The subject site is approximately 2.9 ha and is bounded by Deerubbin Drive to the north, Forestwood Drive to the south, and Darug Avenue to the west. A carpark and grassed area is located to the east and a second grassed area is located to the north. The site is located within an area of medium density residential development.

The site slopes down from south west to east north, with an elevation range of around 64.2 mAHD at the corner of Darug Avenue and Forestwood Drive, to 58.5 mAHD at east end of Deerubbin Drive.

The site's upstream catchment has been determined as part of a hydrologic assessment and is shown on Figure 1, which shows the study area and digital elevation model (DEM). The catchment area is approximately 29 ha.

### **2.2 Proposed Development**

The proposed school includes construction of school buildings, carparks and assembly areas, sport courts, and landscaped areas. Buildings will be located in the north-west portion of the site. The proposed development includes raising and re-grading parts of the existing site. The proposed ground surface has been provided for the purpose of this flooding assessment. The design includes a small wall at the north-west corner of the site to block shallow overland flow entering the site.

### **2.3 SEARs SSDA Requirements**

This flood study addresses the relevant Standard Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) for the proposed development, which include the assessment of hydrologic flows, potential flooding impacts and flood hazard considerations. Peak flood level, depth, extent and flood hazard have been produced for the 1% AEP and PMF events. The current study is for the purpose of addressing SEARs requirements pertaining to overland flow only.

## 3. FLOODING ASSESSMENT

### 3.1 Model Setup

The site was previously excluded from overland flow modelling undertaken by Penrith City Council's Overland Flow Flood Overview Study (Cardno, 2006) where it was identified as being within the 1% AEP Nepean River and South Creek flood extent. However, as per the current study's brief, correspondence with Penrith City Council has revealed that the site is not flood affected by riverine flooding by the 1% AEP design storm event. A hydrologic model (DRAINS) and hydraulic model (TUFLOW) have been established by the current study to assess overland flow flooding. This software is widely used and is considered best practice under the NSW Floodplain Risk Management Program.

#### 3.1.1 Hydrologic Model

The hydrologic model (DRAINS) consists of 38 subcatchments, delineated based on ground survey data in conjunction with LiDAR data for the surrounding streets. The subject site including the topography are shown in Figure 1 while the subcatchments are shown in Image 1 below. The imperviousness percentage of each subcatchment was estimated from aerial imagery. The DRAINS model was then run for the 1% AEP and Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) using the ARR2019, including rainfall losses.



Image 1: DRAINS subcatchments

## Critical Duration Analysis

The critical storm duration for flooding at the site was determined to be 20 minutes. As per ARR2019, ten temporal patterns per storm duration were run to determine the median and mean flow value for each duration. The results are shown in Image 2 below, which shows the critical duration (20 minutes) and temporal pattern (no. 4).




Image 2: Critical Duration Analysis Results

### 3.1.2 Hydraulic Model

The hydraulic model was based on TUFLOW software. The model extent and input layers are shown on Figure 2. The model input data and parameters are as follows:

- Topographic data: LiDAR data has been supplied by the Department of Education and also compared to data downloaded from the NSW LPI website (date: 2019). LiDAR was then used to develop a 1 m x 1 m DEM.
- Hydraulic roughness (Manning's 'n') based on surface types, including:
  - Grassed areas: 0.04
  - Roads and urban areas: 0.025
- Model inflows: Inflows hydrographs from DRAINS were applied on the downstream corner of each urban block
- Downstream boundary: fixed tailwater downstream boundary approximately 200 m downstream of the site
- Buildings have been modelled as impermeable obstructions.
- Kerb and gutter system and road crests were included as breaklines in the model, based on LiDAR data and the estimated gutter depth.

### Model Validation

In the absence of calibration data for the site's catchment, the hydraulic model results were validated based on a review of the unit flow rate for the 1% AEP event. The unit flow rate is calculated as the 1% AEP peak flow, divided by the catchment size, to give a flow rate per hectare. GRC Hydro have

estimated unit flow rates for many catchments across Sydney and find that values are consistently in the 0.1-0.4 m<sup>3</sup>/s per hectare range, for overland flow catchments.

The model results gave a peak 1% AEP flow of 6.75 m<sup>3</sup>/s from a 29 ha catchment, or a unit flow rate of 0.23 m<sup>3</sup>/s per hectare. This values fall within the expected range and give a strong indication that the hydrologic and hydraulic model results are accurate and reliable.

### **3.2 Existing Flood Behaviour**

Hydraulic model results are presented in the following section, which include the assessment of hydrologic flows, potential flooding impacts and flood hazard considerations. Peak flood level, depth, extent and flood hazard have been produced for the 1% AEP and PMF events.

These are presented in Figure 3 to Figure 8, as follows:

- Figure 3: Existing Flood Depths and Levels- 1% AEP;
- Figure 4: Existing Flood Velocity- 1% AEP;
- Figure 5: Existing Flood Hazard- 1% AEP;
- Figure 6: Existing Flood Depths and Levels- PMF;
- Figure 7: Existing Flood Velocity- PMF;
- Figure 8: Existing Flood Hazard- PMF;

Flood hazard mapping has been developed through application of ARR2019 and Australian Emergency Management Institute (AEMI) flood hazard guidelines. The guidelines consider the threat to people, vehicles and buildings based on flood depth and velocity at a specific location. The AEMI flood hazard mapping can be used to assess the flood hazard for site occupants and proposed site usage, as well as for the community surrounding the site. The hazard categories are shown in Chart 1 below.






Chart 1: Flood Hazard Curves (Australian Emergency Management Handbook 7)

The results show there are two shallow overland flowpaths that affect the subject site in the 1% AEP event. These are:

1. Overland flow that arrives at the corner of Darug Avenue and Forestwood Drive then continues north down Darug Avenue. The flow is largely contained in the roadway with depths of less than 0.1 m across most of the road, and flow of 0.1-0.3 m in the gutter. At the intersection with Deerubbin Drive it turns east on to that road. At this corner there is a small wall in the proposed design that blocks shallow flow entering the school site.
2. Overland flow that arrives at Forestwood Drive south of the site flows east and is mostly contained in the kerb-gutter system, preventing flow onto the site, until a shallow flow of less than 0.1 m spills onto the south-east corner of the site and flows north.

The large majority of the site is not flood-affected by overland flow flooding in a 1% AEP event. There is a corresponding low level of hazard with only H1 hazard on the site in the 1% AEP event.

In the PMF the entire site is flood-affected with broad sheet flow of around 0.1 m depth (some areas are slightly deeper at 0.1-0.3 m). The PMF hazard is H1 across the site.

### Climate Change Assessment


The 1% AEP rainfall intensity was increased by 10% to account for potential increases in rainfall intensity associated with climate change. The procedures outlined in Book 1, Chapter 6 of ARR2019 were applied with the following parameters/assumptions; East Coast South Cluster, medium consequence risk rating, RCP4.5, 2090 planning horizon.

The augmented inflows to the hydraulic model (TUFLOW) translate to a general increase of peak water levels by 0.01 m or less. Based on this assessment it is concluded that the small size of the site's catchment means the climate change scenario does not have any significant effect on flood risk at the site.

### 3.3 Assessment of Proposed Development

The proposed development is located in an area that is largely unaffected in most flood events but experiences shallow overland flooding on the east boundary and the north-west corner. To assess the suitability of the development with regard to overland flow, the proposed design was schematised in the hydraulic model as a 'proposed' case. This was then used to assess flood risk to the site itself, and secondly, impact on the existing flood behaviour established in the previous section.

The model 'proposed' case was based on the design drawings and 3D TIN provided by Woolacotts and shown in Image 3. The proposed case in the hydraulic model consisted of incorporation of the proposed landform into the TUFLOW model grid. No stormwater drainage for overland flow is proposed as part of the development.



*Image 3: Overview of modified area of the site*

The 1% AEP flood level impact that compared the changes in flood levels between existing and proposed conditions. Figure 9 shows the 1% AEP depth and level under the proposed case while Figure 10 shows the flood impact. As can be seen on the impact figure, the following impacts occur as a result of the development:

- The raised area near the north-west corner results in marginally higher flooding at the intersection of Deerubbin Drive and Darug Avenue. The impact is less than 0.1 m and there is no impact on private property. There is no increase in flood risk associated with the slight increase in peak level.
- The raised area for the car park on the east side of the site results in a mix of localised increases and decreases in flood level. The car park itself lowers the flood level (i.e. the flood depths are not lower) and slightly redistributes the overland flow path. Overall there is only

a slight realignment of shallow flow within the site and there is no effect on flood risk outside the site.

Overall, the proposed development will have no significant adverse impacts on the existing 1% AEP flood behaviour, which consists of shallow overland flowpaths of H1 hazard.


## **4. CONCLUSIONS**

An overland flow flood study has been undertaken for the proposed Mulgoa Rise Public School. Small sections of the subject site are subject to shallow overland flow flooding, which passes through the north-west corner and east portion of the site.

The site's upstream catchment consists of residential blocks to the south. A hydraulic model has been developed based on TUFLOW software as well as a DRAINS hydrologic model. Flood characteristics for 1% AEP and PMF events have been assessed, as well as a climate change scenario. Peak flood depths, levels and velocities and hazard has been mapped for the site. In most flood events, the large majority of overland flow is contained in the kerb-gutter system and poses minimal risk to the site. 1% AEP flooding has H1 hazard and is not sensitive to increased rainfall intensity due to climate change. In the PMF there is shallow sheet flow across the site, also of H1 hazard.

The proposed development will raise and re-grade a portion of the site and place a car park on the east side of the site. The development will not significantly impact on existing flooding, with only a localised increase in two areas that does not correspond to any increase in flood risk. Based on this assessment the development is considered suitable for the site and in accordance with the SEARs requirements.





- Subject Site
- Existing Buildings
- Model Extent
- Catchment
- Cadastral Boundaries



TITLE: Study Area and DEM	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School	PROJECT No. 210009	DATE: 04-2021	SCALE: 1:4000	FIGURE No. 01
---------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------








- |                               |                      |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Inflow Locations              | Catchment            |
| Rural (Mannings Value = 0.04) | Cadastral Boundaries |
| Existing Buildings            | Downstream Boundary  |
| Proposed New Structures       | Road Crests          |
| Subject Site                  | Road kerbs           |
| Model Extent                  |                      |

TITLE: Hydraulic Model Inputs- Existing Conditions	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School Flood Study	PROJECT No. 2100009	DATE: 09-2021	SCALE: 1:4,000	FIGURE No. 02
----------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------	----------------	---------------











TITLE: Existing Flood Velocity - 1% AEP	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School Flood Study	PROJECT No. 210010	DATE: 04-2021	SCALE: 1:1200	FIGURE No. 04
-----------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------






TITLE: Existing Flood Hazard - 1% AEP	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School Flood Study	PROJECT No. 210010	DATE: 04-2021	SCALE: 1:1200	FIGURE No. 05
---------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------













TITLE: Existing Flood Velocity - PMF	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School Flood Study	PROJECT No. 210010	DATE: 04-2021	SCALE: 1:1200	FIGURE No. 07
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------





TITLE: Existing Flood Hazard - PMF	PROJECT: Mulgoa Rise Public School Flood Study	PROJECT No. 210010	DATE: 04-2021	SCALE: 1:1208	FIGURE No. 08
------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------







## **Appendix B**

### **Council Flood Advice**



## Alexander Phillips

---

**From:** Caleb O'Reilly <Caleb.O'Reilly@penrith.city>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 21 January 2021 12:11 PM  
**To:** Alexander Phillips  
**Cc:** Justin Chirillo  
**Subject:** Engineering Advice - 1-23 Forestwood Drive, Glenmore Park (Lot 1663 DP 1166869) - Flood Advice  
**Attachments:** Penrith\_Overland\_Flow\_Overview\_Study Exclusion.pdf

Hi Alex,

As discussed over the phone, 1-23 Forestwood Drive, Glenmore Park (Lot 1663 DP 1166869) is not currently identified as flood effected by the 1% AEP design storm event. This information is based on data available to Council on the date of this email and may change in the future if new information becomes available.

If you have any further questions feel free to contact me.

Kind Regards,

**Caleb O'Reilly**  
Trainee Engineer

E [Caleb.O'Reilly@penrith.city](mailto:Caleb.O'Reilly@penrith.city)  
T +61 2 4732 7928 | F | M  
PO Box 60, PENRITH NSW 2751  
[www.visitpenrith.com.au](http://www.visitpenrith.com.au)  
[www.penrithcity.nsw.gov.au](http://www.penrithcity.nsw.gov.au)



Follow us



---

**From:** Alexander Phillips <aphillips@woolacotts.com.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 21 January 2021 9:40 AM  
**To:** Caleb O'Reilly <Caleb.O'Reilly@penrith.city>  
**Cc:** Justin Chirillo <JChirillo@woolacotts.com.au>  
**Subject:** 1-23 Forestwood Dr, Glenmore Park - Flood Query

**EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email was received from outside the organisation. Use caution when clicking any links or opening attachments.**

---

Hi Caleb,

I am currently working on the civil concept design for a Department of Education development at 1-23 Forestwood Drive, Glenmore Park and had a question regarding flooding.

Woolacotts have been unable to locate a Flood Study which includes The Site area. Has a separate flood study been prepared for the southern end of Glenmore Park where The Site is located?

The Site area is currently excluded from the Penrith Overland Flow Study by Cardno (2006), as seen in the attached extract. Additionally, further investigation has shown that The Site area has also not been covered by the following flood studies:

- Nepean River Flood Study – Final Report by Advisian, dated November 2018
- South Creek Floodplain Risk Management Study by Advisian, dated August 2019 (Exhibition Draft)
- Peach Tree and Lower Surveyors Creek Flood Study - Final Report by Catchment Simulation Solutions, dated April 2019
  - Although the Site area is included in the catchment map

Please advise if you have any additional information that may assist, thanks.

Regards,

**Alexander Phillips** | Associate Structural & Civil Engineer

**WOOLACOTTS CONSULTING ENGINEERS**

T +61 2 8203 1519 | M

[www.woolacotts.com.au](http://www.woolacotts.com.au)

*Exceptional solutions & service*

*SYDNEY | MELBOURNE | BRISBANE | PERTH | ADELAIDE*


## **Appendix C**

### **Survey Data**



- (E3) EASEMENT FOR RAMP/OUNT SUBSTATION 2.75 WIDE (DP161693)  
(R1) RESTRICTION ON THE USE OF LAND (DP161693) (9)  
(R2) RESTRICTION ON THE USE OF LAND (DP161693) (10)  
(V) RESTRICTION ON THE USE OF LAND (DP161693)  
BENEFITTED BY:  
EASEMENT FOR RETAINING WALL SUPPORT 0.5 WIDE (DP153448)  
EASEMENT FOR RETAINING WALL SUPPORT 0.5 WIDE (DP153449)

# SHEET 6



## LEGEND

BENCH MARK	▲	WATER METER	⊠ WM
TELSTRA PILLAR	⊠ TP	WATER TAP	⊠ WT
COMPS PIT	⊠ CP	WATER VALVE	⊠ WV
TELSTRA PIT	⊠ TEL	GAS VALVE	⊠ GV
ELECTRIC LIGHT POLE	⊠ ELP	VEHICLE CROSSING	⊠ VC
ELECTRIC LIGHT BOLLARD	⊠ ELB	PRAM CROSSING	⊠ PC
ELECTRICITY PIT	⊠ EPT	GAS (BYD)	⊠ G
ELECTRICITY PILLAR	⊠ EPL	COMMUNICATIONS (BYD)	⊠ C
POWER POLE	⊠ PP	WATER (BYD)	⊠ W
SERVICE PIT	⊠ SP	SEWER (BYD)	⊠ S
PIT WITH CONCRETE LID	⊠ CLD	ELECTRICITY (V/GROUND) (BYD)	⊠ E
PIT WITH METAL LID	⊠ MLD	STORMWATER	⊠ SW
STREET SIGN	⊠ SS	COMMUNICATIONS (DETECTED)	⊠ CD
GRAVED INLET PIT	⊠ GIP	WATER (DETECTED)	⊠ WD
KERB INLET PIT	⊠ KIP	STORMWATER (DETECTED)	⊠ SD
SEWER INSPECTION POINT	⊠ SIP	SEWER (DETECTED)	⊠ SE
SEWER VERT	⊠ SV	ELECTRICITY (DETECTED)	⊠ ED
SEWER MANHOLE	⊠ SMH	UNKNOWN SERVICE (DETECTED)	⊠ UD
STOP VALVE	⊠ SV	END OF TRACE	⊠ ET
HYDRANT	⊠ HYD	DEPTH OF SERVICE	⊠ DS

## NOTES

- THE BOUNDARIES HAVE NOT BEEN MARKED ON GROUND
- ALL AREAS AND DIMENSIONS HAVE BEEN COMPILED FROM PLANS MADE AVAILABLE BY NSW LAND REGISTRY SERVICES AND ARE SUBJECT TO FINAL SURVEY
- DRIVEN UP DRIVE R.L. IS TAKEN FROM SP510591 R.L. 64.225 (A.H.D.) IN
- CONTOUR INTERVAL 0.5 m
- CONTOURS ARE INDICATIVE ONLY. ONLY SPOT LEVELS SHOULD BE USED FOR CALCULATIONS OF QUANTITIES WITH CAUTION
- SPOT LEVELS SHOULD BE USED FOR CALCULATIONS OF QUANTITIES WITH CAUTION
- KERB LEVELS ARE TO THE TOP OF KERB UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE
- NO INVESTIGATION OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES HAS BEEN MADE. SERVICES HAVE BEEN PLOTTED FROM RELEVANT AUTHORITIES INFORMATION AND HAVE NOT BEEN SURVEYED. ALL RELEVANT AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE NOTIFIED PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION ON OR NEAR THE SITE
- 8/4/7 DENOTES TREE SPREAD 8m, TRUNK DIAMETER OF 0.4m & APPROX HEIGHT OF 7m
- SHOWS APPROXIMATE POSITION OF ROAD LINE/PAVING AND IS INDICATIVE ONLY
- BEARINGS SHOWN ARE MGA (MAP GRID OF AUSTRALIA) ADD APPROX. 1°00' FOR TRUE NORTH

SCALE 1:500 @ A1

Revision	Date	Description	Reference
D	00/00/00	-	00
C	00/00/00	-	00
B	08/02/24	ROAD DETAIL & LEVELS ADDED, DETECTED SERVICES ADDED	002
A	08/02/24	ELECTRICAL, LID, UPDATES & BEST COORDINATES	001



SCALE 1:200 @ A1

SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES & LEGEND


Revision	Date	Description
D	00/00/00	—
C	00/00/00	—
B	08/02/24	ROAD DETAIL & LEVELS ADDED, DETECTED SERVICES ADDED
A	08/02/24	ELECTRICAL DBD UPDATES & BDI COORDINATES



THIS PLAN IS THE PROPERTY OF THE CLIENT AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR USED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE CONSULTANT.

Client: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Drawing Title: PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK


Drawn: AHD  
Checked: AHD  
Date of Survey: 14/05/2020  
Scale: 1:200 @ A1  
Sheet: 6 of 6  
Project: 51025 001D1



1663  
DP 1166869

GRASS FIELD  
(X)





1802  
D P 1 1 6 6 8 4 8

BITUMEN CARPARK

DEERUBBIN

DRIVE

DEERUBBIN

MULTI-STOREY  
APARTMENT  
BUILDING



SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES & LEGEND

1663  
DP 1 1 6 6 8 6 9

GRASS FIELD (X)




Revision	Date	Description
D	00/00/00	—
C	00/00/00	—
B	08/02/24	ROAD DETAIL & LEVELS ADDED, DETECTED SERVICES ADDED
A	14/05/2020	FINAL DESIGN & BEST COORDINATES

THIS PLAN IS THE PROPERTY OF  
LTS CONSULTING  
IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED  
OR USED IN ANY MANNER  
WITHOUT THE WRITTEN  
CONSENT OF LTS CONSULTING  
REGISTERED SURVEYOR NSW

Client: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Drawing title: PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK

Reference number: 51025 001DT  
Scale: 1:200  
Date of survey: 14/05/2020  
Drawing number: 001  
Drawing title: PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK

Drawing title: PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK



1663  
DP 1 166869  
GRASS FIELD  
(X)



DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG  
www.dig.com.au



GDA2020



0 4 8 12 16 20  
SCALE 1:200 @ A1

SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES & LEGEND

Revision	Date	Description	Reference
D	00/00/00	—	00
C	00/00/00	—	00
B	08/02/24	ROAD DETAIL & LEVELS ADDED, DETECTED SERVICES ADDED	002
A	08/02/24	ELECTRICAL, DTD, UPDATING SERVICES & BMT COORDINATES	001



LTS  
landscape  
landscape  
landscape

THIS IS THE PLAN BE REFERRED  
TO IN ANY LETTER  
DATED: 14/05/2020  
DRAWING FILE: 1663 IN DP1 166869  
SITE AREA: 3.001 Ha  
SCALE: 1:200 @ A1  
PERMITH: 1663  
REGISTERED SURVEYOR NSW

1663

DP 1166869

GRASS FIELD  
(X)

MGA



SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES & LEGEND



Revision	Date	Description
D	00/00/00	—
C	00/00/00	—
B	08/02/24	ROAD DETAIL & LEVELS ADDED, DETECTED SERVICES ADDED
A	14/05/20	PLAN OF DETAIL & LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869





THIS PLAN HAS BEEN REFERRED TO IN ANY LETTER  
DATED: 14/05/20  
REGISTERED SURVEYOR NSW

Client: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Drawing title:  
PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK

datum: AHD  
reference number: 51025 001D1  
scale: 1:200 @A1  
sheet: 6  
of: 5





SEE SHEET 1 FOR NOTES & LEGEND



SCALE 1:200 @ A1

Revision	Date	Description
D	00/00/00	—
C	00/00/00	—
B	00/00/00	—
A	00/00/00	—



THIS PLAN IS THE PROPERTY OF  
LTS LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE  
AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED  
OR USED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT  
THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF LTS

Client: NSW DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Drawing title: PLAN OF DETAIL AND LEVELS OVER LOT 1463 IN DP1166869  
KNOWN AS 1-23 FORESTWOOD DRIVE, GLENMORE PARK

Drawn by: AHD  
Checked by: AHD  
Date of survey: 14/05/2020  
Scale: 1:200 @ A1  
Sheet: 6 of 6  
Project: 51025 001D1