

Mr Lucas Comino  
DHL Supply Chain (Australia) Pty Limited  
1C Homebush Bay Drive  
RHODES NSW 2138

15/03/2021

Dear Mr Comino

**Westpark Warehouse Facility (SSD-4953-Mod-2)  
Response to Submissions**

The exhibition of the development application including the Modification Report for the above proposal ended on 11 March 2021. All submissions received by the Department during the exhibition of the proposal are available on the Department's website at <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/major-projects/project/41141>.

While it is noted that the submissions received to date do not request any further information be provided, it is requested that you provide a response to the matters in **Attachment A** of this letter. In addition, Fire and Rescue NSW and Comcare were not able to provide their submissions at the time of writing. Their comments will be forwarded to you once they have been received.

Please provide your response to the Department within two months of the date of this letter.

Note that under clause 113(7) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, the days occurring between the date of this letter and the date on which your response to submissions is received by the Secretary are not included in the deemed refusal period.

If you have any questions, please contact David Schwebel, who can be contacted on 9274 6400 or [david.schwebel@planning.nsw.gov.au](mailto:david.schwebel@planning.nsw.gov.au).

Yours sincerely



Chris Ritchie  
Director  
Industry Assessments

**as delegate for the Planning Secretary**

## Attachment A

### Dangerous Goods

1. The quantities of dangerous goods (DG) identified within Table 1 of the modification report do not match the quantities approved to be stored on site, as identified in the EIS and the Department's Assessment Report for SSD-4953. Similarly, the storage locations for DGs in Figure 1 do not match the locations shown in Appendix 1 of the SSD-4953 consent. Please clarify these discrepancies and provide further assessment of the proposed changes with regard to the classes and quantities of DGs approved to be stored on site.
  2. Please provide examples of the types of products that will be stored on site, representative of the DG classes proposed, and how they will be packaged. If known, please also identify the sources of these products and where they will be dispatched to after being stored on site.
  3. Please submit a copy of the most recent Independent Environmental Audit prepared in accordance with Condition 3 of Schedule 4 of the SSD-4953 consent.
  4. Figure 3-4 of the Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) does not indicate the location of the DG Class 4.1 material. Please provide an updated figure indicating the location of this material.
  5. It is noted that the storage of DG Class 3 and DG Class 4.1 are within the same DG caged area as the aerosols (DG Class 2.1). Although the warehouse will have a sprinkler system as a control measure, the worst-case event could result in fires from aerosol cans escalating to other areas storing flammable liquids and substances, or vice versa. As such, please address the propagation risk between differing DG in the caged area with reference to:
    - AS 2118. 12.6.6.3 and. 12.6.4; and
    - AS3883 5.4.1(k), 6.3.1 (a) (i), Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.1 Legend
- Furthermore, examine guidance given in:
- AS 1940 3.7;
  - NFPA 30B 6.4.5.3.2 Item (3) and 6.4.5.7

6. It is noted the radiant heat contours in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2 of the PHA are in the same location and are not consistent with storage locations described in Figure 4-1.

### Traffic and Noise

1. While it is noted that the overall storage capacity of the site remains unchanged, please identify whether the proposed changes to the types of DGs stored on site will affect the type/size of vehicles delivering products to site (and subsequently the number of vehicle movements), and the timing that vehicle movements to and from the site will occur.

2. Please clarify whether the proposed modifications will result in any changes to the loading/unloading processes carried out on site compared to that previously assessed under the original application and MOD 1.

Please provide an assessment of any noise impacts compared to those previously assessed in relation to the two points above, should there be any traffic or loading changes identified.