



Mr Adnan Voloder
Boral Land & Property Group
PO Box 6041
North Ryde NSW 2113

Dear Mr  Voloder

**Dunmore Lakes Sand Extraction Project - Mod 2 (DA 195-8-2004 - Mod 2)
Request for Additional Information**

I refer to the Response to Submissions (RTS) report, submitted to the Department on 26 June 2019 in relation to the above project.

The Department has received correspondence from Roads and Maritime Services's Property Division (RMS Property) objecting to the project due to potential amenity impacts to its adjoining property (79 Fig Hill Lane, Dunmore). A copy of this advice is enclosed.

Noise

RMS Property has raised concern over the predicted noise levels at its property, which significantly exceed project noise trigger levels (PNTLs) under the *Noise Policy for Industry 2017*.

RMS Property considers that no measures have been proposed to mitigate the potential amenity impacts and advises that it cannot utilise the *Voluntary Land Acquisition Mitigation Policy 2018* (VLAMP) as the property does not meet the definition of 'privately-owned land', as it owned by a public agency. Nonetheless, the Department notes there is nothing preventing Boral and RMS Property from entering into a negotiated agreement for the property in terms equivalent or similar to those required by the VLAMP.

The Department notes that noise levels at this receiver are predicted to exceed the PNTLs by up to 16 dB(A) and the magnitude of this exceedance is significant. On this basis, the Department requests further consideration and evaluation of reasonable and feasible mitigation measures that could be implemented to reduce noise impacts at this receiver.

The Department encourages Boral to consider options to attenuate noise from the dredge, which would provide greater mitigation of noise impacts for all receivers in the vicinity of the proposed extraction areas.

The Department also requests that changes to noise predictions associated with any proposed form of mitigation are appropriately quantified and provided.

Visual and Air Quality

RMS Property has also raised concern over potential visual and air quality impacts at this property. The Department recognises the close proximity of the proposed extraction area (Stage 5B) to RMS's property and encourages Boral to further consider options to provide visual mitigation for this receiver. The Department also requests clarification of measures that would be implemented to minimise dust impacts at this receiver, particularly during the initial clearing stages.

Lastly, the Department requests that Boral consult directly with RMS Property over these matters and informs the Department of the outcomes of these discussions.

Should you have any questions in relation to this matter, please contact Jack Murphy on the above details.

Yours sincerely



Howard Reed
Director Resource Assessments
as the Secretary's nominee