Your reference
 : S02/02248/2 17 May 2005 & 6 April 2006

 Our reference
 :

 Contact
 : Warwick Brown, 02 6024 8833

Scott Jeffries Director Major Infrastructure Assessments Department of Planning GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Attention: Ms Mary Mikulandra

Dear Mr Jeffries

### MODIFICATION TO ACTIVITY APPROVAL (S02/02248/2) ISSUED UNDER SECTION 115 B OF THE EP&A ACT 1979

On 17<sup>th</sup> May 2005, the Minister for Planning approved the Darling Anabranch Management Plan. The Plan is a government funded water recovery measure under The Living Murray initiative. The project is part of the Darling Anabranch Management Plan, with activity approval given to former DNR on behalf of Water Administration Ministerial Corporation (WAMC) as proponent. DECC has been administering the project on behalf of WAMC since April 2007. This Plan included, among other activities, the removal or modification of in-stream water regulation structures along the Anabranch channel.

Prior to proceeding with the in-stream infrastructure works, the Department of Environment and Climate Change undertook a detailed review of the works to ensure that the best environmental, social and economic outcome would be achieved. The review recommended a number of changes to the proposed removals/modifications and examined an additional 15 structures.

Subsequently, a Review of Environmental Factors was undertaken to assess any potential environmental impact of the new works and assess the consistency of the proposed works with the approved Plan and Minister's approval. The REF concluded that the works as modified met the aims and objectives of the project, did not significantly alter the project or have an additional adverse significant impact on the environment and that the modifications were consistent with the approved project. The REF concluded that no approval from the Minster for Planning was required to undertake the modified actions as identified in the 2007 review of the in-stream infrastructure.

In addition, external legal advice was sought on whether the proposed modifications to the Darling Anabranch in-stream structures were consistent with the activity approval from the Minister for Infrastructure and Planning under the Environmental Planning and Assessment (EP&A) Act. A copy of the legal advice prepared by Henry Davis York (HDY), dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2008, was provided to the Department of Planning.

The Department of Environment and Conservation NSW is now known as the Department of Environment and Climate Change NSW

PO Box 829, Albury NSW 2640 Level 2, Government Office Block, 512 Dean Street, Albury NSW Tel: (02) 6024 8844 Fax: (02) 6021 3857 ABN 30 841 387 271 www.environment.nsw.gov.au

Department of Environment & Climate Change NSW

The HDY advice concluded that the modifications proposed in the *Review of Environmental Factors for Detailed Design Modifications of In-stream Infrastructure and Consistency Assessment of Modifications with the Approved Project*, Report No. DC08035, June 2008 were consistent with the existing approval and therefore did not require separate approval from the Minister for Planning. The advice also confirmed there was no requirement to seek approval from the Minister to implement the recommendations to the in-stream structures examined in the REF.

Further to the works described in the EIS, the Review and the REF there have been further minor changes to the schedule of works arising from consultation with various stakeholders and the tender/contract process. A final listing of originally and currently proposed works is shown in Attachment A. Attachment B provides further information on the currently proposed works, including justification for the works and any potential impacts. Attachment C provides further background information. The DECC is of the view that these works do not have an additional adverse environmental impact on the environment and that the modifications are entirely consistent with the approved project.

Notwithstanding, and following recent advice from the Department of Planning, the DECC is requesting a modification to the activity approval to reflect the minor variations to the original proposed scope of works. A Request to Modify a Major Project Form has been completed and is attached. The requested modification is as follows:

Insert in Schedule 1:

5. Letter of 27 February 2009 from the Department of Environment and Climate Change to the Department of Planning outlining minor variations to the removal/modification of instream infrastructure.

Please contact me on 02 6024 8844 or 0419 291 027 should you require further information or wish to discuss this matter.

Yours sincerely

Rhuid

BRIAN WILD 27/2/09Director Water Recovery and Environmental Flows Department of Environment and Climate Change

### Request to modify a major project



NSW GOVERNMENT Department of Planning

Date duly made: \_\_\_/\_\_/\_\_\_

Modification No. \_

### 1. Before you lodge

This form is required under section 75W of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (the Act) in order to request the Minister to modify the Minister's approval to carry out a project or concept plan to which Part 3A of the Act applies.

Before making this request, it is recommended that you first consult with the Department of Planning (the Department) concerning your modification. The Director-General may issue environmental assessment requirements that must be complied with before your request will be considered by the Minister. If the changes proposed by the modification will result in a project that is consistent with the existing approval, the Minister's approval for a modification is not required.

### **Disclosure Statement**

Persons making a request to modify a project or concept plan are required to declare reportable political donations (including donations of or more than \$1,000) made in the previous two years.

Note: For more details about political donations disclosure requirements, including a disclosure form, go to www.planning.nsw.gov.au/donations.

### Lodgement

All modification requests must be lodged with the Director-General of the Department of Planning, by courier or mail. An electronic copy should also be e-mailed to the assessment contact officer assigned to the project.

NSW Department of Planning Ground floor, 23-33 Bridge Street, SYDNEY NSW 2000 GPO Box 39 SYDNEY NSW 2001 Phone 1300 305 695

Details of the proponent Company/organisation/agency		AE	RN
The Water Admin. Ministerial Co	rporation		2471530421
Mr Ms Mrs Dr Other			
First name	Family name		
Position			
STREET ADDRESS	······································		
Unit/street no. Street name			<u></u>
Suburb or town		<sup>State</sup> NSW	Postcode
POSTAL ADDRESS (or mark 'as above')			
c/- PO BOX 733			
Suburb or town		State	Postcode
QUEANBEYAN		NSW	2620
Daytime telephone Fax		Mobile	
Email		1. <u>1</u>	

### 3. Identify the land

. •

•••

STREET ADDRESS (where relevant)	
Unit/street no. Street or property name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Suburb, town or locality	Postcode
Local government area(s) State Electorate	(5)
REAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	
See Attachment 1	
Note: The real property description is found on a map of the land or on to of the real property description, you should contact the Department of La	
Please ensure that you place a slash (/) to distinguish between the lot, s modification applies to more than one piece of land, please use a comm description.	ection, DP and strata numbers. If the proposed a to distinguish between each real property
OR: detailed description of land attached:	
MAP: A map of the site and locality should also be submitte	ed with this request.
Details of the original major project or conce	pt plan
Briefly describe what the original approval allows	
The original approval comprised two major comp	oonents:
	한 방송에 전 전쟁을 가슴다. 그는 것이 가슴 운영을 가 가슴을 들었다.
A Original states of a stration according to the second	water from the Dealing and
1. Construction of a pipeline system to deliver	
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A	Anabranch; and
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along t	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructure	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along t	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructure	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A 2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and in management principles.	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructurewetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and in management principles.What was the original project application no.?What was the date of the approval?	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A         2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and in management principles.         What was the original project application no.?       What was the date of the approval?         S/02/022248/2       17 May 2005         Note: Clause 245K of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Reg the maximum fee for a request for modification.       Describe the modification you propose to maximum	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A         2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and in management principles.         What was the original project application no.?       What was the date of the approval?         S/02/022248/2       17 May 2005         Note: Clause 245K of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Reg the maximum fee for a request for modification.       Describe the modification you propose to ma	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown wulation 2000 provides information on calculation
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A         2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and it management principles.         What was the original project application no.?       What was the date of the approval?         S/02/022248/2       17 May 2005         Note: Clause 245K of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Reg the maximum fee for a request for modification.         Describe the proposed modification         Minor variations to the removal/modification of instredescribed in the letter from the DECC to the Departm	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown wlation 2000 provides information on calculating alke to the approval
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A         2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and it management principles.         What was the original project application no.?       What was the date of the approval?         S/02/022248/2       17 May 2005         Note: Clause 245K of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Reg the maximum fee for a request for modification.         Describe the proposed modification         Minor variations to the removal/modification of instream	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown wlation 2000 provides information on calculating alke to the approval
Murray Rivers to landowners along the Darling A         2. Reinstatement of environmental flows along the removal/modification of instream infrastructur wetting and drying cycles in the anabranch and it management principles.         What was the original project application no.?       What was the date of the approval?         S/02/022248/2       17 May 2005         Note: Clause 245K of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Reg the maximum fee for a request for modification.         Describe the proposed modification         Minor variations to the removal/modification of instredescribed in the letter from the DECC to the Departmental Planning and Assessment Reg	Anabranch; and he Darling Anabranch including ire works, the restoration of implementation of adaptive What was the original application fee? Unknown wlation 2000 provides information on calculating alke to the approval

•

Your modification request may need to be accompanied by an Environmental Assessment, including plans. An electronic and hard copy of this document will be required.

### ESTIMATED CAPITAL INVESTMENT VALUE

Please indicate the estimated capital investment value (CIV) of the modification to the project approval or concept plan (excluding GST).

\$ no change

### FULL TIME EQUIVALENT JOBS

Please indicate the number of jobs created by the proposed modification. This should be expressed as a proportion of full time equivalent (FTE) jobs over a full year.

Construction jobs (FTE) **NO Change** 

Operational jobs (FTE)

no change

6. Landowner's consent (where required)

As the owner(s) of the above property, I/we consent to this request being made by the proponent:

Land	· .	terre de la construcción de la cons Este acomptensi de la construcción d
Not required as a public authority application.		
Signature		Signature
		Name
Name		Date
Date		

Note: Under Clause 8F of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (the Regulation), certain applications for approval under Part 3A of the Act do not require consent of the landowner, however, the proponent is required to give notice of the application (e.g. linear infrastructure, mining & petroleum projects, and critical infrastructure).

### Political donation disclosure statement

Persons making a request to modify a project or concept plan are required to declare reportable political donations (including donations of or more than \$1,000) made in the previous two years.

Have you attached a disclosure statement to this request?

Yes

No No

Note: For more details about political donations disclosure requirements, including a disclosure form, go to www.planning.nsw.gov.au/donations.

### 8. Proponent's signature

As the proponent(s) of the project and in signing below, I/we hereby:

provide a description of the modification to the project approval or concept plan and address all matters required by the Director-General pursuant to Section 75W of the Act, and

declare that all information contained within this form is accurate at the time of signing.

Signature

Zulio

<sub>Name</sub> Brian Wild

Date

27 February 2009

In what capacity are you signing if you are not the proponent

DECC administering project on behalf of the WAMC since April 2007.

Name, if you are not the proponent Department of Environment & Climate Change

# ATTACHMENT 1 – SECTION 3 IDENTITY OF THE LAND REAL PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

59 Bells Camp Blockbank - Middle Anabranch Bed Crown Land Crown Parcel - 895-3015 Lamplough B AWT 570,920.0 6,257,307.0 7 Junction Dam DP 767027 (undefined title, Dep of Lands) Crown Parcel - River Wycott I State Water 607, 158.0 6, 358, 546.0 39 183 Dam Lot 7 DP 756171 Free Hold Crown Parcel - 1/761980 Wyndham I AWT / Counc 574,739.0 6,298,669.0 57 Lamplough Bridge Lot 3284 DP 765540 / Anabranch WLL / CL Lamplough R Lamplough 573,228.0 6,259,719.0 10 Newhouse Dam Lot 1 DP 757165 Free Hold Crown Parcel - River Cuthero I AWT 597,591.0 6,347,579.0 17 Moola Crossing Lot 1918 DP 763896 WLL Crown Parcel - River Manilla I AWT 586,242.0 6,321,827.0 62 Bingo Main Dam CP 896-3015 Crown Land Allanvale I AWT Easting 568,351.0 Northing 6,248,388.0 6 Warwicks Crossing Bywash Lot 5588 DP 768488 WLL Wycott B State Water 606,462.0 6,364,743.0 58 Bells Camp Main Dam Lot 3285 DP 765541 WLL Crown Parcel - 894-3015 Lamplough I AWT 2 Chapel Hut Bywash Lot 5705 DP 768605 WLL Willotia B State Water 604,588.0 6,370,934.0 1 Chapel Hut Crossing Lot 5705 DP 768605 WLL Willotia I State Water 604,734.0 6,370,973.0 5 Warwicks Crossing Lot 5588 DP 768488 WLL Wycott I State Water 607,217.0 6,364,251.0 56 Bulpunga Bridge Lot 497 DP 761440 WLL Bulpunga R Bulpunga 569,201.0 6,261,036.0 53 Watara Crossing Lot 496 DP 761439 WLL Watara I Watara 569,793.0 6,263,575.0 14 Cuthero Dam Lot 4274 DP 766962 WLL Cuthero I AWT 593,824.0 6,338,922.0

### Notes

- Cross reference number with Appendix E, Review of Environmental Factors, Review of Environmental Factors for Detailed Design Modifications of In-stream Infrastructure and Consistency Assessment of Modifications with the Approved Project, Report No. DC08035, June 2008
  - AWT = Anabranch Water Trust
- E = easting and N = northing

## **ATTACHMENT A -- PROPOSED WORKS**

·

Blockbank Name	ElS Recommendations	Current Scope of Works
Chapel Hut Crossing	Low Crossing	High Crossing
Willotia Crossing	Remove	Remove
Warwicks Crossing	High Crossing	Remove
Junction Dam	High Crossing	Remove
Wycot Private Bridge	No Action	No Action
Newhouse Dam	Low Crossing	Remove
Bonds Windmill Dam	No Action	No Action
Ridges Dam	No Action	No Action
Cuthero Bridge	No Action	No Action
Cuthero Dam	Low Crossing	Remove
Comra Dam	High Crossing	High Crossing
Moola Crossing	Low Crossing	No Action
Moola Dam	Remove	Remove
Patagorah Main Dam	No Action	No Action
Patagorah Bywash	Remove	Remove
Woodlands Private Bridge	No Action	No Action
Woodland Main Dam	Remove	Remove
Woodlands Bywash	No Action	No Action
Willow Point Private Bridges (East & West)	No Action	No Action
Windamingle Dam & Regulator	Remove	Remove
183 Dam	No Action	Remove Gates Only
Nindethana Private Bridge	No Action	No Action
Bunnerungie Bridge	No Action	No Action
Duck Pool Main Dam	Remove	Remove
Duck Pool Middle Bywash	No Action	No Action
Duck Pool East Bywash	No Action	No Action
Toora Main Dam	High Crossing	High Crossing
Toora Bywash	Upgrade for Stability	Upgrade for Stability

Quambi Dam	Remove	Remove
Watara Crossing	ssing	Remove
Bulpunga Private Bridge		No Action
Lamplough Private Bridge	Upgrade	No Action
Belis Camp Main Dam	No Action	Remove
Bells Camp Middle Blockbank		No Action
Bells Camp Bywash (West)	No Action	No Action
Glen Esk Bridge	No Action	No Action
Bingo Main Dam	Low Crossing	Remove
Bingo Bywash		No Action
Tara Downs Shire Road Crossing	High Crossing	High Crossing
Tara Downs Main Dam	No Action	No Action
Tara Downs Middle Bywash	Remove	Remove
Tara Downs West Bywash	No Action	No Action
Warras Dam	Remove	To be Addressed Following End of
		System Flow Review
Tona Private Bridge	No Action	No Action
Oakbank	Upgrade for Fish Passage	To be Addressed Following End of
		System Flow Review
Bywash	Not Addressed	Remove
Chapel Hut Bywash	Not Addressed	Seal Pipes
Legend		
	Modified Scope of Works	
	New Works	
Low Crossing	Low Level Ford Crossing	
High Crossing	High Level Bridge or Culvert Crossing	ssing

### ATTACHMENT B

Blockbank Name	REF Impact Assessment Reference	Comments
Newhouse Dam	Appendix B - Item 14	The impact of the removal of this structure was assessed in the EIS as part of the works required to carry out a Low Crossing. It was determined in the REF that no additional impact from those stated in the EIS would be encountered. The landholder has signed a declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The new scope of work is consistent with the overall objective of the EIS to restore a more natural flow regime in the Anabranch. This is acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passage and Property Access requirements.
Cuthero Dam	Appendix B - Item 16	The impact of the removal of this structure was assessed in the EIS as part of the works required to carry out a Low Crossing. It was determined in the REF that no additional impact from those stated in the EIS would be encountered. The landholder has signed a declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The new scope of work is consistent with the overall objective of the EIS to restore a more natural flow regime in the Anabranch. This is acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passage and Property Access requirements.
Bells Camp Main Dam	Appendix B - Item 48	This structure is being removed in the place of Bells Camp Middle Blockbank. The Anabranch splits in two for a short distance and these blockbanks seal off one route each. The overall impact of removing Bells Camp Main Dam is low. While some additional vegetation is required to be removed no threatened Flora was recorded at this site. The removal of this structure also improves flows and fish passage. The greatest benefit is that the Bells Camp Middle Blockbank has been recorded as one of the Culturally sensitive sites being worked on in the project, the Main Dam has no acchaeology recorded. The readenth completed in the REF ensures the new scope of work to be consistent with the overall objectives of the FLS.
Bingo Main Dam	Appendix B - Item 52	The impact of the removal of this structure was assessed in the EIS as part of the works required to carry out a Low Crossing. It was determined in the REF that no additional impact from those stated in the EIS would be encountered. The landholder has signed a declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The new scope of work is consistent with the overall objective of the EIS to restore a more natural flow regime in the Anabranch. This is acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passage and Property Access requirements.
183 Dam	Appendix B - Item 32	The EIS recommended that the gates be permanently left open therefore no additional environmental impacts will be encountered through removal of the gates
Chapel Hut Crossing	Appendix B - Item 2	As the existing structure requires removal prior to the construction of either a High or Low Crossing the impacts associated with tree removal and the removal of the weir pool are the same as assessed in the EIS. The new structure will enable flows to pass and fish passage. Access arrangements for property operation will be improved for the tandholder in high flows.

### <u>Legend</u> Low Crossing High Crossing

Low Level Ford Crossing High Level Bridge or Culvert Crossing

••

Blockbank Name	Justification for Undertaking Proposed Scope of Works	Comments
Warwicks Crossing	Landowner no longer requires this access crossing.	There is little change in the impact assessed in the EIS. The existing structure was recommended to be removed and therefore impacts associated with tree and pool removal are the same as that assessed in the EIS. Removal of the structure will enable improved flow and fish passage. The landholder has signed a declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The new scope of work is consistent with the overalt objective of the EIS to restore a more natural flow regime in the Anabranch. This is acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passage and Property Access requirements.
Warwicks Crossing Bywash	State Water no longer wishes to own any structures along the Anabranch. The landholder does not wish to take over responsibility / maintenance for the structure. The structure is therefore recommended for removal.	State Water no longer wishes to own any While approximately 15 Black Box trees will need to be removed during construction works no structures along the Anabranch. The threatened flora was recorded. There is an archaeological site approximately 100m to the west of landholder does not wish to take over the bywash. This will be protected by the erection of a temporary barrier and by cultural heritage responsibility / maintennance for the monitors being on site. The proposed works will improve fish passage and remove the bywash structure. The structure is therefore pool in time of flow. Flows would not be impeded. The landholder is a declaration that ecommended for removal.
Junction Dam	Landowner no longer requires this access crossing.	The impact of the removal of this structure was assessed in the EIS as part of the works required to carry out a Low Crossing. It was determined in the REF (which addressed replacing the crossing) that no additional impacts from those stated in the EIS would be encountered. The landholder has signed a declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The new scope of work is consistent with the overall objective of the EIS to restore a more natural flow neighted in the Anabranch. This is acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passane and Pronorty Access constituents.
Watara Crossing	This structure is be removed to comply with Fish Passage and because it currently impedes flow down the Anabranch.	The impact of the removal of this structure was assessed in the ELS as part of the works required to carry out a Low Crossing. The new scope of work is consistent with the overall objective of the ELS to restore a more natural flow regime in the Anabranch. This acheived by the removal of structures that impede the flow while providing for Fish Passage and Property Access requirements.
Bells Camp Middle Blockbank	This site is culturally sensitive.	By removing the Bells Camp Main Dam in the place of this structure it avoid having to perform construction work in the vicioity of culturally sepacitive and and are
Chapel Hut Bywash	Adherance to the fish passage strategy and high crossing at Chapel Hut capable of handling environmental flows.	Adherance to the fish passage strategy The pipes through this blockbank do not have any control gates. The DPI (Fisheries) has and high crossing at Chapel Hut capable stipulated that the pipes be sealed to remove the attraction of fish to the downstream end of the culvert structures. The proposal is consistent with the recommendations of the fish passage strateov.
Maola Crossing	Landowner no longer requires this access crossing.	The landholder has signed a written declaration that this access crossing is no longer required. The existing non trafficable bridge structure will not be removed as it does not impede flow or prevent fish passage. The scope of works is therefore in line with the overall objective of the EIS.
Bulpunga Private Bridge	1	Existing bridge meets fish passage requirements and does not impede flow down the Anabranch. The bridge is still used as an adequate access crossing over the Anabranch. No additional impacts will be encountered due to no construction work being undertaken.
Lamplough Private Bridge	Landowner no longer requires upgrade to bridge structure.	Existing bridge meets fish passage requirements and does not impede flow down the Anabranch. The landowner no longer requires this bridge as an access crossing. No additional impacts will be encountered due to no construction work being undertaken.

.

### ATTACHMENT C

### Introduction

The Darling Anabranch Pipeline and Environmental Flows Project (the Project) is a government funded water recovery measure under The Living Murray initiative. The project is part of the Darling Anabranch Management Plan, with activity approval given to DNR on behalf of Water Administration Ministerial Corporation (WAMC) as proponent. DECC has been administering the project on behalf of WAMC since April 2007. The Project involves the construction of a stock and domestic pipelined water supply system to create water savings and provide a reliable water supply to landholders along the Great Anabranch of the Darling River (Darling Anabranch).

Government constructed new works required for the pipelined water supply system. These works, which include the main pipeline, associated spur lines (totalling 317 km in length) and pumping stations, are currently under the control and management of WAMC. The Great Anabranch of the Darling River Private Water Supply and Irrigation District (AWPID) was constituted under the Water Management Act 2000 to enable, through its board of management, operation and management of the pipelined water supply system in the future.

### Background

- Prior to proceeding with the Stage 2 in-stream infrastructure works, a detailed (Stage 2) review of the works as described in the EIS was undertaken to ensure that all structures were assessed and that the best environmental, social and economic outcome would be achieved by the proposed works;
- The review established that there were some 61 structures (15 more than originally examined in the EIS). The review recommended the removal of 27 in-stream structures, construction of 13 low-level ford crossings, construction of 6 high-level structures and the removal of gates from an in-stream structure at Dam 183;
- 3. The outcomes of the review have been incorporated in a revised scope of the works;
- 4. An REF (Review of Environmental Factors for Detailed Design Modifications of In-stream Infrastructure and Consistency Assessment of Modifications with the Approved Project, prepared for the Department of Environment and Climate Change, Report No. DC08035, June 2008) was commissioned to assess the potential environmental impact of any new works and modifications to works as described in the EIS. An electronic copy of the REF has been provided to the Department of Planning;
- 5. The REF concluded that the proposed works would not significantly impact on the environment or significantly alter the impacts as described in the EIS;
- 6. The REF included a consistency review to ensure that proposed works were consistent with the:
  - The procedures, safeguards and mitigation measures of the EIS;
  - · Representations report;
  - The Minister's activity approval under the NSW *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act* 1979; and
  - Approval under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999.

The REF concluded that the modifications were consistent with the above. The EIS and representations report acknowledged that there would be a detailed design process where actions for the in-stream infrastructure would be reviewed.

- 7. The conclusions of the Consistency Assessment undertaken as part of the Review of Environmental Factors for Detailed Design Modifications of In-stream Infrastructure and Consistency Assessment of Modifications with the Approved Project were that the works as modified would not have an additional adverse significant impact on the environment and that the modifications are consistent with the approved project. The modified works as a whole would have beneficial impacts. The proposed modifications meet the aims and objectives of the project to improve the environment of the Anabranch Channel by providing a more natural flow regime. The modifications would not significantly alter the project. The REF concluded that no approval from the Minister for Planning was required to undertake the modified actions as identified in the 2007 review of the in-stream; and
- 8. The conclusion of the REF was verified by legal advice provided by Henry Davis York, dated 14 March 2008, a copy of which has been made available to the Department.
- 9. Further to the works described in the EIS, the Review and the REF there has been other minor changes to the schedule of works as consultation with stakeholders about implementation of specific works and the tender/contract process have evolved. A final listing of the originally and currently proposed works is shown in Attachment A. The DECC considers that these modifications do not have an additional adverse significant impact on the environment and are entirely consistent with the approved project. An amended Construction Environmental Management Plan has been provided to the Department under separate cover.