

Ms Claire Muir Town Planning Advisor Health Infrastructure 1 Reserve Road St Leonards NSW 2065 18/05/2021

Dear Ms Muir

The Children's Hospital at Westmead – Paediatric Services Building (SSD-10349252)

Corner of Hawkesbury Road and Hainsworth Street, Westmead

Response to Submissions Report

The exhibition of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above proposal (SSD-10349252) ended on **Wednesday 12 May 2021**. Submissions received by the Department of Planning and Environment (the Department) from Parramatta City Council (Council), the public and Government agencies during the exhibition of the project are available on the Department's website at: https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/40471.

In accordance with clause 85A of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*, the Secretary requires the Applicant to respond to all issues raised in these submissions and Government agency advice, and where necessary, technical supporting documents must be revised. Particularly, issues raised by Council and Transport for NSW must be addressed in detail.

The Department has also undertaken a preliminary assessment of the EIS and, in addition to the issues raised in agency submissions, requires the matters at **Attachment 1** be addressed in full. You are requested to provide the Department with a response to the submissions within two months.

Note that under clause 113(7) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*, the days occurring between the date of this letter and the date on which you provide your Response to Submissions to the Department are not included in the deemed refusal period.

If you have any questions, please contact Ingrid Berzins on (02) 9373 2885 or via email at <a href="mailto:lngrid.Berzins@planning.nsw.gov.au">lngrid.Berzins@planning.nsw.gov.au</a>.

Yours sincerely

Karen Harragon

Director, Social And Infrastructure Assessments Social & Infrastructure Assessments as delegate for the Planning Secretary

## ATTACHMENT 1 - KEY ISSUES

## **Acoustic Impacts**

- The acoustic report must include the anticipated noise impacts associated with the proposed forecourt works (which form part of the development), particularly any impacts on the amenity of nearby residential units.
- 2. Cumulative construction noise impacts associated with surrounding concurrent developments are to be provided.

#### **Construction Hours**

3. Detailed justification is to be provided for any works proposed outside of recommended construction hours. If works outside of recommended construction hours are proposed, a works plan must be included to detail how often works would occur outside the recommended times and the period of time these works would continue.

## **Parking**

- 4. Clarification is required regarding on-site car parking supply. Detail whether the temporary P17 replacement parking provides 679 spaces and how many of the remaining spaces in P17 form part of the 50 spaces provided as part of the PSB development.
- 5. Provide details of how the work area in which construction parking is proposed will integrate with existing/proposed parking.

# **Architectural and Landscape Plans**

- 6. Visual perspectives are to be provided detailing the pedestrian connections and view corridors from the pedestrian scale.
- 7. Updated landscape plans are to be submitted providing:
  - a) further detail of the aboriginal garden and its integration with the Kidsway.
  - b) details of the outdoor levels and enclosed outdoor level landscaped areas and their treatment and access arrangements.
  - c) confirmation of the maintenance measures in place for the landscaped levels within the PSB, particularly, if they are enclosed and for viewing purposes only.
- 8. Due to the scale of the proposed trees on Level 03 (as depicted on the landscape plans), further information of the trees species is requested to determine the growth of the tree can be accommodated within the proposed planter and whether the trees will cause damage or amenity/safety issues.
- 9. Provide updated architectural plans that clearly identify the schedule of materials on each elevation.

### Wind

10. Provide further detail of the pedestrian comfort levels for each landscape terrace on the multiple levels of the PSB.

## **Transport**

11. The cumulative construction impacts are to be further investigated and assessed, taking into consideration light rail works and concurrent building construction works.

- 12. The Department questions how an analysing of staff travel patterns over two days provides for accurate results. A more comprehensive analysis or justification is required.
- 13. Identify whether any motorcycle parking is incorporated into the proposal and if so, the relevant architectural plans are to be updated accordingly to show the available parking.

#### Hazards

- 14. Confirm the scope of dangerous goods storage for the PSB will only involve the installation of one new 15,000 Litre main liquid oxygen tank and one new 1,200 Litre backup liquid oxygen tank.
- 15. Confirm that the two liquid oxygen tanks are to be located within the loading dock area located to the south of Redbank Road as indicated in drawing CHW-AR-DG-PSB-SSD00 Revision A.
- 16. It is acknowledged that the loading dock area would be used to unload dangerous goods. Please confirm whether storage of other dangerous goods (other than the storage proposed in Item 1) would be undertaken in the loading dock area as well. If so, please provide the following:
  - a) a list of the dangerous goods including classification, type of storage, and quantity of storage (in kilograms) in the loading dock area; and
  - b) a layout of the dangerous goods storage locations in the loading dock area.
- 17. Confirm the dangerous goods, listed in Appendix A of the PHA are existing stores of dangerous goods, located in Block 5 of the CHW, and the quantity is not altered by the scope of works.

# Helipad

Provide confirmation or otherwise that a helipad and helipad operations form part of the proposed development. If so, provide an analysis of all environmental and amenity impacts associated with the operation of the helipad and it's relationship with the adjacent CASB helipad. In this regard, the Department is not able to support the location of helipad infrastructure on the roof on the new PSB without considering the potential impacts of the operation of the helipad should it be constructed and commissioned at a later date.