



Mr Dean Kent
Group Engineer
Baiada (Tamworth) Pty Ltd
642 Great Western Highway
GIRRAWEEEN NSW 2145

02/09/2019

Dear Mr Kent

**Baiada Intergrated Poultry Processing Facility (SSD 9394)
Response to Submissions**

The exhibition of the development application, including the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the above proposal, ended on Tuesday 20 August 2019. All submissions received by the Department during the exhibition of the proposal are available on the Department's website at <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/10536>.

The Department requires that you provide a response to the issues raised in those submissions, in accordance with clause 85A(2) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*. Please provide a response to the issues raised in these submissions by **Wednesday 30 October 2019**.

Note that under clause 113(7) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*, the days occurring between the date of this letter and the date on which your response to submissions is received by the Secretary are not included in the deemed refusal period.

If you have any questions, please contact Ania Dorocinska, who can be contacted on 9274 6225 or at ania.dorocinska@planning.nsw.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Ritchie'.

Chris Ritchie
Director
Industry Assessments

as delegate for the Secretary

Enclosed/Attached:

Attachment A – The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Issues

Attachment A – The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Issues

Surrender of consents and approvals

1. It is requested that you surrender all existing consents and development approvals to consolidate all existing and proposed operations on site into a single consent.

Child care centre

2. The EIS states (in Section 4.1.4.4, page 73) that the centre will provide enough indoor and outdoor space to accommodate 62 children (in accordance with requirements of the *Education and Care Services National Regulations*), please confirm that the intention is to provide capacity for up to 62 children, or whether the proposal seeks to provide for an alternate capacity of children.
3. Please confirm the operating hours proposed for the child care centre.
4. The Department raises concerns with the proposed co-location of the child care centre with the proposed processing facility. Can you please provide examples of developments where similar arrangements have operated successfully.

Construction phase

5. Please provide a timeline for the construction of the development, including any staging if proposed.

Transfer of by-products

6. The EIS states (in Section 2.3, page 34) that by-products generated by the processing facility will be pumped to the rendering plant, please provide detail on how the by-products will be moved across facilities and what necessary infrastructure will be required for this process.

National Airports Safeguarding Framework (NASF)

7. The facility is required to comply with the NASF Guidelines particularly as the facility is proposed to be located within 13 km of the airport. A written report from a consultant who is competent and qualified in assessments for the NASF guidelines should be obtained to satisfy the requirement that the development will not add or enhance hazards to the airport.

https://www.infrastructure.gov.au/aviation/environmental/airport_safeguarding/nasf/framework_factsheet.aspx

An assessment is to be undertaken considering the requirements of Airservices Australia for airspace and air navigation effects, and safety of aircraft as required by the Civil Aviation Safety Authority.

Hazard Assessment

8. Please confirm the actual gas medium used on site for the rendering plant boiler house and other:
 - a. is it natural gas supplied via a pipeline from the gas network?
 - b. is it Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) (as referred to throughout the EIS and as shown on drawing number SK01 Rev 2, dated 20 June 2019) or
 - c. is it Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG)? And where are the LPG tanks located?
9. Section 4.15 of the EIS refers to LNG, however Table 3.3 of the EIS refers to LPG. Please confirm and show on a site plan the: location, distances from buildings, size and quantity of bulk storage tanks for the dangerous goods Class 2.1 that is currently on site.
10. Table 33 of the EIS: 'Indicative Chemical Storage Volumes based on Hanwood Processing Plant' should include and list dangerous goods that will be relevant for the Oakburn Processing Plant.
11. Is the new processing plant to be built over an existing Gas Supply Valve and underground gas main, refer to approved 20/06/2018 Tamworth Regional Council drawing number 17426-CAL Rev 7 for the Baiada Oakburn Rendering Plant? If not, please indicate relocation of gas line and gas supply valve.
12. Please confirm the quantities listed in Table 33 of the EIS for Ammonia Anhydrous, Class 2.3 in particular those pertaining to the enclosed refrigeration systems. The EIS states 1,200L each with a conversion to 0.0009T which may be an error and may need to be corrected. To assess the aggregate storage of anhydrous ammonia for the processing facility, please provide further detail regarding the enclosed refrigeration systems, and whether they are separate systems or part of one unit.