

## APPENDIX I – REVIEW OF DRAFT EDUCATION SEPP 2017 INTENDED EFFECTS

This table should be read in conjunction with the Review of State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017 Explanation of Intended Effects (November 2020)

Description of Issue and Proposed Change	Effect	Comment
Definitions	None	The amendment does not affect the proposal definition.
Clarification of terms in vegetation clearing clause	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent.
Correcting cross referencing	None	The amendment does not affect the proposal.
Updating Department names	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent or Complying Development.
Clarifying permissible uses on State land	None	The proposal does not rely on Clause 16 of the SEPP.
Clarifying circumstances where schools can be expanded	None	The proposal does not rely on Clause 16 of the SEPP.
Restricting childcare centres within close proximity of each other in low density residential zones	None	The proposal does not seek approval for a Child Care Centre.
Bush fire prone land	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Enabling student housing on sites with existing educational establishments	None	The proposal does not propose student housing
Planning pathways for development affected by a 10% student cap	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent.
School development permitted without consent for two-storey buildings	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent.
Clarification regarding application of conditions of consent	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent or Complying Development.
Directional signage and information boards	None	The proposal is not exempt development.
Exempt development standards for school-based child care	None	The proposal is not exempt development.
Timeframes for short-term portable classrooms (e.g. demountables) as exempt development	None	The proposal is not exempt development.
Teaching facilities to include classrooms	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Canteens as complying development	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Allowing shops selling school related supplies	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
External property boundaries	None	Change is noted for interpretation however does not affect the proposal.
Tertiary institution development permitted without consent for two-storey buildings	None	The proposal is not a University
Innovation spaces/hubs within existing tertiary institutions	None	The proposal is not a University
Landscaping associated with new development	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Garbage and waste storage	None	The proposal is not Development Without Consent, Complying Development or a University
Retaining walls and earthworks	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Measuring noise impacts for complying development	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.
Complying development over registered easements	None	The proposal is not Complying Development.

Description of Issue and Proposed Change	Effect	Comment
Consulting with Transport for NSW about changes to pedestrian access points	None	The proposal is not Development without Consent.
Allowing geotechnical investigations and other testing, surveying and sampling as exempt development	None	The proposal is not exempt development.
State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011 Threshold triggers for State significant development	None	The proposal has a CIV of greater than \$50 million meaning the SSD pathway remains appropriate.